## Archived Information PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOLS PROGRAM

<b>Goal:</b> To support the creation of a large number of high-quality charter schools and to evaluate their effects.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
<b>Legislation:</b> Title X, Part C, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)	1985	\$0	2000	\$145
of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 8061-8067).	1990	\$0	2001	\$190
	1995	\$6	2002 (Requested)	\$200

## **Program Description**

The purpose of the Public Charter Schools Program is to increase national understanding of the charter schools model by providing financial assistance for the planning, program design, and initial implementation of charter schools and by evaluating the effects of such schools on school effectiveness and student achievement.

The PCSP is a discretionary grant program, administered in the Office of School Improvement Programs in the Office of Elementary and Secondary Education. Grants are awarded on a competitive basis to state education agencies (SEAs) in states with charter school laws. An SEA receiving these funds must conduct a subsequent competition and award sub-grants to eligible applicants within the state. If an eligible SEA elects not to apply for these funds, or applies and is not successful, an eligible applicant from that state may apply directly to the Department. An eligible applicant receiving a grant or sub-grant may use the funds for planning and design of the educational program and for initial implementation of the charter school. The program currently provides grants to 28 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, which in turn are providing sub-grants to more than 1,000 charter schools (including both schools that are now operating and those that are approved but still in the planning stage). The average size of a charter school grant to a SEA is \$5 million.

The Department is conducting a wide range of national activities designed to increase public understanding of the charter school option, disseminate models and materials to assist in the development of charter schools with high-quality educational programs and strong accountability systems, and provide technical assistance to charter schools. These efforts are focusing on the following areas: sharing of lessons learned; cross-fertilization to non-charter schools; business management for educators; assessment and accountability; building of bridges to strengthen the entire education system; aggressive outreach to organizations and constituencies; achievement of equity; and development of leadership. Specific projects include a Web site to provide information on charter schools, workshops for potential charter schools, a guidebook for charter school developers, and model materials for chartering authorities to provide guidance on ways to ensure that only the highest-quality applicants receive charters.

## **Program Performance**

OBJECTIVE 1: ENCOURAGE THE DEVELOPMENT OF A LARGE NUMBER OF HIGH-QUALITY CHARTER SCHOOLS THAT ARE FREE FROM STATE OR LOCAL RULES THAT INHIBIT FLEXIBLE OPERATION, ARE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR ENABLING STUDENTS TO REACH CHALLENGING STATE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS, AND ARE OPEN TO ALL STUDENTS.

	Indicator 1.1 State legislation: By 2000, 40 states will have charter school legislation.				
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Number of states with charter school legislation (including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico)		Status: No change.	Source: State Educational Agencies (SEA); state legislatures		
Year	,		<b>Explanation:</b> Positive even with no change	Frequency: Annually.	
1994-95:	12		between 1998 and 1999. Several States will be	Next collection update: Summer 2001.	
1995-96:	19		considering legislation this year.	Date to be reported: 2001.	
1996-97:	27				
1997-98:	31			Validation Procedure: Data supplied by SEAs	
1998-99:	38	Continuous Improvement		and state legislatures. Data validated by ED	
1999-00:	38	40		staff and corroborated by information from	
2000-01:	38	42		other sources.	
2001-02:		42		Limitations of Data and Planned	
2002-03:		42		Improvements: There is variation in the	
				definition of state charter school legislation.	
Indicator	1 2 Charter anarctions, Dr. 20	002 there will be at least 2 000 abo	ırter schools in operation around the Nation	, -	
maicator			· •		
N. 1	Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
	Number of charter schools in operation		<b>Status:</b> Positive movement toward 2002 target.	Source: State legislatures, SEAs.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> There has been a positive trend	Frequency: Annually.  Next collection update: Summer 2001.	
1994-95:	100	-	toward meeting this objective. The number of	Date to be reported: 2001.	
1995-96:	255	_	charter schools in operation has dramatically	Dule to be reported. 2001.	
1996-97:	428	-	increased from 100 in 1994 to 2,110 in 2000.	Validation Procedure: Data verified by the	
1997-98:	790	-	mercased from 100 m 1994 to 2,110 m 2000.	U.S. Dept. of Education data quality attestation	
1998-99:	1,100	2.060	_	process and ED Standards for Evaluating	
1999-00:	1,700	<b>2,060</b> 2,667	-	Program Performance Indicators.	
2000-01:	2,110		-		
2001-02:	-	3,000	-	Limitations of Data and Planned	
2002-03:		3,000		<b>Improvements:</b> Differences in the definition of	
				charter schools (i.e., some states count multiple	
				sites as single charters, while others count them	
				as multiple charters) cause variability in the	
				counts SEAs. There is sometimes disagreement	
				about numbers of charter schools in operation	
				among the agencies that do the counting.	

Indicator 1.3 The percentage of charter schools reporting that the lack of start-up funds is a major obstacle to implementation will decrease.				
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
-	Percentage of first-year charter schools reporting start-up funding as a major		Status: No 2000 data, but progress toward	Source: National Evaluation of the Public
	obstacle to implementation		target is likely.	Charter School Program (2001, 2002).
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> Because funding to the Public	Frequency: Periodically.  Next collection update: 2001.
1996:	59%	_	Charter Schools Program has increased, the	Date to be reported: Second-year report of the
1997: 1998:	55% 51%	-	trend shown by earlier data is expected to	National Evaluation, December 2001.
1999:	39%	Continuing decrease	continue.	Validation Procedure: Internal review
2000:	Data Available 12/01	Continuing decrease		procedures of an experienced data collection
2001:		30%		agency.
2002:		30%		
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: There are continuity problems as a result of data collection under multiple studies. In fact, the survey that is responsible for school-level data in 1999–2000, the Schools and Staffing Survey, did not capture this data. Therefore, there will be no data for this indicator until December 2001. After the National Evaluation is completed in 2002, it will be difficult to collect this data.

			on educational	opportunity: Na	tionally, students in charter schools will hav	e similar demographic characteristics as
students in all public schools.  Targets and Performance Data				Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Percentage of students, out of total student enrollment, for each demographic characteristic for both charter schools and all public schools in states with charter				Status: Percent Minority: Unable to judge.	<b>Source:</b> Schools and Staffing Survey (1999); Evaluation of the Public Charter Schools	
schools th	schools that year		Free or Reduced-Price Lunch Eligibility:	Program (2001, and 2002).		
Percent M	<i>linority</i>				Unable to judge.	Frequency: Periodically.
Year		erformance	Performance Targets		]	Next collection update: 2001.
	Charter	All Public*	Charter	All Public*	Students with Disabilities: Unable to judge.	Date to be reported: 2001.
1997:	48.2%	41.3%				77 11 14 B 1 7 . 1
1998	51.8%	41%			<b>Explanation:</b> The target is to have the	Validation Procedure: Internal review
1999:	No Data	Available	Continuous	closing of gap	percentage of charter school students (with one	procedures of an experienced data collection
2000:	Data Ava	ilable 12/01	45%	41% (est'd.)	of the three characteristics) similar to that of	agency.
2001:				closing of gap	students in all public schools.	Limitations of Data and Planned
2002:			Continuous	closing of gap	It is difficult to judge whether this terrest will be	<b>Improvements:</b> The number of charter schools,
Free or R	Free or Reduced-price Lunch Eligibility		It is difficult to judge whether this target will be met, because the percentage of minority	students in charters, and states with charter		
Year			students in charter schools, students eligible for	schools increase each year.		
	Charter	All Pubic*	Charter	All Public	free or reduced-price lunch, and students with	schools increase each year.
1997:	36.7%	37.6%			disabilities is variable and seems to be affected	
1998:	38.7%	37.3%			by the growth of charter schools within states.	
1999:	No Data	Available	Continuous	closing of gap	by the growth of charter schools within states.	
2000:	2000: Data Available 12/01		37.3%	37.3% (est'd.)		
2001:			Continuous	closing of gap		
2002:	002:		Continuous closing of gap			
Students With Disabilities						
1997:	8.3%	11.2%				
1998:	8.4%	11.3%				
1999:	No Data	Data Available Continuous closing of gap				
2000:	Data Ava	ilable 12/01	10%	11.3% (est'd.)		
2001:			Continuous	closing of gap		
2002: Continuous closing of gap			closing of gap			
* Note: B	* Note: Because data collection for all public schools lags behind data collection for			data collection for		
	charter schools, comparison data for all public schools are for a school year 1 to 3					
	years prior to the year for charter schools. There is also wide variation between					
		olic schools, as well a		ential		
concentra	concentration of students in individual charter schools.					

Indicat	Indicator 2.2 Impact on student performance: Increasing numbers of charter schools will show improved student outcomes.				
	Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Unable to judge.	Source: National Study of Charter Schools	
1997	No Data Available			(2000)	
1998	No Data Available		<b>Explanation:</b> The first national-level study on	Frequency: Periodically.	
1999:	No Data Available	No specific target set	student achievement in charter schools will be	Next collection update: 2000.	
2000:	Data Available 12/01	Continuing increase	released in 2001.	Date to be reported: 2001.	
2001:		Continuing increase			
2002:		Continuous increase		Validation Procedure: N/A.	
				Limitations of Data and Planned	
				<b>Improvements:</b> 2001 data is for only 45	
				schools. At this point there is no universal	
				source for this data.	