# **Archived Information**

# SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS PROGRAM— STATE GRANTS PROGRAM AND NATIONAL PROGRAMS

| <b>Goal:</b> To help ensure that all schools are safe, disciplined, and drug free by promoting implementation of high-quality drug and violence prevention programs. | Funding History (\$ in millions) |               |                  |               |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
|  | Fiscal Year                      | Appropriation | Fiscal Year      | Appropriation |
| <b>Legislation:</b> The Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act, Title IV of the  | 1985                             | \$0           | 2000             | \$600         |
| Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) (20 U.S.C. 7101-7118; 7131; 7133;  | 1990                             | \$538         | 2001             | \$644         |
| 7141-7144).  | 1995                             | \$466         | 2002 (Requested) | \$644         |

### **Program Description**

The Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act (SDFSCA) supports programs to prevent violence in and around schools and strengthen programs that prevent the illegal use of alcohol, tobacco, and drugs, involve parents, and are coordinated with related federal, state, and community efforts and resources.

Under SDFSCA, federal assistance is provided through a state-administered formula grant program and discretionary National Programs. Most funds are provided by formula through the state grants program with separate allocations for the State Educational Agency (SEA) and the Office of the Governor. SEA funds support prevention initiatives developed and implemented by local educational agencies (LEAs) in response to their identified needs. The majority of funds from the Governors' program are provided via grants or contracts to community agencies for projects that serve children and youth who are not normally served by SEAs and LEAs or populations with special needs.

National Programs is a broad discretionary authority that permits the Secretary to fund national leadership initiatives to prevent the illegal use of drugs and violence among, and promote safety and discipline for, students at all educational levels. Examples of initiatives funded by National Programs are discretionary grants, including the multi-agency Safe Schools/Healthy Students Initiative, identification of model drug and violence prevention programs and strategies, data collection and analysis, evaluation of programs funded by SDFSCA, financial and technical assistance to institutions of higher education, development and dissemination of reports, manuals, and other prevention materials, and other activities that respond to unmet national needs.

## **Program Performance**

#### OBJECTIVE 1: REDUCE THE USE AND AVAILABILITY OF ALCOHOL AND DRUGS IN SCHOOLS.

Indicator 1.1 Drug use in schools: By 2001, rates of annual alcohol use in schools will decline for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders, and rates of annual marijuana use in school for the same time period will decline for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders.

| in school                               | in school for the same time period will decline for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders. |                    |               |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Ta   | argets and Perforn | nance Data    |  | Assessment of Progress   | Sources and Data Quality   |  |
| Rate of annual use of alcohol in school |  |                    |               | Status: Alcohol (8th and 12th grade)—No 2000 | <b>Source:</b> Monitoring the Future (MTF), 1999   |  |  |
| Year                                    | Actual   | Performance        | Actual        | Performance                                  | data, impossible to judge progress because rates   | (special analysis, 2000).  |  |
|   | Performance  | Targets            | Performance   | Targets                                      | have been low and holding steady over the past   | Frequency: Annually.   |  |
|   | 8th G  | raders             | 12th C        | Graders                                      | several years.   | Next collection update: 2000 (special analysis,  |  |
| 1994:                                   | 5%   |                    | 8%            |  |  | 2001).   |  |
| 1995:                                   | 5%   |                    | 7%            |  | *Marijuana and other drugs (8th grade);  | Date to be reported: Special analysis of 2000  |  |
| 1996:                                   | 6%   |                    | 8%            |  | **Marijuana only (12th grade)—No 2000 data,  | data available in 2001.  |  |
| 1997:                                   | 5%   |                    | 8%            |  | impossible to judge progress because rates have  |  |  |
| 1998:                                   | 5%   |                    | 8%            |  | been low and holding steady over the past  | Validation Procedures: Data validated by   |  |
| 1999:                                   | 4%   | 5%                 | 7%            | 8%   | several years.   | University of Michigan Institute for Social  |  |
| 2000:                                   | No Data  | 5%                 | No Data       | 8%   |  | Research and National Institute on Drug Abuse  |  |
|   | Available  |                    | Available     |  | <b>Explanation:</b> Rates of substance use in school                                       | procedures.  |  |
| 2001:                                   |  | 4%                 |               | 7%   | generally parallel but are much lower than   |  |  |
| 2002:                                   |  | 4%                 |               | 7%   | overall rates of substance use by youth. Rates of  | Limitations of Data and Planned  |  |
| Data of a                               |  |                    |               | ***************************************      | alcohol use for all grade levels have remained   | Improvements: According to NCES  |  |
| 1994:                                   | 4%   | uana** and marijud | 8%            | SCHOOL                                       | relatively steady for many years and are therefore unlikely to decline in the near future. | calculations, from 1976 to 1996 the total annual response rate for this survey varied between 46 |  |
| 1994:                                   | 5%   | -                  | 9%            |  | Marijuana use rates increased in the mid-nineties  | percent and 67 percent. MTF does not release its   |  |
|   |  |                    |               |  | but recently have been relatively steady and may   | data on in-school use; special runs for these data   |  |
| 1996:                                   | 6%   |                    | 10%           |  | have leveled off.  | are generally not available until the spring of the  |  |
| 1997:                                   | 5%   |                    | 10%           |  | have leveled off.  | year following the December release of other   |  |
| 1998:                                   | 5%   | <b>7</b> 0/        | 8%            | 100/   | (Data for 10th graders are available but are not   | MTF data. MTF does not collect data for 8th,   |  |
| 1999:                                   | 4%   | 5%                 | 8%<br>N. B. ( | 10%  | included because of space limitations. In  | 10th, and 12th graders on drug use in school in a  |  |
| 2000:                                   | No Data  | 4%                 | No Data       | 8%   | general, 8th and 10th grade trends have been   | way that allows data to be compared across the   |  |
| 2001                                    | Available  | 221                | Available     |  | similar in recent years.)  | three grades.  |  |
| 2001:                                   |  | 3%                 |               | 7%   | - Similar in 1990iit yoursi,   | and Branes.  |  |
| 2002:                                   |  | 3%                 |               | 7%   |  |  |  |

Indicator 2.1 Drug use by school-aged children: By 2001, rates of 30-day prevalence of alcohol use will decline for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders, and rates of 30-day prevalence of illicit drug use will decline for 8th, 10th, and 12th graders.

| Actual   Performance   Performance   Performance   Rate of 30-day alcohol use   Performance   Performance   Rate of 30-day illicit drug use   | uay pro   | day prevaience of finch drug use win decline for oth, roth, and 12th graders. |                   |             |             |   |   |
|--|-----------|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---|---|
| Targets   Performance   Performance   Targets   Target |           | Ta  | rgets and Perforn | nance Data  |             | Assessment of Progress                                | Sources and Data Quality                          |
| Performance   Targets   Performance   Targets   Sth Graders   12th Graders   12 | Rate of 3 | Rate of 30-day alcohol use  |                   |             |             |   | <b>Source:</b> Monitoring the Future (MTF), 2000. |
| Sth Graders   12th Graders   12th Graders   1994: 26%   50%   51%   1995: 25%   51%   51%   1998: 23%   52%   51%   45%   1999: 24%   23%   51%   45%   1999: 200: No increase   No increase   No increase   11%   22%   11%   22%   11%   22%   11%   22%   12%   13%   26%   25%   1999: 12%   13%   26%   200: No increase   1999: 12%   12%   13%   26%   200: No increase   1999: 12%   12%   13%   26%   20%   2 | Year      | Actual  | Performance       | Actual      | Performance | target. Alcohol (12th grade)—progress toward          | Frequency: Annually.                              |
| 1994:   26%   50%   51%   51%   51%   1996:   25%   53%   52%   53%   52%   1999:   24%   23%   51%   45%   2000:   No increase   No increase   1996:   15%   12%   25%   1999:   12%   13%   26%   25%   20%   2000:   12%   13%   26%   25%   2000:   12%   13%   26%   2000:   12%   12%   25%   2006:   2000:   12%   13%   26%   25%   2006:   2000:   12%   12%   25%   2006:   2000:   12%   12%   25%   20%   2006:   12%   12%   25%   20%   2006:   12%   12%   25%   20%   2006:   12%   12%   25%   20%   2006:   12%   12%   25%   20%   2006:   12%   12%   25%   20%   2006:   No increase    |           | Performance   | Targets           | Performance | Targets     | target. Illicit drugs (8th grade)—target met. Illicit |   |
| Second  |           | 8th G   | raders            | 12th C      | Graders     | drugs (12th grade)—no change.                         | Date to be reported: December 2001.               |
| 1996: 26%   51%   53%   1998: 23%   53%   55%   23%   51%   45%   1999: 24%   23%   51%   45%   2000: 22%   21%   50%   41%   2001: No increase   No increase   No increase   No increase   No increase   1994: 11%   22%   13%   26%   1999: 12%   13%   26%   2000: 12%   12%   12%   25%   2000: 12%   12%   12%   25%   2000: 12%   12%   12%   25%   2000: 12%   No increase   No inc | 1994:     | 26%   |                   | 50%         |             |   |   |
| 1997:   25%   53%   52%   52%   52%   52%   52%   52%   52%   51%   45%   51%   45%   70   70   70   70   70   70   70   7   | 1995:     | 25%   |                   | 51%         |             |   | <del>-</del>                                      |
| 1998: 23%   52%   24% 23% 51% 45%   2000: 22% 21% 50% 41%   No increase   No increas | 1996:     | 26%   |                   | 51%         |             |   | , ,   |
| 1999: 24% 23% 51% 45%   2000: 22% 21% 50% 41%   2001: No increase   No | 1997:     | 25%   |                   | 53%         |             |   |   |
| 200: 22%   21%   50%   41%   10%   12%   | 1998:     | 23%   |                   | 52%         |             |   | procedures.                                       |
| 2001:   No increase   No inc | 1999:     | 24%   | 23%               | 51%         | 45%         | , ,   |   |
| No increase   Included in the National Drug Control Strategy. Youth rates of alcohol and drug use are affected by factors SDFS programs cannot always overcome, including social and cultural mores, parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.   (Data for 10th graders are available but are not included because of space limitations. In general, 8th and 10th grade trends have been similar in recent years.)   | 2000:     | 22%   | 21%               | 50%         | 41%         |   |   |
| Youth rates of alcohol and drug use are affected by factors SDFS programs cannot always overcome, including social and cultural mores, parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.  Youth rates of alcohol and drug use are affected by factors SDFS programs cannot always overcome, including social and cultural mores, parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.  (Data for 10th graders are available but are not included because of space limitations. In general, 8th and 10th grade trends have been similar in recent years.)  | 2001:     |   | No increase       |             | No increase |   | _   |
| Rate of 30-day illicit drug use         by factors SDFS programs cannot always         percent.           1994:         11%         22%         parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.         parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.         percent.           1995:         15%         25%         parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.         (Data for 10th graders are available but are not included because of space limitations. In general, 8th and 10th grade trends have been similar in recent years.)         8th and 10th grade trends have been similar in recent years.)   | 2002:     |   | No increase       |             | No increase |   | 1   |
| 1994:   11%   22%   24%   24%   25%   25%   26%   1998:   12%   26%   26%   23%   2000:   12%   12%   25%   20%   2001:   No increase   No increase   No increase   No increase   Overcome, including social and cultural mores, parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.   Overcome, including social and cultural mores, parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.   (Data for 10th graders are available but are not included because of space limitations. In general, 8th and 10th grade trends have been similar in recent years.)  | Rate of 3 | 80-dav illicit drug i   | ise               |             |             |   | 1   |
| 1995:   12%   24%   parental attitudes, and advertising and other media images.   1997:   13%   26%     (Data for 10th graders are available but are not included because of space limitations. In general, 8th and 10th grade trends have been similar in recent years.)   No increase   No increase  |           |   |                   | 22%         |             |   | P   |
| 1997:   13%   26%     (Data for 10th graders are available but are not included because of space limitations. In general, 8th and 10th grade trends have been similar in recent years.)  |           |   |                   |             |             |   |   |
| 1998:12%26%(Data for 10th graders are available but are not included because of space limitations. In general, 8th and 10th grade trends have been similar in recent years.)2000:12%12%25%20%2001:No increaseNo increase   | 1996:     | 15%   |                   | 25%         |             | images.   |   |
| 1999:   12%   13%   26%   23%  | 1997:     | 13%   |                   | 26%         |             |   |   |
| 2000:   12%   12%   25%   20%  | 1998:     | 12%   |                   | 26%         | 1           | , ,   |   |
| 2001: No increase No increase recent years.)   | 1999:     | 12%   | 13%               | 26%         | 23%         |   |   |
| 2001: No increase No increase  | 2000:     | 12%   | 12%               | 25%         | 20%         |   |   |
| No increase  | 2001:     |   | No increase       |             | No increase | recent years.)  |   |
| 2002. No increase No increase  | 2002:     |   | No increase       |             | No increase |   |   |

OBJECTIVE 3: REDUCE NUMBER OF CRIMINAL AND VIOLENT INCIDENTS IN SCHOOLS.

Indicator 3.1 Violent incidents in schools: By 2001, the proportion of high school students in a physical fight on school property will decrease, and the annual rate of students ages 12 to 18 who report experiencing serious violent crime, in school or going to and from school, will decrease.

| rate of s                    | rate of students ages 12 to 18 who report experiencing serious violent crime, in school or going to and from school, will decrease. |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Targets and Performance Data |   |                           | Assessment of Progress                           | Sources and Data Quality   |  |  |  |
| Percenta                     | Percentage of high school students who reported being involved in a physical  |                           | Status: Physical fights— target met.             | Source: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS),                                 |  |  |  |
| fight on s                   | chool property in the past year   |                           |  | Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC),                          |  |  |  |
| Year                         | Actual Performance  | Performance Targets       | Serious violent crime—no change (apparent change | 1999.  |  |  |  |
| 1995:                        | 16%   |                           | in actual performance level is not statistically | Frequency: Biennially.   |  |  |  |
| 1997:                        | 15%   |                           | significant).                                    | Next collection update: 2001.  |  |  |  |
| 1999:                        | 14%   | 14%                       |  | Date to be reported: 2002.   |  |  |  |
| <b>2000:</b> 2001:           | Data Collected Biennially   | No data collection<br>12% |  | National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), 1998 (special analysis, 2000). |  |  |  |
| 2002:                        |   | No data collection        |  | Frequency: Annually.  Next collection update: 1999.                        |  |  |  |
|                              |   |                           |  | Date to be reported: 2001.   |  |  |  |

|             | Targets and Perform  | ance Data                       | Assessment of Progress  | Sources and Data Quality                              |
|-------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Rate of str | Rate of students ages 12 to 18 who reported experiencing serious violent crime |                                 | <b>Explanation:</b> The percentage of students reporting  | Validation Procedures: YRBS data validated by         |
|             | s or going to and from school  |                                 | being in a fight at school has declined since 1995,   | Westat and CDC procedures. NCVS data                  |
| Year        | Actual Performance   | Performance Targets             | and overall juvenile crime and violence rates are   | validated by Census Bureau and Bureau of Justice      |
| 1994:       | 13 per 1,000   | 9                               | down. The 1999 data reflects continuing   | Statistics procedures.                                |
| 1995:       | 9 per 1,000  |                                 | improvement in this area.   | -   |
| 1996:       | 9 per 1,000  |                                 |   | <b>Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements:</b>  |
| 1997:       | 8 per 1,000  |                                 | For students reporting victimization by serious   | YRBS data are collected biennially and reported       |
| 1998:       | 9 per 1,000  |                                 | violent crime, according to 1998 survey data  | the year after collection; 2001 data will be reported |
| 1999:       | No Data  | 8 per 1,000                     | released in 2000, there has been a small apparent   | in 2002.  |
| 2000:       | No Data  | 8 per 1,000                     | (but not statistically significant) increase.   |   |
| 2001:       |  | 7 per 1,000                     | Although rates of violent crime victimization at  | Most NCVS data are reported the year after            |
| 2002:       |  | 7 per 1,000                     | school, like other measures of juvenile crime and   | collection, but in-school victimization data is a     |
|             |  |                                 | violence, have been dropping in recent years, a   | special analysis with a delayed release, so the 1999  |
|             |  |                                 | small apparent increase was measured in 1998.   | data will be available in 2001 and the 2000 data      |
|             |  |                                 | However, analysis indicates that this is not statistically significant.                             | will be available in 2002.                            |
| Indicate    | n 2 2 Waanana in gahaala. Du '   | 2001 the properties of high go  |   | anna) ta sahaal will daaraasa                         |
| Indicato    | Targets and Perform  |                                 | chool students carrying weapons (including fire<br>Assessment of Progress                           | Sources and Data Quality                              |
| Danamtar    | ge of high school students who repo  |                                 | Status: Target met.   | Source: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS),            |
|             | in the previous 30 days  | nea carrying a weapon on school | Status. Target met.   | Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC),     |
| Year        | Actual Performance   | Performance Targets             | <b>Explanation:</b> The percentage of students reporting  | 1999.   |
| 1995:       | 10%  | Terrormance Targets             | carrying a weapon at school has declined since  | Frequency: Biennially.                                |
| 1997:       | 9%   |                                 | 1995, and overall juvenile crime and violence rates   | Next collection update: 2001.                         |
| 1997:       | 7%   | 7%                              | are down; it therefore appears likely that the  | Date to be reported: 2002.                            |
| 2000:       | Data Collected Biennially  | No data collection              | percentage of students carrying a weapon at school  | Bane to be reported 2002.                             |
| 2001:       | Data Conected Dienmany   | 6%                              | will remain steady or continue to decline.  | Validation Procedures: YRBS data validated by         |
| 2001:       | ŀ  | No data collection              |   | Westat and CDC procedures.                            |
| 2002.       |  | 140 data concetion              |   | -   |
|             |  |                                 |   | Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements:         |
|             |  |                                 |   | YRBS data are collected biennially and reported       |
|             |  |                                 |   | the year after collection; 2001 data will be reported |
|             |  |                                 |   | in 2002.  |
| Indicato    |  |                                 | number of school-associated homicides will de   |   |
|             | Targets and Perform  | ance Data                       | Assessment of Progress  | Sources and Data Quality                              |
|             | sociated homicides   |                                 | Status: Target met.   | Source: Study by Centers for Disease Control and      |
| Year        | Actual Performance   | Performance Targets             |   | Prevention (CDC) and ED, 2000.                        |
| 1992-93:    | 55   | -                               | <b>Explanation:</b> The number of school-associated   | Frequency: Ongoing.                                   |
| 1997-98:    | 46   |                                 | homicides has declined in recent years, even  | Next collection update: 2001.                         |
| 1998-99:    | 38   | Continuing decrease             | though several events involving multiple victims have occurred. Overall juvenile crime and violence | Date to be reported: 2002.                            |
| 1999-00:    | No Data Available  | Continuing decrease             | rates are also down. It is likely that the number of  | Validation Procedures: Data validated by CDC          |
| 2000-01:    | _  | Continuing decrease             | school-associated homicides will remain steady or   | procedures.   |
| 2001-02:    |  | Continuing decrease             | continue to decrease.   | procedures.   |
|             |  |                                 | continue to decrease.   | Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements:         |
|             |  |                                 |   | This is a special study using retrospective data      |
| 1           |  |                                 |   | collection.   |
|             |  |                                 |   |   |

OBJECTIVE 4: HELP SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES GRANTEES SELECT AND IMPLEMENT PROGRAMS THAT HAVE BEEN EVALUATED AND FOUND TO BE EFFECTIVE.

|                              | or 4.1 Increase pool of promising sly evaluated and found to be eit |                              | 002, identify additional drug and violence pr                               | evention programs that have been                    |  |
|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Targets and Performance Data |   |                              | Assessment of Progress  | Sources and Data Quality                            |  |
| Preventi                     | on programs identified as promising of                              | r exemplary                  | Status: Baseline established in 2001.                                       | <b>Source:</b> Results of review process by SDFS    |  |
| Year                         | Actual Performance  | Performance Targets          |   | Expert Panel, 2001.                                 |  |
| 1999:                        | Data not available  | Continuing increase          | <b>Explanation:</b> The SDFS Expert Panel                                   | Frequency: Ongoing.                                 |  |
| 2000:                        | Data not available  | Continuing increase          | announced its initial findings in 2001,                                     | Next collection update: 2002.                       |  |
| 2001:                        | 42 programs   | Continuing increase          | establishing a baseline for future increases in the                         | Date to be reported: Unknown.                       |  |
| 2002:                        |   | Continuing increase          | number of programs identified as promising and                              | William B. J. B. Janes B.                           |  |
|                              |   |                              | exemplary.  | Validation Procedures: Data verified by ED          |  |
|                              |   |                              |   | attestation process.                                |  |
|                              |   |                              |   | Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: None. |  |
|                              | g to implement effective, research                                  | n-based programs.            | ety coordinators funded by the middle-school                                |   |  |
|                              | Targets and Perforn   | nance Data                   | Assessment of Progress  | Sources and Data Quality                            |  |
| Training                     | rates of coordinators   |                              | Status: For FY 1999 cohort, 2000 target                                     | Source: Review of program files.                    |  |
| FY 1999                      | Cohort of Coordinators  |                              | exceeded. For FY 2000 cohort, unable to judge                               | Frequency: Ongoing.                                 |  |
| Year                         | Actual Performance  | Performance Targets          | progress toward 2000 target.  | Next collection update: 2001.                       |  |
| 1999:                        | Data not available  | Continuing increase          |   | Date to be reported: 2001.                          |  |
| 2000:                        | 90% of coordinators trained   | 65% of coordinators trained  | <b>Explanation:</b> Two cohorts of grants (FY 1999                          | Wild B. I. D. C. H. D.                              |  |
| 2001:                        |   | 100% of coordinators trained | and FY 2000) have been awarded under this                                   | Validation Procedures: Data verified by ED          |  |
| 2002:                        |   | Cohort completed             | initiative. Regional trainings have been held for                           | attestation process.                                |  |
| EV 2000                      | Cohort of Coordinators  |                              | the 1999 cohort, and an initial training for the 2000 cohort has been held. | Limitations of Data and Planned                     |  |
| 1999:                        | Cohort established in 2000  | Cohort established in 2000   | 2000 Colloit has been held.   | Improvements: The current wording of this           |  |
| 2000:                        | No Data Available   | Continuing increase          | _   | indicator focuses on implementation of the          |  |
| 2001:                        | No Data Avanable  | 65% of coordinators trained  | _   | initiative. ED has awarded a contract for an        |  |
| 2002:                        |   | 100% of coordinators trained | _   | evaluation of the effectiveness of the initiative.  |  |
| 2002.                        |   | 100% of coordinators trained |   | Once the study is under way, the indicator will     |  |
|                              |   |                              |   | be revised to incorporate data from the study.      |  |
|                              |   |                              |   | Examples of measures that may be selected           |  |
|                              |   |                              |   | include professional development of teachers and    |  |
|                              |   |                              |   | other staff by coordinators in grantee sites, and   |  |
|                              |   |                              |   | use of research-based approaches implemented        |  |
|                              |   |                              |   | with fidelity.                                      |  |

| Indicate | Indicator 4.3 Grantee progress: By 2001, National Programs grantees will demonstrate substantial progress toward achieving their results-based goals and |                                      |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| objectiv | objectives established in their applications.  |                                      |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | Targets and Performance Data Assessment of Progress Sources and Data Quality   |                                      |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percenta | ge of grantees meeting their measural  | ole goals and objectives             | Status: Unable to judge.                        | Source: Review of program files.           |  |  |  |  |
| Year     | Actual Performance   | Performance Targets                  |   | Frequency: Ongoing.                        |  |  |  |  |
| 1999:    | No Data Available  | New indicator in 2000                | <b>Explanation:</b> Requirements for measuring  | Next collection update: Summer 2001.       |  |  |  |  |
| 2000:    | No Data Available  | No target set                        | progress toward goals and objectives were       | Date to be reported: 2001.                 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001:    | Baseline data to be collected  | No target set                        | incorporated into all applications for National |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002:    |  | By the end of year one, all grantees | Programs direct grants from FY 2000 funds. All  | Validation Procedures: Data verified by ED |  |  |  |  |
|          |  | will meet 75% of established         | FY 2000 grantees will be required to provide    | attestation process.                       |  |  |  |  |
|          |  | measurable goals and objectives.     | results-based goals and objectives for their    |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                      | projects. Reports on grant progress are due in  | Limitations of Data and Planned            |  |  |  |  |

Summer 2001.

OBJECTIVE 5: ENCOURAGE COMMUNITY-WIDE COLLABORATION IN THE CREATION OF SAFE, DISCIPLINED, AND DRUG-FREE LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS.

|        | Indicator 5.1 Community-wide collaboration: By 2004, grantees receiving funds through the Safe Schools/Healthy Students interagency initiative will show |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| progre | progress in maintaining safe, orderly, and drug-free learning environments.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|        | Targets and Perform  | mance Data   | Assessment of Progress  | Sources and Data Quality  |  |  |  |
| Year   | Actual Performance   | Performance Targets  | Status: Unable to judge.  | Source: Review of program files.  |  |  |  |
| 1999:  | No Data Available  | New indicator in 2000  |   | Frequency: Ongoing.   |  |  |  |
| 2000:  | No Data Available  | No target set  | <b>Explanation:</b> Two cohorts of grants have been   | Next collection update: 2001.   |  |  |  |
| 2001:  | Baseline data to be collected  | No target set  | awarded under this initiative, and a cooperative  | Date to be reported: 2001.  |  |  |  |
| 2002:  |  | Grantees overall will demonstrate progress on selected performance measures, common to all grantees, from the national evaluation of the initiative. | agreement has been awarded for the national evaluation. Grantees from cohort one received continuation grants with FY 2000 funds; cohort two grantees received new awards in FY 2000. | Validation Procedures:  Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: This is an interagency initiative jointly supported by ED; DOJ; HHS; and, beginning in 2001, the Department of Labor. The agencies will collaborate to select specific measures and overall performance targets for the initiative. These measures and targets will be drawn from the national evaluation of the initiative, which is in its beginning stages. Once the study is fully underway, the indicator will be revised to incorporate baseline data and performance targets for specific measures.  Examples of measures that may be selected include rates of student alcohol and drug use in grantee sites, rates of suspensions and expulsions in grantee sites, and rates of weapon carrying in schools in grantee sites. |  |  |  |

Improvements: None.

#### OBJECTIVE 6: PROVIDE CRISIS INTERVENTION ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS.

|       | Indicator 6.1 Crisis intervention: By 2001, the Department will implement policies and procedures necessary to ensure rapid response to school districts seriously affected by crises that interfere with learning. |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|-------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|       | Targets and Performance Data  Assessment of Progress  Sources and Data Quality  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Year  | Actual Performance  | Performance Targets   | Status: Unable to judge.                       | Source: Review of program files and                             |  |  |  |
| 1999: | No Data Available   | No target set   |  | organizational plans.   |  |  |  |
| 2000: | No Data Available   | Policies and procedures   | <b>Explanation:</b> Funding was approved by    | Frequency: Ongoing.   |  |  |  |
|       |   | developed   | Congress for this initiative in December 2000. | Next collection update: 2001.                                   |  |  |  |
| 2001: |   | ED will initiate response to all                                    |  | Date to be reported: Unknown.                                   |  |  |  |
| 2002: |   | eligible requests for assistance<br>within 48 hours.<br>No increase |  | Validation Procedures: Data verified by ED attestation process. |  |  |  |
|       |   |   |  | Limitations of Data and Planned                                 |  |  |  |
|       |   |   |  | Improvements: None.   |  |  |  |