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## Executive Summary

Fish kills have occurred in the reservoir below Grand Coulee Dam possibly due to total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS), which occurs when water cascades over a dam or waterfall. The highest TDGS below Grand Coulee Dam has occurred after spilling water via the outlet tubes, though TDGS from upstream sources has also been recorded. Exposure to TDGS can cause gas bubble disease in aquatic organisms. This disease, analogous to 'the bends' in human divers, can range from mild to fatal depending on the level of supersaturation, species, life cycle stage, condition of the fish, fish depth, and the water temperature. The USGS, Western Fisheries Research Center's Columbia River Research Laboratory conducted field and laboratory experiments to determine the relative risks of TDGS to various species of fish in the reservoir below the dam (Rufus Woods Lake). Field work included examination of over 8000 resident fish for signs of gas bubble disease, examination of the annual growth increments of several species relative to ambient TDGS, and recording the in-situ depths and temperatures of several species using miniature recorders surgically implanted in both resident fish and triploid steelhead reared in commercial net pens. Laboratory experiments included bioassays of the progression of signs and mortality of several species at various TDGS levels. The overarching objective of these studies was to provide data to enable sound management decisions regarding the effects of TDGS in the reservoir below Grand Coulee Dam, though the data may also be applicable to other locations.

Key findings of these studies include:

1) Archival pressure/temperature tags were implanted into several species of fish. Tags from 7 net pen fish and 17 wild fish were recovered after data collection ranging from 16 to 156 d . The data indicated abrupt changes in depths of all fish near sunrise and sunset. Most fish were deeper during the night than in the day (Chapter 1).
2) The median depths of each species, in ascending order, were steelhead ( 1.6 m ), northern pikeminnow ( 2.0 m ), bridgelip sucker ( 2.8 m ), walleye ( 3.7 m ), longnose sucker ( 5.2 m ) and largescale sucker ( 6.8 m ). Based on these results, the steelhead from the net pens
would receive a greater in-situ exposure to TDGS than the resident species tested (Chapter 1).
3) Laboratory evaluations of gas bubble disease sign progression and lethality were conducted on longnose sucker, largescale sucker, northern pikeminnow, redside shiner, and walleye. Total dissolved gas supersaturation levels evaluated were 115, 125 and $130 \%$. Progression of GBD signs proved to be unpredictable at any treatment level with the exception that long-term exposure to $115 \%$ resulted in the most exaggerated signs (Chapter 2).
4) Fish exposed to 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS died prior to extensive sign formation. The times to $50 \%$ mortality (LT50) for all test species were twice as long at $125 \%$ than at $130 \%$ TDGS. Species sensitivities for $125 \%$ TDGS were northern pikeminnow $\geq$ largescale sucker $>$ longnose sucker $>$ redside shiver $>$ walleye and at $130 \%$ were largescale sucker $>$ northern pikeminnow $>$ longnose sucker $\geq$ reside shiner $>$ walleye (Chapter 2).
5) To aid in evaluating possible impacts of operations at Grand Coulee Dam on fishes below the dam, we examined fish distributions and abundances. During the 2-yr sampling period, 8,325 fishes representing eight families and 21 taxa were collected. Eight of the species collected were introduced, and the most abundant of these was walleye ( $8 \%$ ). One species, rainbow trout ( $14 \%$ of the catch), was mostly of net-pen origin. The majority of the catch was native species-longnose sucker ( $20 \%$ ), redside shiner ( $14 \%$ ), sculpins (9\%), northern pikeminnow (6\%), and bridgelip and largescale suckers (each 56\%) (Chapter 3).
6) The relative abundances of fish species in Rufus Woods Lake appeared to have changed since the 1970 's, when the dominant fishes were northern pikeminnow ( $34 \%$ of the catch), largescale sucker (16\%), peamouth (12\%), and walleye (8\%). Fish assemblages in Rufus Woods Lake also differed from other Columbia River reservoirs (Chapter 3).
7) We examined the growth of resident fishes in Rufus Woods Lake to see if years of high TDGS corresponded to years of poor growth. Ages of fish were determined by counting the annual growth rings (annuli) in scales from four species collected in 1999. Incremental scale growth and fork length at capture were used to back-calculate length-at-age. Only walleye had differences in growth based on the environment with 1996 growth > 1998 growth. However, we would expect the opposite trend if TDGS restricted growth, as there was much higher TDGS in 1996 than in 1998 (Chapter 4).
8) During laboratory studies of the progression of GBD signs (Chapter 2), we noted differences in the diameters of trunk lateral line pores. Pore diameters differed significantly $(P<0.0001)$ among species (longnose sucker $>$ largescale sucker $>$ northern pikeminnow $\geq$ Chinook salmon $\geq$ redside shiner). At all supersaturation levels evaluated, percent of lateral line occlusion was inversely related to pore size but was not generally related to total dissolved gas level or time of exposure. This suggests a possible mechanism for species differences in sensitivity to GBD (Chapter 5).
9) The combination of data describing hypothetical in-situ exposures during 130\% TDGS (Chapter 1) and the progression of mortality measured during laboratory bioassays at $130 \%$ TDGS (Chapter 2) can be used to assess the relative likelihood of mortality of fish due to TDGS within the reservoir. The shallow depths of the steelhead from the commercial net pens indicate this group would have the greatest exposure during a prolonged $130 \%$ TDGS event of any species studied; the LT50s of this species (not tested in this study) range from approximately 6 to 11 h (Mesa et al. 2000), indicating they are also among the most sensitive species we studied. The depths of the northern pikeminnow indicate they would have less exposure than the caged steelhead, but they had a similar LT50 ( 10.5 h ). The depths of largescale suckers, longnose suckers and walleye indicate they would have similar exposures to one another, but less than those of the other species studied and bioassays indicated LT50s of $9.5 \mathrm{~h}, 30 \mathrm{~h}$ and 62 h , respectively. Though a quantitative prediction is not possible, the relative time to $50 \%$ mortality from a prolonged in-situ exposure to $130 \%$ TDGS would likely be: caged steelhead $<$ northern pikeminnow $<$ largescale sucker $<$ longnose sucker $<$ walleye .

# Chapter I: Depths and hydrostatic compensation of farmed fish and wild fish in Rufus Woods Lake. 

J. W. Beeman, D. A. Venditti, B. J. Adams, R. G. Morris, and A. G. Maule


#### Abstract

Archive tags recording pressure (i.e., depth) and temperature were implanted in adult fish within the reservoir downstream from Grand Coulee Dam during 1999, 2000 and 2001 to determine their relative exposures to total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS), the causative agent of gas bubble disease. Triploid steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss; STH) reared in net pens at a commercial fish farm and wild bridgelip sucker (Catostomus columbianus; BLS), largescale sucker (C. macrocheilus; LSS), longnose sucker (C. catostomus; LNS), northern pikeminnow (Ptycocheilus oregonensis; NPM) and walleye (Stizostedion vitreum; WAL) from the reservoir were implanted with tags programmed to record pressure and temperature every 15 min . Tags from 7 net pen fish and 17 wild fish were recovered after data collection ranging from 16 to 156 d. The data indicated abrupt changes in depths of all fish near sunrise and sunset. Most fish were deeper during the night than in the day, but the longnose suckers and some walleye were shallowest during the night. The median depths of each species, in ascending order, were STH $(1.6 \mathrm{~m}), \operatorname{NPM}(2.0 \mathrm{~m}), \operatorname{BLS}(2.8 \mathrm{~m})$, WAL ( 3.7 m ), LNS ( 5.2 m ) and LSS ( 6.8 m ). The TDGS during the study period was less than levels known to cause gas bubble disease in resident fish, so the relative exposure to TDGS was evaluated by comparing the time and distance shallower and deeper than the hydrostatic compensation depth at a hypothetical TDGS of $130 \%$. The hydrostatic compensation depth is the depth at which the hydrostatic pressure equals the total gas pressure and below which gas bubble disease does not typically occur. The relative exposures, in ascending order of severity, were LNS, LSS, WAL, BLS, NPM and STH. Based on these results, the STH from the net pens are expected to show signs and mortality due to gas bubble disease prior to several of the resident species tested, though species-specific tolerances to TDGS should also be considered.


## Introduction

Gas bubble disease (GBD) has been documented in migratory and resident salmonids in the Columbia River and other systems (Beiningen and Ebel 1970, Bouck et al. 1976, Montgomery and Becker 1980, Crunkilton et al. 1980, Lutz 1995, Backman and Evans 2002). The chief cause of GBD in these cases has been total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS) from water spilled at dams, which creates TDGS when entrained air is dissolved in water under the pressure of deep plunge pools. The effects of GBD, analogous to "the bends" in human divers, can range from mild to fatal depending on level of TDGS, species, life cycle stage, depth, condition of the aquatic organism, and temperature of the water (Ebel et al. 1975, Knittel et al. 1980, Weitkamp and Katz 1980, Mesa and Warren 1997, Weiland et al. 1999).

Causes of high TDGS below Grand Coulee Dam include upstream sources, such as spill at dams in Canada, as well as spill at the dam itself. Water can be spilled at Grand Coulee Dam over drum gates at elevation $384.0 \mathrm{~m}(1260.0 \mathrm{ft})$ MSL and through a series of outlet works conduits at elevations $346.5 \mathrm{~m}(1136.7 \mathrm{ft})$ and $316.0 \mathrm{~m}(1036.7 \mathrm{ft})$ MSL (Frizell 1996). Production of TDGS is greater when water is spilled through the outlet works than over the drum gates due to the greater depth the water plunges into the stilling basin when using the outlet works. Greater plunge depths result in higher supersaturation because the entrained air is dissolved under greater pressure. For example, the TDGS at the permanent monitoring station 9.6 km downstream from the dam resulting from $40 \%$ spill would be approximately $130 \%$ if the outlet works were used and $121 \%$ if the drum gates were used (Frizell and Cohen 1998). Water is typically only spilled through the outlet works when the forebay elevation is too low to allow spill via the drum gates.

Elston (1998) documented mortality of steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss) reared at the Columbia River Fish Farms and seven species of resident fish due to GBD from spill at, and upstream of, Grand Coulee Dam during 1997 and indicated fish kills had also occurred in 1993 and 1996. A fish kill also occurred in 1998 after a brief spill period in March (Ed Shallenberger, Columbia River Fish Farms, personal communication). Elston (1998) described a mortality of 130,079 fish reared in net pens in the reservoir downstream from Grand Coulee Dam during 1997. However, there was uncertainty about whether GBD signs and mortality in the net pen fish were indicative
of a similar problem in resident fish due to the restricted depth of the pens $(7.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ed}$ Shallenberger, Columbia River Fish Farms, personal communication). In addition, it was uncertain whether spill at Grand Coulee Dam caused all the dead resident fish or if some dead fish came from upstream, since TDGS was also elevated upstream of the dam.

The physiological cause of GBD in fish has been studied extensively (Bouck 1980, Colt 1984, Hans et al. 1999, Weiland et al. 1999, Ryan et al. 2000). From a purely physical viewpoint, bubble formation occurs when the ambient pressure acting on a liquid is less than the total gas pressure within the liquid (Colt 1984). The ambient pressure includes the barometric pressure (BAR) as well as the hydrostatic pressure exerted by the water above an aquatic animal. The water depth at which BAR plus the hydrostatic pressure is equal to the total gas pressure (TGP) is called the hydrostatic compensation depth. Bubble formation can occur when fish are shallower than the hydrostatic compensation depth, but it is physically impossible for bubbles to form at or below this depth. The hydrostatic compensation of each meter of fresh water is approximately $9.6 \%$ of ambient TDGS (Colt 1984). Thus, bubbles would not form within a fish in water with $130 \%$ TDGS if it maintained a depth of at least ( $130-100 \%$ ) $\div 9.6 \%$ per $\mathrm{m}=3.1 \mathrm{~m}$ at all times.

The importance of fish depth is apparent from studies of fish recovery from GBD. Fish recovery from GBD has been well documented (Knittle et al. 1980, Elston et al. 1997, Hans et al. 1999). Studies have shown that recovery can be accomplished with time in equilibrated water or by increasing fish depth in supersaturated water. Knittle et al. (1980) found that three hours at a depth of three meters was sufficient for juvenile steelhead to fully recover from near-lethal surface exposures to $130 \%$ TDG, and resulted in additional protection from GBT when fish were returned to the surface. Aspen Applied Sciences (1998) found a similar relation in juvenile Chinook salmon ( $O$. tshawytscha) and postulated a reduction in bubble nucleation sites within the vasculature as a mechanism.

The purpose of this study was to determine the depths of wild fish species present in the reservoir as well as triploid steelhead commercially reared within net pens in the Columbia River downstream from Grand Coulee Dam to determine the extent of their hydrostatic compensation
and hence their relative exposure to TDGS. This was accomplished by examining data collected by depth and temperature recorders surgically implanted in several individuals from each group.

## Methods

Depth/temperature archiving tags were implanted in commercially raised steelhead in 1999 and 2000 and in wild fish of several species from the reservoir during 2000 and 2001. As specified by the manufacturer, Advanced Telemetry Systems (Isanti, Minnesota, USA), these cylindrical tags were 50 mm long and 11 mm in diameter, weighed 14 g in air, and had a depth range of up to 17.6 m , a resolution of 0.2 m and an accuracy of 0.4 m . The tags were surgically implanted in the peritoneal cavity as described in Venditti et al. (2001) and were programmed to record depth and temperature every 15 min . This would enable data from up to 5 months to be stored in the tag memory. Wild fish implanted with archival tags were also implanted with a radio transmitter to aid tag recovery later. The dimensions of these cylindrical transmitters from the same manufacturer were 9 mm in diameter and 25 mm in length, with a 3.8 g weight in air. The transmitter components were incorporated into the archive tags used in 2001, allowing a single tag to be implanted. Wild fish (both tags implanted) weighing at least 890 g and net pen STH (archive tag only) weighing at least 700 g were chosen for tagging to maintain conservative (i.e., 2\%) tag-weight-to-body-weight ratios (Winter 1983). The recovery method for tags implanted in net pen fish was a reward offered for each tag returned from the fish processing facilities. Wild fish with archival tags were recovered with a combination of electrofishing and netting after location via the radio transmitter, and reward program for return of tags from anglers. For analysis purposes, each tag was identified using the tag ID number provided by the manufacturer followed by a 2-digit code to signify the year of use (e.g., tag 321 used in 2000 is tag ID 32100).

The data from the archival tags were analyzed using a variety of methods, though no statistical comparisons were made due to the low numbers of tags recovered. The data were first examined for the presence of depths less than expected based on the accuracy and precision of the tags. Beeman et al. (1998) determined that a small pressure-sensitive transmitter from the same manufacturer, with the same pressure components as those in the archive tags, had a $95 \%$ confidence interval of precision of $\pm 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$, so values from the archive tags less than -0.32 m
were omitted from further analyses. Plotting the data from each tag with reference to sunrise and sunset visually identified general trends in fish depths. Sunrise and sunset times at Electric City, Washington during the study period were obtained from the US Naval Observatory database at http://mach.usno.navy.mil. Data from the first 14 d from each tag were omitted from analysis to allow full recovery from the capture and tagging procedure; this time period was subjectively determined by a visual observation of the data from each tag. A correlation analysis was conducted to determine if there were any statistically significant relations between depths of tagged fish and TDGS, water temperature and tailwater elevation. This analysis was performed by tag ID and diel period, with day consisting of the time between sunrise and sunset and night the period between sunset and sunrise. Pearson product moment correlations were calculated from the daily mean, minimum, maximum and coefficient of variation of each variable. A time series analysis was conducted with data from each recovered tag to determine if predictable trends in depths could be mathematically modeled, which could then be used as a method of comparison among species. The proportions of data and distances each fish was above and below the hydrostatic compensation depth assuming a TDG concentration of $130 \%$ were determined as a general measure of susceptibility to gas bubble disease between species. In this analysis, the depth data from the tags and the hourly total dissolved gas (TDG) concentration recorded at the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation monitoring station 9.6 km downstream of Grand Coulee Dam (site abbreviation GCCW) were used. The TDG and tailwater elevation data were from the US Army Corps of Engineers North Pacific Division Water Management Team website at http://www.nwd-wc.usace.army.mil/tmt/wcd/tdg/months.html. For this analysis, fish depth data collected nearest in time to the hourly TDG data were used, though fish depths were recorded at $15-\mathrm{min}$ intervals. Two instances of total dissolved gas data equal to $0 \%$ in 2001 and data from October 181999 at 1800 hours (TDG=149.4\%) and 1900 hours (TDG=139.8\%) were deleted because they were erroneous, as indicated by a lack of supporting data at the nearest upstream or downstream monitors. The tailwater elevations in the US Army Corps of Engineers North Pacific Division Water Management Team website were measured at the river gauge at the bridge approximately 0.8 km downstream from the dam. All data analyses were performed using the SAS software package for personal computers (SAS 1999).

## Results

The average daily TDG levels were greater than saturation between March and November in each year studied, but the maximum levels were much lower than during 1997 (Figure 1). The hourly TDG levels at the automated site downstream of Grand Coulee Dam between 01 March and 31 October were similar in 1999 and 2000, which were greater than during 2001. The hourly TDG levels ranged from 97.1 to $115.5 \%$ in this period during 1999, from 98.0 to $120.3 \%$ during 2000, and from 94.6 to $110.3 \%$ in 2001. The mean TDG during this period was $108.0 \%$ in $1999,107.8 \%$ in 2000 and $103.0 \%$ in 2001. The minimum, maximum and mean during this period in 1997, the last year with high TDGS, were $98.4,137.7$ and $114.5 \%$, respectively.

Archive tags were implanted in 16 adult triploid steelhead (STH) reared in net pens at the Columbia River Fish Farms and 53 adult wild fish representing five species in Rufus Woods Lake (Appendices 1 and 2). The wild fish species included bridgelip sucker (Catostomus columbianus; BLS), largescale sucker (C. macrocheilus; LSS), longnose sucker (C. catostomus; LNS ), northern pikeminnow (Ptycocheilus oregonensis; NPM) and walleye (Stizostedion vitreum; WAL). Tags from 7 net pen fish and 17 wild fish were recovered during the study period. The recovered tags collected data for time periods ranging from 16 to 156 d . Seven of nine tags (78\%) were recovered from net pen fish by the commercial fish processor in 1999, but none were recovered in 2000. The recovery rate of tagged wild fish was $31 \%$ in 2000 and $33 \%$ in 2001 (including 2 walleye from the 2000 group recovered by anglers).

Negative depths outside the expected tag precision were present in 14 of the 24 recovered tags, two of which had over $10 \%$ of the total data in this category. Some depths less than zero are normal, since the tag accuracy and precision are greater than zero, and when the tags are near the water surface they may record depths of near zero plus or minus the tag precision (e.g., $0.1 \mathrm{~m} \pm$ 0.32 m could result in a tag reporting a depth of -0.22 m ). All data from tag 31299 (STH) were omitted from analysis, because depths less than -0.32 m composed $52 \%$ of the total data. Depths less than -0.32 m composed $14 \%$ of the total data from tag 34201 (LNS), but data from this and all other tags were included in analyses after depths less than -0.32 m were omitted. The data from tag 31099 (STH) were included in individual tag summaries but were omitted from species
summaries because the fish died within about a month after implantation and may not have behaved normally between tagging and death.

There were differences in depths of fish within and between species (Figures 2 and 3). The differences between the data from the two LSS were greater than differences among individuals of the other species, but differences between individuals were common within species. As can be seen from these figures, not all tags were implanted at the same time of year. This was due to the difficulty in capturing suitable fish early in each sampling season (i.e., prior to about May). There were differences in the time periods tags were active both within and among species.

Visual observations of fish depths collected at 15-minute intervals indicate diel vertical migrations in all fish from which tags were recovered (Figures 4 through 8). A 24-h seasonal cycle was clearly present in most fish, with the greatest changes in depths occurring near dawn and dusk. Most tagged fish were shallower during the day than the night, but variations were present. The STH in the net pens were shallower during the night in April and early May, but by late May most tagged fish in the net pens were at their shallowest depths during the day. Wild fish were generally shallower during the day than the night, with the exception of the LNS and some WAL, which tended to be shallower during the night than during the day. Exceptions to these patterns were often present and at times no diel patterns were evident.

The depths of the wild fish were greater than the fish from the net pens. The depth distributions of the NPM and STH indicated these species spent the greatest proportion of their time within the upper 1 m interval of the water column (NPM 49.1\%, STH 56.4\%) and progressively less time at the greater depth intervals (the 1 m interval includes depths from -0.32 to 1.99 m ; Figure 9). The other species spent much less time in this depth zone, ranging from $12.2 \%$ (WAL) to $32.3 \%$ (BLS). The vertical distribution of the STH was limited, with $95 \%$ of the depths of these fish being less than or equal to 5.1 m . This distribution is most similar to those of the BLS and NPM, in which $95 \%$ of the depths were less than or equal to 7.2 and 7.0 m , respectively. The overall median depths of each species, in ascending order, were STH ( 1.6 m ), NPM ( 2.0 m ), BLS ( 2.8 m ), WAL ( 3.7 m ), LNS ( 5.2 m ) and LSS ( 6.8 m ; Figure 10; Appendices 5 and 6). The vertical distributions of the LNS, LSS and WAL had a much greater range than the other groups,
indicting a greater likelihood that the development of GBD signs or mortality would be tempered by hydrostatic compensation. The maximum depth of the STH from the net pens was 7.8 m and those of the wild species ranged from 17.4 m (NPM) to 33.1 m (BLS). Minimum depths of all species were near zero.

Seasonal changes in depths were present in some species, but depth ranges within species typically overlapped during each month (Figure 11). The median monthly depths of the LSS and NPM increased by several meters during the time the tags were collecting data and the depths of the STH and WAL decreased by approximately 2 m . There was little overall seasonal change in median monthly depths of the BLS or LNS, though few months were represented (Appendices 3 and 4).

Tailwater elevations varied daily, with greater elevation during the day than the night. These changes in water depth were typically in the opposite direction as fish depths, resulting in low tailwater elevations and water depths when fish were near the deepest part of their diel cycle, except for the LNS and some WAL (Figure 12). As mentioned earlier, the LNS, and often the WAL, were shallower during the night than the day, which resulted in their shallowest depths occurring during periods of the shallowest tailwater. For example, most fish depicted in Figure 12 were shallow during the day and deep at night, but the LNS were the opposite, being shallowest in the night during low tailwater elevations on several occasions. The WAL 34100 was shallow in the day, but during late June WAL 33700 exhibited the opposite behavior (Figure 12).

Times and depths above and below the compensation depth of a hypothetical TDGS of $130 \%$ indicate the STH, NPM and BLS would be at greater risk of GBD than the WAL, LSS or LNS. In this condition, the STH, NPM and BLS would spend more time above the hydrostatic compensation depth than below it (Figure 13, upper plate). In addition, their depths below the compensation depth would be relatively shallow, indicating little hydrostatic compensation would take place (Figure 13, lower plate).

The combination of the time of exposure and depth of exposure above and below the compensation depth at $130 \%$ TDGS is summarized in Figure 14, which divides the species into two general groups. The STH, NPM and BLS have time ratios and depth ratios greater than one, indicating they 1) spend more time above the compensation depth than below it, resulting in a large exposure relative to the other species and 2) have a median distance above the compensation depth greater than the median distance below it, resulting in less hydrostatic compensation than the other species. This indicates the STH, NPM and BLS would have a greater overall exposure to GBD-causing conditions than the WAL, LSS or LNS. The STH would be the species with the greatest risk of exposure.

The time series analyses did not result in models capable of predicting fish depths for more than a few hours past the existing data and thus were not useful in comparing depth profiles between species. The best model fits were accomplished using autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models with simple differencing and dummy variables describing 12-h and 24-h cycles in depth, adding little to the obvious trends from visual observation of data plots. These results were little better than random walk models, which are based on the assumption that the depth is similar to that of the last time period plus some random variation, and model diagnostic tests were rarely satisfied.

Depths of the tagged fish were not correlated with TDGS, water temperature or tailwater elevation. Thought some correlations were statistically significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), their Pearson correlation coefficients were generally less than 0.6 , indicating little meaningful relation between the variables during day or night periods (data not shown).

## Discussion

The collection of detailed depth histories of the species studied enabled the comparison of their relative risks to GBD based on the cumulative times and depths each species was above and below the hydrostatic compensation depth of a hypothetical TDGS level. The results of this comparison suggest that the LNS, LSS and WAL are at less risk of GBD than the BLS, NPM and STH. This is a reasonable approach due to the physical method of bubble formation, which
generally occurs when the TGP in the vascular system is greater than the combined total of the BAR and the hydrostatic pressure. Thus, bubble formation occurs at a greater rate with time and distance shallower than the compensation depth and is mediated to a greater extent with time and distance deeper than the compensation depth. Antcliffe et al. (2001) suggested the same method after experiments to assess the effects of intermittent exposures to TDGS on mortality due to GBD.

Comparisons of the depths and times relative to the compensation depth during a hypothetical TDGS exposure indicated that the STH in the net pens were the most susceptible to GBD of the groups tested. The depths of these fish were limited by the net pens maximum depth of 7.3 m , which resulted in less available hydrostatic compensation than the wild fish in the reservoir. This confirms the general consensus of Elston (1998), who postulated that the fish in the net pens were the "canaries in the mine" compared to the wild fish in the reservoir. However, Elston (1998) reported deaths of seven species of wild fish from the reservoir in 1997 following periods of TDGS over $130 \%$ at the monitoring site downstream of Grand Coulee Dam, indicating mortality of wild fish does occur at these TDGS levels.

Results of published studies to test the ability of fish to detect or avoid water with supersaturated TDG indicate most fish do not possess this ability, but no such research has been conducted with the wild species used in this study. Several studies have shown that juvenile salmonids held in cages at depths of about 4 m exhibit fewer signs and lower mortality due to GBD than those in cages with depths available from the water surface to about 4 m and are typically used as examples of the inability of fish to sound to avoid the effects of GBD (see review by Weitkamp and Katz 1980). However, Lutz (1995) described increases in mortality and visible signs of GBD of free-ranging resident fish downstream from a Midwestern dam during periods of elevated TDG when low tailwater elevations limited the available depth for hydrostatic compensation. Lutz (1995) also reported that the greatest mortality did not occur during the highest TDGS (about 133\%), but at moderate TDGS (about 120\%) and attributed this to the discharge at the dam resulting in the lowest tailwater depths during the moderate TDGS events. Thus, it appears that the presence of adequate depths for hydrostatic compensation, and not necessarily an active migratory process, was responsible for the reduction in the effects of GBD
reported by Lutz (1995). This is a likely explanation of mortality due to GBD in other systems as well, and would explain mortality of fish in areas known to have depths sufficient for hydrostatic compensation. In this scenario, fish would not alter their depths in relation to TDGS and their susceptibility to GBD would be related to the ambient TDGS, exposures to TDGS based on species-specific depth histories (i.e., hydrostatic compensation), and species-specific tolerances to TDGS.

It is not currently possible to accurately predict the true risk of GBD of a species even when detailed depth data are available due to the lack of knowledge about the mechanism of hydrostatic compensation and its function during intermittent exposures to TDGS. It is clear that hydrostatic compensation can reduce the effects of GBD, but there is evidence that the effects are due to more than the simple $9.6 \%$ of compensation per meter of depth that can be calculated based on the increase in solubilities of gasses in liquids due to hydrostatic pressure. Knittle et al. (1980) found that after previous exposure of juvenile steelhead to near-lethal levels of TDGS, an exposure of 3-h to the hydrostatic compensation depth nearly doubled the time to $50 \%$ mortality (LT50) during a subsequent surface exposure. They attributed this result to hydrostatic pressure causing a resorption of gas emboli that had previously formed in the vasculature, but this does not explain the entire effect, since the resulting LT50's were greater than those of fish with only a single surface exposure to TDGS. Fidler (1988) provided further information about this effect via equations describing the dissolved gas thresholds required for formation of bubbles in the vasculature system of rainbow trout (O. mykiss). These equations included total gas pressure, partial pressure of oxygen, bubble nucleation site diameter and fish depth. Fidler (1988) found that the TDG at which bubbles form within the vasculature was directly related to fish depth and inversely related to the size of the bubble nucleation site. Aspen Applied Sciences (1998) expanded on this work and noted an "additional protection" against GBD after fish were exposed to depth, similar to the findings of Knittle et al. (1980). Aspen Applied Sciences (1998) attributed this phenomenon to reductions in sizes of nucleation sites caused by the increased pressure imparted by depth. However, there is currently no method of predicting the changes in diameters of nucleation sites that occur during hydrostatic compensation, and thus, no method to predict the probability of GBD during intermittent exposures to TDGS.

This study was conducted to assess the depths of fish relative to ambient TDG, but the ambient TDG throughout the study was below levels generally shown to cause in-situ external GBD symptoms and mortality of these species. Ryan et al. (2000) found few external signs of GBD in resident fishes examined in the Columbia and Snake rivers between 1994 and 1997 when TDG levels were less than $120 \%$, nor was any mortality noted in fish held in net pens during these conditions. However, the fact that fish kills are known to occur indicates that if fish do possess a mechanism by which they can detect elevated TDG and "avoid", or sound, to reduce the subsequent effects of GBD, it is not a particularly effective system.

It is not clear whether maintaining a high tailwater below Grand Coulee Dam would reduce the effects of TDGS on species that are shallow during periods of shallow tailwater elevations. Maintaining a higher tailwater during this time period may increase the depths of resident fish, particularly the WAL and LNS, between sunset and sunrise. However, whether this would provide additional hydrostatic pressure or if the fish would move upward into shallow-water habitats unavailable at lower tailwater elevations is not known. Maintaining higher tailwater elevations could also result in greater TDGS generation during spill at Grand Coulee Dam by increasing the depth of the plunge pool in the stilling basin, which may outweigh the benefit of a potential increase in hydrostatic compensation.

Future research on the depths of resident fish using this method would be enhanced with the addition of periodic estimates of the spatial locations of each test animal. These could be provided by manually tracking an emitted radio signal by boat. The transmitters we used emitted a radio signal to aid in their recapture only during short time windows to conserve battery life, but the batteries on the archive tags are large relative to the tag deployment time and a radio signal could have been emitted more often. This would allow a more detailed analysis of fish movements relative to reservoir depth, TDG and tailwater elevation. For example, with the current data it is not known if short forays to depths of approximately 20 m were from fish moving along the bottom from one side of the reservoir to the other, or of fish in the middle of the water column descending to a greater depth. Spatial location would also aid in determining the relative importance of tailwater elevation on fish depths, since changes in tailwater elevations diminish downstream due to the increasing cross-sectional volume of the reservoir.

In summary, the in-situ depths of triploid steelhead reared within a commercial net pen and several species of wild resident fish in the reservoir were determined to assess their relative exposures to TDGS downstream of Grand Coulee Dam on the Columbia River. Ambient TDGS levels were low during the study period, but the relative differences in depths provided data with which to assess their likely exposures to TDGS under simulated TDGS levels. Diel vertical migrations were evident in all species, with changes occurring primarily near sunset and sunrise. Most fish were deeper during the night than the day, but LNS and some WAL exhibited the opposite behavior. The relative exposures to TDGS based on vertical distributions relative to a standard TDGS level of $130 \%$, in ascending order of severity, were LNS, LSS, WAL, BLS, NPM and STH. Based on these results, the STH from the net pens would be expected to show signs and mortality due to GBD prior to several of the resident species tested, though speciesspecific tolerances to TDGS should also be considered.
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Figure 1. Daily average total dissolved gas saturation from the automated monitor located 9.6 km downstream from Grand Coulee Dam. Data were from the US Army Corps if Engineers North Pacific Division Water Management Team website at http://www.nwdwc.usace.army.mil/tmt/wcd/tdg/months.html.


Figure 2. Median daily depths of wild bridgelip suckers (BLS), wild longnose suckers (LNS) and wild largescale suckers (LSS) from which archive tags were recovered during 2000 and 2001.


Figure 3. Median daily depths of wild northern pikeminnow (NPM), triploid steelhead reared at the Columbia River Fish Farm (STH) and wild walleye (WAL) from which archive tags were recovered during 2000 and 2001.


Figure 4. Depths of tagged triploid steelhead reared in net pens at the Columbia River Fish Farm (STH) recorded by archive tags at 15 -minute intervals during 1999. A time period common to tags from all fish in 1999 was plotted. Times between sunset and sunrise are shaded.
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Figure 5. Depths of bridgelip suckers (BLS) and northern pikeminnow (NPM) recorded at by archive tags 15 -minute intervals during 2000. A time period common to tags from all fish in 2000 was plotted. Times between sunset and sunrise are shaded.


Figure 6. Depths of walleye (WAL) recorded at by archive tags 15 -minute intervals during 2000. A time period common to tags from all fish in 2000 was plotted. Times between sunset and sunrise are shaded.


Figure 7. Depths of bridgelip suckers (BLS), longnose suckers (LNS) and largescale suckers (LSS) recorded at by archive tags 15 -minute intervals during 2001. A time period common to tags from all fish in 2001 was plotted. Times between sunset and sunrise are shaded.


Figure 8. Depths of walleye (WAL) recorded by archive tags at 15 -minute intervals during 2001. A time period common to tags from all fish in 2001 was plotted. Times between sunset and sunrise are shaded.


Figure 9. Depth distributions of each species based on data recorded by archive tags at 15minute intervals. Data from all tags were pooled within each species except as noted in the Methods section. PCT. = percent frequency represented by each bar; CUM. PCT. = cumulative percent frequency.


Figure 10. Box plots indicating overall depths of each species implanted with archive tags. Vertical lines extend to $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, lower boundary of each box indicates the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile, upper boundary indicates $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile and central line indicates the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile (indicated by values). $\mathrm{BLS}=$ bridgelip sucker, $\mathrm{LNS}=$ longnose sucker, $\mathrm{LSS}=$ largescale sucker, $\mathrm{NPM}=$ northern pikeminnow and $\mathrm{WAL}=$ walleye. The horizontal reference line at 0 m represents the water surface.


Figure 11. Box plots indicating depths of archive-tagged fish pooled by month. Vertical lines extend to $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, lower boundary of each box indicates the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile, upper boundary indicates $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile and central line indicates the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile (connected by lines). $\mathrm{BLS}=$ bridgelip sucker, $\mathrm{LNS}=$ longnose sucker, $\mathrm{LSS}=$ largescale sucker, NPM = northern pikeminnow and $\mathrm{WAL}=$ walleye. The horizontal reference line at 0 m represents the water surface.


Figure 12. Depths recorded by archive tags (left vertical axis, black line) and tailwater elevation 0.8 km downstream from Grand Coulee Dam (right vertical axis, red line) over a representative time period. BLS = bridgelip sucker, LNS = longnose sucker, LSS = largescale sucker, NPM = northern pikeminnow, WAL = walleye.


Figure 13. Median distances and percent of data indicating archive-tagged fish would be above (upper plate) and at or below (lower plate) the hydrostatic compensation depth during an exposure to a hypothetical $130 \%$ TDGS.


Figure 14. Ratios of depth above and below (depth ratio) and time above and below (time ratio) the hydrostatic compensation depth during a hypothetical exposure to 130\% TDGS. Depth ratios greater than 1 indicate fish would bee farther above the compensation depth than below it and time ratios greater than 1 indicate fish would spend more time above the compensation depth than below it.

Appendix 1. Triploid steelhead reared in net pens at the Columbia River Fish Farms implanted with archive tags. $\mathrm{STH}=$ triploid steelhead, $\mathrm{FL}=$ fork length, $\mathrm{WT}=$ weight.

| Date Tagged | Species | FL $(\mathrm{mm})$ | WT $(\mathrm{g})$ | Tag ID | Date Recovered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 465 | 1940 | 30499 | -- |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 435 | 1680 | 30699 | $07 / 28 / 1999$ |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 425 | 1580 | 30799 | $07 / 27 / 1999$ |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 440 | 1700 | 30999 | $08 / 03 / 1999$ |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 435 | 1920 | 31099 | $04 / 30 / 1999$ |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 410 | 1420 | 31199 | $08 / 03 / 1999$ |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 470 | 1820 | 31299 | $07 / 30 / 1999$ |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 395 | 1350 | 31399 | -- |
| $03 / 23 / 1999$ | STH | 440 | 1660 | 31499 | $07 / 30 / 1999$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | -- |
| $04 / 06 / 2000$ | STH | 403 | 1300 | 30900 | -- |
| $040 / 6 / 2000$ | STH | 419 | 1450 | 31100 | -- |
| $04 / 06 / 2000$ | STH | 440 | 1650 | 30600 | -- |
| $04 / 06 / 2000$ | STH | 414 | 1250 | 31400 | -- |
| $04 / 06 / 2000$ | STH | 391 | 1200 | 31000 | -- |
| $04 / 06 / 2000$ | STH | 425 | 1350 | 30700 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 2. Wild fish implanted with archive tags in Rufus Woods Lake. BLS = bridgelip sucker, $\mathrm{LNS}=$ longnose sucker, $\mathrm{NPM}=$ northern pikeminnow, $\mathrm{WAL}=$ walleye, $\mathrm{FL}=$ fork length, WT = weight.

| Date Tagged | Species | FL (mm) | WT (g) | Tag ID | Date Recovered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/21/2000 | BLS | 545 | 2000 | 31900 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | BLS | 530 | 1800 | 32100 | 06/01/2000 |
| 04/09/2000 | BLS | 490 | 1450 | 32300 | 06/02/2000 |
| 06/21/2000 | BLS | 575 | 2400 | 33100 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | BLS | 545 | 1950 | 33300 | 06/03/2000 |
| 06/21/2000 | BLS | 545 | 2000 | 33600 | -- |
| 06/21/2000 | BLS | 535 | 1900 | 33800 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | BLS | 535 | 1750 | 34000 | -- |
| 06/22/2000 | LNS | 425 | 900 | 31600 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | LNS | 455 | 1400 | 32900 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | LNS | 440 | 1250 | 33200 | -- |
| 06/21/2000 | LNS | 430 | 1000 | 33400 | -- |
| 06/22/2000 | LNS | 440 | 1050 | 33900 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | LNS | 405 | 1100 | 34500 | -- |
| 06/22/2000 | LNS | 425 | 950 | 34800 | -- |
| 06/21/2000 | NPM | 460 | 1400 | 32200 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | NPM | 465 | 1300 | 32800 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | NPM | 440 | 1150 | 33000 | 06/01/2000 |
| 06/21/2000 | NPM | 415 | 1000 | 33300 | -- |
| 04/30/2000 | NPM | 425 | 1050 | 34300 | 05/31/2000 |
| 04/30/2000 | NPM | 410 | 1050 | 34900 | 06/22/2000 |
| 06/24/2000 | WAL | 580 | 1800 | 31500 | -- |
| 04/30/2000 | WAL | 475 | 1100 | 31700 | 2/17/2001 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 04/30/2000 | WAL | 495 | 1350 | 32000 | -- |
| 06/22/2000 | WAL | 535 | 1900 | 32500 | -- |
| 04/30/2000 | WAL | 545 | 1850 | 33700 | 12/8/2000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 04/09/2000 | WAL | 480 | 1100 | 34100 | 06/01/2000 |
| 04/30/2000 | WAL | 465 | 1000 | 34400 | -- |
| 04/09/2000 | WAL | 450 | 950 | 34700 | -- |
| 05/29/2001 | BLS | 527 | 1850 | 33001 | 07/14/2001 |
| 05/29/2001 | BLS | 440 | 1200 | 33701 | -- |
| 05/29/2001 | BLS | 521 | 1750 | 34901 | 07/18/2001 |
| 05/01/2001 | BLS | 535 | 2000 | 35101 | -- |
| 05/28/2001 | BLS | 535 | 1700 | 35601 | -- |
| 04/26/2001 | LNS | 428 | 1200 | 34201 | 06/14/2001 |
| 04/26/2001 | LNS | 426 | 1000 | 36001 | -- |
| 05/01/2001 | LNS | 438 | 1200 | 36101 | -- |
| 04/26/2001 | LNS | 440 | 1200 | 36301 | -- |
| 04/26/2001 | LNS | 440 | 1100 | 36401 | 06/14/2001 |
| 04/26/2001 | LSS | 540 | 1700 | 31201 | 06/15/2001 |

Appendix 2 continued.

| Date Tagged | Species | FL $(\mathrm{mm})$ | WT $(\mathrm{g})$ | Tag ID | Date Recovered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $04 / 26 / 2001$ | LSS | 475 | 1450 | 32101 | -- |
| $04 / 26 / 2001$ | LSS | 534 | 1700 | 32701 | $07 / 13 / 2001$ |
| $04 / 26 / 2001$ | LSS | 500 | 1500 | 34601 | -- |
| $04 / 26 / 2001$ | LSS | 520 | 1500 | 35801 | -- |
| $05 / 24 / 2001$ | NPM | 428 | 1000 | 35001 | -- |
| $05 / 24 / 2001$ | NPM | 435 | 1050 | 35301 | -- |
| $05 / 28 / 2001$ | NPM | 470 | 1800 | 35401 | -- |
| $05 / 28 / 2001$ | NPM | 480 | 1500 | 35501 | -- |
| $04 / 29 / 2001$ | WAL | 620 | 3050 | 32301 | -- |
| $04 / 29 / 2001$ | WAL | 565 | 2100 | 34301 | $07 / 15 / 2001$ |
| $05 / 24 / 2001$ | WAL | 428 | 920 | 35201 | -- |
| $05 / 24 / 2001$ | WAL | 500 | 1200 | 35701 | $07 / 14 / 2001$ |
| $04 / 29 / 2001$ | WAL | 463 | 1150 | 36201 | -- |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ caught by fishermen, last data record was $10 / 17 / 2000$

Appendix 3. Monthly summaries of depth data (m) from archive tags implanted during 2000 and 2001. BLS $=$ bridgelip sucker, $\mathrm{LNS}=$ longnose sucker, $\mathrm{LSS}=$ largescale sucker, $\mathrm{NPM}=$ northern pikeminnow, $\mathrm{STH}=$ triploid steelhead, WAL $=$ walleye, Tag ID numbers ending in 00 are from 2000 and those ending in 01 are from 2001. Months are indicated by their numerical value ( $4=$ April, $5=$ May, etc.), $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size, Med = median, min $=$ minimum, Max $=$ maximum, $\mathrm{CV}=$ coefficient of variation, $5 \%=5^{\text {th }}$ percentile, $95 \%=95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, Wilk's = Wilk's lambda value for test of normality, $\operatorname{Pr}>\mathrm{W}=$ probability of a larger Wilk's lambda value (those $\leq 0.05$ indicate a distribution with a significant deviation from normality). A value of . indicates no data.

| Species | Tag ID | Month | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLS | 32100 | 4 | 673 | 1.92 | 1.78 | -0.25 | 6.89 | 7.14 | 60.78 | 0.39 | 4.12 | 0.9504 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2952 | 1.67 | 1.46 | -0.25 | 20.25 | 20.50 | 80.83 | -0.03 | 3.91 | 0.1021 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 1 | 3.18 | 3.18 | 3.18 | 3.18 | 0.00 | . | 3.18 | 3.18 | . | . |
|  | 32300 | 4 | 665 | 2.77 | 2.59 | -0.31 | 15.46 | 15.77 | 77.78 | 0.04 | 6.65 | 0.9272 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2754 | 2.93 | 2.47 | -0.31 | 22.31 | 22.62 | 75.55 | -0.08 | 6.88 | 0.0967 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 146 | 4.94 | 5.07 | 0.93 | 12.85 | 11.92 | 43.86 | 1.49 | 7.78 | 0.9417 | 0.0000 |
|  | 33001 | 6 | 1728 | 3.80 | 3.52 | 0.73 | 19.76 | 19.04 | 45.39 | 1.76 | 6.73 | 0.8603 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 7 | 1252 | 4.31 | 3.52 | 0.31 | 19.76 | 19.45 | 60.41 | 1.55 | 9.11 | 0.8651 | 0.0000 |
|  | 33300 | 4 | 672 | 4.49 | 4.40 | 3.37 | 6.17 | 2.80 | 12.77 | 3.67 | 5.58 | 0.9699 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 3.56 | 3.37 | -0.02 | 33.13 | 33.14 | 63.59 | 1.16 | 6.32 | 0.1355 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 227 | 3.52 | 2.39 | 0.85 | 28.99 | 28.14 | 76.68 | 1.41 | 7.71 | 0.6738 | 0.0000 |
|  | 34901 | 6 | 1699 | 4.28 | 3.10 | -0.25 | 15.69 | 15.94 | 87.59 | 0.25 | 12.58 | 0.8479 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 7 | 1629 | 2.99 | 3.02 | -0.25 | 15.19 | 15.44 | 56.61 | 0.42 | 5.79 | 0.9570 | 0.0000 |
| Summary of BLS |  | 4 | 2010 | 3.06 | 3.16 | -0.31 | 15.46 | 15.77 | 58.89 | 0.39 | 5.84 | 0.0787 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 8682 | 2.72 | 2.42 | -0.31 | 33.13 | 33.44 | 78.55 | 0.07 | 6.17 | 0.0780 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 3801 | 4.04 | 3.42 | -0.25 | 28.99 | 29.25 | 71.50 | 0.67 | 10.82 | 0.1570 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2881 | 3.56 | 3.19 | -0.25 | 19.76 | 20.02 | 62.70 | 0.73 | 8.59 | 0.1114 | 0.0100 |

Appendix 3 continued.

| Species | Tag ID | Month | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LNS | 34201 | 5 | 1745 | 7.62 | 4.88 | -0.31 | 18.54 | 18.85 | 78.50 | 0.02 | 17.87 | 0.8894 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 6 | 1133 | 6.84 | 3.29 | -0.31 | 18.54 | 18.85 | 93.72 | -0.06 | 18.54 | 0.8497 | 0.0000 |
|  | 36401 | 5 | 2017 | 6.33 | 5.33 | 0.41 | 20.50 | 20.09 | 61.98 | 0.93 | 15.33 | 0.1963 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 1914 | 4.57 | 4.98 | -0.02 | 15.85 | 15.87 | 44.45 | 1.10 | 6.45 | 0.8636 | 0.0000 |
| Summary of | of LNS | 5 | 3762 | 6.93 | 5.33 | -0.31 | 20.50 | 20.82 | 72.54 | 0.67 | 16.36 | 0.1714 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 3047 | 5.41 | 4.90 | -0.31 | 18.54 | 18.85 | 80.67 | 0.44 | 15.69 | 0.2256 | 0.0100 |
| LSS | 31201 | 5 | 2016 | 1.88 | 1.49 | 0.17 | 9.09 | 8.92 | 57.14 | 0.83 | 4.11 | 0.2151 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 1434 | 2.00 | 1.49 | 0.36 | 7.12 | 6.76 | 66.36 | 0.64 | 4.36 | 0.8051 | 0.0000 |
|  | 32701 | 5 | 2016 | 8.37 | 7.94 | 4.26 | 22.74 | 18.48 | 32.84 | 5.09 | 12.46 | 0.0872 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 9.93 | 9.55 | 4.05 | 19.95 | 15.90 | 35.07 | 4.98 | 16.20 | 0.0754 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 1248 | 9.01 | 8.71 | 6.03 | 20.36 | 14.33 | 18.17 | 6.75 | 11.70 | 0.9254 | 0.0000 |
| Summary o | of LSS | 5 | 4032 | 5.12 | 4.78 | 0.17 | 22.74 | 22.57 | 75.30 | 0.92 | 11.63 | 0.1719 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 4314 | 7.30 | 6.85 | 0.36 | 19.95 | 19.59 | 65.21 | 0.88 | 15.68 | 0.1035 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 1248 | 9.01 | 8.71 | 6.03 | 20.36 | 14.33 | 18.17 | 6.75 | 11.70 | 0.9254 | 0.0000 |
| NPM | 33000 | 4 | 669 | 0.91 | 0.98 | -0.11 | 2.54 | 2.65 | 69.43 | 0.00 | 1.92 | 0.9510 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 1.80 | 1.51 | -0.22 | 8.14 | 8.36 | 67.84 | 0.44 | 4.60 | 0.1461 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 23 | 1.90 | 2.23 | 0.98 | 4.19 | 3.21 | 43.68 | 0.98 | 2.75 | 0.8365 | 0.0016 |
|  | 34300 | 5 | 1419 | 1.79 | 1.44 | -0.27 | 8.28 | 8.55 | 81.73 | -0.16 | 4.52 | 0.9423 | 0.0000 |
|  | 34900 | 5 | 1632 | 3.85 | 3.08 | 0.63 | 17.44 | 16.81 | 66.09 | 1.24 | 9.30 | 0.8314 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 6 | 2102 | 4.12 | 3.97 | 0.25 | 15.79 | 15.54 | 52.65 | 1.35 | 8.02 | 0.1019 | 0.0100 |
| Summary | of NPM | 4 | 669 | 0.91 | 0.98 | $-0.11$ | 2.54 | 2.65 | 69.43 | 0.00 | 1.92 | 0.9510 | $0.0000$ |
|  |  | 5 | 6027 | 2.35 | 1.86 | -0.27 | 17.44 | 17.72 | 83.19 | 0.30 | 5.63 | 0.1377 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2125 | 4.10 | 3.97 | 0.25 | 15.79 | 15.54 | 53.00 | 1.35 | 7.94 | 0.1024 | 0.0100 |

Appendix 3 continued.

| Species | Tag ID | Month | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STH | 30699 | 4 | 2299 | 3.23 | 3.28 | 0.57 | 6.18 | 5.61 | 25.68 | 1.83 | 4.55 | 0.0369 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2764 | 3.77 | 3.83 | -0.25 | 6.27 | 6.52 | 36.67 | 1.29 | 5.91 | 0.0438 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2523 | 3.33 | 3.46 | -0.29 | 6.27 | 6.56 | 49.18 | 0.48 | 5.85 | 0.0535 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2442 | 2.78 | 2.78 | -0.29 | 6.19 | 6.48 | 59.50 | 0.22 | 5.68 | 0.0433 | 0.0100 |
|  | 30799 | 4 | 2303 | 3.15 | 3.21 | -0.28 | 6.10 | 6.38 | 29.34 | 1.61 | 4.60 | 0.0800 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2958 | 3.92 | 3.90 | -0.19 | 7.79 | 7.98 | 40.27 | 1.11 | 6.50 | 0.0393 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2865 | 3.37 | 3.23 | -0.19 | 7.69 | 7.88 | 50.25 | 0.57 | 6.36 | 0.0468 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2555 | 2.87 | 2.94 | -0.28 | 7.49 | 7.77 | 53.17 | 0.10 | 5.31 | 0.0471 | 0.0100 |
|  | 30999 | 4 | 2300 | 0.89 | 0.76 | -0.27 | 4.50 | 4.77 | 75.93 | 0.10 | 2.26 | 0.1491 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2941 | 1.27 | 0.95 | -0.27 | 4.97 | 5.24 | 88.24 | -0.08 | 3.47 | 0.1402 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2770 | 1.49 | 1.21 | -0.30 | 4.97 | 5.26 | 75.62 | -0.12 | 3.70 | 0.1114 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2676 | 1.51 | 1.30 | -0.30 | 4.85 | 5.15 | 80.52 | -0.21 | 3.97 | 0.0936 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 224 | 1.05 | 0.99 | -0.21 | 3.26 | 3.46 | 52.37 | 0.41 | 2.19 | 0.9448 | 0.0000 |
|  | 31099 | 4 | 2130 | 1.84 | 1.73 | -0.25 | 6.42 | 6.67 | 94.06 | -0.16 | 4.71 | 0.1706 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31199 | 4 | 2303 | 2.94 | 3.04 | 0.08 | 6.20 | 6.13 | 36.47 | 1.06 | 4.62 | 0.0631 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 1.25 | 1.06 | -0.32 | 6.30 | 6.62 | 69.12 | 0.17 | 2.94 | 0.1206 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 0.84 | 0.69 | -0.12 | 4.26 | 4.38 | 68.78 | 0.13 | 2.01 | 0.1403 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2976 | 0.81 | 0.69 | -0.25 | 5.30 | 5.55 | 89.82 | -0.06 | 2.19 | 0.1059 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 231 | 0.47 | 0.31 | -0.25 | 3.79 | 4.04 | 133.4 | -0.16 | 1.72 | 0.8363 | 0.0000 |
|  | 31299 | 4 | 1118 | 1.15 | 1.05 | -0.25 | 4.11 | 4.37 | 72.31 | 0.03 | 2.63 | 0.9692 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 1708 | 1.81 | 1.79 | -0.25 | 5.23 | 5.48 | 56.08 | 0.12 | 3.56 | 0.9912 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 6 | 1454 | 1.63 | 1.52 | -0.25 | 5.24 | 5.50 | 70.34 | -0.07 | 3.56 | 0.9744 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 7 | 969 | 0.80 | 0.55 | -0.25 | 5.24 | 5.50 | 119.9 | -0.25 | 2.76 | 0.8572 | 0.0000 |
|  | 31499 | 4 | 2303 | 1.66 | 1.37 | -0.15 | 6.23 | 6.37 | 80.06 | 0.15 | 3.90 | 0.1439 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31499 | 5 | 2972 | 1.41 | 0.76 | -0.25 | 7.64 | 7.89 | 105.0 | 0.05 | 4.51 | 0.2279 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 0.49 | 0.36 | -0.28 | 6.80 | 7.07 | 131.8 | 0.01 | 1.46 | 0.2733 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2817 | 0.23 | 0.11 | -0.28 | 5.75 | 6.02 | 167.3 | -0.09 | 0.77 | 0.2559 | 0.0100 |

Appendix 3 continued.

| Species | Tag ID | Month | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary | of STH | 4 | 11508 | 2.37 | 2.61 | -0.28 | 6.23 | 6.51 | 57.34 | 0.26 | 4.40 | 0.0872 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 14611 | 2.30 | 1.91 | -0.32 | 7.79 | 8.11 | 78.26 | 0.15 | 5.64 | 0.1211 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 13918 | 1.87 | 1.21 | -0.30 | 7.69 | 7.99 | 92.30 | 0.11 | 5.40 | 0.1550 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 13466 | 1.58 | 1.04 | -0.30 | 7.49 | 7.79 | 99.74 | -0.03 | 4.74 | 0.1435 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 455 | 0.76 | 0.68 | -0.25 | 3.79 | 4.04 | 86.99 | -0.16 | 1.92 | 0.9438 | 0.0000 |
| WAL | 31700 | 5 | 1632 | 4.67 | 4.02 | 1.11 | 14.37 | 13.26 | 45.44 | 2.24 | 8.54 | 0.8977 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 9.24 | 9.48 | 0.64 | 20.57 | 19.94 | 42.84 | 2.94 | 16.11 | 0.0497 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2976 | 2.81 | 2.71 | 0.64 | 18.69 | 18.06 | 29.80 | 1.77 | 4.12 | 0.1236 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 2976 | 3.77 | 3.18 | 0.45 | 20.57 | 20.12 | 71.19 | 1.58 | 7.97 | 0.2445 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 9 | 2880 | 4.12 | 3.55 | 0.64 | 20.57 | 19.94 | 60.72 | 2.24 | 6.75 | 0.2066 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 10 | 1630 | 7.19 | 4.96 | 0.83 | 20.57 | 19.75 | 74.29 | 2.43 | 19.35 | 0.7753 | 0.0000 |
|  | 33700 | 5 | 1636 | 6.32 | 5.59 | 2.50 | 18.44 | 15.95 | 32.25 | 4.59 | 11.07 | 0.7430 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 4.99 | 4.71 | 1.66 | 21.33 | 19.67 | 37.22 | 2.78 | 7.92 | 0.1409 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2976 | 2.66 | 2.68 | 0.33 | 6.65 | 6.32 | 35.46 | 1.15 | 4.31 | 0.0501 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 2889 | 1.94 | 1.66 | -0.28 | 21.12 | 21.40 | 97.05 | -0.08 | 5.12 | 0.1744 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 9 | 2813 | 2.71 | 2.47 | -0.28 | 21.33 | 21.61 | 63.26 | 0.03 | 5.73 | 0.1567 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 10 | 1625 | 4.19 | 3.90 | 0.13 | 21.33 | 21.20 | 50.03 | 2.06 | 7.26 | 0.7442 | 0.0000 |
|  | 34100 | 4 | 672 | 6.10 | 5.58 | 0.55 | 17.00 | 16.45 | 46.13 | 3.15 | 12.38 | 0.8520 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 9.32 | 9.78 | 0.66 | 20.43 | 19.77 | 45.82 | 2.42 | 14.99 | 0.1037 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 6 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 1.37 | 0.36 | 13.16 | 1.02 | 1.37 | 0.8311 | 0.1099 |
|  | 34301 | 5 | 1728 | 5.48 | 5.08 | 2.78 | 17.22 | 14.44 | 37.16 | 3.23 | 8.56 | 0.7774 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 7.16 | 5.38 | 1.42 | 25.96 | 24.54 | 71.97 | 2.21 | 17.88 | 0.1594 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 1431 | 5.26 | 3.80 | 0.97 | 22.91 | 21.94 | 66.64 | 1.65 | 10.81 | 0.8779 | 0.0000 |
|  | 35701 | 6 | 2208 | 3.68 | 3.38 | 0.40 | 11.45 | 11.05 | 37.55 | 1.89 | 6.10 | 0.1044 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 1242 | 2.57 | 2.47 | 0.68 | 8.25 | 7.57 | 24.44 | 1.87 | 3.40 | 0.8408 | 0.0000 |

Appendix 3 continued.

| Species Tag ID | Month | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of WAL | 4 | 672 | 6.10 | 5.58 | 0.55 | 17.00 | 16.45 | 46.13 | 3.15 | 12.38 | 0.8520 | 0.0000 |
|  | 5 | 7972 | 6.92 | 5.64 | 0.66 | 20.43 | 19.77 | 52.50 | 2.71 | 14.28 | 0.1643 | 0.0100 |
|  | 6 | 10854 | 6.43 | 5.13 | 0.40 | 25.96 | 25.57 | 63.90 | 2.27 | 14.99 | 0.1581 | 0.0100 |
|  | 7 | 8625 | 3.13 | 2.71 | 0.33 | 22.91 | 22.58 | 60.21 | 1.45 | 7.87 | 0.2199 | 0.0100 |
|  | 8 | 5865 | 2.87 | 2.52 | -0.28 | 21.12 | 21.40 | 87.05 | 0.23 | 6.09 | 0.1677 | 0.0100 |
|  | 9 | 5693 | 3.42 | 3.08 | -0.28 | 21.33 | 21.61 | 66.11 | 1.15 | 6.19 | 0.1465 | 0.0100 |
|  | 10 | 3255 | 5.69 | 4.20 | 0.13 | 21.33 | 21.20 | 76.03 | 2.27 | 16.44 | 0.2604 | 0.0100 |

Appendix 4. Monthly summaries of temperature data $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ from archive tags implanted during 2000 and 2001. BLS $=$ bridgelip sucker, $\mathrm{LNS}=$ longnose sucker, LSS = largescale sucker, NPM = northern pikeminnow, STH $=$ triploid steelhead, WAL $=$ walleye, Tag ID numbers ending in 00 are from 2000 and those ending in 01 are from 2001. Months are indicated by their numerical value ( $4=$ April, $5=$ May, etc.), $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size, Med $=$ median, $\min =$ minimum, $\mathrm{Max}=$ maximum, $\mathrm{CV}=$ coefficient of variation, $5 \%=5^{\text {th }}$ percentile, $95 \%=95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, Wilk's $=$ Wilk's lambda value for test of normality, $\operatorname{Pr}>\mathrm{W}=$ probability of a larger Wilk's lambda value (those $\leq 0.05$ indicate a distribution with a significant deviation from normality). A value of . indicates no data.

| Species | Tag ID | Month | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLS | 32100 | 4 | 673 | 8.62 | 8.67 | 7.92 | 9.56 | 1.64 | 4.33 | 8.04 | 9.30 | 0.9708 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 10.52 | 10.56 | 9.18 | 11.95 | 2.77 | 6.28 | 9.43 | 11.57 | 0.0588 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 1 | 11.57 | 11.57 | 11.57 | 11.57 | 0.00 | . | 11.57 | 11.57 | . |  |
|  | 32300 | 4 | 672 | 8.58 | 8.59 | 7.84 | 9.47 | 1.63 | 4.33 | 7.96 | 9.22 | 0.9626 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 10.46 | 10.48 | 9.09 | 11.98 | 2.89 | 6.11 | 9.47 | 11.48 | 0.0670 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 146 | 11.81 | 11.86 | 11.36 | 12.24 | 0.88 | 1.81 | 11.48 | 12.11 | 0.9507 | 0.0000 |
|  | 33001 | 6 | 1728 | 12.11 | 12.08 | 10.13 | 13.90 | 3.77 | 6.39 | 10.78 | 13.25 | 0.9897 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 7 | 1252 | 12.96 | 12.86 | 11.82 | 14.68 | 2.86 | 4.23 | 12.08 | 13.90 | 0.9735 | 0.0000 |
|  | 33300 | 4 | 672 | 8.60 | 8.65 | 7.89 | 9.28 | 1.38 | 4.05 | 8.02 | 9.15 | 0.9657 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 10.50 | 10.53 | 9.15 | 12.04 | 2.89 | 6.33 | 9.40 | 11.67 | 0.0764 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 227 | 12.01 | 12.04 | 11.42 | 12.55 | 1.13 | 2.24 | 11.54 | 12.42 | 0.9537 | 0.0000 |
|  | 34901 | 6 | 1728 | 12.22 | 12.22 | 10.25 | 14.06 | 3.81 | 6.58 | 10.78 | 13.40 | 0.9870 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 7 | 1636 | 13.22 | 13.14 | 12.09 | 14.85 | 2.76 | 4.66 | 12.22 | 14.19 | 0.9749 | 0.0000 |
| Summary of | BLS | 4 | 2017 | 8.60 | 8.65 | 7.84 | 9.56 | 1.72 | 4.24 | 8.02 | 9.22 | 0.0759 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 8928 | 10.49 | 10.53 | 9.09 | 12.04 | 2.95 | 6.25 | 9.43 | 11.57 | 0.0467 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 3830 | 12.14 | 12.09 | 10.13 | 14.06 | 3.94 | 6.25 | 10.91 | 13.38 | 0.0528 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2888 | 13.10 | 13.01 | 11.82 | 14.85 | 3.03 | 4.58 | 12.21 | 14.06 | 0.0769 | 0.0100 |
| LNS | 34201 | 5 | 2017 | 8.52 | 8.46 | 7.40 | 9.91 | 2.50 | 5.99 | 7.80 | 9.38 | 0.1316 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 1333 | 10.29 | 10.30 | 8.85 | 11.49 | 2.64 | 6.18 | 9.25 | 11.23 | 0.9642 | 0.0000 |
|  | 36401 | 5 | 2017 | 8.81 | 8.72 | 7.82 | 10.27 | 2.45 | 6.13 | 8.08 | 9.88 | 0.1568 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 1914 | 10.86 | 10.92 | 9.11 | 12.86 | 3.75 | 7.51 | 9.50 | 12.21 | 0.9837 | 0.0000 |

Appendix 4 continued.

| Species | Tag ID | Month | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of | LNS | 5 | 4034 | 8.66 | 8.59 | 7.40 | 10.27 | 2.87 | 6.29 | 7.93 | 9.63 | 0.1123 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 3247 | 10.63 | 10.66 | 8.85 | 12.86 | 4.00 | 7.51 | 9.38 | 11.95 | 0.0566 | 0.0100 |
| LSS | 31201 | 5 | 2016 | 9.22 | 9.10 | 7.79 | 10.67 | 2.87 | 5.96 | 8.44 | 10.14 | 0.0943 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 1434 | 10.96 | 10.93 | 9.36 | 12.63 | 3.27 | 6.46 | 9.88 | 11.97 | 0.9638 | 0.0000 |
|  | 32701 | 5 | 2016 | 8.52 | 8.36 | 7.31 | 9.93 | 2.62 | 6.39 | 7.84 | 9.41 | 0.1294 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 10.89 | 10.98 | 8.62 | 13.08 | 4.46 | 8.64 | 9.41 | 12.42 | 0.0626 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 1248 | 12.44 | 12.42 | 11.24 | 13.73 | 2.49 | 3.55 | 11.77 | 13.21 | 0.9844 | 0.0000 |
| Summary of | LSS | 5 | 4032 | 8.87 | 8.84 | 7.31 | 10.67 | 3.35 | 7.33 | 7.92 | 10.01 | 0.0578 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 4314 | 10.91 | 10.98 | 8.62 | 13.08 | 4.46 | 7.98 | 9.54 | 12.29 | 0.0516 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 1248 | 12.44 | 12.42 | 11.24 | 13.73 | 2.49 | 3.55 | 11.77 | 13.21 | 0.9844 | 0.0000 |
| NPM | 33000 | 4 | 672 | 10.17 | 9.87 | 7.49 | 13.63 | 6.14 | 12.17 | 8.49 | 12.38 | 0.9697 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 11.35 | 11.12 | 8.49 | 17.26 | 8.77 | 12.04 | 9.74 | 14.25 | 0.1594 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 24 | 10.27 | 11.75 | 7.61 | 12.25 | 4.64 | 18.78 | 7.74 | 12.25 | 0.7297 | 0.0000 |
|  | 34300 | 5 | 1542 | 11.15 | 10.61 | 9.69 | 18.28 | 8.59 | 13.80 | 9.82 | 14.71 | 0.7549 | 0.0000 |
|  | 34900 | 5 | 1632 | 10.39 | 10.30 | 9.64 | 11.61 | 1.97 | 4.13 | 9.77 | 11.08 | 0.9407 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 6 | 2102 | 12.26 | 12.26 | 10.82 | 13.97 | 3.15 | 4.20 | 11.35 | 13.05 | 0.0873 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of | NPM | 4 | 672 | 10.17 | 9.87 | 7.49 | 13.63 | 6.14 | 12.17 | 8.49 | 12.38 | 0.9697 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 5 | 6150 | 11.04 | 10.69 | 8.49 | 18.28 | 9.79 | 11.83 | 9.82 | 13.92 | 0.1832 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2126 | 12.24 | 12.26 | 7.61 | 13.97 | 6.36 | 4.81 | 11.35 | 13.05 | 0.1079 | 0.0100 |
| STH | 30699 | $4$ | 2303 | 6.28 | 6.25 | 5.00 | 7.98 | 2.98 | 12.08 | 5.13 | 7.73 | 0.0853 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 9.22 | 9.35 | 7.73 | 10.73 | 3.00 | 7.34 | 7.98 | 10.23 | 0.1628 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 12.62 | 12.98 | 10.35 | 13.98 | 3.63 | 7.69 | 10.73 | 13.85 | 0.1624 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2660 | 14.72 | 14.73 | 13.60 | 16.10 | 2.50 | 3.74 | 13.85 | 15.60 | 0.0955 | 0.0100 |
|  | 30799 | 4 | 2303 | 6.41 | 6.38 | 5.13 | 8.10 | 2.98 | 12.15 | 5.25 | 7.85 | 0.1007 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 9.29 | 9.35 | 7.73 | 10.73 | 3.00 | 7.20 | 7.98 | 10.35 | 0.1569 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 12.61 | 12.85 | 10.48 | 13.85 | 3.38 | 6.90 | 10.91 | 13.73 | 0.1350 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2558 | 14.63 | 14.60 | 13.60 | 16.35 | 2.75 | 3.61 | 13.85 | 15.48 | 0.1028 | 0.0100 |
|  | 30999 | 4 | 2303 | 6.78 | 6.88 | 5.50 | 8.23 | 2.73 | 9.79 | 5.75 | 7.85 | 0.0763 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 9.37 | 9.48 | 7.98 | 11.10 | 3.13 | 7.00 | 8.23 | 10.35 | 0.1650 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 12.74 | 12.98 | 10.48 | 14.35 | 3.88 | 7.49 | 10.85 | 13.85 | 0.1581 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2976 | 14.94 | 14.98 | 13.73 | 16.35 | 2.63 | 4.00 | 13.98 | 15.85 | 0.1025 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 228 | 16.01 | 15.98 | 15.60 | 16.48 | 0.88 | 1.57 | 15.73 | 16.35 | 0.8935 | 0.0000 |

Appendix 4 continued.

| Species | Tag ID | Month | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STH | 31099 | 4 | 2143 | 6.30 | 6.25 | 5.00 | 8.35 | 3.35 | 11.37 | 5.25 | 7.48 | 0.1192 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31199 | 4 | 2303 | 6.41 | 6.38 | 5.13 | 8.23 | 3.10 | 11.95 | 5.25 | 7.73 | 0.0933 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 9.29 | 9.48 | 7.85 | 10.85 | 3.00 | 7.34 | 8.10 | 10.35 | 0.1586 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 12.68 | 12.98 | 10.48 | 14.35 | 3.88 | 7.38 | 10.85 | 13.85 | 0.1463 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2976 | 14.87 | 14.98 | 13.73 | 15.98 | 2.25 | 3.96 | 13.98 | 15.73 | 0.1007 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 231 | 15.93 | 15.98 | 15.48 | 16.35 | 0.88 | 1.51 | 15.60 | 16.35 | 0.9299 | 0.0000 |
|  | 31299 | 4 | 2303 | 6.29 | 6.38 | 5.00 | 7.98 | 2.98 | 11.33 | 5.25 | 7.60 | 0.0815 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 9.17 | 9.35 | 7.73 | 10.73 | 3.00 | 7.14 | 7.98 | 10.10 | 0.1475 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 12.59 | 12.85 | 10.35 | 14.10 | 3.75 | 8.01 | 10.73 | 13.85 | 0.1643 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2818 | 14.79 | 14.85 | 13.60 | 15.98 | 2.38 | 3.90 | 13.85 | 15.73 | 0.0934 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31499 | 4 | 2303 | 6.35 | 6.38 | 5.00 | 7.98 | 2.98 | 11.93 | 5.25 | 7.60 | 0.0802 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 2976 | 9.20 | 9.35 | 7.73 | 10.73 | 3.00 | 7.29 | 7.98 | 10.23 | 0.1694 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 12.65 | 12.98 | 10.35 | 13.98 | 3.63 | 7.81 | 10.73 | 13.85 | 0.1540 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2817 | 14.86 | 14.85 | 13.60 | 16.10 | 2.50 | 3.98 | 13.85 | 15.73 | 0.1048 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of | STH | 4 | 11515 | 6.45 | 6.38 | 5.00 | 8.23 | 3.23 | 11.88 | 5.25 | 7.73 | 0.0744 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 5 | 14880 | 9.28 | 9.48 | 7.73 | 11.10 | 3.38 | 7.26 | 7.98 | 10.23 | 0.1547 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 6 | 14400 | 12.66 | 12.98 | 10.35 | 14.35 | 4.00 | 7.47 | 10.85 | 13.85 | 0.1507 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 13987 | 14.81 | 14.85 | 13.60 | 16.35 | 2.75 | 3.95 | 13.85 | 15.73 | 0.0858 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 459 | 15.97 | 15.98 | 15.48 | 16.48 | 1.00 | 1.56 | 15.60 | 16.35 | 0.9279 | 0.0000 |
| WAL | 31700 | 5 | 1632 | 10.07 | 9.91 | 9.25 | 14.55 | 5.30 | 7.16 | 9.38 | 10.97 | 0.7434 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 6 | 2880 | 12.10 | 12.03 | 10.04 | 17.47 | 7.42 | 6.62 | 10.97 | 13.62 | 0.0936 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 7 | 2976 | 14.76 | 14.81 | 12.29 | 19.06 | 6.76 | 7.15 | 12.96 | 16.40 | 0.0635 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 8 | 2976 | 17.25 | 17.33 | 15.48 | 19.06 | 3.58 | 3.28 | 16.14 | 18.00 | 0.1270 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 9 | 2880 | 17.39 | 17.60 | 12.96 | 18.39 | 5.44 | 3.57 | 16.40 | 18.00 | 0.1473 | 0.0100 |
|  |  | 10 | 1630 | 15.34 | 15.34 | 12.82 | 16.67 | 3.85 | 4.16 | 14.28 | 16.40 | 0.9625 | 0.0000 |

Appendix 4 continued.


Appendix 5. Summaries of depth data (m) by Tag ID and species. BLS $=$ bridgelip sucker, LNS $=$ longnose sucker, LSS $=$ largescale sucker, $\mathrm{NPM}=$ northern pikeminnow, $\mathrm{STH}=$ triploid steelhead, $\mathrm{WAL}=$ walleye, $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size, $\mathrm{Med}=$ median, min $=$ minimum, Max $=$ maximum, $\mathrm{CV}=$ coefficient of variation, $5 \%=5^{\text {th }}$ percentile, $95 \%=95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, Wilk's $=$ Wilk's lambda value for test of normality, $\operatorname{Pr}>\mathrm{W}=$ probability of a larger Wilk's lambda value (those $\leq 0.05$ indicate a distribution with a significant deviation from normality). A value of . indicates no data.

| Species | Tag ID | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLS | 32100 | 3626 | 1.72 | 1.56 | -0.25 | 20.25 | 20.50 | 76.97 | 0.07 | 3.98 | 0.0917 | 0.0100 |
|  | 32300 | 3565 | 2.98 | 2.59 | -0.31 | 22.31 | 22.62 | 75.05 | -0.08 | 6.89 | 0.0866 | 0.0100 |
|  | 33001 | 2980 | 4.01 | 3.52 | 0.31 | 19.76 | 19.45 | 53.65 | 1.66 | 8.69 | 0.1205 | 0.0100 |
|  | 33300 | 3875 | 3.72 | 3.67 | -0.02 | 33.13 | 33.14 | 57.31 | 1.16 | 6.17 | 0.1338 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34901 | 3328 | 3.65 | 3.02 | -0.25 | 15.69 | 15.94 | 82.18 | 0.33 | 10.99 | 0.1744 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of BLSLNS |  | 17374 | 3.19 | 2.84 | -0.31 | 33.13 | 33.44 | 74.25 | 0.27 | 7.22 | 0.0853 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34201 | 2878 | 7.31 | 4.55 | -0.31 | 18.54 | 18.85 | 84.30 | 0.02 | 17.87 | 0.1864 | 0.0100 |
|  | 36401 | 3931 | 5.47 | 5.16 | -0.02 | 20.50 | 20.52 | 59.74 | 1.02 | 13.78 | 0.2116 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of LNSLSS |  | 6809 | 6.25 | 5.16 | -0.31 | 20.50 | 20.82 | 76.82 | 0.59 | 16.19 | 0.2001 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31201 | 3450 | 1.93 | 1.49 | 0.17 | 9.09 | 8.92 | 61.54 | 0.73 | 4.30 | 0.2244 | 0.0100 |
|  | 32701 | 6144 | 9.23 | 8.71 | 4.05 | 22.74 | 18.69 | 32.84 | 5.09 | 15.41 | 0.0830 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of LSSNPM |  | 9594 | 6.61 | 6.75 | 0.17 | 22.74 | 22.57 | 65.41 | 0.92 | 14.07 | 0.1230 | 0.0100 |
|  | 33000 | 3668 | 1.64 | 1.41 | -0.22 | 8.14 | 8.36 | 72.35 | 0.22 | 4.29 | 0.1420 | 0. 0100 |
|  | 34300 | 1419 | 1.79 | 1.44 | -0.27 | 8.28 | 8.55 | 81.73 | -0.16 | 4.52 | 0.9423 | 0.0000 |
|  | 34900 | 3734 | 4.01 | 3.52 | 0.25 | 17.44 | 17.19 | 58.57 | 1.33 | 8.51 | 0.0957 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of NPM |  | 8821 | 2.66 | 2.02 | -0.27 | 17.44 | 17.72 | 80.34 | 0.30 | 7.02 | 0.1431 | 0.0100 |

Appendix 5 continued.

| Species | Tag ID | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STH | 30699 | 10028 | 3.29 | 3.37 | -0.29 | 6.27 | 6.56 | 44.54 | 0.65 | 5.73 | 0.0353 | 0.0100 |
|  | 30799 | 10681 | 3.35 | 3.31 | -0.28 | 7.79 | 8.08 | 45.72 | 0.61 | 6.00 | 0.0392 | 0.0100 |
|  | 30999 | 10911 | 1.30 | 1.04 | -0.30 | 4.97 | 5.26 | 83.57 | -0.03 | 3.52 | 0.1253 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31099 | 2130 | 1.84 | 1.73 | -0.25 | 6.42 | 6.67 | 94.06 | -0.16 | 4.71 | 0.1706 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31199 | 11366 | 1.36 | 0.97 | -0.32 | 6.30 | 6.62 | 85.08 | 0.03 | 3.83 | 0.1492 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31299 | 5249 | 1.43 | 1.33 | -0.25 | 5.24 | 5.50 | 75.26 | -0.07 | 3.37 | 0.0608 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31499 | 10972 | 0.92 | 0.39 | -0.28 | 7.64 | 7.92 | 131.5 | 0.01 | 3.70 | 0.2637 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of STH |  | 53958 | 2.01 | 1.63 | -0.32 | 7.79 | 8.11 | 82.87 | 0.05 | 5.10 | 0.1230 | 0.0100 |
| WAL | 31700 | 14974 | 5.17 | 3.65 | 0.45 | 20.57 | 20.12 | 74.28 | 1.96 | 13.62 | 0.2244 | 0.0100 |
|  | 33700 | 14819 | 3.55 | 3.19 | -0.28 | 21.33 | 21.61 | 63.75 | 0.54 | 6.78 | 0.0744 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34100 | 3654 | 8.72 | 8.36 | 0.55 | 20.43 | 19.89 | 48.63 | 2.53 | 14.87 | 0.1142 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34301 | 6039 | 6.23 | 5.08 | 0.97 | 25.96 | 24.99 | 67.27 | 1.99 | 15.67 | 0.1446 | 0.0100 |
|  | 35701 | 3450 | 3.28 | 2.85 | 0.40 | 11.45 | 11.05 | 39.18 | 1.87 | 5.84 | 0.1496 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of WAL |  | 42936 | 4.91 | 3.74 | -0.28 | 25.96 | 26.24 | 74.54 | 1.42 | 13.33 | 0.1661 | 0.0100 |

Appendix 6. Summaries of temperature data $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ by Tag ID and species. BLS $=$ bridgelip sucker, $\mathrm{LNS}=$ longnose sucker, $\mathrm{LSS}=$ largescale sucker, NPM = northern pikeminnow, STH = triploid steelhead, WAL = walleye, $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size, Med = median, min= minimum, Max = maximum, $\mathrm{CV}=$ coefficient of variation, $5 \%=5^{\text {th }}$ percentile, $95 \%=95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, Wilk's $=$ Wilk's lambda value for test of normality, $\operatorname{Pr}>\mathrm{W}=$ probability of a larger Wilk's lambda value (those $\leq 0.05$ indicate a distribution with a significant deviation from normality). A value of . indicates no data.

| Species | Tag ID | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLS | 32100 | 3650 | 10.17 | 10.31 | 7.92 | 11.95 | 4.03 | 9.46 | 8.30 | 11.57 | 0.0834 | 0.0100 |
|  | 32300 | 3794 | 10.18 | 10.22 | 7.84 | 12.24 | 4.40 | 9.64 | 8.34 | 11.61 | 0.0790 | 0.0100 |
|  | 33001 | 2980 | 12.47 | 12.47 | 10.13 | 14.68 | 4.55 | 6.46 | 11.04 | 13.77 | 0.0596 | 0.0100 |
|  | 33300 | 3875 | 10.26 | 10.41 | 7.89 | 12.55 | 4.65 | 10.07 | 8.40 | 11.92 | 0.0679 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34901 | 3364 | 12.70 | 12.75 | 10.25 | 14.85 | 4.60 | 6.87 | 11.04 | 14.06 | 0.0591 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of BLSLNS |  | 17663 | 11.06 | 10.94 | 7.84 | 14.85 | 7.01 | 13.44 | 8.59 | 13.54 | 0.0441 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34201 | 3350 | 9.22 | 8.99 | 7.40 | 11.49 | 4.09 | 11.22 | 7.93 | 11.10 | 0.1228 | 0.0100 |
|  | 36401 | 3931 | 9.81 | 9.63 | 7.82 | 12.86 | 5.04 | 12.60 | 8.20 | 11.82 | 0.1162 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of LNSLSS |  | 7281 | 9.54 | 9.37 | 7.40 | 12.86 | 5.45 | 12.42 | 8.06 | 11.56 | 0.1130 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31201 | 3450 | 9.94 | 9.75 | 7.79 | 12.63 | 4.83 | 10.62 | 8.58 | 11.84 | 0.0960 | 0.0100 |
|  | 32701 | 6144 | 10.43 | 10.59 | 7.31 | 13.73 | 6.42 | 15.67 | 7.97 | 12.82 | 0.0852 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of LSSNPM |  | 9594 | 10.25 | 10.14 | 7.31 | 13.73 | 6.42 | 14.35 | 8.10 | 12.68 | 0.0738 | 0.0100 |
|  | 33000 | 3672 | 11.12 | 10.87 | 7.49 | 17.26 | 9.77 | 12.79 | 9.12 | 13.88 | 0.1284 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34300 | 1542 | 11.15 | 10.61 | 9.69 | 18.28 | 8.59 | 13.80 | 9.82 | 14.71 | 0.7549 | 0.0000 |
|  | 34900 | 3734 | 11.44 | 11.61 | 9.64 | 13.97 | 4.33 | 9.15 | 9.90 | 12.92 | 0.1321 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of NPM |  | 8948 | 11.26 | 11.00 | 7.49 | 18.28 | 10.79 | 11.65 | 9.74 | 13.39 | 0.0910 | 0.0100 |

Appendix 6 continued.

| Species | Tag ID | N | Mean | Med | Min | Max | Range | CV | 5\% | 95\% | Wilk's | Pr>W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STH | 30699 | 10819 | 10.85 | 10.73 | 5.00 | 16.10 | 11.10 | 29.54 | 5.63 | 15.23 | 0.1311 | 0.0100 |
|  | 30799 | 10717 | 10.84 | 10.85 | 5.13 | 16.35 | 11.23 | 28.71 | 5.75 | 15.10 | 0.1253 | 0.0100 |
|  | 30999 | 11363 | 11.29 | 11.35 | 5.50 | 16.48 | 10.98 | 28.14 | 6.25 | 15.73 | 0.1248 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31099 | 2143 | 6.30 | 6.25 | 5.00 | 8.35 | 3.35 | 11.37 | 5.25 | 7.48 | 0.1192 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31199 | 11366 | 11.16 | 11.35 | 5.13 | 16.35 | 11.23 | 29.31 | 5.75 | 15.60 | 0.1244 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31299 | 10977 | 10.91 | 10.73 | 5.00 | 15.98 | 10.98 | 29.68 | 5.63 | 15.35 | 0.1301 | 0.0100 |
|  | 31499 | 10976 | 10.96 | 10.85 | 5.00 | 16.10 | 11.10 | 29.60 | 5.63 | 15.48 | 0.1271 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of STH |  | 55241 | 11.02 | 11.10 | 5.00 | 16.48 | 11.48 | 29.10 | 5.75 | 15.48 | 0.1257 | 0.0100 |
| WAL | 31700 | 14974 | 14.80 | 15.34 | 9.25 | 19.06 | 9.81 | 17.79 | 9.91 | 17.86 | 0.1185 | 0.0100 |
|  | 33700 | 14973 | 15.33 | 16.01 | 9.54 | 18.77 | 9.24 | 17.60 | 10.20 | 18.51 | 0.1296 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34100 | 3654 | 10.12 | 10.26 | 7.25 | 14.16 | 6.91 | 9.69 | 8.38 | 11.65 | 0.0784 | 0.0100 |
|  | 34301 | 6039 | 12.11 | 12.02 | 8.84 | 18.78 | 9.94 | 15.66 | 9.11 | 16.26 | 0.0678 | 0.0100 |
|  | 35701 | 3450 | 12.44 | 12.53 | 10.19 | 14.10 | 3.90 | 7.23 | 10.84 | 13.71 | 0.0988 | 0.0100 |
| Summary of WAL |  | 43090 | 14.02 | 13.75 | 7.25 | 19.06 | 11.81 | 20.66 | 9.64 | 18.25 | 0.0938 | 0.0100 |

# Chapter II: The progression and lethality of gas bubble disease in resident fish of Rufus Woods Lake. 

S. P. VanderKooi, R. G. Morris, J. W. Beeman, and A. G. Maule


#### Abstract

Laboratory evaluations of gas bubble disease sign progression and time-to-death were conducted at the Columbia River Research Laboratory for fish species resident to Rufus Woods Lake from the summer of 2000 to spring of 2002. Species evaluated included: largescale sucker (LSS), longnose sucker (LSS), northern pikeminnow (NPM), redside shiner (RSS), and walleye (WAL). Total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS) levels evaluated were 115, 125 and 130\%. Little mortality was observed at $115 \%$ TDGS, yet the most dramatic signs were observed in every species after prolonged exposure at this level of TDGS. Progression of GBD signs proved to be unpredictable at any treatment level, with the exception that long-term exposure to $115 \%$ resulted in the most exaggerated signs. Fish exposed to 125 and 130\% TDGS died without extensive sign formation, suggesting that prevalence and severity of signs are not predictive of mortality. The time to $50 \%$ mortality (LT50) for all test species were nearly halved or better at $130 \%$ as compared to $125 \%$ TDGS. Species sensitivities for $125 \%$ TDGS were NPM $\geq$ LSS $>$ LNS $>$ RSS $>$ WAL and at $130 \%$ were LSS $>\mathrm{NPM}>\mathrm{LNS} \geq$ RSS $>$ WAL. Largescale suckers were the most sensitive of the two sucker species to TDGS.


## Introduction

Gas-supersaturated water causes a condition known as gas bubble disease (GBD) in aquatic organisms, and induces a variety of sub-lethal and lethal effects in fish and other aquatic species (Weitkamp and Katz 1980). Historic total dissolved gas saturation in the tailrace below Grand Coulee Dam has exceeded $140 \%$ (COE 2000) and fish kills attributed to gas-supersaturated water below Grand Coulee Dam have occurred (Elston 1998). Similar total dissolved gas levels have been found at other dams within the region (COE 2000), and this has resulted in extensive research on the effects of GBD on salmonids in the Columbia River Basin (Ebel et al. 1975, Stroud et al. 1975, Hans et al. 1999, Weiland et al. 1999, Mesa et al. 2000). While much of the research has been focused on GBD impacts on salmon, predators of juvenile salmon or game fish (Montgomery and Becker 1980, Bentley and Dawley 1981, Counihan et al. 1998), impacts of GBD on resident fish have received less attention (Ryan et al. 2000).

Susceptibility to GBD varies between species (Stroud et al. 1975, Fickeisen and Montgomery 1978) and life history stage within a species (Weitkamp and Katz 1980, Alderdice and Jensen 1985, McDonough and Hemmingsen 1985, Jensen 1988, Krise and Herman 1991). Variations in behavior or habitat preferences may also result in different susceptibilities to GBD. Each meter of water depth compensates, via hydrostatic pressure, for approximately 10 \% TDGS (Weitkamp and Katz 1980). Juvenile Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and steelhead (O. mykiss) have been found to migrate at median depths of $1.7-2.7 \mathrm{~m}$ (Beeman et al. 1999). Fish migrating at these depths would be able to compensate for TDGS levels from $117-126 \%$. Fish occupying shallow habitats or near surface areas under similar TDGS conditions would be more susceptible to GBD given the lack of hydrostatic compensation afforded them.

The impact a TDGS event has on a fish community depends on many factors including the level of TDGS, the duration of the event, the suceptibility of each species, as well as the life-history stages of each species present. Though the susceptibiltiy of juvenile salmonids has been thoroughly studied (Dawley and Ebel. 1975, Stroud et al. 1975, Hans et al. 1999, Weiland et al. 1999, Mesa et al. 2000), little information exists on the susceptibility of resident species in the Columbia River.

As part of a study to monitor the effects of TDGS on resident fish below Grand Coulee Dam, a series of laboratory experiments were conducted on several resident species at the Columbia River Research Laboratory (CRRL) in Cook, WA. Study objectives were to determine the effects of several levels of TDGS on GBD in terms of progression of signs and time to mortality of selected species of fish present in Rufus Woods Lake, which is the impounded Columbia River between Chief Joseph Dam and Grand Coulee Dam (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Rufus Woods Lake

## Methods

Test fish.--Trials were conducted from the summer of 2000 to spring of 2002, and study fish included largescale sucker (LSS) Catostomus macrocheilus (mean weight (WT) $\pm \mathrm{SE}=30.0 \pm$ 1.1 g , mean fork length ( FL ) $\pm \mathrm{SE}=136.7 \pm 1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), longnose sucker (LNS) C. catostomus $(\mathrm{WT}=71.1 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{FL}=180.8 \pm 1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), northern pikeminnow (NPM) Ptychocheilus oregonensis ( $\mathrm{WT}=34.6 \pm 2.3 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{FL}=137.1 \pm 2.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), redside shiner (RSS) Richardsonius balteatus ( $\mathrm{WT}=8.2 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{FL}=87.7 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and walleye (WAL) Stizostedian vitreum (WT $=30.7 \pm 1.5 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{FL}=153.1 \pm 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Longnose sucker and redside shiner were collected from Rufus Woods Lake and northern pikeminnow and largescale sucker were collected from the freeflowing Hanford Reach of the Columbia River. Fish were collected by boat electrofisher (SmithRoot 18-E Electrofishing Workboat, Model GPP Electrofisher, Vancouver, WA) using 400-500 V pulsed DC at 30 pulses $/ \mathrm{sec}$ and 3-4 Amps. Fish were netted and placed in the electrofishing boat's live well then transferred by dipnet to either a small concrete raceway supplied with well
water or 133 L mesh-walled containers anchored in the river and held for up to 2 days. Fish held in the raceway were transferred by dipnet directly to a transport tank while fish held in-river were transferred to the boat's live well, moved by boat to a transport vehicle, and transferred to a transport tank. Fish were transported to CRRL in oxygenated well or river water. Walleye were obtained from McKenzie Fish Company, Stacy, MN and shipped in chilled, oxygenated, salted well water by truck to CRRL.

At CRRL, fish were acclimatized to well water heated to $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a minimum of one week prior to use. Excess dissolved gas was removed by passing the heated water through a packed column; the TDGS in the holding tanks and control tanks for each experiment was maintained at $104.5 \pm 0.2 \%$. Walleye were salted initially to prevent disease and abrupt changes in water quality. Fish were kept in outdoor 1,400-L flow-through circular fiberglass holding tanks and were fed Deep-frozen Blood Worms ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (redside shiner) or Rangen Quality Feed for Aquaculture ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (walleye, sucker species and northern pikeminnow) daily. Fish were not fed for the duration of each test and were maintained under a natural photoperiod throughout the studies.

Experimental system. - Water supersaturated with atmospheric air was generated by water heating and injecting air into water under pressure as described by Mesa et al. (2000) with the exception that larger test tanks were used. The mean water volume ( $\pm \mathrm{SE}$ ) for the study tanks was $154.8 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{~L}$ and the mean water depth ( $\pm \mathrm{SE}$ ) was $26.0 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ to minimize depth compensation. Mean flow rate ( $\pm \mathrm{SE}$ ) was $4.8 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. Water temperature, barometric pressure, barometric pressure minus total pressure (delta $P$ ), and percent saturation was measured throughout all studies using a Total Dissolved Gas and Oxygen Monitor, Model TBO-L (Common Sensing, Inc. Clark Fork, ID). Nominal TDGS concentrations were also measured in all tanks before and after each trial using the Common Sensing TDGS meter, a Weiss ES-2 saturometer (Eco Enterprises, Seattle, WA) or Tensionometer 300E (Alpha Designs, Victoria, B.C.). Different meters were used due to meter malfunctions. All meters were calibrated according to the manufacturer's specifications.

Experimental procedure. - The progression of signs of GBD was evaluated in longnose sucker, redside shiner and walleye at TDGS levels of 115,125 and $130 \%$ and in largescale sucker and northern pikeminnow at TDGS levels of 115 and $125 \%$ (Table 2). In separate experiments, the time to $50 \%$ mortality (LT50) was determined in largescale sucker, longnose sucker, walleye, redside shiner, and northern pikeminnow at TDGS levels of 125 and 130\%. The LT50s for fish
at $115 \%$ TDGS were not determined as there was very little mortality for up to 4 weeks during the progression of signs experiments. For progression of signs studies, varying numbers of fish were stocked in each of four treatment and two control tanks (Table 2) which changed depending upon availability of a species. For LT50 determinations, 10 fish were stocked in each of one control and four treatment tanks, with the exception that only five fish were placed in a control tank at 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS in northern pikeminnow tests due to limited supplies of this species (Table 2).

In progression of signs studies, fish sampling was initiated at first mortality and three fish were sampled at 2-h intervals from randomly designated pairs of treatment tanks with one fish being removed from one tank and two from the other, alternately. In trials at 115\% TDGS this process was modified to sampling every 24 h due to little or no mortality. Fish were observed every 2 h and sampled every 8 h after the first mortality at $115 \%$ TDGS. For LT50 determinations, dead fish were removed at designated observation periods and evaluated for signs of GBD.

Sampling and examination. - Fish were rapidly netted and placed in a lethal dose of tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222; $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, buffered $1: 1$ with sodium bicarbonate) made from the supersaturated water supplying the treatment tanks. Mortalities were also removed at the sampling or observation time and placed in supersaturated water until evaluation. Control fish were sampled at the end of each trial and were placed in buffered MS-222 prepared with water from the control tanks. The fork length and weight of each fish was measured and the fish was placed left-side up on a paper towel moistened with water from the appropriate test solution. Visual examinations of GBD signs were then conducted for all sampled fish using the method described by Mesa et al. (2000). This included evaluation of the lateral line, unpaired fins (dorsal, caudal and anal fins), and the left eye. Fish were examined externally using a dissecting microscope with $8-40 \mathrm{X}$ zoom magnification. A ruler-like micrometer divided into units of 0.5 mm was used to measure the percent of the lateral line occluded with bubbles. The left eye and unpaired fins were examined and assigned a rank based on the percent of area covered with bubbles: $0=$ no bubbles; $1=1-25 \%$ covered; $2=26-50 \%$ covered; $3=51-75 \%$ covered; $4>$ $75 \%$ covered. The first gill arch was then excised with surgical scissors and placed in a drop of the supersaturated water on a microscope slide. Gill filaments were then severed from the arch with a razor blade and immediately analyzed using a compound microscope under 40 - 100X magnification. The total number of filaments examined and the number of filaments with at least one intravascular bubble were recorded.

Data analysis. -The mean percent occlusion of the lateral line, mean percent of gill filaments with gas bubbles in the vasculature, and the mean severity rating from the unpaired fins was calculated for each progression of signs trial using only fish sampled live. The responses of individuals were also plotted in each of these categories versus exposure time by species for each TDGS level tested. Data from individuals were examined visually and if necessary, transformed to normalize distribution and equalize spread. Appropriate transformations were determined by examination of residual plots as suggested by Ramsey and Schafer (1997).

The relationship between severity of GBD signs and exposure time to each TDGS level was described using linear regression. The slopes of the regression lines were considered to differ significantly from zero at a level of $\alpha=0.05$. Comparisons of means were accomplished using General Linear Models (GLM, SAS 1999) and the Ryan's (Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch) multiple range test $(\alpha=0.05)$ as described by Toothaker (1993). Parametric comparisons of all fish used in a given experiment were considered valid for these data because time of exposure was not found to be a significant factor in the development of most of the signs as indicated by regression analysis. Signs of GBD from mortalities in progression studies were not included in data analysis. For each mortality trial, cumulative mortality as a percentage over time was plotted. The LT50 was estimated by extrapolation from a curve fitted to the mortality data with simple straight-line connections through each point.

## Results

## Water Quality

Trials were conducted from May 2000 to April 2002 and well water with the following water quality characteristics was used for all testing: hardness (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) $<10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$; alkalinity (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ), $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{pH}, 6.6$. National Testing Laboratories LTD conducted chemical analysis of the well water for water quality analysis and potential contaminants. No contaminants were found above minimum detection limits. Water temperature was maintained at about $12.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for all studies (Table 1). Total dissolved gas supersaturation varied little from the desired levels throughout the trials (Table 1), and control tank TDGS ( $\pm \mathrm{SE}$ ) was consistent for all studies at $104.3 \pm 0.13 \% ; \mathrm{N}=50$.

Progression of GBD signs trials for LLS were conducted at 115 and 125\% TDGS. Percent lateral line occlusion and percent of gill filaments with bubbles were significantly higher in fish exposed to $125 \%$ TDGS than to $115 \%$ (Table 2). Fin severity ratings, on the other hand, did not differ significantly between the two TDGS levels. Eye bubble severity rating did not differ significantly between treatment levels and over $90 \%$ of the values at any treatment were zero. Exophthalmia (popeye) was only observed in LSS at 115\% TDGS after 216 hours of exposure. Low-level mortality (9\%) occurred in LSS over the 17 d of the progression of GBD signs trial at $115 \%$ TDGS. The slopes of the regression lines describing lateral line occlusion and gill filament bubbles developing over time did not differ from zero (Figures 1A and B). The slope of the regression line for fin-severity ratings differed significantly from zero (Figure 1C), but the regression had little explanatory power (i.e., $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.13$ ) due to high levels of inter-individual variation. Mortality levels were high ( $72 \%$ ) during the $20-\mathrm{h}$ progression of GBD signs trial at $125 \%$ TDGS. None of the regression lines describing sign development over exposure time in the $125 \%$ TDGS trial had slopes that differed from zero (Figure 2). Evaluation of control fish at the termination of each study revealed no signs of gas bubble disease. Mortality rates (as LT50) were 17 h at $125 \%$ TDGS and 9.5 h at $130 \%$ (Table 3).

## Longnose sucker

Progression of GBD signs trials for LNS were conducted at 115, 125, and 130\% TDGS. Lateral line occlusion and percent of gill filaments with bubbles followed the same pattern observed in LSS—lateral line occlusion was lowest at $115 \%$ TDGS (Table 2) and increased to its highest levels at $125 \%$ and $130 \%$. Although lateral line occlusion declined slightly between 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS, the values did not differ significantly. Over $80 \%$ of the fin ratings were between zero and one for all treatments and there was no statistically significant difference between fin ratings for any treatment.

There were no eye bubbles in over $94 \%$ of the LNS examined, and there was no difference between treatment levels. Exophthalmia was observed in this species only at 115\% TDGS after 168 h of exposure. Mortality levels were low (8\%) during the 27-d progression of GBD signs trial at $115 \%$ TDGS. The slopes of the regression lines for lateral line occlusion (square root transformed), gill filaments with bubbles ( $\log _{e}$ transformed), and fin-severity ratings over time
all differed significantly from zero ( $P=0.023$; Figure 3 ). Explanatory power of all three regressions was low ( $r^{2} \leq 0.15$ ). In the progression of GBD signs trial at $125 \%$ TDGS, mortality reached $44 \%$ in 71 h . None of the slopes of regression lines describing the GBD sign development over exposure time differed from zero (Figure 4). High levels of mortality (62\%) were observed during the $50-\mathrm{h}$ GBD progression of signs trial at $130 \%$ TDGS. The slopes of the regression lines describing lateral line occlusion and gill filament bubble development over time differed significantly from zero ( $P<0.02$ ), but high variability between individuals resulted in relatively weak explanatory values for these regressions (Figures 5). The slope of the regression line for fin-severity ratings to exposure time did not differ from zero (Figure 5C).

Evaluation of control fish at the termination of each study revealed no signs of gas bubble disease during any study and control survival was $100 \%$ for all studies except the $115 \%$ progression of signs evaluation. One third of the control fish and one tenth of treated fish died during this trial. This loss appeared to be related to holding stress and all mortalities were evaluated but not used in GBD evaluation statistics. No GBD signs were observed in control mortalities. The LT50s were 56 h at 125\% TDGS and 30 h at 130\% (Table 3).

## Northern pikeminnow

Progression of GBD in NPM was monitored in trials at 115 and 125\% TDGS. Gas bubble disease signs followed the same pattern as those observed in largescale sucker between 115 and $125 \%$ TDGS. Lateral line occlusion did not differ significantly between treatment levels and percent of gill filaments with bubbles increased significantly between treatment levels (Table 4), while fin severity ratings were significantly lower at $125 \%$ than $115 \%$. Over $80 \%$ of fin ratings at any treatment level were either zero or one.

The progression of GBD signs trial at $115 \%$ TDGS lasted for 26 d , and there was one mortality. Mortality levels reached $70 \%$ during the 20 h of the progression of GBD signs trial at $125 \%$ TDGS. None of the regression lines describing the development of lateral line occlusion, prevalence of gill filaments with bubbles, or fin-severity ratings over time in either the $115 \%$ or the $125 \%$ trial had slopes that differed from zero (Figures 6 and 7 ). The percent of bubles in the gill filaments was significantly higher at $125 \%$ than $115 \%$ TDGS (Table 2). There were no bubbles in the eyes of any fish in these experiments and exophthalmia was observed in this species only at $115 \%$ TDGS after 168 h of exposure. Control fish revealed no signs of gas
bubble disease for any study nor were there any control mortalities. Due to insufficient supplies of fish, the progression of signs in NPM at the highest TDGS level was not examined. The LT50 was 15.3 h at $125 \%$ TDGS and 10 h at $130 \%$ (Table 3).

## Redside shiner

Progression of GBD in RSS was monitored in trials at 115, 125, and 130\% TDGS. Mean levels of lateral line occlusion, gill filaments with bubbles, and fin severity ratings all increased significantly between 115 and 130\% TDGS (Table 2). However, over $90 \%$ of the fin ratings were either zero or one for all treatment levels. Redside shiner was the only species to exhibit significant eye bubble development between TDGS levels (Table 2); however, over 74\% of the data collected were still zero. Redside shiner also exhibited a trend in exophthalmia opposite to the other species showing no exophthalmia at $115 \%$ and elevated levels at 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS.

There were no mortalities over the 30 -d of the progression of GBD signs trial at $115 \%$ TDGS. Mortality levels were $19 \%$ and $37 \%$ for the $125 \%$ and $130 \%$ TDGS progression of GBD signs trials\%, respectively. None of the slopes for regression lines describing lateral line occlusion, gill filament occlusion with bubbles, or fin bubble development over exposure time in any of the trials with redside shiner differed significantly from zero (Figs 8, 9, and 10). Evaluation of control fish revealed no signs of gas bubble disease for any study and there were no control mortalities in any redside shiner study. The LT50s for RSS were 116 h at $125 \%$ TDGS and 31 h at $130 \%$ (Table 3).

## Walleye

Progression of GBD in WAL was monitored in trials at 115, 125, and 130\% TDGS. The percent of gill filaments with bubbles, eye, caudal and anal fin severity ratings did not differ significantly at any TDGS (Table 2) while mean dorsal fin rating differed significantly only between 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS $(P<0.01)$. Over $75 \%$ of the fin severity ratings were zero. Mean percent lateral line occlusion differed significantly between treatments ( $125 \%>130 \%>115 \%, P<0.0001$ ). The regression lines describing the progression of lateral line occlusion over time revealed different trends at each TDGS. At 115\% TDGS, the slope of the regression line was significantly different from zero ( $P<0.0001$ ) and lateral line occlusion declined over time (Figure 11). At $125 \%$ TDGS, the slope of the regression line did not differ significantly from
zero (Figure 12; $P=0.25$ ) while at $130 \%$ TDGS, the slope of the lateral line occlusion regression line differed significantly from zero $(P<0.0001)$ and lateral line occlusion increased over time (Figure 13). Mean fin severity ratings differed significantly from zero at $115 \%$ and $130 \%$ but not at $125 \%$ TDGS (Figures 11, 12, and13). Regressions for eye bubble severity did not differ significantly from zero at any TDGS and over $97 \%$ of our values were zero (Figures 13, 12, and 13). Exopthalmia was first noted at 168 h . in the $115 \%$ progression of signs study and was fairly common towards the end of the study. Popeye was not observed in either the $125 \%$ or $130 \%$ TDGS progression of signs study. Evaluation of control fish revealed no signs of gas bubble disease for any study and there were no control mortalities in any walleye study. The LT50 was 169 h at $125 \%$ TDGS and 62 h at $130 \%$ (Table 3).

## Interspecies Comparisons

115\% TDGS - Northern pikeminnow and walleye had significantly higher lateral line occlusion ( $24.7 \%$ and $21.9 \%$, respectively) than any of the other species tested (Table 4). No significant difference in percent of gill filament with bubbles or eye bubble formation was found in any species (Table 4) at $115 \%$ TDGS. Largescale sucker exhibited significantly greater fin bubble formation than longnose sucker, northern pikeminnow and walleye, which did not differ, while redside shiner ranked significantly lower than all other test species.

No LT50s were determined for any species at this exposure level due to the extremely low levels of mortality. Largescale sucker exhibited a $10 \%$ mortality rate in the progression of signs study. Longnose sucker also exhibited a $10 \%$ mortality rate at this treatment but the control mortality $(33 \%)$ precludes any discussion of this mortality in relation to GBD.

125\% TDGS - Walleye exhibited the highest levels of lateral line occlusion (Table 4), followed by northern pikeminnow. Redside shiner, largescale sucker and longnose sucker had the lowest and were not significantly different from each other. Multiple comparison tests for bubbles in gill filaments indicated that the two sucker species did not differ but were significantly higher than walleye, northern pikeminnow or redside shiner (Table 4). Redside shiner, walleye and northern pikeminnow did not differ, but largescale sucker fin bubble development was significantly higher than the four other species, which were effectively equal. It is worth noting, however, that none of the mean fin ratings exceeded 1.0. There was no significant difference in
eye bubble formation between any species at this treatment (Table 4), however, $94.4 \%$ of our eye bubble data was zero.

Walleye had the longest LT50 at 125\% TDGS, exceeding 160 hours (Figure 14). Redside shiner were the next most resistant with an LT50 of 113 hours. Largescale sucker and northern pikeminnow were the most sensitive species at this level with LT50s at approximately 16.5 and 15.5 hours, respectively. Largescale sucker and northern pikeminnow also exhibited similar mortality curves (Figure 15).

130\% TDGS. - Only three species, longnose sucker, walleye, and redside shiner were tested for progression of signs at this level due to inadequate supplies of fish. Walleye exhibited significantly higher lateral line occlusion than redside shiner, which was significantly higher than longnose sucker (Table 4). Walleye exhibited significantly lower percent bubbles in gill filaments than redside shiner or longnose sucker, which did not differ from each other (Table 4). Longnose sucker fin bubble rank was significantly higher than redside shiner, while walleye ranked intermediate and did not differ from either species. None of these mean fin rankings exceeded 1.0. There was a significant difference in eye bubble prevalence between redside shiner and longnose sucker (Table 4) although over $86 \%$ of our observations were zero for all three species.

The LT50s for all species were nearly halved or better at $130 \%$ as compared to $125 \%$ TDGS (Table 3) with the exception of the most sensitive species, northern pikeminnow, which exhibited a $31 \%$ decrease. Walleye were the most resistant species with an LT50 of 62 hours. Redside shiner and longnose sucker exhibited almost identical LT50s at 130\% TDGS of approximately 31 hours. Largescale sucker and northern pikeminnow also exhibited extremely close LT50s of 9.5 and 10.5 hours, respectively (Table 3). The mortality curve and the LT50 for walleye at $130 \%$ TDGS were very similar to those of longnose sucker at $125 \%$ TDGS (Figure 7).

## Discussion

Perhaps the most interesting finding in our study is the species-specific variability in the rates of mortality as measured by LT50 (Table 3 and Figures 14 and 15). There were 10 -fold differences in the LT50s of fish exposed to $125 \%$ TDGS and 6-fold differences when fish were exposed to $130 \%$ TDGS. It is possible, and perhaps likely, that these differences in sensitivity to high

TDGS will differentially impact fish populations in Rufus Woods Lake. For example, a 24-h spike in TDGS to $130 \%$ would kill a greater proportion of largescale suckers and northern pikeminnows as compared to walleye and redside shiners-assuming that the populations are at similar depths. Similarly, the difference in sensitivity might shift survival or fitness advantage to the most resistant species. For example, at low TDGS or short exposure times, the ability of sensitive fish (e.g., largescale suckers) to avoid predation might be reduced, while piscivorous walleye would be relatively free from adverse effects and could actively prey upon the suckers.

In our examination of five fish species resident to Rufus Woods Lake we found no predictive relation between the progression of signs of GBD and mortality caused by a combination of level of TDGS and time of exposure. Our results also suggest that the progression of GBD in terms of severity of signs is species dependent. That is, for some species and TDGS level there was a significant increase in severity of signs in one or more location on the fish (e.g., gills, lateral line, or skin) with increasing time of exposure. There were significant positive relations between some signs and time of exposure at $115 \%$ TDGS in walleye, both sucker species tested, and at $130 \%$ TDGS for longnose sucker. This was not, however, true of northern pikeminnow or redside shiner, in which signs did not change through time in any exposure trials. These findings are consistent with those of Ryan et al. (2000) who reported that severity of GBD signs was a weak predictor, compared to prevalence of signs, for modeling susceptibility to TDGS in non-salmonid fish from the Snake and lower Columbia rivers. On the other hand, Mesa et al. (2000) observed that GBD signs increased significantly through time for virtually all tests with juvenile Chinook salmon Oncorhyncus tshawytscha and steelhead O. mykiss at $110 \%, 120 \%$ and $130 \%$ TDGS.

The species used in this study may account for the lack of significant relations between signs of GBD and length of exposure to TDGS, as the signs selected for evaluating the progression of GBD may not be appropriate for all species. The monitoring design for these trials was based on that used by Mesa et al. (2000) to describe GBD severity trends over time in juvenile Chinook salmon and steelhead in laboratory studies. They found stronger correlations between severity and time at higher TDGS levels. Ryan et al. (2000), however, found that severity of GBD symptoms supplied weak or variable relations with TDGS exposure in in-situ studies and thus were prevented from making a predictive model describing GBD signs in non-salmonid fishes. Our results concur with those of Ryan et al. (2000) and indicate that relations between GBD sign severity and their progression over time are highly variable between individuals and species.

While GBD signs generally did not worsen over time, the severity of signs increased somewhat as TDGS level increased. In addition, the LT50 decreased for all species as TDGS level increased (Table 3). The inverse relation between mean severity of signs and time to death suggests that at the higher TDGS, fish are dying prior to formation of GBD signs. Stroud et al. (1975) observed that fish exposed to high TDGS died before external lesions occurred. Colt et al. (1985) also found that GBD signs in mortality studies with channel catfish declined over time. Dawley and Ebel (1975) noted that severity of signs decreased in juvenile Chinook salmon as TDGS increased and concluded that the fish died from cardiac or branchial artery occlusion before the signs could develop.

The magnitude of responses for each GBD sign varied by species and TDGS exposure level. The two sucker species usually exhibited signs closer to each other than to the other species (Table 4), yet had very different LT50s (Table 3). Lateral line occlusion may be an appropriate indicator of GBD severity within a species, however, the same comparisons between species may be inappropriate. Northern pikeminnow and walleye exhibited $25 \%$ and $22 \%$ lateral line occlusion, respectively, at 115\% TDGS, while all other species had occlusion levels below $2 \%$ (Table 4). Lateral line occlusion was greater in all species at $125 \%$ than at $115 \%$ TDGS, and species specificity changed dramatically, with the greatest mean lateral line occlusion occurring in walleye ( $72 \%$ ), the next being pikeminnow ( $28 \%$ ) and much lower levels in the other species (Table 4). Lateral line occlusion did not change in longnose sucker, but increased significantly in redside shiner between $125 \%$ and $130 \%$ (Table 4). Longnose sucker exhibited the lowest lateral line occlusion at every TDGS level. Walleye exhibited the largest change in lateral line occlusion between treatment levels (Table 2).

A possible explanation for the observed differences in lateral line occlusion may be differences in lateral line pore size between species. During experiments with longnose sucker, the relatively large size of their lateral line pores was noted. Bubbles occluding the lateral line were also observed escaping via these pores and lines of bubbles were frequently observed in the suckers' mucous coat immediately above the lateral line pores (Morris et al. 2003; Chapter V, this report). Subsequent measurements of lateral line pore diameter revealed pores were largest in longnose sucker, slightly smaller in largescale sucker, smallest in northern pikeminnow, walleye and redside shiner (Morris et al. 2003; Chapter V, this report). These pore sizes were inversely related to levels of lateral line occlusion, suggesting that fish with larger pores are less likely to develop high levels of lateral line occlusion.

Observations of bubbles exiting the lateral line via large pores also suggests a mechanism by which some fish may be able to dissipate excess dissolved gas from the circulation, thus involving the lateral line in cutaneous respiration. Since suffocation resulting from haemostasis is one cause of death from GBD in acute exposures, it is reasonable to assume that fish with differing rates of cutaneous respiration, as described in salmonids by Rombough and Ure (1991), will exhibit different levels of resistance to GBD. Differing cutaneous respiration rates may also be a stochastic factor in the formation of GBD signs. Results from our mortality trials, however, indicate that if this mechanism does exist it has little influence on mortality from GBD and the relative cutaneous respiration efficiencies for the species we tested are not known. The shortest LT50s at both $125 \%$ and $130 \%$ TDGS were observed in northern pikeminnow and largescale sucker, fish with the second highest and next to lowest levels of lateral line occlusion, respectively (Table 4).

Changes in the percent of gill filaments with bubbles as TDGS increased followed a pattern similar to that observed in lateral line occlusion, but the responses of individual species were quite different. At $115 \%$ TDGS, species differences in percent of gill filament with bubbles were apparent with largescale sucker having small but significantly higher levels of affected gills than northern pikeminnow and redside shiner (Table 3). The percentage of gill filaments with bubbles was low for all species at $115 \%$ TDGS and increased in each at $125 \%$, most notably in longnose sucker and largescale sucker. The two sucker species exhibited significantly higher gill filament occlusion than walleye, northern pikeminnow or redside shiner at 125\% TDGS (Table 3). As with lateral line occlusion, we found that the percent of gill filaments with bubbles was greater in redside shiner at $130 \%$ than at $125 \%$ TDGS but decreased significantly in longnose sucker between 125 and 130\% TDGS (Table 3). Walleye revealed the least amount of gill filaments with bubbles at $125 \%$ and $130 \%$ TDGS of any of the species tested, which may be one reason for their insensitivity to elevated TDGS. However, northern pikeminnow, the most sensitive species at $125 \%$ TDGS, had relatively low levels of gill filaments with bubbles at $125 \%$ TDGS while largescale sucker, the next most sensitive species at $125 \%$ TDGS had the next highest levels of affect gill filaments.

Bubble formation in the gill filaments and cardiac occlusion during TDGS exposure are thought to be the immediate cause of death in fish (Marsh and Gorham 1905, Dawley and Ebel 1975, Weitkamp and Katz 1980). The LT50 in longnose sucker at 130\% TDGS was just over half that
of the trial at $125 \%$ although percent of gill filaments with bubbles declined between 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS. Similar to the conclusions of Mesa et al. (2000) working with Chinook salmon, these results suggest that while bubbles in the gill filaments of resident fish may be related to mortality, they cannot be used to predict mortality risk to individuals. The inability to predict risk may be due to the rapidity with which lethal bubbles form. Individual variability in gill filament blood vessel morphology and blood flow rates may influence when and where gas bubbles form, and how large they become.

The results from evaluating the severity of gas bubble formation in fins were quite different than the other signs monitored. In comparing trials at 115 and 125\% TDGS, fin ratings decreased slightly in largescale sucker and decreased significantly in northern pikeminnow, remained unchanged in longnose sucker, and increased significantly in redside shiner (Table 3). Fin severity ratings were significantly higher at $130 \%$ TDGS in redside shiner and slightly higher in longnose sucker. One difficulty with the fin rating data is that over $60 \%$ of our fin ratings were either zero or one. The results from measuring fin severity highlights the unique responses each species has to TDGS exposure. It is interesting to note that the two species in which mean fin severity declined were the most susceptible, in terms of mortality rates, to TDGS. These results concur with Stroud et al. (1975) and Dawley and Ebel's (1975) assertions that the fish die prior to the formation of signs at higher TDGS levels. Activity is thought to be one factor in GBD sign development (Montgomery and Becker 1980, McDonough and Hemmingsen 1985). Our results, however, show the most active species in these studies-northern pikeminnow and redside shiner-exhibited opposite trends in fin sign development. All of the species we tested exhibited decreased activity between treated and control tanks and tended to settle on or swim slowly near the bottom of the tanks. Decreased activity has been noted in numerous studies (Dawley and Ebel 1975, Stroud et al. 1975, Nebeker et al. 1976, Weitkamp et al. 1980, Bentley and Dawley 1981, Krise and Meade 1988, Krise 1993, Hans et al. 1999) and is usually attributed to efforts by the fish to compensate for TDGS. Such lethargic behavior would tend to minimize bubble formation due to movement and points to the possibility that TDGS may have an anesthetic effect that might be related to nitrogen narcosis (A. V. Nebeker, personal communication).

Evaluation of bubble formation on the eyes proved to be unproductive in estimating the impact of TDGS in four out of the five species studied, and of little predictive value on redside shiner as mean eye bubble severity rating did not exceed 0.3 for this species. While Krise and Smith
(1993) found that incidence of cornea swelling and all eye abnormalities increased with TDGS levels ( $\Delta \mathrm{P}$ of $4,17,33,43,58$ and 75 mm Hg above equilibrium) in studies of 12-month duration with lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush). Additionally, they found that individual GBD signs on the eyes (e.g., nuclear cataracts, eye hemorrhages, cloudy corneas, and bilateral abnormalities) could not be related to increasing TDGS. The observation that exophthalmia only occurred in largescale sucker, longnose sucker, and northern pikeminnow at $115 \%$, while developing only at 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS in redside shiner, highlights the species specificity of GBD sign development.

It would appear that application of these study results to field evaluation of GBD may be useful in separating chronic from acute exposures to TDGS. The presence of high levels of GBD signs in non-salmonid fish kills could indicate chronic exposure to lower levels of TDGS. Conversely, low levels of external signs in conjunction with bubbles in the gills and arterial system would indicate a relatively short-term, acute exposure to elevated TDGS. If a fish kill occured immediately below a dam, however, GBD sign evaluation could be rendered difficult due to the reabsorption of GBD signs caused by pressure-at-depth caused by dam passage via juvenile fish passage systems as described by Elston et al. (1997).

Our experiments were conducted, with the exception of redside shiner, using only juvenile or sub-adult fish. Our results might have been different if adult fish had been used. We found the LT50 established for northern pikeminnow was 15.3 h at $125 \%$ TDGS, 4.8 h less than the LE50 (lethal effects $-50 \%$ ) reported by Bentley and Dawley (1981) for in adult northern pikeminnow at $126 \%$ TDGS. The LT50s established for largescale sucker in our study were 17 h at $125 \%$ and 9.5 h at $130 \%$ TDGS, as compared to the LT50s of 34,67 , and 103 h at 128,124 , and $120 \%$ TDGS found by Fickeisen and Montgomery (1978). Bentley and Dawley (1981) used only adult fish and Fickeisen and Montgomery (1978) appeared to have used adults also, suggesting that our results using sub-adult fish are consistent with the idea that juvenile fish are more sensitive to GBD than adults (Weitkamp and Katz 1980). Variability in results between studies may also be attributed to differences in experimental systems and stocks of animals. The exclusive use of juveniles in our trials for four of the five species is valuable because this is the life stage at which fish are believed to be the most susceptible to the effects of TDGS. Understanding the impacts of TDGS on the least resistant life stage provides a worst-case scenario for the population as a whole.

The results of our study suggest that the severity of the GBD signs monitored are poor indicators of the duration of TDGS exposure and inadequate as predictors of mortality in largescale sucker and longnose sucker, northern pikeminnow, redside shiner, and walleye. Our results combined with length-frequency distributions from the species composition in Rufus Woods Lake (Venditti et al. 2001) suggest that juvenile largescale sucker and bridgelip sucker (C. columbianus) may be more susceptible to GBD in the wild than are longnose sucker. The results of our laboratory experiments will not allow us to predict mortality of resident fish in the field based solely on the prevalence and severity of signs of GBD. However, these results can be combined with data on TDGS and species-specific depth behavior (see Chapter II) to make an assessment of the relative risk experienced by resident fish in Rufus Woods Lake during high TDGS events.
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Table 1. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS) levels, water temperatures and numbers of fish used ( N ) from progression of signs and mortality experiments on largescale sucker (LSS), longnose sucker (LNS), northern pikeminnow (NPM), and redside shiner (RSS). TDGS was measured in all treatment tanks $(\mathrm{N}=4)$ at the start and end of all but two experiments. Values listed without SEs are the TDGS measurement from a single treatment tank.

| Species | Experiment type and TDGS target | Mean starting TDGS (\%) | Mean ending TDGS (\%) | Mean Water <br> Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LSS | Progression - 115\% | $115.5 \pm 0.3$ | $114.1 \pm 0.1$ | $12.2 \pm 0.01$ | 150 |
|  | Progression - 125\% | $124.6 \pm 0.1$ | $124.2 \pm 0.2$ | $12.2 \pm 0.03$ | 150 |
|  | Mortality - 125\% | $124.2 \pm 0.2$ | $124.2 \pm 0.2$ | $12.2 \pm 0.01$ | 60 |
|  | Mortality - 130\% | $129.1 \pm 0.1$ | $129.3 \pm 0.1$ | $12.2 \pm 0.03$ | 60 |
| LNS | Progression - 115\% | $114.6 \pm 0.1$ | $115.2 \pm 0.1$ | $12.4 \pm 0.04$ | 150 |
|  | Progression - 125\% | $125.8 \pm 0.5$ | $124.4 \pm 0.3$ | $12.1 \pm 0.02$ | 150 |
|  | Mortality - 125\% | $126.5 \pm 0.2$ | $123.9 \pm 0.2$ | $12.0 \pm 0.04$ | 60 |
|  | Progression - 130\% | $130.2 \pm 0.3$ | $128.5 \pm 0.2$ | $12.4 \pm 0.05$ | 150 |
|  | Mortality - 130\% | $128.5 \pm 0.2$ | $131.0 \pm 0.1$ | $12.2 \pm 0.03$ | 60 |
| NPM | Progression - 115\% | $114.8 \pm 0.2$ | $114.9 \pm 0.1$ | $12.2 \pm 0.01$ | 132 |
|  | Progression - 125\% | $124.9 \pm 0.1$ | $125.9 \pm 0.2$ | $12.2 \pm 0.02$ | 150 |
|  | Mortality - 125\% | $124.9 \pm 0.2$ | $127.2 \pm 0.2$ | $12.2 \pm 0.01$ | 45 |
|  | Mortality - 130\% | $129.5 \pm 0.2$ | $130.9 \pm 0.1$ | $12.1 \pm 0.01$ | 45 |
| RSS | Progression - 115\% | $115.7 \pm 0.2$ | $118.1 \pm 0.2$ | $11.9 \pm 0.04$ | 198 |
|  | Progression - 125\% | $126.3 \pm 0.1$ | 123.9 | $11.9 \pm 0.04$ | 198 |
|  | Mortality - 125\% | 123.9 | $125.4 \pm 0.7$ | $11.9 \pm 0.01$ | 60 |
|  | Progression - 130\% | $131.0 \pm 0.1$ | $130.3 \pm 0.5$ | $12.1 \pm 0.02$ | 198 |
|  | Mortality - 130\% | $130.3 \pm 0.4$ | $130.5 \pm 0.1$ | $12.0 \pm 0.03$ | 60 |

Table 2. Within species comparisons of gas bubble signs in for largescale sucker (LSS), longnose sucker (LNS), northern pikeminnow (NPM), redside shiner (RSS), and walleye (WAL) exposed to water with various levels of gas supersaturation (\% Sat). Comparisons were performed using General Linear Models and Ryan's multiple range tests. Different letters indicate signs that differ significantly ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) between saturation levels. Data from which these means were derived are presented in figures $1,2,4,6$, $8,9,11,12$, and 13 .

| Species | \% | N | \% Lateral <br> Line occluded | Ryan's <br> Rating | \% Gill Filaments with bubbles | Ryan's <br> Rating | Fin Rating | Ryan's <br> Rating | Eye Rating | Ryan's <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LSS | 115 | 84 | 1.2 | B | 1.5 | B | 0.66 | A | 0.05 | A |
|  | 125 | 24 | 3.1 | A | 16.8 | A | 0.53 | A | 0.00 | A |
|  | Model |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0001$ |  | $P<0.0001$ |  | $P=$ |  |  |  |
| LNS | 115 | 87 | 0.3 | B | 1.1 | C | 0.28 | A | 0.05 | A |
|  | 125 | 51 | 1.4 | A | 19.4 | A | 0.28 | A | 0.04 | A |
|  | 130 | 36 | 1.2 | A | 13.5 | B | 0.38 | A | 0.04 | A |
|  | Model |  | $P<0.0001$ |  | $P<0.0001$ |  | $P=$ |  | $P$ |  |
| NPM | 115 | 77 | 24.7 | A | 0.4 | B | 0.27 | A | 0.00 |  |
|  | 125 | 20 | 28.5 | A | 1.8 | A | 0.10 | B | 0.00 |  |
|  | Model |  | $P=0.13$ |  | $P=0.007$ |  | $P=0$ |  |  |  |
| RSS | 115 | 87 | 1.5 | C | 0.3 | B | 0.01 | C | 0.00 | C |
|  | 125 | 101 | 5.7 | B | 4.7 | A | 0.10 | B | 0.17 | B |
|  | 130 | 75 | 15.9 | A | 8.3 | A | 0.19 | A | 0.29 | A |
| WAL | Model | $P<0.0001$ |  |  | $P=0.0007$ |  | $P<0.0001$ |  | $P<0.0001$ |  |
|  | 115 | 81 | 21.9 | C | 0.7 | A | 0.19 | A | 0.28 | A |
|  | 125 | 36 | 72.3 | A | 0.1 | A | 0.19 | A | 0.11 | A |
|  | 130 | 54 | 54.0 | B | 0.1 | A | 0.31 | A | 0.33 | A |
|  | Model |  | $P<0.0001$ |  | $P=0.46$ |  | $P=0.06$ |  | $P=0.24$ |  |

Table 3. Time to $50 \%$ mortality (LT50) for largescale sucker (LSS), longnose sucker (LNS), northern pikeminnow (NPM), redside shiner (RSS), and walleye (WAL) at 125 and $130 \%$ total dissolved gas supersaturations.

|  | LT50 (h) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | $125 \%$ | $130 \%$ |
| LSS | 17.0 | 9.5 |
| LNS | 56.0 | 30.0 |
| NPM | 15.3 | 10.5 |
| RSS | 116.0 | 31.0 |
| WAL | 169 | 62 |

Table 4. Between species comparisons of signs of gas bubble disease in largescale suckers (LSS), longnose suckers (LNS), northern pike minnow (NPM), redside shiner (RSS), and walleye (WAL) exposed to various levels of gas supersaturation (\% Sat). Comparisons were performed with General Linear Models and Ryan's multiple range tests. Different letters indicate signs differing significantly ( $\alpha=$ 0.05 ) between species. Data from which these means were derived are presented in figures $1,2,4,6,8,9$, 11,12 , and 13. (\%LLOc = Percent lateral line occlusion).

| \%Sat | Species | N | \% LLOc | Ryan's Rating | \% Gill Filaments with bubbles | Ryan's <br> Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fin } \\ \text { Rating } \end{gathered}$ | Ryan's <br> Rating | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eye } \\ \text { Rating } \end{gathered}$ | Ryan's <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115 | LSS | 84 | 1.2 | B | 1.5 | A | 0.66 | A | 0.05 | A |
|  | LNS | 87 | 0.3 | B | 1.1 | A | 0.28 | B | 0.05 | A |
|  | NPM | 77 | 24.7 | A | 0.4 | A | 0.27 | B | 0.00 | A |
|  | RSS | 87 | 1.5 | B | 0.3 | A | 0.01 | C | 0.00 | A |
|  | WAL | 81 | 21.9 | A | 0.73 | A | 0.19 | B | 0.09 | A |
|  | Model | $\mathrm{F}=150.1, P<0.0001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=1.9, P=0.11$ |  | $\mathrm{F}=25.6, P<0.0001$ |  | $\mathrm{F}=1.7, P=0.15$ |  |
| 125 | LSS | 24 | 3.1 | C | 16.8 | A | 0.53 | A | 0.00 | A |
|  | LNS | 51 | 1.4 | C | 19.4 | A | 0.28 | B | 0.04 | A |
|  | NPM | 20 | 28.5 | B | 1.8 | B | 0.10 | B | 0.00 | A |
|  | RSS | 101 | 5.7 | C | 4.6 | B | 0.10 | B | 0.17 | A |
|  | WAL | 36 | 72.3 | A | 0.31 | B | 0.19 | B | 0.00 | A |
| 130 | Model | $\mathrm{F}=306.1, P<0.0001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=12.5, P<0.0001$ |  | $\mathrm{F}=8.3, P<.0001$ |  | $\mathrm{F}=2.8, P=0.03$ |  |
|  | LNS | 36 | 1.2 | C | 13.5 | A | 0.38 | A | 0.08 | B |
|  | RSS | 75 | 15.9 | B | 8.3 | A | 0.19 | B | 0.29 | A |
|  | WAL | 54 | 54.0 | A | 0.2 | B | 0.31 | A:B | 0.0 | B |
|  | Model | $\mathrm{F}=183.7, P<0.0001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=9.4, P<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{F}=3.5, P=0.03$ |  | $\mathrm{F}=10.6, P<0001$ |  |



Figure 1. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) gill filaments with bubbles, and (C) fin severity rating in largescale sucker exposed to $115 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 2. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) gill filament occlusion ( $\log _{e}$ transformed data), and (C) fin severity rating in largescale sucker exposed to $125 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 3. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles ( $\log _{\mathrm{e}}$ transformed data), and (C) fin severity rating in longnose sucker exposed to $115 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 4. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles ( $\log _{e}$ transformed data), and (C) fin severity rating in longnose sucker exposed to $125 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 5. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles, and (C) fin severity rating in longnose sucker exposed to $130 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 6. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles, and (C) fin severity rating in northern pikeminnow exposed to $115 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 7. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles, and (C) fin severity rating in northern pikeminnow exposed to $125 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 8. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles, and (C) fin severity rating in redside exposed to $115 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 9. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments ( $\mathrm{Log}_{\mathrm{e}}$ transformed data), and (C) fin severity rating in redside shiner exposed to $125 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; thee are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 10. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) lateral line occlusion ( $\mathrm{Log}_{\mathrm{e}}$ transformed data), (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles ( $\log _{e}$ transformed data), and (C) fin severity rating in redside shiner exposed to $130 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 11. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) percent lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles, and (C) fin severity rating in walleye exposed to $115 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 12. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) percent lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles, and (C) fin severity rating in walleye exposed to $125 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 13. Progression of gas bubble disease signs over time for: (A) percent lateral line occlusion, (B) percent gill filaments with bubbles, and (C) fin severity rating in walleye exposed to $130 \%$ total dissolved gas. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the fitted line. Points represent the values for individual fish; there are three fish per time. Some data points are covered by other points.


Figure 14. Cumulative percent mortality for longnose sucker (LNS), walleye (WAL), and redside shiners (RSS) as a function of exposure time to 125 and $130 \%$ total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS). Horizontal solid line marks the point at which $50 \%$ mortality (LT50) occurred.


Figure 15. Cumulative percent mortality for largescale sucker (LSS) and northern pikeminnow (NPM) as a function of exposure time to 125 and $130 \%$ total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS). Horizontal solid line marks the point at which $50 \%$ mortality (LT50) occurred.

# Chapter III: Fishes of Rufus Woods Lake, Columbia River 

D. M. Gadomski, D. A. Venditti, T. C. Robinson, J. W. Beeman, and A. G. Maule


#### Abstract

As a first step in aiding evaluations of possible impacts of operations at Grand Coulee Dam on fishes below the dam, we examined fish distributions and abundances in shorelines of Rufus Woods Lake during July 1998 and April-July 1999. During the 2-yr sampling period, 8,325 fishes representing eight families and 21 taxa were collected during 72 h of electrofishing and 108 beach seine hauls. Eight of the species collected were introduced, and the most abundant of these was walleye (8\%). One species, rainbow trout ( $14 \%$ of the catch), was mostly of net-pen origin. The majority of the catch was native species--longnose suckers ( $20 \%$ ), redside shiners ( $14 \%$ ), sculpins ( $9 \%$ ), northern pikeminnow ( $6 \%$ ), and bridgelip and largescale suckers (each 5-6\%). The relative abundances of fish species in Rufus Woods Lake appeared to have changed since the 1970s, when the dominant fishes were northern pikeminnow ( $34 \%$ of the catch) , largescale suckers ( $16 \%$ ), peamouth ( $12 \%$ ), and walleye ( $8 \%$ ). This may be partly due to changes in the seasonal hydrograph that occurred when four water storage dams were constructed on the Canadian portion of the Columbia River during 1967-1984. Fish assemblages in Rufus Woods Lake also differed from other Columbia River reservoirs. There are no fish passage facilities at Chief Joseph Dam, and thus all species were resident. Because of the more northerly location of Rufus Woods Lake, we collected species not found in the lower Columbia River, such as longnose sucker and burbot. Additionally, after impoundment, Rufus Woods Lake remained a relatively fast-flowing system with few large backwater areas. In contrast, many other reservoirs became more lacustrine and currently have greater abundances of introduced taxa adapted to lentic conditions than does Rufus Woods Lake.


## Introduction

The area of the upper Columbia River below Grand Coulee Dam, Rufus Woods Lake, has been of concern because operations at Grand Coulee Dam could be detrimentally affecting fishes in this area. In particular, fish kills in net pens in Rufus Woods Lake during the 1990s have been attributed to water spill at Grand Coulee Dam causing high total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS) levels in the reservoir (Elston 1998). However, little is known about populations of wild fishes in Rufus Woods Lake, and how high TDGS levels may be affecting these species. There are few descriptions of fish abundances and species assemblages in the upper Columbia River. Although fish assemblages in the mid and lower Columbia River have been described (Gray and Dauble 1977, Poe et al. 1994, Barfoot et al. 2002), conditions in these areas differ significantly from Rufus Woods Lake.

Chief Joseph Dam was completed in 1955, which changed the 83 km free-flowing reach into a river-run reservoir (Erickson et al. 1977). Fish passage facilities were not constructed, and therefore current fish assemblages contain only resident species. Because of the overall steep gradient of this reach and narrow canyon morphology, much of the upper reservoir has retained more riverine characteristics than lower Columbia River reservoirs. It has been suggested by Erickson et al. (1977) and others that short water retention times (1.2-4.0 days) in Rufus Woods Lake might limit plankton and fish production, and thus a major source of fish recruitment in the reservoir may be young-of-the-year fish entrained through Grand Coulee Dam.

The only previous survey of fishes in Rufus Woods Lake was conducted during 1974-75 (Erickson et al. 1977), but conditions in the reservoir have changed since this period. In 1977, a modification of Chief Joseph Dam raised water levels in the reservoir about 3 m . During 1967-1984, four water storage dams on the Canadian portion of the Columbia River were constructed that altered the seasonal hydrograph (DART River Environment 2002, Dams of the Columbia Basin 2002).

Our objective was to survey the fishes in Rufus Woods Lake as a first step in aiding evaluations of possible impacts of dam operations. This information is also of value since it is one of the few descriptions of fish species in a reservoir of the upper Columbia River, adding to the basic understanding of this ecosystem. We examined fish distributions and abundances in the littoral zones of Rufus Woods

Lake in 1999 in relation to reservoir reach, substrate, and time period (late spring versus early summer). To substantiate species abundances observed in 1999, results of a preliminary survey in 1998 are also presented.

## Methods

1998 Sampling. -A preliminary survey of fishes in Rufus Woods Lake was conducted during seven nights from July 29 through August 4, 1998, using boat electrofishing and beach seining. Methods are described in more detail below. During this period, 5-6 electrofishing sites (each receiving 10 minutes of effort) and six beach seine sites were sampled at each of five locations centered at river kilometers (rkms) 888, 914, 939, 950, and 958, resulting in 28 electrofishing units and 30 beach seine hauls.

1999 Substrate Mapping. -Rufus Woods Lake was divided into three reaches of approximately equal length (Figure 1). Reach 1 extended from the Grand Coulee Dam tailrace to rkm 934, Reach 2 extended from rkm 934 to rkm 907, and Reach 3 extended from rkm 907 to the Chief Joseph Dam forebay. The upper reservoir (Reach 1) is characterized by higher water velocities, while the lower reservoir is more lentic (Erickson et al. 1977). Dominant shoreline substrates were mapped along the periphery and assigned to one of five categories based on particle diameter: sand ( $<0.25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), gravel ( 0.25 to 5.1 cm ), cobble ( 5.1 to 25.4 cm ), boulder ( $>25.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and bedrock (Cummings 1962). Transition points between substrate types were identified by visual inspection and by dragging the end of a hollow metal rod over the substrate (Bramblett and White 2001). These transition points were recorded as waypoints in a Trimble Pro-XR ${ }^{1}$ global positioning system (GPS) receiver and the resulting shoreline segments were given unique identification numbers based on shoreline (north or south), reach, and substrate.

1999 Sampling. -We used a stratified random sampling design with reach and substrate as strata. Sampling was conducted on nine consecutive nights twice each month from April through July 1999. Sampling began about one hour before sunset and continued until approximately 0300 hours. Boat electrofishing was the primary method of fish collection, and was conducted during each night of sampling. Electrofishing sites were randomly selected each month in order to sample 4-6 sites per

[^0]substrate type in each reach. Sampling was conducted without replacement within each month, but all sites became eligible for sampling at the start of the next month.

An electrofishing boat delivered 2 to 3 A of current to the water with 30 Hz pulsed DC at 400 to 425 V . Shoreline segments (see substrate mapping section above) were located using a GPS on the night of sampling and reflective markers were used to identify the upstream and downstream boundaries prior to sampling. The entire length of shoreline segments less than 1000 m were electrofished by starting at the upstream end and proceeding downstream. Segments greater than 1000 m long were divided for subsampling. Segments that were 1000-1500 m in length were divided in two, and segments 1500-2500 $m$ in length were divided into three sections; we randomly chose and electrofished one site from each of these. Segments $>2500 \mathrm{~m}$ were divided into 500 m sections and we randomly sampled three sites from these. Stunned fish were immediately netted and placed in a live-well. After each segment was electrofished, water temperature was measured.

Beach seining was conducted on two randomly selected nights during each 9-d sample period. Five beach seine sites with sand, gravel, or cobble substrate were selected each night based on proximity to the electrofishing sites sampled that evening. Square sets were made with a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ stretch-mesh seine ( 30.5 m x 2.4 m ) set parallel to the shoreline. Bridal lines ( 6.1 m long) connected to each of the brails were used to pull the net to shore.

Fish Identification.-Fish were lightly anesthetized in tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222, $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) and generally identified to species with the exception of sculpins (Cottus spp.) and some suckers (Catostomus spp.), which were only identified to genus. During 1998, bridgelip suckers (C. columbianus) and largescale suckers (C. macrocheilus) were not differentiated, and were placed in an unidentified sucker category. Also, all suckers $<150 \mathrm{~mm}$ fork length (FL) were placed in this category. During 1999, only bridgelip and largescale suckers $<150 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL were not identified to species. Fish $<300 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL were measured to the nearest 1 mm , and fish $>300 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL were measured to the nearest 5 mm . After examination, fish were placed in fresh water to recover for at least 15 min before release back into the reservoir.

Data Analysis. -For the data summary (Table 1), numbers of fishes collected were adjusted to account for level of effort per shoreline substrate type, which was necessary because sampling was not completely random. If a substrate type was sampled more or less than its actual occurrence in reservoir shorelines, and a species preferred this substrate type, then the percent abundance of this species would be increased or decreased over the actual value as an artifact of the stratified random sampling plan. For example, adjusted number of carp collected during beach seining = actual number of carp collected at sand sites $x$ ( $\%$ sand substrate in reservoir shorelines (as determined from the shoreline substrate survey) / \% sand sites sampled) + similarly adjusted numbers for other shoreline substrate types.

For the most abundant taxa collected by each gear type in 1999, we used analysis of variance (ANOVA; GLM procedures; SAS 1999) to test for significant effects of reach, substrate, and sampling period (AprilMay versus June-July) on unadjusted catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE). Where applicable, we also divided taxa into size groups for analysis. A unit of effort for beach seining was one haul, while for electrofishing, a unit of effort was 10 minutes of current "on time". Values were transformed to $\ln$ (CPUE $+1)$ for statistical comparisons. If the overall model was significant $(P \leq 0.05)$, Tukey's studentized range test was used to examine which mean transformed CPUE values differed.

## Results

## Environmental Variables

Reach 1 had the highest percentage of boulder substrate of all reaches, the least sand, and an intermediate amount of cobble (Figure 1). Reach 2 was almost exclusively sand and cobble. The lowest section of Rufus Woods Lake, Reach 3, was composed primarily of sand, gravel, and cobble.

Temperature increased steadily from 5 to $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the first sampling period, April-May, and increased from 11 to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during June-July.

## Overview

During the 2 yr sampling period, 8,325 fishes representing 8 families and 21 taxa were collected during 72 h of electrofishing and 108 beach seine hauls (Table 1). Eight of these species were introduced. Based on body shapes and fin condition, we determined that rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), mainly originated from net-pen operations in Chief Joseph and Grand Coulee reservoirs. The origin of the few Chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha) we collected is unknown since this species is not currently stocked in Chief Joseph or Grand Coulee reservoirs. The most abundant taxa were northern pikeminnow (Ptychocheilus oregonensis), redside shiner (Richardsonius balteatus), three species of suckers, rainbow trout, walleye (Stizostedion vitreum), and sculpins. We examined the catch of these species in relation to reach, substrate, and sampling period for our primary sampling year, 1999, and for both gear types. Except for northern pikeminnow and suckers, fishes collected by electroshocking were generally of larger size classes and were maintained as one group per species (Figures 2 and 3). Length frequency distributions of the most abundant species collected, longnose sucker (Catostomus catostomus), indicated the presence of three size classes ( $<150 \mathrm{~mm}, 150-299 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $>299 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{FL}$ ), which were separated for analysis (Figure 4). Conversely, most fishes collected by the beach seine were of smaller sizes (Figure 5).

## Electrofishing

Reach and sample period (April-May versus June-July) clearly affected CPUE of most fishes, and distribution patterns were strongly species-specific. However, there were few significant effects of substrate. Northern pikeminnow were collected in significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) greater numbers in Reach 3 during both time periods (Figure 2). In contrast, rainbow trout were more abundant during April-May in Reach $1(P<0.01)$. Catches of walleye were significantly higher during April-May in all reaches, while sculpin were significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) more abundant during June-July in Reaches 2 and 3 (Figure 2).

Larger sizes ( $>299 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL) of all three species of suckers were collected in significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) greater numbers in Reach 1 (Figures 3 and 4). There was no significant effect of period on the abundance of large longnose suckers, while largescale suckers were more numerous in April-May, and bridgelip suckers more commonly collected during June-July. Substrate also significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) affected sucker distributions, with bridgelip and largescale suckers somewhat less abundant over sand, and conversely, longnose suckers more abundant over sand in some locations (Figures 3 and 4). There were
no significant effects of reach, period, or substrate on distribution of unidentified suckers $<150 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL (Figure 3). However, both groups of smaller ( $<300 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{FL}$ ) longnose suckers were significantly ( $P<$ 0.01 ) more abundant in June-July, with abundances increasing from Reach 1 to Reach 3 (Figure 4). Substrate also significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) affected distributions of 150-299 mm FL longnose suckers, but there were no clear trends, with preferred substrate types differing in each reach (Figure 4).

## Beach Seine

There were no significant effects of reach, period, or substrate on catches of northern pikeminnow or sculpins in beach seine hauls (Figure 5). Redside shiners were significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) more abundant in Reach 3. Although small suckers were most abundant in Reach 3 in April-May (Figure 5), the overall ANOVA model was not significant ( $P>0.05$ ).

## Discussion

We found evidence of moderate productivity in Rufus Woods Lake during 1998-1999. Mean catch in the beach seine during 1999 ( 26 fish per haul) was not greatly lower than the mean catch of Barfoot et al. (2002) using the same net in main-channel shorelines of the John Day Reservoir during May-September 1995 (42 fish per haul). Larval fishes were common in our seine samples, although they were not enumerated since they could pass through the mesh. However, they were likely rearing in these shallow shoreline habitats. A sample of about 300 larval fishes that were $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in total length and collected on July 28,1998 , in the upper reservoir were primarily identified as suckers; the small size of these fish suggests that suckers reproduce in the reservoir. Similarly, overall CPUE (fish/10 min) of northern pikeminnow during electroshocking in Rufus Woods Lake was 0.62 , which was about half the electroshocking catch of this species in a free-flowing section of the Snake River, Hell's Canyon, during April-August 1998 (Petersen et al. 2000).

The relative abundances of fish species in Rufus Woods Lake appeared to have changed since the 1970s. Although different gears and sampling designs were used, the magnitude of change for some species was large, suggesting actual assemblage differences. Erickson et al. (1977) sampled in the reservoir from May 1974 through August 1975 primarily using gillnets and beach seines. The most abundant species they
collected were northern pikeminnow ( $34 \%$ of the catch), largescale sucker (16\%), peamouth (Mylocheilus caurinus) (12\%), and walleye (8\%), with speckled dace (Rhinichthys osculus), bridgelip sucker, mountain whitefish (Prosopium williamsoni), yellow perch (Perca flavescens), and prickly sculpin (Cottus asper) each composing 3-5\% of the catch. In contrast, the most abundant species during 1998-1999 were redside shiners, longnose suckers, and rainbow trout (Table 1), each of which were only $1-2 \%$ of the catch in 1974-1975. The most notable declines from the mid-1970s to the late 1990s were the proportions of two native cyprinids, peamouth and northern pikeminnow. However, it should be noted that because of differences in sampling methodology, we cannot determine if absolute abundances of these fishes declined.

Significant environmental changes occurred in Rufus Woods Lake from the 1960s and 1970s to the 1990s, which may have affected fish assemblage structure. The mean water level increased about 3 m during 1977 due to dam modifications (Erickson et al. 1977). This decreased the riverine portion of the upper reservoir, and may have increased potential down-river rearing areas. Additionally, flow patterns differed between the two periods due to the construction during 1967-1984 of four dams on the Canadian portion of the Columbia River (DART River Environment 2002, Dams of the Columbia Basin 2002). These were designed to provide water storage, thus allowing regulation of seasonal flows to control flooding and meet hydroelectric demands. Outflows from Grand Coulee Dam displayed much greater seasonal variations during 1966-1975, reaching a 10-year average of about $7 \mathrm{kcms}\left(1000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$ in June, while in 1990-1999 the hydrograph was less variable and average flow reached a maximum of only 4.5 kcms in June (Figure 6). Changes in shoreline water level elevations were also greater during the earlier period; yearly coefficients of daily water level variation at Chief Joseph Dam forebay were 0.15-0.45 during 1971-1975, and 0.06-0.10 during 1995-1999 (DART River Environment 2002). Temperature regimes, however, were similar between the two periods.

The more stable conditions in Rufus Woods Lake in the 1990s may have resulted in a more productive environment, perhaps increasing recruitment of some fishes. For example, stable conditions could have contributed to the increase of redside shiners from the 1970s to the 1990s, since fluctuating waters levels have been shown to reduce population densities of this species (Wydoski and Bennett 1981). Geist et al. (1996), in a modeling exercise, determined that stable flows in Columbia River reservoirs during resident fish spawning and rearing seasons resulted in positive benefits. High flows during late spring-early
summer, a common spawning period for many resident fishes, may flush eggs and larvae from protected rearing areas. Periods of low water levels may reduce survival of eggs of shallow-spawning species such as kokanee (O. nerka), and also disrupt benthic invertebrate prey sources (Cushman 1985). In addition, water level fluctuations may affect shoreline habitat structure such as vegetation abundance and be detrimental to growth and survival of age-0 fish in nursery areas (Sheidegger and Bain 1995).

Fish assemblages in Rufus Woods Lake also varied from other Columbia River reservoirs, perhaps in part because of habitat differences due to impoundment. After impoundment, Rufus Woods Lake remained a relatively fast-flowing system with few large backwater areas (Erickson et al. 1977). Conversely, many other reservoirs became more lacustrine, resulting in a shift from fish assemblages composed primarily of native riverine species to those with an abundance of introduced taxa adapted to lentic conditions (Li et al. 1987, Poe et al. 1994). For example, Barfoot et al. (2002) found that $34 \%$ of the fishes in John Day Reservoir during 1995 were introduced, primarily sunfishes (Centrarchidae) and yellow perch, while sunfishes remained at very low levels in Rufus Woods Lake (Table 1). Similarly, one of the few freeflowing areas of the Columbia River, the Hanford Reach near Richland, Washington, has low abundances of introduced fishes (Gray and Dauble 1977, Li et al. 1987). In contrast, the redside shiner, a native cyprinid found in lotic systems, is very abundant in both the Hanford Reach and Rufus Woods Lake, but is rare in other Columbia River impoundments (Gray and Dauble 2001, Barfoot et al. 2002).

In addition, the location of Rufus Woods Lake affected fish assemblage structure. Li et al. (1987) presented a diagram of native fishes along a river continuum in the Pacific Northwest. They found that as the gradient increased and water temperatures decreased, largescale and bridgelip suckers are gradually replaced by mountain (C. platyrhynchus) and longnose suckers. Our results corroborate this model, since we found longnose suckers to be very common in Rufus Woods Lake, while they have not been reported further downsteam in the Columbia River (Gray and Dauble 1977, Barfoot et al. 2002). Our catch of burbot (Lota lota) also reflects the more northerly location of Rufus Woods Lake, since this species is very rare in the mid and lower Columbia River (Bonar et al. 2000).

Within Rufus Woods Lake, there were species and size-related differences in fish abundances, which were primarily linked to reservoir reach. Larger sizes of some species, such as suckers, were most abundant in upper Rufus Woods Lake, probably due in part to up-river spawning migrations. Longnose
suckers initiate upstream spawning migrations at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and continue through about $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Geen et al. 1966). In the Hanford Reach of the central Columbia River, largescale suckers move upstream to spawn primarily during June, with peak spawning at $12-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Dauble 1986). Similarly, we collected larger northern pikeminnow (mean $=393 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL, $\mathrm{N}=13$ ) mostly in Reach 1 of Rufus Woods Lake, and spawning of this species has been reported to occur in dam tailraces at temperatures $>14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Gadomski et al. 2001). The higher abundances of rainbow trout in Reach 1 during April-May was probably largely due to the location of net-pen facilities, but upriver spawning migrations of this species have also been reported (Davies and Sloane 1987).

Conversely, although adult walleye are most abundant in upper sections of lower Columbia River reservoirs (Beamesderfer and Rieman 1991, Zimmerman and Parker 1995), in Rufus Woods Lake they were found in similar numbers in all reaches. This could be related to spawning distributions or forage patterns. Walleye spawn over shallow gravel/cobble substrate in early spring when water temperatures are about $7-9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Williams and Brown 1985). Perhaps in lake-like lower Columbia River reservoirs, walleye are restricted to spawning in tailraces with more lotic conditions and shallow depths ( $<15 \mathrm{~m}$ ), whereas in Rufus Woods Lake, the more overall riverine environment has expanded spawning to other reaches. Alternatively, observed distribution patterns may be related to prey availability. Walleye aggregate below dams in lower Columbia River reservoirs and ingest outmigrating juvenile salmonids (Poe et al. 1991), but this prey type is not available in Rufus Woods Lake.

The lower reservoir contained greater abundances of smaller fishes, probably because this area has lower flows, smaller substrates, and more complex shoreline areas with woody debris that would offer smaller fish refuge. Some taxa that were abundant in this area, such as sculpins and redside shiners, are smaller at maturity. However, rearing juveniles of some species were also common. Immature longnose suckers (< 300 mm FL, and likely < 4-yrs-old; Bailey 1969) were most abundant during June-July in this area. Adult northern pikeminnow are about 200-500 mm in length (Parker et al. 1995), and thus the fish we collected in the lower reservoir (mean size 75 mm FL ) were primarily immature.

The only species in Rufus Woods Lake that displayed significant differences in abundance due to substrate were suckers. Adult longnose suckers were somewhat more abundant over sand substrate than bridgelip or largescale suckers. This may be related to foraging behavior more than spawning
preferences, since all three species have been reported to spawn primarily over gravel substrate (McCart and Aspinwall 1970, Dion et al. 1994). In the Hanford Reach of the middle Columbia River, both bridgelip and largescale sucker diets are dominated by algal periphyton, which they graze from cobble and other rock substrates (Dauble 1980, 1986). Longnose suckers have been shown to feed selectively on cladocerans (Daphnia spp.) and chironomids, if available, in addition to algae (Brown and Graham 1954, Barton 1980). Chironomids are commonly in fine sediment and sand substrates (Pennak 1978, Ingram and Zieball 1983). Although Daphnia spp. are primarily pelagic, they have been reported to be ingested by juvenile lake sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens) over sand substrates, and it was suggested that Daphnia spp. might be strained from sediments when they are on or near the bottom (Kempinger 1996).

Our results are one of the few descriptions of fishes in the upper Columbia River. Relative fish abundances in Rufus Woods Lake appeared to have changed since an earlier study conducted in the 1970s (Erickson et al. 1977), perhaps due to changes in environmental conditions during this 24 -yr period. Assemblage differences between Rufus Woods Lake and the lower Columbia River were also evident due to both the morphology of the reservoir, and its more northerly location. Rufus Woods Lake additionally differs from other reservoirs in the Columbia River system in that there are no fish passage facilities at Chief Joseph and Grand Coulee dams, and therefore no upriver migration of fishes from lower reservoirs. Because of the barriers to migration, two species we collected, rainbow trout and kokonee, were landlocked populations of two anadromous fishes, steelhead (O. mykiss) and sockeye salmon (O. nerka), respectively. We found that the reservoir was relatively productive. Larval fishes were present and there were areas of juvenile rearing habitat in the littoral zone, particularly in the lower reservoir. Although spawning was not actually documented, walleye and kokanee in spawning condition have been observed in Rufus Woods Lake (Gregg Morris, personal communication, USGS, Cook, WA). We also collected more large fish of some species in the upper reservoir, suggesting that upriver spawning migrations may have been occurring.
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Table 1. Adjusted numbers of fishes collected by electroshocking (ES), and beach seining (BS) in Rufus Woods Lake during July 29-August 4, 1998, and April 4-July 28, 1999, and percent (\%) catch for all gears and years combined. Gear types are combined for 1998 because of the limited sampling period. Numbers are adjusted to account for level of effort per substrate type. ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}=$ introduced species. $^{\mathrm{n}}=$ primarily net pen origin.

|  |  | 1998 | 1999 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ES, BS | ES | BS |
| Actual number of fish collected: |  | 865 | 5403 | 2057 |
| Adjusted number of fish collected: |  | 865 | 5671 | 2026 |
| Number of hours sampled (ES) or hauls (BS): |  | $4.7 \mathrm{~h}, 30$ | 67.3 h | 78 |
|  |  |  | justed nu |  |
|  |  | 1998 | 1999 | 1999 |
| Common name Scientific name | \% Catch | ES, BS | ES | BS |
| Carps and minnows--Cyprinidae |  |  |  |  |
| Carp ${ }^{\text {i }}$ ( Cyprinus carpio | 1 | 0 | 82 | 2 |
| Peamouth Mylocheilus caurinus | $<1$ | 5 | 0 | 19 |
| Northern pikeminnow Ptychocheilus oregonensis | 6 | 100 | 251 | 164 |
| Redside shiner Richardsonius balteatus | 14 | 50 | 183 | 937 |
| Tench ${ }^{\text {i }}$ a Tinca tinca | $<1$ | 0 | 32 | 3 |
| Unid. cyprinid | $<1$ | 0 | 16 | 3 |
| Suckers--Catostomidae |  |  |  |  |
| Longnose sucker Catostomus catostomus | 20 | 113 | 1520 | 82 |
| Bridgelip sucker C. columbianus | 6 | -- | 488 | 5 |
| Largescale sucker C. macrocheilus | 5 | -- | 409 | 19 |
| Unid. catostomid | 11 | 218 | 465 | 277 |
| Bullhead catfishes--Ictaluridae |  |  |  |  |
| Brown bullhead ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Ameiurus nebulosus | $<1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table 1. Continued.

| Common name | Scientific name | \% Catch | Adjusted numbers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1998 | 1999 | 1999 |
|  |  |  | ES, BS | ES | BS |
| Trouts--Salmonidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rainbow trout ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 14 | 103 | 1070 | 64 |
| Kokanee | O. nerka | 2 | 1 | 156 | 10 |
| Chinook salmon | O. tshawytscha | <1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Mountain whitefish | Prosopium williamsoni | 1 | 29 | 7 | 64 |
| Brown trout ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Salmo trutta | $<1$ | 2 | 34 | 2 |
| Bull trout | Salvelinus confluentus | $<1$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Brook trout ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Salvelinus fontinalis | $<1$ | 1 | 21 | 1 |
| Unid. salmonid |  | 1 | 0 | 27 | 21 |
| Cods--Gadidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burbot | Lota lota | 1 | 1 | 52 | 0 |
| Sunfishes--Centrarchidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Micropterus dolomieu | 1 | 3 | 72 | 0 |
| Perches--Percidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow perch ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Perca flavescens | , | 8 | 58 | 4 |
| Walleye ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Stizostedion vitreum | 7 | 49 | 477 | 38 |
| Sculpins--Cottidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unid. Sculpins |  | 9 | 181 | 246 | 309 |



Figure 1. Sample reaches in Rufus Woods Lake, Columbia River, Washington. Substrate composition in each reach is presented. Rkm = River kilometer.


Figure 2. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of four taxa collected by boat electroshocking in three reaches of Rufus Woods Lake during two sample periods (April-May and June-July) and over five substrates. CPUE is measured as fish collected per 10 minutes of current "on time". Mean fork length (FL) $\pm$ one standard deviation of each taxon is presented. $\mathrm{N}=$ number of fish. Note different vertical axes scales.


Figure 3. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of three taxa collected by boat electroshocking in three reaches of Rufus Woods Lake during two sample periods (April-May and June-July) and over five substrates. CPUE is measured as fish collected per 10 minutes of current "on time". Mean fork length (FL) $\pm$ one standard deviation of each taxon is presented. $\mathrm{N}=$ number of fish.


Figure 4. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of three sizes of longnose suckers collected by boat electroshocking in three reaches of Rufus Woods Lake during two sample periods (April-May and June-July) and over five substrates. CPUE is measured as fish collected per 10 minutes of current "on time". FL = Fork length. $\mathrm{N}=$ number of fish.


Figure 5. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of four taxa collected by beach seining in three reaches of Rufus Woods Lake during two sample periods (April-May and June-July) and over three substrates. CPUE is one beach seine haul. Mean fork length (FL) $\pm$ one standard deviation of each taxon is presented. $\mathrm{N}=$ number of fish. Note different vertical axes scales.


Figure 6. Ten-year daily averages (January-December) of water outflow from Grand Coulee Dam for two periods, 1966-1975 and 1990-1999 (DART River Environment 2002). $\mathrm{kcfs}=1000 \mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$.

# Chapter IV: Growth of Resident Fishes Does Not Correlate with Years of High Gas Supersaturated Water 

A. G. Maule, B. J. Adams, R. G. Morris, J. W. Beeman, and D. A. Venditti


#### Abstract

The growth of fish reflects the genetic capacity of the individuals, nutrition, and environmental conditions. Environmental conditions include habitat quality and intra- and inter-specific competition, both of which might limit the availability of food items or the ability of fish to obtain and use food efficiently. Poor water quality such as non-optimal water temperature or high total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS) can restrict growth by impacting food availability, altering metabolism, or diverting energy resources from somatic growth to stress responses. We examined the growth of resident fishes in Rufus Woods Lake, an impoundment of the upper Columbia River, to see if years of high TDGS correspond to years of poor growth. Ages of fish were determined by counting the annual growth rings (annuli) on scales from four species collected in 1999. Incremental scale growth and fork length at capture were used to back-calculate length-at-age. General linear models and multiple range tests were used to look for differences in growth due to fish age and environment (year). All species had differences in incremental scale growth based on the age of the fish-generally decreasing with age. Only walleye had differences in growth based on the environment with 1996 growth > 1998 growth. However, this was the opposite of what we would expect if TDGS restricted growth, as there was much higher TDGS in 1996 than in 1998.


## Introduction

The growth of fishes is indeterminate, meaning that, within some genetically determined limits, growth rates of fish will increase when conditions are good and decrease when conditions are poor (Summerfelt and Hall 1987). Ecosystem conditions that affect growth include fish density, abundance of food, water temperature (either too hot or too cold), and other natural or anthropogenic stresses. In most temperate aquatic systems, seasonal changes in temperature and food availability result in rapid growth of fish in the spring and summer and reduced or no growth in the winter. These seasonal changes in growth are reflected in growth rings on bony structures in fish, such as otoliths and scales. Similar to the rings in trees, the annual growth rings on fish scales can be enumerated to estimate age, and used to back-calculate growth rates in previous years.

An environmental stressor that could potentially impact fish growth is total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS). As water spills over a dam or a waterfall, it becomes mixed with atmospheric air that can be forced into solution as the water hits the plunge-pool. If this hydrostatic pressure caused by the plunging water is great enough it can cause TDGS, which can lead to gas bubble disease (GBD) - a condition that can injure or kill fish. Gas bubble disease is a non-infectious condition that affects aquatic organisms by producing emboli in blood and tissues as well as causing other physiological stress responses (Bouck 1980, Weitkamp and Katz 1980). Fish tolerance to TDGS differs by life stages (Rucker and Kangas 1974, Weitkamp and Katz 1980). Gas bubble disease has been reported to adversely affect the growth of fish (Schiewe 1974, Elston 1998) by causing stress-induced lethargy, which may result in decreased feeding (Bentley et al. 1976).

In 1996, Rufus Woods Lake, an impoundment of the Columbia River between Chief Joseph Dam and Grand Coulee Dam, experienced TDGS > 120\% during April and May (Figure 1). In 1997, TDGS reached a maximum of over $151 \%$ for one day in April, stayed between 125 to $130 \%$ for at least four days, and was above $120 \%$ for most of the period. For a three-week period in May and June, TDGS in Rufus Woods Lake averaged over 130\% and peaked at over $135 \%$ (Figure 1). Based on the presence of dead fish with obvious external signs of GBD, it
appears that these high-gas events caused a fish kill in Rufus Woods Lake (Elston 1998). Surviving fish may have also experienced sublethal effects, such as reduced growth.

In 1999, as part of our study to investigate the effects of gas supersaturated water on resident fish in Rufus Woods Lake, we collected over 7,000 fish of 21 species, and collected scales from hundreds of the most abundant fish species. Our objectives were (1) determine the ages and growth rates of the most abundant species in the lake by examining annual growth rings on scales and (2) determine if the years when TDGS was high are reflected in reduced growth as compared to years when TDGS was low.

## Methods

Field collection methods in Rufus Woods Lake (rkm 877 to 960 of the Columbia River) are described in Gadomski et al. (in press) and in Chapter III of this report and included boat electrofishing and beach seining. We used a stratified random sampling design to collect fishes from three reaches and five substrates ranging from sand to bedrock. Sampling was conducted on nine consecutive nights twice each month from April through July 1999. Sampling began about one hour before sunset and continued until approximately 0300 hours. Fish $<300 \mathrm{~mm}$ fork length (FL) were measured to the nearest 1 mm , and fish $>300 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL were measured to the nearest 5 mm . For each species, scales were collected for age determination from up to 10 individuals in each 5-mm length group between 30 and 600 mm FL. All scales were collected from the left side of the fish, above the lateral line and below the dorsal fin (Dauble 1980, Jearld 1983, Maule and Horton 1985). After examination, fish were placed in fresh water to recover for at least 15 min before release back into the reservoir. We removed scales from all species collected; however, we limited our analyses to species for which we had readable scales from at least 50 individuals that represented at least four year-classes. Four species met these criteria: longnose suckers (Catostomus catostomus), northern pikeminnow (Ptychocheilus oregonensis), rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), and walleye (Stizostedion vitreum).

Scales were cleaned in a mild soap solution for 2 to 3 minutes and cleared of skin and debris using a camelhair brush or a fine tipped probe. Regenerated scales-that do not have a full
complement of annual growth rings-were discarded at this time. Useable scales were then rinsed in tap water, blotted dry, and mounted on gummed scale-cards. A $6.35 \times 7.62 \mathrm{~cm}(2.5 \times 3$ inch) acetate slide was placed over the scale card and placed in a Carver laboratory press (Model C, Fred S. Carver, Inc. Menomonee Falls, WI). The pressure was raised to 100 psi for one minute to allow the acetate to heat to $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(150^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ and then increased to 6000 psi for two minutes. Scale impressions were highlighted using a blue highlighter to improve the annulus contrast (John Sneva, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, WA, personal communication) and examined using a microfiche reader (Devries and Frie 1996) at 24X magnification. Annuli were interpreted as areas of compact or discontinuous circuli, which also crossed on the anterior and lateral fields of the scale (Devries and Frie 1996). Species-specific scale age and growth reports were used for verification of scale readings (Steinmetz and Muller 1991, Scoppettone 1988, Dauble 1980, Kisanuki 1980, Wydoski and Whitney 1979, Scott and Crossman 1973, Alvord 1953, Scidmore and Glass 1953). Northern pikeminnow scales were exceptional and required the use of a dichotomous key based on counting circuli to identify the first and second annuli (Olson and Rien 1987). After the locations of annuli were determined, the distances from the focus to each annulus and scale edge were marked on strips of paper from which the annual change in scale radii (i.e., scale increments) were measured.

Mean annual incremental scale growth and fork length-at-ages for all species were determined using a computer program for analyzing the growth of fish (Weisberg and Frie 1987, Weisberg 1989). The Weisberg program partitions fish growth-based on the incremental growth of scales-to back-calculate the length of fish at different ages. We also used two-way General Linear Models (GLM, an ANOVA for unequal sample sizes; SAS 1999) to estimate the effects of age of the fish, the environment, and their interactions on scale growth; pairwise comparisons were performed with Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch multiple range test (REGWQ test). Results of statistical comparisons were considered significant when $P \leq 0.05$. We also looked separately at the second and third years of growth of each species across years. We believe this is reasonable biologically because growth increments decreased significantly in older fish and including those increments in the two-way GLM analyses might hide the effects of the environment on the faster growing, younger fish. This was justified statistically because there were significant differences in growth between ages across most year-classes.

The back-calculated lengths-at-age were also used to infer the age structure of the sampled populations (Ricker 1975). Age-frequency distributions for the four fish populations were determined for all fish collected during beach seining and electrofishing in 1999. The sizes of these samples are listed on Figures $2-4$ and in Gadomski et al. (in press; also see Chapter III this report).

## Results

Scales were collected from most species sampled in Rufus Woods Lake during 1999; however, sample sizes were too low to do statistical analyses on all but four species. Back-calculated length-at-age and population age frequency proportions were performed on longnose sucker, northern pikeminnow, rainbow trout and walleye. Of the species collected from Rufus Woods Lake, northern pikeminnow were the oldest-reaching 12 years of age (Figure 2)—followed by 10-year-old longnose sucker (Figure 3), 8-year-old rainbow trout (Figure 4) and 7-year-old walleye (Figure 5).

## Population year-class strength

Northern pikeminnow and rainbow trout year-class strength (frequency plots) showed that the 1998 year-classes (i.e., 1-year old fish) were not as abundant as the 1996 and 1997 year-classes (Figures 2 and 4). The walleye frequency plot suggests that the 1997 and 1998 year-classes were not as abundant in the population as the 1995 and 1996 year-classes (Figure 5). Longnose suckers appeared to have an abundant 1998 year-class, but had decreased year-class strength for 1995 through 1997 (Figure 3).

## Incremental scale growth

As would be expected, two-way GLM revealed significant age-effects for the incremental growth of scales for all species (Table 1; Age, $P \leq 0.0301$ ). Pairwise comparisons of the scale increments generally resulted in the expected pattern of decreasing increments with increasing
age (Table 2). The one exception to this was the longnose sucker in which incremental scale growths of the age-2 and age-3 fish were significantly longer than that of age-1 fish (Table 2). It should be noted that because we did not collect scales from 7-, 8-, or 10-year-old northern pikeminnow, the GLM analysis of scale growth of this species was only conducted on 1-year-old through 6-year-old fish.

## Environmental effects on scale increments

Walleye was the only species to have a significant effect of the environment (i.e., year) on incremental scale growth when we used the two-way GLM (Table 1). Scale growth in 1996-a high gas supersaturation year-was significantly greater than in 1998-a low gas supersaturation year-(REGWQ multiple range test, $P<0.05$ ), but no other pairwise comparisons between years differed (data not shown). We also looked separately at the second and third years of growth of each species across years (Table 3). Second year scale growth of longnose suckers was greater in 1998 than in 1993, 1994 or 1997, while third year scale growth of rainbow trout was greater in 1997 than 1996 (Table 3). The GLM analysis of walleye indicated that there were no differences in second or third year growth $(P=0.0658)$; however, REGWQ pairwise $(P<0.05)$ comparison indicated that second year scale growth in 1996 was greater than that in 1994 (Table 3).

## Length-at-age

Incremental scale growth was used to back-calculate lengths-at-age for the year classes of the four species. The interrelations of lengths-at-age differ from those of scale increments because they relate the mean incremental scale growth of all individuals to each individual's length at the time of capture. The lengths-at-age by year class are presented for longnose sucker (Table 4), walleye (Table 5), northern pikeminnow (Table 6), and rainbow trout (Table 7). Even though we did not do statistical comparisons of length-at-age between year classes, we did note the percent difference between the maximum and minimum values for each age. Maximum-minimum differences varied between 1.5 and $12 \%$, with northern pikeminnow and rainbow trout at the low end ( $3.6 \%$ or less) and longnose sucker and walleye at the high end (up to $12 \%$ ).

## Discussion

The objective of this study was to determine if we could detect reduced somatic growth in fish in Rufus Woods Lake, and attribute the reduced growth to environmental perturbations caused by high TDGS. Using incremental scale growth as an indicator, we found no evidence that environmental variability influenced somatic growth of four species of fish. It is important to note that our analyses of the growth of these fish are contingent upon several assumptions, foremost of which is that our fish collections reflect accurately the true populations of fish in the lake. This assumption could be violated if our collection gear failed to capture fish of a particular size, or if we failed to sample in habitats where a significant proportion of the population resided. We used a stratified random sampling design (Gadomski et al. in press; also see Chapter III this report) in an attempt to collect fish from all habitats within the river, and conducted our sampling at night. The most likely places where we could have failed to collect fish were in the deep parts of the lake (i.e., below 4 m deep). Our work with archival depth tags (Beeman et al.; Chapter I this report), however, suggests that at night most walleye and longnose suckers were in shallow water where, and when, they would be vulnerable to our collection gear. Although northern pikeminnow tended to be in deeper water in the night than in the day, they spent over $92 \%$ of their total time in water $<5 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Moreover, our samples contained many fish, across a broad range of sizes and we believe that the populations are well represented.

We also assumed that we were able to accurately determine the locations of annuli on scales and, thus, the ages and incremental scale growth of most of the fish we sampled. It is most likely that this assumption would be violated when looking at scales of older fish because in older fish somatic and scale growth slows down, which results in annuli being very close together or nonexistent (Beamish 1973). We cannot discount this possibility in the present study and it is most likely to have happened in those species with the oldest individuals-northern pikeminnow and longnose suckers. We did not collect individuals from some of the older northern pikeminnow year-classes (i.e., no age 7, 8 or 10 fish; Figure 2), and the scale growth increments of age 9 and 10 longnose sucker are $<5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Table 2). Despite the possibility that we failed to correctly age the older individuals of these species, it was still appropriate to use them in our analyses because
we only used northern pikeminnow through age 6 and the older longnose suckers represented fewer than $5 \%$ of the total longnose suckers in the analysis.

Analyses of variance (GLM) indicated significant differences in incremental scale growth of all species based on the age of the fish (Table 1). For the most part this followed the expected pattern of decreased growth as the fish aged. However, the first year incremental scale growth of longnose suckers was significantly less than that of second and third year scale growth (Table 2). This analysis considered the first year of scale growth for all 10 year classes (1989 through 1998) that we identified, indicating that this difference between the first three years of scale growth was the result of species-specific differences in behavior (e.g., a changes in feeding) or physiology (e.g., changes in metabolism) between 1-2- and 3-year old fish, as opposed to environmental effects. The lack of similar differences in any of the other three species supports the interpretation that environmental variability did not play a role in the age-specific differences in longnose sucker scale incremental growth.

We used a GLM procedure to test for environmental effects based on differences between years in scale growth across all ages. Of the four species considered, only walleye showed a significant $(P=0.011)$ effect of the environment on incremental scale growth. Based on the REGWQ multiple range test, there were no differences in scale growth between years, with the exception that for all ages of walleye, their scales grew more in 1996 than in 1998. Since 1996 was a year of relatively high TDGS and 1998 had relatively low TDGS (Figure 1), the relation is the opposite of what would be predicted if high TDGS inhibited growth. When we examined incremental scale growth during the second and third year of growth for each species (Table 3), we found that in only two cases did differences occur between year classes-second year growth of longnose suckers was greater in 1998 than in 1993, 1994 and 1997, and third year growth of rainbow trout was greater in 1997 than 1996. The longnose sucker results support the possibility of gas supersaturation affecting growth as 1998 was a low gas year and 1997 was a high gas year; however, the rainbow trout results do not support the possibility, as gas supersaturation was high in both years (Table 1).

We also back-calculated individual fish somatic growth based on the incremental scale growth and the length of each fish at the time of capture. We agree with Weisberg and Frie (1987), who state that the additional variability of including fish length when calculating annual growth makes incremental scale growth a better measure of fish growth. Nonetheless, we looked at variability in length-at-age and found that there were as great as $12 \%$ differences in the maximum and minimum values of length-at-age between some year classes of longnose sucker (Table 4) and walleye (Table 5). Differences in northern pikeminnow (Table 6) and rainbow trout (Table 7), however, were $3.6 \%$ or less.

We do not believe that the differences in length-at age-especially those for northern pikeminnow and rainbow trout-are large enough to suggest annual environmental influences on fish growth. It is interesting, however, to compare the growth of fish in Rufus Woods Lake to that of fish in other areas, especially within the Columbia River Basin. Parker et al. (1995) reported that growth of northern pikeminnow in the lower Columbia River was very similar to that of northern pikeminnow in the lower Snake River, with both groups reaching 50, 200 and 300 mm after 1, 3, and 6 years of growth, respectively. First year growth of northern pikeminnow in Rufus Woods Lake was comparable, but growth lagged behind populations in the lower river by about $60 \%$ at subsequent ages (Table 6). Similarly, walleye growth in Rufus Woods Lake was 60 to $70 \%$ less than that reported by Maule and Horton (1985) for walleye in the lower Columbia River. To our knowledge there are no published reports on the growth of longnose suckers in the Columbia River Basin; however, longnose suckers in the Great Slave Lake in northern Canada (Harris 1962) grew at about the same annual rates as those reported here but reached 19 years of age. Carlander (1969) reported the growth rates of several rainbow trout populations in the Pacific Northwest, including the Snake River, and Scott and Crossman (1973) reported growth rates of rainbow trout in Canada. Generally for the first two years, the rainbow trout in Rufus Woods Lake grew at the same, or faster, rates as those reported for other areas. However from the third to sixth years, Rufus Woods Lake fish grew at much slower rates, so that they were about 420 mm after six years as compared to 600 to over 900 mm in many other areas. One exception was rainbow trout in the pre-impounded Snake River, which grew at rates very similar to those we report here. Most of the rainbow trout in Rufus Woods Lake originated from the netpen "grow-and-release" operations in Lake Roosevelt, and we do not
know if there is any natural reproduction of these fish. Thus, the rapid first years of growth are undoubtedly the result of artificial feeding prior to release.

Although the growth rates of fish in Rufus Woods Lake do not reflect annual influences of a changing environment, such as the differences in gas supersaturation, the overall pattern of slower growth of fish, as compared to other areas, is suggestive of an oligotrophic aquatic ecosystem. This confirms our intuitive appraisal of the lake as a fast-water riverine system that is cold, deep and supports slower growth than some other Columbia River reservoirs.
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Table 1. Results of two-way analyses of variance of growth of four species of fish from Rufus Woods Lake. Analyses considered scale increments as a surrogate for fish growth and examined variation due to age (for example, differences in incremental scale growth of 1-year old fish as opposed to 2-year old fish independent of the year) and year (i.e., environment; for example, differences in 1-year old fish based on the year).

## Northern pikeminnow

| Source | df | MS | F | P |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 5 | 225.6 | 11.32 | $<0.0001$ |
| Year/Environment | 5 | 1.6 | 0.08 | 0.9952 |
| Interaction | 10 | 21.5 | 1.08 | 0.3809 |
| Error | 159 | 19.9 |  |  |

## Longnose sucker

| Source | df | MS | F | P |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 9 | 2378.7 | 43.95 | $<0.0001$ |
| Year/Environment | 9 | 101.8 | 1.88 | 0.0516 |
| Interaction | 36 | 65.5 | 1.21 | 0.1877 |
| Error | 782 | 54.1 |  |  |

## Walleye

| Source | df | MS | F | P |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 6 | 4626.7 | 57.45 | $<0.0001$ |
| Year/Environment | 6 | 224.8 | 2.79 | 0.0111 |
| Interaction | 15 | 117.2 | 1.46 | 0.1172 |
| Error | 505 | 89.5 |  |  |

## Rainbow trout

| Source | df | MS | F | P |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 7 | 104.5 | 2.24 | 0.0301 |
| Year/Environment | 7 | 20.1 | 0.43 | 0.8826 |
| Interaction | 21 | 63.4 | 1.36 | 0.1333 |
| Error | 459 | 46.7 |  |  |

Table 2. Incremental scale growth (mean, mm) of northern pikeminnow (NPM), longnose sucker (LNS), walleye (WAL) and rainbow trout (RBT) collected from Rufus Woods Lake in 1999. Analyses could only be performed where ages were continuous. Numbers of fish used to determine increments are in parentheses. Values with asterisk are significantly larger than age- 1 LNS ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$; Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch multiple range test). All other scale increments are significantly greater than, or equal to, the increments of the next older age.

| Age | NPM | LNS | WAL | RBT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $0.917(67)$ | $0.675(202)$ | $1.604(160)$ | $0.854(157)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $0.742(60)$ | $1.158(167)^{*}$ | $1.271(139)$ | $0.683(146)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $0.642(31)$ | $1.146(139)^{*}$ | $0.913(112)$ | $0.771(101)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $0.575(15)$ | $0.813(116)$ | 0.667 | $(70)$ | 0.633 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $0.450(57)$ | $0.558(91)$ | 0.563 | $(34)$ | 0.658 |
| $(24)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $0.313(2)$ | $0.408(62)$ | 0.421 | $(14)$ | 0.517 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 0.321 | $(33)$ | 0.242 | $(4)$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 0.246 | $(17)$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ |  | 0.188 | $(8)$ |  | 0.583 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ |  | 0.167 | $(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Results of one-way general linear model (GLM) and Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (REGWQ) pair-wise comparison of age-specific growth of four species of fish from Rufus Woods Lake - northern pikeminnow (NPM), longnose sucker (LNS), walleye (WAL) and rainbow trout (RBT). Analyses were done independently on each age across year-classes in which our sample size was $\geq 10$. The number of year-classes used in the analysis is equal to the degrees of freedom (df) plus one.

| Species | Age <br> (years) | n | df | F | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NPM | 2 | 55 | 2 | 0.20 | 0.8193 |
|  | 3 | 26 | 1 | 0.89 | 0.3548 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LNS | 2 | 150 | 5 | 4.81 | $0.0004^{1}$ |
|  | 3 | 122 | 4 | 0.62 | 0.6502 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WAL | 2 | 135 | 4 | 2.26 | $0.0658^{2}$ |
|  | 3 | 108 | 3 | 0.54 | 0.6554 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RBT | 2 | 139 | 3 | 0.66 | 0.5811 |
|  | 3 | 94 | 2 | 4.23 | $0.0175^{3}$ |

${ }^{1}$ - 1998 year class > 1993, 1994, 1997 and = 1995 and 1996 year classes
${ }^{2}$ - although GLM was not significant, 1996 year class > 1994 year class based on REGWQ pairwise comparison
${ }^{3}$ - 1997 year class > 1996 $=1998$ year class

Table 4. Mean back-calculated length-at-age measurements (mm) and $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$ (in parentheses) for annual year classes of longnose sucker in Rufus Woods Lake collected in 1999. Percent difference ( $\%$ Diff) between minimum and maximum length-at-age is shown for all ages with more than two year classes. Only those year classes with sample sizes $\geq 2$ were used in this analysis.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (Years) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Diff } \end{gathered}$ | Year Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1995 | 1994 | 1993 | 1992 | 1991 | 1990 | 1989 |
| 1 | 11.7 | 97.60 | 92.76 | 94.63 | 94.91 | 89.75 | 87.87 | 86.62 | 86.19 | 91.93 | 94.83 |
|  |  | (1.14) | (1.11) | (1.26) | (1.21) | (1.06) | (1.07) | (1.50) | (2.19) | (3.24) | (8.92) |
| 2 | 9.9 |  | 173.31 | 170.35 | 172.50 | 167.63 | 160.58 | 157.44 | 155.77 | 161.08 | 169.72 |
|  |  |  | (1.84) | (2.01) | (1.75) | (1.75) | (1.75) | (2.40) | (4.62) | (4.62) | (11.07) |
| 3 | 10.4 |  |  | 234.36 | 231.67 | 228.67 | 221.90 | 213.61 | 210.04 | 214.11 | 222.32 |
|  |  |  |  | (2.58) | (2.46) | (2.23) | (2.24) | (3.01) | (5.56) | (5.56) | (12.48) |
| 4 | 10.0 |  |  |  | 293.47 | 285.63 | 280.74 | 272.72 | 264.00 | 266.18 | 273.14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (2.77) | (2.49) | (2.52) | (3.41) | (4.66) | (6.15) | (13.32) |
| 5 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  | 339.39 | 329.65 | 323.51 | 315.17 | 312.09 | 317.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.66) | (2.68) | (3.64) | (4.96) | (6.48) | (13.82) |
| 6 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 362.19 | 351.21 | 344.64 | 341.94 | 341.86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.58) | (3.85) | (5.27) | (6.86) | (14.35) |
| 7 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 385.24 | 373.83 | 373.00 | 373.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (4.33) | (7.19) | (7.58) | (15.44) |
| 8 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 383.35 | 377.68 | 379.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (6.84) | (8.71) | (17.18) |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 421.49 | 418.72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (10.09) | (19.29) |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $447.98$ |

Table 5. Mean back-calculated length-at-age measurements (mm) and $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$ (in parentheses) for annual year classes of walleye in Rufus Woods Lake collected in 1999. Percent difference (\% Diff) between minimum and maximum length-at-age is shown for all ages with more than two year classes. Only those year classes with sample sizes $\geq 2$ were used in this analysis.

| Age | \% | Year Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Years) | Diff | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1995 | 1994 | 1993 | 1992 |
| 1 | 10.1 | 176.63 | 173.13 | 176.94 | 171.06 | 166.45 | 162.16 | 158.98 |
|  |  | $(3.20)$ | $(2.89)$ | $(2.37)$ | $(2.48)$ | $(3.26)$ | $(4.59)$ | $(7.83)$ |
| 2 | 11.8 |  | 246.46 | 246.77 | 244.71 | 234.21 | 225.31 | 217.84 |
|  | 12.0 |  | $(3.88)$ | $(3.11)$ | $(3.34)$ | $(4.33)$ | $(6.04)$ | $(9.99)$ |
| 3 |  |  | 325.65 | 320.09 | 313.41 | 298.63 | 286.55 |  |
|  | 10.9 |  |  | $(3.54)$ | $(3.76)$ | $(4.98)$ | $(6.87)$ | $(11.18)$ |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 359.20 | 349.03 | 338.06 | 320.10 |
|  | 7.5 |  |  |  | $(4.14)$ | $(5.45)$ | $(7.64)$ | $(12.26)$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 386.70 | 372.24 | 358.09 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $(6.08)$ | $(8.50)$ | $(13.68)$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 377.03 | 359.39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(9.81)$ | $(15.67)$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425.54 |

Table 6. Mean back-calculated length-at-age measurements (mm) and $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$ (in parentheses) for annual year classes of northern pikeminnow in Rufus Woods Lake collected in 1999. Percent difference (\% Diff) between minimum and maximum length-at-age is shown for all ages with more than two year classes. Only those year classes with sample sizes $\geq 2$ were used in this analysis.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (Years) } \end{gathered}$ | \% | Year Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diff | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1995 | 1994 | 1993 |
| 1 | 3.6 | 54.19 | 53.07 | 55.45 | 53.85 | 55.78 | 54.99 |
|  |  | (4.56) | (2.17) | (2.94) | (3.90) | (7.48) | (9.60) |
| 2 | 3.4 |  | 99.95 | 101.21 | 101.99 | 102.32 | 103.46 |
|  |  |  | (2.82) | (3.74) | (5.07) | (9.48) | (12.30) |
| 3 | 2.1 |  |  | 125.54 | 125.20 | 127.91 | 127.45 |
|  |  |  |  | (4.47) | (6.03) | (11.34) | (14.44) |
| 4 | 2.1 |  |  |  | 161.18 | 162.77 | 164.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (6.90) | (12.47) | (16.41) |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 182.84 | 183.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (15.43) | (19.44) |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 171.57 \\ & (0208) \end{aligned}$ |

Table 7. Mean back-calculated length-at-age measurements (mm) and $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$ (in parentheses) for annual year classes of rainbow trout in Rufus Woods Lake collected in 1999. Percent difference (\% Diff) between minimum and maximum length-at-age is shown for all ages with more than two year classes. Only those year classes with sample sizes $\geq 2$ were used in this analysis.

| Age <br> (Years) | \% | Diff |  | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.5 | 163.19 | 165.59 | 165.13 | 164.31 | 159.83 | 163.10 |
|  |  | $(3.65)$ | $(1.81)$ | $(1.85)$ | $(2.13)$ | $(2.93)$ | $(5.94)$ |
| 2 | 3.4 |  | 228.72 | 230.67 | 229.39 | 224.08 | 222.87 |
|  |  |  | $(2.14)$ | $(2.21)$ | $(2.54)$ | $(3.51)$ | $(6.88)$ |
| 3 | 1.9 |  |  | 308.05 | 309.17 | 303.41 | 301.37 |
|  |  |  |  | $(2.68)$ | $(3.12)$ | $(4.33)$ | $(8.33)$ |
| 4 | 1.5 |  |  |  | 380.24 | 376.88 | 374.39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $(3.58)$ | $(5.02)$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 425.82 | $4259)$ |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | $(5.92)$ | $(11.27)$ |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 413.49 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $(15.13)$ |  |



Figure 1. Total dissolved gas (TDG; \% saturation) in Rufus Woods Lake in the spring and summer, 1996 through 1999. Data from US Army Corps of Engineers.


Figure 2. Frequencies of year classes of northern pikeminnow in Rufus Woods Lake, 1999.


Figure 3. Frequency of year classes of longnose sucker in Rufus Woods Lake, 1999.


Figure 4. Frequency of year classes of rainbow trout in Rufus Woods Lake, 1999.


Figure 5. Frequency of year classes of walleye in Rufus Woods Lake, 1999.

# Chapter V: Lateral line pore diameters correlate with the development of gas bubble trauma signs in several Columbia River fishes 

R. G. Morris, J. W. Beeman, S. P. VanderKooi and A. G. Maule.


#### Abstract

Gas bubble trauma (GBT) caused by gas supersaturation of river water continues to be a problem in the Columbia River Basin. A common indicator of GBT is the percent of the lateral line occluded with gas bubbles; however, this effect has never been examined in relation to lateral line morphology. The effects of $115 \%, 125 \%$, and $130 \%$ total dissolved gas levels were evaluated on five fish species common to the upper Columbia River. Trunk lateral line pore diameters differed significantly ( $P<0.0001$ ) among species (longnose sucker $>$ largescale sucker $>$ northern pikeminnow $\geq$ Chinook salmon $\geq$ redside shiner). At all supersaturation levels evaluated, percent of lateral line occlusion exhibited an inverse correlation to pore size but was not generally related to total dissolved gas level or time of exposure. This study suggests that the differences in lateral line pore diameters between species should be considered when using lateral line occlusion as an indicator of gas bubble trauma.


## Introduction

Gas bubble trauma (GBT) or gas bubble disease has re-emerged as an issue in the Columbia River Basin in part due to the listing of salmonid runs under the Endangered Species Act (http://www.nwr.noaa.gov). Listing salmonids has caused the dams on the Columbia and Snake rivers to release extra water to aid in smolt migration. This has resulted in increases in total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS) levels due to gas entrainment by water plunging into these rivers from spillways or other release points (US Army Corps of Engineers 2000).

Total dissolved gas supersaturation has been proven to be hazardous to fish resulting in GBT (Marsh and Gorham 1905, Ebel 1971, Ebel et al. 1975, Weitkamp and Katz 1980, Krise and Herman 1991, Mesa and Warren 1997, Counihan et al. 1998, Mesa et al. 2000, Ryan et al. 2000). Gas bubble trauma (disease), as defined by Bouck (1980), is "a non-infectious, physically induced process caused by uncompensated, hyperbaric total dissolved gas pressure, which produces primary lesions in blood (emboli) and in tissues (emphysema) and subsequent physiological dysfunctions". Signs of GBT typically include bubbles in the eyes, fins, skin, lateral line and gill filaments, hemorrhaging and exophthalmia (popeye). Methods for evaluating GBT in salmonids and other species typically include examining the eyes, fins, skin, gular palette and the lateral line for bubble formation (Ebel 1971, Ebel et al. 1975, Weitkamp and Katz 1980, Mesa and Warren 1997, Ryan et al. 2000, Mesa et al. 2000). The signs of GBT are highly variable; Ryan et al. (2000) investigated the effects of TDGS on over 25 species of non-salmonid fishes from the Columbia and Snake Rivers and found a positive correlation between the incidence and severity of GBT and TDGS. However, they concluded that the high variability in GBT signs precluded creating an accurate model relating TDGS to mortality.
The accepted function of the lateral line canal is that of a "mechano-sensory" organ (Dijkgraaf 1967, Coombs and Montgomery 1999). However, bubbles in the lateral line (lateral line occlusion) have been routinely evaluated as an index of GBT (Ebel 1971, Dawley and Ebel 1975, Ebel et al. 1975, Stroud et al. 1975, Fickeisen and Montgomery 1978, Montgomery and Becker 1980, Nebeker et al. 1980, Krise and Herman 1991, Mesa and Warren 1997, Hans et al. 1999, Mesa et al. 2000) and lateral line bubbles are typically the first signs of GBT observed in fish (Weitkamp and Katz 1980). The assumptions for these evaluations being that the
morphology of the lateral line is identical regardless of fish size, the lateral line pores are the same size in all species, and that lateral line morphology plays no role in the expression of GBT signs. Weber and Schiewe (1976) evaluated lateral line morphology and function of juvenile steelhead trout in relation to GBT, but their study was focused on the changes in response capability of the lateral line due to gas bubble formation.

The function and morphology of the lateral line have been evaluated in many species (Jakubowski 1966,1966A, 1967, 1974, Montgomery et al. 1994, Janssen et al. 1999). Janssen et al. (1999) measured the diameter of lateral line pores on the heads of sculpin and their potential effects on prey sensing ability but they did not measure trunk lateral line pore diameters. Lateral line pore morphology and its potential significance to lateral line sensory function, GBT lateral line bubble formation, and gas bubble retention, and species-specific differences are unknown. Krise and Herman (1991) reported observing bubbles in the lateral line pores due to TDGS in juvenile Atlantic salmon Salmo salar but not in lake trout Salvelinus namaycush in the same system; however, they did not investigate the issue further.

Resident fish populations from Rufus Woods Lake (also known as Chief Joseph Reservoir) have exhibited shifts in species composition and dominance from the 1970s (Erickson et al. 1977) to 1999 (Venditti et al. 1999, Gadomski et al. in press), possibly in response to hydropower operations, including TDGS events. In particular, Venditti et al. (1999) and Gadomski et al. (in press; also see Chapter 3 of this report) found that sucker populations exhibited shifts in community structure and species dominance. The order of abundance reversed between 1970 and 1999 with longnose sucker (Catostomus catostomus), shifting from very small numbers to numeric dominance over largescale (C. macrocheilus) and bridgelip suckers (C. columbianus) with suckers accounting for $41.5 \%$ of the total fish observed (Venditti et al. 1999). It was also noted that some size classes of bridgelip and largescale suckers appeared to be absent (or present in very small numbers).

Laboratory GBT studies were conducted at the Columbia River Research Laboratory (CRRL) examining gas bubble trauma effects on fish resident to Rufus Woods Lake, attempting to determine if species exhibited differences in TDGS sensitivity. Systematic evaluation of pore
sizes was initiated when obvious differences in trunk lateral line occlusion and pore sizes between species were observed. The objectives of this study were to determine (1) if trunk lateral line pore diameters differed between species, location on the lateral line or between fish of different lengths and (2) if there was a correlation between lateral line pore diameter and lateral line occlusion when fish were exposed to TDGS. Lateral line pore diameters were evaluated for four species common in this reservoir - largescale sucker, longnose sucker, northern pikeminnow (Ptychocheilus oregonensis), and redside shiner (Richardsonius balteatus). Yearling Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) were also evaluated for comparative purposes, as they exhibited up to $30 \%$ occlusion at $110 \%$ TDGS when tested by Mesa et al. (2000). Trunk lateral line pore sizes were not evaluated in their study or in any other previous GBT study.

## Methods

Laboratory GBT trials were conducted at CRRL, Cook, WA, from May 2000 to April 2001. Well water with the following water quality characteristics was used for all testing: hardness $<$ $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} ;$ alkalinity $($ total as CaCO 3$)=20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{pH}=6.6$. National Testing Laboratories LTD, Cleveland, OH , conducted chemical analysis of the well water for water quality analysis and potential contaminants according to EPA approved methods for particular compounds or Standard Methods. These analyses were conducted to drinking water standards and scans included: metals, fluoride, chloride, nitrite, nitrate, sulfate, total dissolved solids, turbidity, trihalomethanes, pesticides and PCBs.

Experimental system -This experimental system followed the design used by Mesa et al. (2000). Supersaturated water was generated by injecting atmospheric air into heated water under pressure. The treatment tanks had a mean water depth ( $\pm \mathrm{SE}$ ) of $26.0 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ to minimize depth compensation and a mean water volume of $154.8 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{~L}$. Target water temperature for all studies was $12.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for specific study values see Table 1). The system had a one-time flowthrough design with a mean flow rate of $4.8 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ per tank. Factors measured which affect TDGS included water temperature, barometric pressure, barometric pressure minus total pressure ( $\Delta \mathrm{P}$ ), and percent saturation. These factors were monitored throughout all studies using a Total Dissolved Gas and Oxygen Monitor, Model TBO-L (Common Sensing, Inc., Clark Fork, ID). In order to compensate for gas instability, the meter's probe was placed near the
bottom of a vertical 3-m long, clear PVC tube plumbed in parallel with the system. Nominal TDGS concentrations were also measured in all tanks before and after each trial (Table 1) using the Common Sensing TDGS meter, a Weiss ES-2 Saturometer (Eco Enterprises, Seattle, WA) or Tensionometer 300E (Alpha Designs, Victoria, B.C.). Different meters were used due to meter malfunctions and all meters were calibrated according to the manufacturer's specifications. We dealt with gas instability in the water and sensor membrane bubble formation by gently sweeping the gas probes in a circular motion for five-minutes before taking the readings in each tank.

Fish collection and handling -Fish used in this study were largescale sucker ( $\mathrm{N}=67$, mean weight $(\mathrm{WT}) \pm \mathrm{SE}=30.0 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~g}$, mean fork length $(\mathrm{FL}) \pm \mathrm{SE}=136.7 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), longnose sucker $(\mathrm{N}=28, \mathrm{WT}=71.1 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{FL}=180.8 \pm 1.8 \mathrm{~mm})$, northern pikeminnow $(\mathrm{N}=75$, WT $=$ $34.6 \pm 2.3 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{FL}=137.1 \pm 2.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Chinook salmon $(\mathrm{N}=75, \mathrm{WT}=15.8 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{FL}=123.5 \pm$ $3.4 \mathrm{~mm})$ and redside shiner $(\mathrm{N}=27, \mathrm{WT}=8.2 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{FL}=87.7 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$. The study fish, with the exception of the Chinook salmon (see below), were collected from Rufus Woods Lake (the reservoir between Chief Joseph and Grand Coulee dams) and the Hanford Reach of the Columbia River in the spring and summer of 2000 and returned to CRRL for study. Fish were collected by boat electrofisher (Smith-Root 18-E Electrofishing Workboat, Model GPP Electrofisher, Vancouver, WA) using 400-500 V pulsed DC at 30 pulses/sec and 3-4 Amps. Fish were netted and placed in a live well then held in 133-L mesh-walled containers in the river or in a small concrete raceway supplied with well water for up to 2 days prior to transportation to CRRL. Yearling hatchery fall Chinook salmon were obtained from the Abernathy Fish Technology Center, Longview, WA, (brood stock 2000).

All test fish were held in outdoor 1,400-L flow-through circular fiberglass holding tanks and were acclimatized to $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ well water for a minimum of one week prior to testing. Holding tanks and the control tanks used heated water from the same source. All water used in these experiments was first allowed to cascade through a column ( 66 cm tall by 18 cm diameter) packed with one-inch bio-barrels to remove any excess dissolved gas due to water heating. Fish were fed Deep-frozen Blood Worms ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (redside shiner) or Rangen Quality Feed for Aquaculture ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Chinook salmon, both sucker species and northern pikeminnow) daily. Fish were maintained under a natural photoperiod and were not fed during individual trials.

Experimental protocols- To create signs of GBT, fish were exposed to 115,125 and $130 \%$ TDGS as described by Mesa et al. (2000). At the beginning of an experiment, fish were stocked into four treatment tanks in which the water was at the pre-determined TDGS level (Table 1), and two control tanks. As soon as the first mortality was noted, we began sampling every 2 h until all treatment fish had been sampled or had died. At sampling, fish were netted within 5 sec of opening the tank lid and placed in a lethal dose of buffered tricaine methanesulfonate (MS222; $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). The MS-222 solution was prepared from water with TDGS equal to that of the tank. We do not believe this sampling protocol affects signs of GBT and it has been used extensively in similar research (Mesa and Warren 1997, Hans et al. 1999, Mesa et al. 2000). Evaluation of GBT included examining the unpaired fins, the eyes, and the gills (data not included here) as well as the lateral line for bubbles. Bubbles in the trunk lateral line were quantified using a dissecting microscope with 8-40X-zoom magnification. A micrometer with 0.5 mm gradations was laid along side of the trunk lateral line to determine the proportion of its length occluded with bubbles (expressed as percent). An exception to this protocol was Chinook salmon, which were only evaluated to compare development of bubbles in the lateral line. In separate experiments, juvenile Chinook salmon were exposed to 125 and 130\% TDGS (20 fish in each of two treatment and one control tanks) and were examined for lateral line occlusion hourly for 5 h .

Trunk lateral line pore diameters were measured at 25 X or 40X magnification using a calibrated ocular micrometer. Pore diameters were always measured horizontally along the axes of the fish. Three pores per fish were measured -- the first measurable pore adjacent to the gill operculum, one pore at the midpoint of the body near the dorsal fin, and one adjacent to the caudal fin.

Data analysis - The relationship between lateral line occlusion and TDGS exposure was evaluated using General Linear Models or linear regression. Since these data were percentage data, arcsine transformation was conducted and comparisons of means were accomplished using General Linear Models (GLM, SAS 1999) and the Ryan's (Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch, REGWQ) multiple range tests (MRT, $\alpha=0.05$ ). Data were not collected from mortalities because the exact time of death and its effect on lateral line bubble retention was not known.

The relationships between fork length and trunk lateral line pore diameter were also evaluated using General Linear Models and Ryan's MRT. Ryan's MRT also was used to compare pore diameters at the three locations pores were measured on the fish. During some trials lateral line occlusion changed through time of exposure (i.e., longnose sucker in $115 \%$ and $130 \%$ TDGS and Chinook salmon in 125 and 130\% TDGS), nonetheless, we pooled data within these trials to get exposure-specific measures of bubble formation in the lateral lines of the five species we examined.

## Results

## Water Quality

The TDGS levels were generally successfully maintained at the desired level throughout the exposures (Table 1). TDGS levels in treatment tanks were calibrated to the airflow to the system, which was regularly monitored before and during the studies. This insured the constancy of tank gas levels and allowed us to use data where only one tank gas level was measured. The TDGS in control tanks was consistent for all studies and maintained at $104.3 \pm$ $0.1 \% ; \mathrm{N}=50$ and no water quality problems were detected in our testing water.

## Largescale sucker

Mean levels of lateral line occlusion were significantly lower in fish exposed to $115 \%$ TDGS than at $125 \%(\mathrm{~N}=171, \mathrm{~F}=21.9, P<0.0001$; Figure 1$)$. Mean lateral line pore width for largescale suckers was $0.18 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$ and the slope for the regression line of fork length to pore width did not differ significantly from zero (Figure 2a, $\mathrm{N}=59, \mathrm{~F}=1.48, P=0.23, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.03$ ). There was no significant difference between lateral line pore diameters measured at different locations on the lateral line (Table 2) and the pores were uniformly oval.

## Longnose sucker

Mean lateral line occlusion was significantly lower at $115 \%$ than 125 or $130 \%$ TDGS ( $\mathrm{N}=274$, $F=13.6, P<0.0001$; Figure 1). There was no significant difference between mean lateral line occlusion at 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS. On many specimens, GBT was characterized by bead-like rows of bubbles in the mucous directly above trunk lateral line pores. Bubbles were observed exiting these pores on several occasions in this species but not in other species used in these studies.

Mean lateral line pore diameter of longnose suckers was $0.49 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$. The slope for the regression line of fork length to pore width did not differ significantly from zero ( $\mathrm{N}=27, \mathrm{~F}=$ $0.3, P=0.62, r^{2}=0.01$, Figure 2a). Lateral line pore diameters decreased slightly towards the caudal fin (Table 2) and the trunk lateral line pores ranged from crescent shaped to box-like in appearance.

## Northern pikeminnow

Mean lateral line occlusion was significantly lower at $115 \%$ than $125 \%$ TDGS ( $\mathrm{N}=143, \mathrm{~F}=$ 35.5, $P<0.0001$; Figure 1). Mean lateral line pore diameter for northern pikeminnows was 0.08 $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$. The slope of the regression line of fork length to pore diameter did not differ significantly from zero (Figure $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{N}=66, \mathrm{~F}=2.63, P=0.11, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.04$ ). There was no significant difference between lateral line pore diameters measured at different locations on the lateral line (Table 2). The lateral line pores were oval and uniform.

## Redside shiner

Mean levels of lateral line occlusion increased significantly according to treatment level at 115, 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS ( $\mathrm{N}=330, \mathrm{~F}=77.2, P<0.0001$; Figure 1). The slope for the regression line of fork length to pore diameter did not differ significantly from zero (Figure $2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~N}=27, P=0.08$, $\mathrm{F}=3.3, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.12$ ). There was no significant difference $(\mathrm{N}=81, P=0.83, \mathrm{~F}=0.18)$ between mean lateral line pore diameters measured at different locations on the lateral line (Table 2). The
mean lateral line pore diameter for redside shiners was $0.06 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$ and the lateral line pores were oval and uniform.

## Chinook salmon

Bubbles were observed in the lateral line within the first hour of exposure at 125 and $130 \%$ TDGS and increased hourly (Figure 3). The slope for the regression line of fork length to lateral line pore width did not differ significantly from zero (Figure 2b, $\mathrm{N}=75, \mathrm{~F}=1.4, P=0.22, \mathrm{r}^{2}=$ 0.78 ). The mean lateral line pore width of salmon was $0.07 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$. There was no significant difference between mean lateral line pore diameters measured at different locations on the lateral line (Table 2) and lateral line pores were oval and uniform.

## Lateral line pore diameters and occlusion

Lateral line pore diameters were highly variable between species, such that: longnose sucker $>$ largescale sucker $>$ northern pikeminnow $\geq$ Chinook salmon $\geq$ redside shiner (Figure 4). For each species, lateral line occlusion increased with increasing TDGS (Figure 1). We also found an inverse relationship between mean trunk lateral line pore diameter and lateral line occlusion, using the data from exposures at 125\% TDGS (Figure 5).

## Discussion

Our study revealed a significant variability in trunk lateral line pore diameters with mean longnose sucker pore width $>$ largescale sucker $>$ northern pikeminnow $\geq$ Chinook salmon $\geq$ redside shiner (Figure 4). This is the first GBT study to evaluate the influence of lateral line pore diameter on lateral line occlusion and mean lateral line occlusion rate by gas bubbles. Lateral line occlusion exhibited an inverse relationship to species pore size (Figure 5.). This inverse relationship between trunk lateral line pore diameter and trunk lateral line occlusion indicates that measures of lateral line occlusion should not be used as an index of GBT in studies comparing species when exposure histories (e.g., TDGS, temperature, and individual depth history) and lateral line pore sizes are not known. Many field and laboratory studies have evaluated GBT effects on a variety of fish species and examined lateral line occlusion in the
process (Ebel 1971, Stroud et al. 1975, Montgomery and Becker 1980, Nebeker et al. 1980, Krise and Herman 1991, Mesa and Warren 1997, Hans et al. 1999, Mesa et al. 2000) but none of these studies examined the lateral line pore and its relationship to lateral line occlusion with bubbles.

The longnose sucker had the largest and most varied trunk pore shapes, ranging from square to crescent shapes. The largescale sucker did not exhibit this level of variability, having very regular and oval-shaped pores, similar to the other species examined. The longnose sucker was the only species studied where the lateral line pore size differed significantly at varying locations on the lateral line with the mean head pore diameter larger than the caudal peduncle pore diameter (Table 2). It is important to note that the diameter measurement may not be the most accurate measure of pore size in species with irregular-shaped pores.

The trunk lateral line pore diameters within every species studied did not change with fish length (Figure 1), indicating that the pore size is fixed early in development. The facts that (1) two sucker species of the same genus exhibit very different lateral line pore morphology, and (2) the extremely regular size of the pores within each species studied, suggest a species-specific function for the lateral line pore. What this function may be has yet to be discovered despite extensive work conducted on the lateral line and its function (Parker 1904, Flock 1967, Bleckmann 1986, Bleckmann et al. 1986, Coombs, Janssen and Webb 1988, Coombs and Montgomery 1999). The trunk lateral line pore has been described (Parker 1904, Lowenstein 1957, Disler 1960, Jacubowski 1966, 1967, Weber and Shiewe 1976, Marshall 1979, Janssen et al. 1999, Webb 1989), counted (Maruska 2001) and mentioned as having different sizes in different species, but we found no record of measurements of trunk lateral line pore morphology or description of its function.

The overall size of the lateral line pore seems to be the dominant factor in bubble retention by the lateral line, as is evident from the inverse relationship (Figure 5) between pore size and lateral line occlusion. One possible reason for this could be that larger pores allow bubbles formed in the lateral line to more easily escape. Bubbles were repeatedly observed exiting longnose sucker
pores, but not the pores of other species. Larger pore size would also facilitate the exchange of gasses and fluid between the lateral line and the surrounding water.

Lateral line occlusion was the first sign of GBT to develop in fish examined in this study and is the first sign of GBT to occur in every species where it has been evaluated (Newcomb 1974, Weber and Schiewe 1976, Weitkamp and Katz 1980, Mesa and Warren 1997, Mesa et al. 2000). Mesa et al. (2000) found that juvenile Chinook salmon trunk lateral line occlusion exceeded 50\% after 14 days of exposure at $110 \%$ TDGS; at $130 \%$ TDGS the lateral line was $100 \%$ occluded with bubbles after two hours of exposure. Trunk lateral line bubbles appeared in Chinook salmon after the first hour of exposure at $125 \%$ and $130 \%$ TDGS (Figure 2). Lateral line occlusion in our Chinook salmon did not quite match the severity seen by Mesa et al. (2000), however, our exposure times were shorter and many of the Chinook salmon in our study exhibited incomplete lateral line development, i.e. the lateral line was not fully enclosed with scales in smaller fish. Incomplete lateral line development decreased as fish size increased and probably influenced the amount of the lateral line occluded.

There are numerous factors that influence the formation of bubbles from TDGS. These include: total gas pressure, pO 2 , temperature, depth, barometric pressure, the solubilities and diffusivities of nitrogen and oxygen in water and blood, the vapor pressure of water, the surface tensions of water and fish blood and the mass transfer coefficients for the movement of dissolved gasses into a growing bubble (Fidler 1988). Our study accounted for most of these factors effectively with the exceptions of barometric pressure and interspecies physical/physiological differences.

The source of the bubbles in the lateral line is an open question. Weber and Shiewe (1976) theorized, "gas released from the neuromast capillary bed in molecular form coalesces as bubbles on the inner surface of the lateral line canal, and the enclosed structure of the canal does not allow the bubbles to be released"; however, they did not conclusively show that this was the case. If vascularization is the sole reason for the bubbles in the lateral line, it would seem that bubbles would form first in the most highly vascularized areas in the most intimate contact with the water such as the gills. The gills were usually among the last areas to exhibit bubbles in all species evaluated in other parts of this study (Scott VanderKooi, USGS, Cook, WA, unpublished
data). There are also extensive vascular beds on the surface of the body (Graham 1997, Lillywhite and Maderson 1988) and at the base of the fins yet the lateral line canal is the first area to exhibit bubble development. McDonough and Hemmingsen (1985) concluded that fish movements induced bubble formation in fins "perhaps via tribonucleation" following decompression, however they did not evaluate lateral line occlusion. The dominant GBT signs observed by Newcomb (1974) and Mesa et al. (2000) in juvenile salmonids exposed to 110 and $115 \%$ TDGS were lateral line bubbles.

Perhaps the bubbles in the lateral line are the result of the gas being trapped and concentrated in the covered canal but the fact that lateral line bubbles formed after only one hour (Figure 2) of exposure in Chinook salmon seems to indicate a more active lateral line role. The possibility that the lateral line may play a role in cutaneous respiration and creating lateral line bubbles in the process has apparently not been examined (Rombough and Ure 1991, Graham 1997, Sacca and Burggren 1982) but may provide an explanation for the source of lateral line bubbles. The simplest explanation of the differences in lateral line occlusion relative to the pore diameter may be that larger pore diameters allow more bubbles to escape, reducing lateral line occlusion. However, this does not address the question of why the bubbles appear in the lateral line before other GBT signs, apparently regardless of species.

In conclusion, differences in lateral line pore sizes between species were inversely related to lateral line bubble occlusion in GBT experiments, indicating this measure of the severity of GBT should not be used to compare GBT results between species unless they have similar lateral line pore sizes. The uniformity of pore size within a species, irrespective of fish length, indicates that intraspecific comparisons of lateral line occlusion are not affected by lateral line pore size. The uniformity of the lateral line pores within a species also indicates that these pores may serve an important, as yet unidentified function in fish.
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Table 1.- Mean ( $\pm$ SE) total dissolved gas supersaturation (TDGS) levels from lateral line occlusion studies in largescale sucker (LSS), longnose sucker (LNS), northern pikeminnow (NPM), redside shiner (RSS) and Chinook salmon (CHI). We measured TDGS in all treatment tanks $(\mathrm{N}=4)$ at the start and end of all but two experiments. Value listed without SE is the TDGS measurement from a single treatment tank.

| Species | TDGS | Starting TDGS (\%) | Ending TDGS (\%) | Water <br> temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LSS | 115\% | $115.5 \pm 0.3$ | $114.1 \pm 0.1$ | $12.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | 125\% | $124.6 \pm 0.1$ | $124.2 \pm 0.2$ | $12.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| LNS | 115\% | $114.6 \pm 0.1$ | $115.2 \pm 0.1$ | $12.4 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | 125\% | $125.8 \pm 0.5$ | $124.4 \pm 0.3$ | $12.1 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | 130\% | $130.2 \pm 0.3$ | $128.5 \pm 0.2$ | $12.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| NPM | 115\% | $114.8 \pm 0.2$ | $114.9 \pm 0.1$ | $12.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | 125\% | $124.9 \pm 0.1$ | $125.9 \pm 0.2$ | $12.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| RSS | 115\% | $115.7 \pm 0.2$ | $118.1 \pm 0.2$ | $11.9 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | 125\% | $126.3 \pm 0.1$ | 123.9 | $11.9 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | 130\% | $131.0 \pm 0.1$ | $130.3 \pm 0.5$ | $12.1 \pm 0.1$ |
| CHI | 125\% | $125.05 \pm 0.1$ | $124.7 \pm 0.2$ | $11.3 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | 130\% | $131.45 \pm 0.1$ | $130.6 \pm 0.8$ | $12.0 \pm 0.1$ |

Table 2. Pore diameter comparisons at different locations on the trunk lateral line of largescale sucker (LSS), longnose sucker (LNS), northern pikeminnow (NPM), redside shiner (RSS), and Chinook salmon (CHI). For explanation of pore measurement locations, see text. Diameters with the same letter do not differ significantly $(P>0.05)$.

| Species | Head pore <br> diameter <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Mid - pore <br> diameter <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Caudal pore <br> diameter <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Model statistics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LSS | $0.19(\mathrm{~A})$ | $0.17(\mathrm{~A})$ | $0.17(\mathrm{~A})$ | $\mathrm{N}=180, \mathrm{~F}=$ <br> $1.6, P=0.2$ |
| LNS | $0.58(\mathrm{~A})$ | $0.51(\mathrm{~A}: \mathrm{B})$ | $0.43(\mathrm{~B})$ | $\mathrm{N}=63, \mathrm{~F}=3.8$, <br> $P=0.02$ <br> $\mathrm{~N}=29, \mathrm{~F}=$ <br> NPM |
| RSS | $0.082(\mathrm{~A})$ | $0.083(\mathrm{~A})$ | $0.086(\mathrm{~A})$ | $0.6, P=0.5$ |
| CHI | $0.056(\mathrm{~A})$ | $0.053(\mathrm{~A})$ | $0.057(\mathrm{~A})$ | $\mathrm{N}=81, \mathrm{~F}=0.2$, <br> $P=0.8$ <br> $\mathrm{~N}=105, \mathrm{~F}=$ <br> $0.8, P=0.5$ |



Figure 1. Comparisons of mean $(+1 \mathrm{SE})$ percent lateral line occlusion at $115 \%(\mathrm{~N}=$ $335, \mathrm{~F}=401.5, P<0.0001$ ), $125 \%(\mathrm{~N}=193, \mathrm{~F}=83.6, P<0.0001)$ and $130 \%(\mathrm{~N}=$ $230, \mathrm{~F}=71.5, P<0.0001$ ) TDGS for longnose sucker, largescale sucker, northern pikeminnow, redside shiner, and Chinook salmon. Means within a treatment level sharing the same capital letter did not differ significantly. Means between treatment levels for each species sharing the same lowercase letter did not differ significantly. Species are arranged from largest to smallest pore size for each TDGS level.


Figure 2. Upper plate: Comparison of mean pore widths to fork lengths for longnose sucker (LNS), largescale sucker (LSS), and northern pikeminnow (NPM), and lower plate: Chinook salmon (CHI), and redside shiner (RSS). Lines are first order regressions.


Figure 3. Progression of lateral line bubble development in Chinook salmon at 125\% (open boxes) and 130\% TDGS (filled circles). First order regressions for $125 \%$ and $130 \%$ TDGS are dashed and solid lines, respectively.


Figure 4. Comparisons of mean ( +1 SE ) lateral line pore widths for longnose sucker (LNS), largescale sucker (LSS) northern pikeminnow (NPM), redside shiner (RSS), and Chinook salmon (CHI) $(\mathrm{N}=256, \mathrm{~F}=452, P<0.0001)$. Means sharing the same letter did not differ significantly


Figure 5. Comparisons of mean percent lateral line occlusion for longnose sucker, largescale sucker, northern pikeminnow, redside shiner, and Chinook salmon and mean lateral line pore width.
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