

Division of SCIENCE RESOURCES STATISTICS

State Agency Research and Development Expenditures: Fiscal Year 2006

Detailed Statistical Tables | NSF 08-310 | May 2008

John E. Jankowski, Project Officer Research and Development Statistics Program (703) 292-7781

General Notes

These tables present the results of the National Science Foundation (NSF) FY 2006 Survey of State R&D Expenditures, conducted for NSF by the U.S. Census Bureau. The Census Bureau employed a methodology similar to the one used to collect data from state and local governments on other censuses and surveys.

The survey was distributed to more than 400 departments, agencies, commissions, and dependent entities within the 50 states, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia that were considered likely to conduct or fund R&D activities. Respondents that did not have qualifying R&D activity were not required to complete the questionnaire beyond a screening question. All states participated in the survey, and 416 of their agencies responded. Of those, 252 reported conducting or funding R&D in FY 2006. Respondents were requested to provide statistics on total R&D expenditures and amounts for internal and external performers, the original source of funds (federal or nonfederal), and the amount devoted to basic research activities. An additional question was asked on the amount of expenditures for the construction and acquisition of R&D facilities.

Because of differences in the survey populations, definition of covered R&D activities, and collection methods, the results of previous NSF surveys on state government R&D are not comparable with the statistics collected on the FY 2006 Survey of State R&D Expenditures.

Data Tables

| Table | State Agency Expenditures for R&D R&D and R&D plant: FY 2006 | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| | by state | | |
| 1 | by performer | | |
| 2 | with all state expenditures: FY 2005 | | |
| | R&D: FY 2006 | | |
| | by state and source of funds | | |
| 3 | all performers | | |
| 4 internal performers | | | |
| | by state and type of performer | | |
| 5 | external performers | | |
| | by state | | |
| 6 | basic research | | |
| 7 | federal funds | | |
| 8 | gross domestic product | | |
| | state rankings | | |
| 9 | by all R&D expenditures | | |
| 10 | by basic research expenditures | | |
| 11 | by federal funding | | |

| TABLE 1. State agency expenditures for R&D and R&D plant, by state and pe | erformer: FY 2006 |
|---|-----------------------|
| (Dollars) | |
| | DOD over an ality was |

| | | R&D expenditures | | |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| R&D pla | External performers | Internal performers | Total | State or equivalent |
| 73,198,4 | 761,006,425 | 261,469,260 | 1,022,475,684 | Jnited States |
| 1,191,7 | 2,929,893 | 4,339,426 | 7,269,319 | Alabama |
| | 4,662,588 | 5,356,472 | 10,019,060 | Alaska |
| | 28,575,035 | 8,576,436 | 37,151,471 | Arizona |
| | 3,796,363 | 1,073,285 | 4,869,648 | Arkansas |
| | 91,299,029 | 16,494,016 | 107,793,045 | California |
| 3,0 | 2,402,267 | 6,594,969 | 8,997,236 | Colorado |
| | 4,689,333 | 14,519,731 | 19,209,064 | Connecticut |
| | 1,605,091 | 1,207,011 | 2,812,102 | Delaware |
| | 871,076 | 302,000 | 1,173,076 | District of Columbia |
| | 32,316,108 | 10,013,516 | 42,329,624 | Florida |
| | 9,758,957 | 861,231 | 10,620,188 | Georgia |
| | 9,738,663 | 2,329,186 | 12,067,849 | Hawaii |
| | 2,022,947 | 257,926 | 2,280,873 | Idaho |
| 15,146,5 | 19,566,949 | 17,617,332 | 37,184,281 | Illinois |
| 1,000,0 | 3,856,529 | 2,364,046 | 6,220,575 | Indiana |
| | 7,810,030 | 5,754,032 | 13,564,062 | Iowa |
| | 12,210,643 | 2,137,741 | 14,348,384 | Kansas |
| | 13,856,148 | 3,702,849 | 17,558,997 | Kentucky |
| | 3,685,671 | 7,530,897 | 11,216,568 | Louisiana |
| 12,000,0 | 17,509,051 | 0 | 17,509,051 | Maine |
| 825,0 | 18,858,937 | 6,086,183 | 24,945,119 | Maryland |
| | 7,105,646 | 3,623,773 | 10,729,419 | Massachusetts |
| 5,500,0 | 73,489,275 | 1,527,314 | 75,016,589 | Michigan |
| | 4,086,924 | 2,132,277 | 6,219,201 | Minnesota |
| | 1,260,270 | 1,484,612 | 2,744,882 | Mississippi |
| | 6,293,509 | 12,171,794 | 18,465,303 | Missouri |
| | 6,201,928 | 2,404,391 | 8,606,319 | Montana |
| | 5,166,163 | 436,000 | 5,602,163 | Nebraska |
| | 1,074,421 | 323,042 | 1,397,463 | Nevada |
| 471,0 | 1,615,782 | 424,762 | 2,040,544 | New Hampshire |
| 4,881,0 | 20,416,698 | 5,483,784 | 25,900,482 | New Jersey |
| | 105,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,105,000 | New Mexico |
| 6,741,8 | 61,549,312 | 42,047,823 | 103,597,135 | New York |
| | 10,555,021 | 3,789,289 | 14,344,310 | North Carolina |
| 2,500,0 | 20,299,793 | 762,297 | 21,062,090 | North Dakota |
| 8,750,0 | 53,553,571 | 1,515,058 | 55,068,629 | Ohio |
| | 8,838,703 | 83,333 | 8,922,036 | Oklahoma |
| 1,692,0 | 4,064,527 | 3,318,194 | 7,382,722 | Oregon |
| 11,564,9 | 114,009,428 | 3,310,731 | 117,320,158 | Pennsylvania |
| | 150,000 | 0 | 150,000 | Rhode Island |
| 18,7 | 6,647,161 | 15,780,585 | 22,427,746 | South Carolina |
| | 3,472,832 | 2,318,754 | 5,791,586 | South Dakota |
| | 4,651,000 | 704,000 | 5,355,000 | Tennessee |
| 605,2 | 22,149,387 | 5,870,258 | 28,019,645 | Texas |
| 100,0 | 2,250,000 | 964,170 | 3,214,170 | Utah |
| | 831,746 | 848,787 | 1,680,533 | Vermont |
| | 6,533,829 | 5,045,794 | 11,579,623 | Virginia |
| 2,5 | 10,646,810 | 12,187,408 | 22,834,218 | Washington |
| 67,2 | | | | West Virginia |

TABLE 1. State agency expenditures for R&D and R&D plant, by state and performer: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| | | R&D expenditures | | |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| State or equivalent | Total | Internal performers | External performers | R&D plant |
| Wisconsin | 10,949,155 | 5,534,273 | 5,414,882 | 137,500 |
| Wyoming | 6,326,604 | 4,216,426 | 2,110,178 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | 1,458,790 | 1,274,358 | 184,432 | 0 |

NOTES: Because of rounding, detail may not add to total. Internal performers include state agency and department employees and services performed by others in support of an internal R&D project. External performers include academic institutions, companies and individuals, and other non-internal performers.

| TABLE 2. All state government expenditures and state agency expenditures for R&D and R&D plant, by state: FY 2005, FY 2006 | |
|--|--|
| (Dollars) | |

| | All state government | State agency e | expenditures |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures (FY 2005) | R&D (FY 2006) | R&D plant (FY 2006 |
| Jnited States | 1,479,562,054,000 | 1,022,475,684 | 73,198,49 |
| Alabama | 21,046,841,000 | 7,269,319 | 1,191,780 |
| Alaska | 8,055,975,000 | 10,019,060 | (|
| Arizona | 23,957,058,000 | 37,151,471 | (|
| Arkansas | 13,634,214,000 | 4,869,648 | C |
| California | 209,771,313,000 | 107,793,045 | C |
| Colorado | 18,769,570,000 | 8,997,236 | 3,000 |
| Connecticut | 20,203,170,000 | 19,209,064 | (|
| Delaware | 5,904,256,000 | 2,812,102 | (|
| District of Columbia | 9,099,596,000 | 1,173,076 | (|
| Florida | 70,417,744,000 | 42,329,624 | (|
| Georgia | 33,806,582,000 | 10,620,188 | (|
| Hawaii | 8,405,444,000 | 12,067,849 | C |
| Idaho | 6,137,489,000 | 2,280,873 | C |
| Illinois | 55,666,989,000 | 37,184,281 | 15,146,506 |
| Indiana | 26,451,543,000 | 6,220,575 | 1,000,000 |
| Iowa | 14,142,676,000 | 13,564,062 | C |
| Kansas | 11,765,208,000 | 14,348,384 | C |
| Kentucky | 20,091,176,000 | 17,558,997 | C |
| Louisiana | 21,402,115,000 | 11,216,568 | (|
| Maine | 7,484,638,000 | 17,509,051 | 12,000,000 |
| Maryland | 26,803,282,000 | 24,945,119 | 825,000 |
| Massachusetts | 38,025,089,000 | 10,729,419 | (|
| Michigan | 51,408,421,000 | 75,016,589 | 5,500,000 |
| Minnesota | 30,169,448,000 | 6,219,201 | (|
| Mississippi | 14,704,763,000 | 2,744,882 | C |
| Missouri | 23,147,448,000 | 18,465,303 | (|
| Montana | 4,800,120,000 | 8,606,319 | (|
| Nebraska | 7,273,406,000 | 5,602,163 | (|
| Nevada | 9,158,273,000 | 1,397,463 | (|
| New Hampshire | 5,783,853,000 | 2,040,544 | 471,000 |
| New Jersey | 49,230,773,000 | 25,900,482 | 4,881,065 |
| New Mexico | 12,599,040,000 | 3,105,000 | (|
| New York | 136,786,401,000 | 103,597,135 | 6,741,864 |
| North Carolina North Dakota | 39,482,250,000 3,490,904,000 | 14,344,310 21,062,090 | 0 2,500,000 |
| | | | |
| Ohio Oklahoma | 60,554,060,000 15,710,022,000 | 55,068,629 8,922,036 | 8,750,000 C |
| Oregon | 19,216,554,000 | 7,382,722 | 1,692,030 |
| Pennsylvania | 62,833,258,000 | 117,320,158 | 11,564,981 |
| Rhode Island | 6,753,641,000 | 150,000 | (|
| South Carolina | 22,708,996,000 | 22,427,746 | 18,750 |
| South Dakota | 3,261,813,000 | 5,791,586 | (|
| Tennessee | 23,989,642,000 | 5,355,000 | C |
| Texas | 81,368,646,000 | 28,019,645 | 605,257 |
| Utah | 11,148,591,000 | 3,214,170 | 100,000 |
| Vermont | 4,435,774,000 | 1,680,533 | C |
| Virginia | 32,790,458,000 | 11,579,623 | C |
| Washington | 33,059,244,000 | 22,834,218 | 2,500 |
| West Virginia | 9,826,128,000 | 6,024,577 | 67,258 |

| TABLE 2. All state government expenditures and state agency expenditures for R&D and R&D plant, by state: FY 2005 | , FY 2006 |
|---|-----------|
| (Dollars) | |

| | All state government | State agency expenditures | |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures (FY 2005) | R&D (FY 2006) | R&D plant (FY 2006) |
| Wisconsin | 28,828,334,000 | 10,949,155 | 137,500 |
| Wyoming | 3,999,825,000 | 6,326,604 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | NA | 1,458,790 | 0 |

NA = not available.

SOURCES: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of FY 2006 State R&D Expenditures; U.S. Census Bureau, Governments Division, FY 2005 Survey of State Government Finances, February 2007.

| | Course of |
|---|-----------|
| (Dollars) | |
| TABLE 3. State agency expenditures for R&D by all performers, by state and source of funds: | FY 2006 |

| | All R&D | Source of | funds |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures | Federal government | State and other |
| United States | 1,022,475,684 | 255,504,186 | 766,971,498 |
| Alabama | 7,269,319 | 3,636,117 | 3,633,202 |
| Alaska | 10,019,060 | 5,647,941 | 4,371,119 |
| Arizona | 37,151,471 | 5,659,756 | 31,491,715 |
| Arkansas | 4,869,648 | 2,066,318 | 2,803,330 |
| California | 107,793,045 | 27,867,854 | 79,925,19 |
| Colorado | 8,997,236 | 4,044,780 | 4,952,45 |
| Connecticut | 19,209,064 | 6,735,926 | 12,473,13 |
| Delaware | 2,812,102 | 277,211 | 2,534,89 |
| District of Columbia | 1,173,076 | 938,460 | 234,61 |
| Florida | 42,329,624 | 9,887,481 | 32,442,14 |
| Georgia | 10,620,188 | 5,545,780 | 5,074,40 |
| Hawaii | 12,067,849 | 8,578,749 | 3,489,10 |
| Idaho | 2,280,873 | 652,451 | 1,628,42 |
| Illinois | 37,184,281 | 1,521,332 | 35,662,94 |
| Indiana | 6,220,575 | 4,428,024 | 1,792,55 |
| lowa | 13,564,062 | 4,388,234 | 9,175,82 |
| Kansas | 14,348,384 | 4,864,624 | 9,483,76 |
| Kentucky | 17,558,997 | 6,823,866 | 10,735,13 |
| Louisiana | 11,216,568 | 6,382,762 | 4,833,80 |
| Maine | 17,509,051 | 0 | 17,509,05 |
| Maryland | 24,945,119 | 5,053,001 | 19,892,11 |
| Massachusetts | 10,729,419 | 1,125,989 | 9,603,43 |
| Michigan | 75,016,589 | 4,127,849 | 70,888,74 |
| Minnesota | 6,219,201 | 2,002,686 | 4,216,51 |
| Mississippi | 2,744,882 | 2,285,202 | 459,68 |
| Missouri | 18,465,303 | 5,473,754 | 12,991,54 |
| Montana | 8,606,319 | 2,913,589 | 5,692,73 |
| Nebraska | 5,602,163 | 1,940,130 | 3,662,03 |
| Nevada | 1,397,463 | 505,000 | 892,46 |
| New Hampshire | 2,040,544 | 1,793,026 | 247,51 |
| New Jersey | 25,900,482 | 2,927,179 | 22,973,30 |
| New Mexico | 3,105,000 | 0 | 3,105,00 |
| New York | 103,597,135 | 11,175,919 | 92,421,21 |
| North Carolina | 14,344,310 | 8,043,625 | 6,300,68 |
| North Dakota | 21,062,090 | 5,957,704 | 15,104,38 |
| Ohio | 55,068,629 | 8,767,534 | 46,301,09 |
| Oklahoma | 8,922,036 | 643,333 | 8,278,70 |
| Oregon | 7,382,722 | 2,245,190 | 5,137,53 |
| Pennsylvania | 117,320,158 | 4,278,736 | 113,041,42 |
| Rhode Island | 150,000 | 150,000 | |
| South Carolina | 22,427,746 | 19,731,986 | 2,695,76 |
| South Dakota | 5,791,586 | 3,011,796 | 2,779,79 |
| Tennessee | 5,355,000 | 4,658,000 | 697,00 |
| Texas | 28,019,645 | 20,318,659 | 7,700,98 |
| Utah | 3,214,170 | 1,800,000 | 1,414,17 |
| Vermont | 1,680,533 | 1,282,280 | 398,25 |
| Virginia | 11,579,623 | 6,387,630 | 5,191,99 |
| Washington | 22,834,218 | 7,728,621 | 15,105,59 |
| West Virginia | 6,024,577 | 2,663,801 | 3,360,77 |

TABLE 3. State agency expenditures for R&D by all performers, by state and source of funds: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| | All R&D | Source of | funds |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures | Federal government | State and other ^a |
| Wisconsin | 10,949,155 | 4,772,502 | 6,176,653 |
| Wyoming | 6,326,604 | 1,688,296 | 4,638,308 |
| Puerto Rico | 1,458,790 | 103,503 | 1,355,287 |

^a "Other" includes companies, nonprofit organizations, other state governments, and city, county, regional, or other local governments.

| TABLE 4. State agency ex | penditures for R&D by internal performers, by state and | source of funds: FY 2006 |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| (Dollars) | | |
| | All R&D by | Source of fur |

| | | | Source of funds | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|--|
| te or equivalent | internal performers | Federal government | State | Othe | |
| ed States | 261,469,260 | 95,215,574 | 149,872,194 | 16,381,49 | |
| Nabama | 4,339,426 | 2,164,043 | 2,084,017 | 91,36 | |
| Maska | 5,356,472 | 3,411,983 | 1,839,489 | 105,00 | |
| Arizona | 8,576,436 | 4,361,339 | 3,726,530 | 488,56 | |
| Arkansas | 1,073,285 | 689,886 | 383,399 | | |
| California | 16,494,016 | 7,958,590 | 8,504,931 | 30,49 | |
| Colorado | 6,594,969 | 2,871,287 | 2,649,791 | 1,073,89 | |
| Connecticut | 14,519,731 | 4,069,197 | 10,054,727 | 395,80 | |
| Delaware | 1,207,011 | 277,211 | 610,000 | 319,80 | |
| District of Columbia | 302,000 | 241,600 | 60,400 | | |
| lorida | 10,013,516 | 3,180,864 | 4,487,758 | 2,344,89 | |
| Georgia | 861,231 | 683,317 | 177,914 | | |
| ławaii | 2,329,186 | 1,419,765 | 841,091 | 68,33 | |
| daho | 257,926 | 151,742 | 106,184 | | |
| llinois | 17,617,332 | 1,521,332 | 16,096,000 | | |
| ndiana | 2,364,046 | 1,337,988 | 1,026,058 | | |
| owa | 5,754,032 | 1,259,833 | 2,906,184 | 1,588,01 | |
| Cansas | 2,137,741 | 1,480,193 | 657,548 | | |
| Kentucky | 3,702,849 | 406,133 | 3,296,716 | | |
| ouisiana | 7,530,897 | 4,819,862 | 2,711,035 | | |
| <i>N</i> aine | 1,550,877 | 4,019,002 | 2,711,033 | | |
| Naryland | 6,086,183 | 2,018,197 | 3,909,986 | 158,00 | |
| /assachusetts | 3,623,773 | 552,319 | 3,067,954 | 3,50 | |
| /ichigan | 1,527,314 | 489,815 | 1,037,499 | 0,00 | |
| /innesota | 2,132,277 | 1,118,639 | 490,638 | 523,00 | |
| lississippi | 1,484,612 | 1,284,844 | 199,768 | 525,00 | |
| Aissouri | 12,171,794 | 2,352,917 | 9,818,877 | | |
| Nontana | 2,404,391 | 1,787,327 | 424,973 | 192,09 | |
| lebraska | 436,000 | 336,000 | 100,000 | , | |
| levada | 323,042 | 5,000 | 318,042 | | |
| lew Hampshire | 424,762 | 348,732 | 35,830 | 40,20 | |
| lew Jersey | 5,483,784 | 1,801,347 | 3,682,437 | | |
| Jew Mexico | 3,000,000 | 0 | 3,000,000 | | |
| lew York | 42,047,823 | 2,831,250 | 34,953,000 | 4,263,57 | |
| Jorth Carolina | 3,789,289 | 849,051 | 2,880,684 | 59,55 | |
| Jorth Dakota | 762,297 | 266,797 | 476,000 | 19,50 | |
| Dhio | 1,515,058 | 639,107 | 875,951 | | |
| Oklahoma | 83,333 | 23,333 | 60,000 | | |
| Dregon | 3,318,194 | 1,341,481 | 1,726,713 | 250,00 | |
| Pennsylvania | 3,310,731 | 1,069,095 | 2,191,636 | 50,00 | |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 0 | 2,171,030 | 50,00 | |
| South Carolina | 15,780,585 | 14,770,956 | 1,009,629 | | |
| South Dakota | 2,318,754 | 287,519 | 805,772 | 1,225,46 | |
| ennessee | 704,000 | 613,000 | 91,000 | 1,220,40 | |
| | | | | | |
| ēxas Jtah | 5,870,258 964,170 | 3,577,232 754,889 | 2,293,026 209,281 | | |
| /ermont | 848,787 | 699,538 | 149,249 | | |
| | | | 2,198,476 | | |
| /irginia Vechington | 5,045,794 | 2,789,270 | | 58,04 | |
| Vashington | 12,187,408 | 4,223,649 | 5,342,334 | 2,621,42 | |
| Vest Virginia | 1,767,688 | 1,265,231 | 502,457 | | |

TABLE 4. State agency expenditures for R&D by internal performers, by state and source of funds: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| | All R&D by | | Source of funds | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| State or equivalent | internal performers | Federal government | State | Other ^a |
| Wisconsin | 5,534,273 | 3,261,000 | 1,890,773 | 382,500 |
| Wyoming | 4,216,426 | 1,448,371 | 2,739,582 | 28,473 |
| Puerto Rico | 1,274,358 | 103,503 | 1,170,855 | 0 |

^aIncludes companies, nonprofit organizations, other state governments, and city, county, regional, or other local governments.

NOTE: Internal performers include state agency and department employees, and services performed by others in support of an internal R&D project.

| TABLE 5. State agency expenditures for R&D by external performers, by state and type of performer: FY 2006 |
|--|
| (Dollars) |

| | | T | Type of performer | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--|
| | All R&D by | | Companies and | | |
| State or equivalent | external performers | Academic institutions | individuals ^a | Othe | |
| United States | 761,006,425 | 503,973,268 | 136,375,131 | 120,658,02 | |
| Alabama | 2,929,893 | 1,829,893 | 0 | 1,100,00 | |
| Alaska | 4,662,588 | 621,263 | 4,041,325 | | |
| Arizona | 28,575,035 | 20,913,769 | 7,091,266 | 570,00 | |
| Arkansas | 3,796,363 | 2,296,286 | 722,818 | 777,25 | |
| California | 91,299,029 | 57,223,320 | 17,807,334 | 16,268,37 | |
| Colorado | 2,402,267 | 1,197,899 | 1,192,343 | 12,02 | |
| Connecticut | 4,689,333 | 3,969,388 | 0 | 719,94 | |
| Delaware | 1,605,091 | 718,600 | 886,491 | 717,71 | |
| District of Columbia | | | | | |
| Florida | 871,076 32,316,108 | 781,076 21,161,651 | 90,000 7,001,544 | 4,152,91 | |
| Georgia | 9,758,957 | 2,936,630 | 4,595,009 | 2,227,31 | |
| • | | | | | |
| Hawaii | 9,738,663 | 2,503,558 | 6,230,412 | 1,004,69 | |
| Idaho | 2,022,947 | 1,313,475 | 96,362 | 613,11 | |
| Illinois | 19,566,949 | 15,428,249 | 3,060,700 | 1,078,00 | |
| Indiana | 3,856,529 | 3,701,842 | 154,687 | | |
| Iowa | 7,810,030 | 6,932,030 | 0 | 878,00 | |
| Kansas | 12,210,643 | 9,482,683 | 1,641,509 | 1,086,45 | |
| Kentucky | 13,856,148 | 12,249,144 | 1,592,004 | 15,00 | |
| Louisiana | 3,685,671 | 3,605,457 | 80,214 | | |
| Maine | 17,509,051 | 0 | 5,509,051 | 12,000,00 | |
| Maryland | 18,858,937 | 14,712,396 | 4,091,541 | 55,00 | |
| Massachusetts | 7,105,646 | 5,906,938 | 174,708 | 1,024,00 | |
| Michigan | 73,489,275 | 37,931,086 | 1,258,189 | 34,300,00 | |
| Minnesota | 4,086,924 | 3,732,015 | 162,409 | 192,50 | |
| Mississippi | 1,260,270 | 453,948 | 252,158 | 554,16 | |
| Missouri | 6,293,509 | 4,771,453 | 229,792 | 1,292,26 | |
| Montana | 6,201,928 | 2,915,357 | 2,741,779 | 544,79 | |
| Nebraska | 5,166,163 | 2,343,663 | 72,500 | 2,750,00 | |
| | | | | 2,750,00 | |
| Nevada New Hampshire | 1,074,421 1,615,782 | 1,053,634 1,086,632 | 20,787 368,900 | 160,25 | |
| | | | | | |
| New Jersey | 20,416,698 | 18,120,682 | 300,000 | 1,996,01 | |
| New Mexico | 105,000 | 65,000 | 15,000 | 25,00 | |
| New York | 61,549,312 | 57,387,143 | 2,767,169 | 1,395,00 | |
| North Carolina | 10,555,021 | 9,750,227 | 268,859 | 535,93 | |
| North Dakota | 20,299,793 | 8,976,984 | 10,910,500 | 412,30 | |
| Ohio | 53,553,571 | 33,955,619 | 17,578,352 | 2,019,60 | |
| Oklahoma | 8,838,703 | 4,561,139 | 2,408,547 | 1,869,01 | |
| Oregon | 4,064,528 | 2,871,985 | 154,679 | 1,037,86 | |
| Pennsylvania | 114,009,427 | 68,742,952 | 24,580,021 | 20,686,45 | |
| Rhode Island | 150,000 | 150,000 | 0 | | |
| South Carolina | 6,647,161 | 3,838,107 | 199,739 | 2,609,31 | |
| South Dakota | 3,472,832 | 2,090,336 | 1,382,496 | | |
| Tennessee | 4,651,000 | 4,348,000 | 303,000 | | |
| Texas | 22,149,387 | 22,106,387 | 0 | 43,00 | |
| Utah | 2,250,000 | 2,000,000 | 200,000 | 50,00 | |
| Vermont | 831,746 | 609,641 | 141,105 | 81,00 | |
| Virginia | 6,533,829 | 5,567,471 | 947,485 | 18,87 | |
| Washington | 10,646,810 | 5,667,295 | 1,656,138 | 3,323,37 | |
| West Virginia | 4,256,889 | 4,113,412 | 73,477 | 70,00 | |

TABLE 5. State agency expenditures for R&D by external performers, by state and type of performer: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| | | Type of performer | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | All R&D by | | Companies and | |
| State or equivalent | external performers | Academic institutions | individuals ^a | Other ^b |
| Wisconsin | 5,414,882 | 3,308,775 | 996,900 | 1,109,207 |
| Wyoming | 2,110,178 | 1,968,778 | 141,400 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | 184,432 | 0 | 184,432 | 0 |

^aIncludes individuals under contract for research projects.

^bIncludes federal government, nonprofit organizations, city, county, regional, or other local governments; other departments/agencies within state; and other state governments.

| TABLE 6. | State agency expenditures for R&D and basic research, by state: FY 2006 |
|-----------|---|
| (Dollars) | |

| | All R&D | Basic research | | |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| State or equivalent | expenditures | Total | % all R&D expenditures | |
| United States | 1,022,475,684 | 213,570,882 | 20.9 | |
| Alabama | 7,269,319 | 1,275,804 | 17.6 | |
| Alaska | 10,019,060 | 598,622 | 6.0 | |
| Arizona | 37,151,471 | 21,409,583 | 57.6 | |
| Arkansas | 4,869,648 | 1,460,380 | 30.0 | |
| California | 107,793,045 | 5,097,948 | 4.7 | |
| Colorado | 8,997,236 | 304,940 | 3.4 | |
| Connecticut | 19,209,064 | 4,574,818 | 23.8 | |
| Delaware | 2,812,102 | 375,166 | 13.3 | |
| District of Columbia | 1,173,076 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| Florida | 42,329,624 | 10,625,638 | 25.1 | |
| Georgia | 10,620,188 | 55,000 | 0.5 | |
| Hawaii | 12,067,849 | 880,750 | 7.3 | |
| Idaho | 2,280,873 | 441,267 | 19.3 | |
| Illinois | 37,184,281 | 533,330 | 1.4 | |
| Indiana | 6,220,575 | 437,800 | 7.0 | |
| Iowa | 13,564,062 | 2,051,118 | 15.1 | |
| Kansas | 14,348,384 | 1,301,792 | 9.1 | |
| Kentucky | 17,558,997 | 4,351,476 | 24.8 | |
| Louisiana | 11,216,568 | 5,890,434 | 52.5 | |
| Maine | 17,509,051 | 5,509,051 | 31.5 | |
| Maryland | 24,945,119 | 4,631,600 | 18.6 | |
| Massachusetts | 10,729,419 | 234,173 | 2.2 | |
| Michigan | 75,016,589 | 5,205,204 | 6.9 | |
| Minnesota | 6,219,201 | 750,000 | 12.1 | |
| Mississippi | 2,744,882 | 215,173 | 7.8 | |
| Missouri | 18,465,303 | 85,500 | 0.5 | |
| Montana | 8,606,319 | 1,500,000 | 17.4 | |
| Nebraska | 5,602,163 | 1,715,663 | 30.6 | |
| Nevada | 1,397,463 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| New Hampshire | 2,040,544 | 88,180 | 4.3 | |
| New Jersey | 25,900,482 | 14,292,912 | 55.2 | |
| New Mexico | 3,105,000 | 50,000 | 1.6 | |
| New York | 103,597,135 | 17,595,669 | 17.0 | |
| North Carolina | 14,344,310 | 371,000 | 2.6 | |
| North Dakota | 21,062,090 | 4,458,386 | 21.2 | |
| Ohio | 55,068,629 | 2,980,234 | 5.4 | |
| Oklahoma | 8,922,036 | 3,464,239 | 38.8 | |
| Oregon | 7,382,722 | 626,391 | 8.5 | |
| Pennsylvania | 117,320,158 | 67,655,969 | 57.7 | |
| Rhode Island | 150,000 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| South Carolina | 22,427,746 | 3,712,659 | 16.6 | |
| South Dakota | 5,791,586 | 160,000 | 2.8 | |
| Tennessee | 5,355,000 | 1,750,000 | 32.7 | |
| Texas | 28,019,645 | 1,946,166 | 6.9 | |
| Utah | 3,214,170 | 300,000 | 9.3 | |
| Vermont | 1,680,533 | 113,273 | 6.7 | |
| Virginia | 11,579,623 | 5,991,859 | 51.7 | |
| Washington | 22,834,218 | 1,297,250 | 5.7 | |
| West Virginia | 6,024,577 | 3,553,349 | 59.0 | |

TABLE 6. State agency expenditures for R&D and basic research, by state: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| | All R&D | Basi | ic research |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures | Total | % all R&D expenditures |
| Wisconsin | 10,949,155 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming | 6,326,604 | 1,210,138 | 19.1 |
| Puerto Rico | 1,458,790 | 440,978 | 30.2 |

| TABLE 7. State agency expenditures for R&D from federal funds, by state: FY 2006 |
|--|
| (Dollars) |

| (Dollars) | All R&D | Federal funds | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures | Total | % all R&D expenditures |
| United States | 1,022,475,684 | 255,504,186 | 25.0 |
| Alabama | 7,269,319 | 3,636,117 | 50.0 |
| Alaska | 10,019,060 | 5,647,941 | 56.4 |
| Arizona | 37,151,471 | 5,659,756 | 15.2 |
| Arkansas | 4,869,648 | 2,066,318 | 42.4 |
| California | 107,793,045 | 27,867,854 | 25.9 |
| Colorado | 8,997,236 | 4,044,780 | 45.0 |
| Connecticut | 19,209,064 | 6,735,926 | 35.1 |
| Delaware | 2,812,102 | 277,211 | 9.9 |
| District of Columbia | 1,173,076 | 938,460 | 80.0 |
| Florida | 42,329,624 | 9,887,481 | 23.4 |
| Georgia | 10,620,188 | 5,545,780 | 52.2 |
| Hawaii | 12,067,849 | 8,578,749 | 71.1 |
| Idaho | 2,280,873 | 652,451 | 28.6 |
| Illinois | 37,184,281 | 1,521,332 | 4.1 |
| Indiana | 6,220,575 | 4,428,024 | 71.2 |
| Iowa | 13,564,062 | 4,388,234 | 32.4 |
| Kansas | 14,348,384 | 4,864,624 | 33.9 |
| Kentucky | 17,558,997 | 6,823,866 | 38.9 |
| Louisiana | 11,216,568 | 6,382,762 | 56.9 |
| Maine | 17,509,051 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Maryland | 24,945,119 | 5,053,001 | 20.3 |
| Massachusetts | 10,729,419 | 1,125,989 | 10.5 |
| Michigan | 75,016,589 | 4,127,849 | 5.5 |
| Minnesota | 6,219,201 | 2,002,686 | 32.2 |
| Mississippi | 2,744,882 | 2,285,202 | 83.3 |
| Missouri | 18,465,303 | 5,473,754 | 29.6 |
| Montana | 8,606,319 | 2,913,589 | 33.9 |
| Nebraska | 5,602,163 | 1,940,130 | 34.6 |
| Nevada | 1,397,463 | 505,000 | 36.1 |
| New Hampshire | 2,040,544 | 1,793,026 | 87.9 |
| New Jersey | 25,900,482 | 2,927,179 | 11.3 |
| New Mexico | 3,105,000 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New York | 103,597,135 | 11,175,919 | 10.8 |
| North Carolina North Dakota | 14,344,310 21,062,090 | 8,043,625 5,957,704 | 56.1 28.3 |
| Ohio | | | |
| Oklahoma | 55,068,629 8,922,036 | 8,767,534 | 15.9 7.2 |
| | 7,382,722 | 643,333 | 30.4 |
| Oregon Pennsylvania | 117,320,158 | 2,245,190 4,278,736 | 3.6 |
| Rhode Island | 150,000 | 4,278,730 | 3.c 100.0 |
| South Carolina | 22,427,746 | 19,731,986 | 88.0 |
| South Dakota | 5,791,586 | 3,011,796 | 52.0 |
| Tennessee | 5,355,000 | 4,658,000 | 87.0 |
| Texas | 28,019,645 | 20,318,659 | 72.5 |
| Utah | 3,214,170 | 1,800,000 | 56.0 |
| Vermont | 1,680,533 | 1,282,280 | 76.3 |
| Virginia | 11,579,623 | 6,387,630 | 55.2 |
| Washington | 22,834,218 | 7,728,621 | 33.8 |
| West Virginia | 6,024,577 | 2,663,801 | 44.2 |

TABLE 7. State agency expenditures for R&D from federal funds, by state: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| | All R&D | Fea | deral funds |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures | Total | % all R&D expenditures |
| Wisconsin | 10,949,155 | 4,772,502 | 43.6 |
| Wyoming | 6,326,604 | 1,688,296 | 26.7 |
| Puerto Rico | 1,458,790 | 103,503 | 7.1 |

| TABLE 8. State agency expenditures for R&D and gross domestic product, by state: FY 2006 |
|--|
| (Millions of dollars) |

| | All R&D | Gross domesti |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures | produc |
| Jnited States | 1,022.5 | 13,149,033 |
| Alabama | 7.3 | 160,569 |
| Alaska | 10.0 | 41,10 |
| Arizona | 37.2 | 232,46 |
| Arkansas | 4.9 | 91,83 |
| California | 107.8 | 1,727,35 |
| Colorado | 9.0 | 230,47 |
| Connecticut | 19.2 | 204,13 |
| Delaware | 2.8 | 60,36 |
| District of Columbia | 1.2 | 87,66 |
| Florida | 42.3 | 713,50 |
| Georgia | 10.6 | 379,55 |
| Hawaii | 12.1 | 58,30 |
| Idaho | 2.3 | 49,90 |
| Illinois | 37.2 | 589,59 |
| Indiana | 6.2 | 248,91 |
| lowa | 13.6 | 123,97 |
| Kansas | 14.3 | 111,69 |
| Kentucky | 17.6 | 145,95 |
| Louisiana | 11.2 | 193,13 |
| Maine | 17.5 | 46,97 |
| Maryland | 24.9 | 257,81 |
| Massachusetts | 10.7 | 337,57 |
| Michigan | 75.0 | 381,00 |
| Minnesota | 6.2 | 244,54 |
| Mississippi | 2.7 | 84,22 |
| Missouri | 18.5 | 225,87 |
| Montana | 8.6 | 32,32 |
| Nebraska | 5.6 | 75,70 |
| Nevada | 1.4 | 118,39 |
| New Hampshire | 2.0 | 56,27 |
| New Jersey | 25.9 | 453,17 |
| New Mexico | 3.1 | 75,91 |
| New York | 103.6 | 1,021,94 |
| North Carolina | 14.3 | 374,52 |
| North Dakota | 21.1 | 26,38 |
| Ohio | 55.1 | 461,30 |
| Oklahoma | 8.9 | 134,65 |
| Oregon | 7.4 | 151,30 |
| Pennsylvania | 117.3 | 510,29 |
| Rhode Island | 0.2 | 45,66 |
| South Carolina | 22.4 | 149,21 |
| South Dakota | 5.8 | 32,33 |
| Tennessee | 5.4 | 238,02 |
| Texas | 28.0 | 1,065,89 |
| Utah | 3.2 | 97,74 |
| Vermont | 1.7 | 24,21 |
| Virginia | 11.6 | 369,26 |
| Washington West Virginia | 22.8 | 293,53 |
| | 6.0 | 55,65 |

TABLE 8. State agency expenditures for R&D and gross domestic product, by state: FY 2006 (Millions of dollars)

| | All R&D | Gross domestic |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|
| State or equivalent | expenditures | product |
| Wisconsin | 10.9 | 227,230 |
| Wyoming | 6.3 | 29,561 |
| Puerto Rico | 1.5 | NA |

NA = not available.

NOTE: Because of rounding, detail may not add to total.

SOURCES: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of FY 2006 State R&D Expenditures; Bureau of Economic Analysis, News Release 07-24 *State Economic Growth Widespread in 2006* (7 June 2007).

TABLE 9. State agency expenditures for R&D, ranked by all R&D expenditures: FY 2006

(Dollars)

| (Dollars) | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Rank | State or equivalent | All R&D expenditures |
| | United States | 1,022,475,684 |
| 1 | Pennsylvania | 117,320,158 |
| 2 | California | 107,793,045 |
| 3 | New York | 103,597,135 |
| 4 | Michigan | 75,016,589 |
| 5 | Ohio | 55,068,629 |
| 6 | Florida | 42,329,624 |
| 7 | Illinois | 37,184,281 |
| 8 | Arizona | 37,151,471 |
| 9 | Texas | 28,019,645 |
| 10 | New Jersey | 25,900,482 |
| 11 | Maryland | 24,945,119 |
| 12 | Washington | 22,834,218 |
| 13 | South Carolina | 22,427,746 |
| 14 | North Dakota | 21,062,090 |
| 15 | Connecticut | 19,209,064 |
| 16 | Missouri | 18,465,303 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 17,558,997 |
| 18 | Maine | 17,509,051 |
| 19 | Kansas | 14,348,384 |
| 20 | North Carolina | 14,344,310 |
| 21 | lowa | 13,564,062 |
| 22 | Hawaii | 12,067,849 |
| 23 | Virginia | 11,579,623 |
| 24 | Louisiana | 11,216,568 |
| 25 | Wisconsin | 10,949,155 |
| 26 | Massachusetts | 10,729,419 |
| 27 | Georgia | 10,620,188 |
| 28 | Alaska | 10,019,060 |
| 29 | Colorado | 8,997,236 |
| 30 | Oklahoma | 8,922,036 |
| 31 | Montana | 8,606,319 |
| 32 | Oregon | 7,382,722 |
| 33 | Alabama | 7,269,319 |
| 34 | Wyoming | 6,326,604 |
| 35 | Indiana | 6,220,575 |
| 36 | Minnesota | 6,219,201 |
| 37 | West Virginia | 6,024,577 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 5,791,586 |
| 39 | Nebraska | 5,602,163 |
| 40 | Tennessee | 5,355,000 |
| 41 | Arkansas | 4,869,648 |
| 42 | Utah | 3,214,170 |
| 43 | New Mexico | 3,105,000 |
| 44 | Delaware | 2,812,102 |
| 45 | Mississippi | 2,744,882 |
| 46 | Idaho | 2,280,873 |
| 47 | New Hampshire | 2,040,544 |
| 48 | Vermont | 1,680,533 |
| 49 | Puerto Rico | 1,458,790 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ., |
| | | |

TABLE 9. State agency expenditures for R&D, ranked by all R&D expenditures: FY 2006

(Dollars)

| (Dullai S | | |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Rank | State or equivalent | All R&D expenditures |
| 50 | Nevada | 1,397,463 |
| 51 | District of Columbia | 1,173,076 |
| 52 | Rhode Island | 150,000 |

TABLE 10. State agency expenditures for R&D, ranked by basic research expenditures: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| Rank | State or equivalent | Basic research expenditures |
|-------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Runik | United States | 213,570,882 |
| 1 | Pennsylvania | 67,655,969 |
| 2 | Arizona | 21,409,583 |
| 3 | New York | 17,595,669 |
| 4 | New Jersey | 14,292,912 |
| 5 | Florida | 10,625,638 |
| 6 | Virginia | 5,991,859 |
| 7 | Louisiana | 5,890,434 |
| 8 | Maine | 5,509,051 |
| 9 | Michigan | 5,205,204 |
| 10 | California | 5,097,948 |
| 11 | Maryland | 4,631,600 |
| 12 | Connecticut | 4,574,818 |
| 13 | North Dakota | 4,458,386 |
| 14 | Kentucky | 4,351,476 |
| 15 | South Carolina | 3,712,659 |
| 16 | West Virginia | 3,553,349 |
| 17 | Oklahoma | 3,464,239 |
| 18 | Ohio | 2,980,234 |
| 19 | lowa | 2,051,118 |
| 20 | Texas | 1,946,166 |
| 21 | Tennessee | 1,750,000 |
| 22 | Nebraska | 1,715,663 |
| 23 | Montana | 1,500,000 |
| 24 | Arkansas | 1,460,380 |
| 25 | Kansas | 1,301,792 |
| 26 | Washington | 1,297,250 |
| 27 | Alabama | 1,275,804 |
| 28 | Wyoming | 1,210,138 |
| 29 | Hawaii | 880,750 |
| 30 | Minnesota | 750,000 |
| 31 | Oregon | 626,391 |
| 32 | Alaska | 598,622 |
| 33 | Illinois | 533,330 |
| 34 | Idaho | 441,267 |
| 35 | Puerto Rico | 440,978 |
| 36 | Indiana | 437,800 |
| 37 | Delaware | 375,166 |
| 38 | North Carolina | 371,000 |
| 39 | Colorado | 304,940 |
| 40 | Utah | 300,000 |
| 41 | Massachusetts | 234,173 |
| 42 | Mississippi | 215,173 |
| 43 | South Dakota | 160,000 |
| 44 | Vermont | 113,273 |
| 45 | New Hampshire | 88,180 |
| 46 | Missouri | 85,500 |
| 47 | Georgia | 55,000 |
| 48 | New Mexico | 50,000 |
| | | |

TABLE 10. State agency expenditures for R&D, ranked by basic research expenditures: FY 2006

| ars) |
|------|
| |

| | | Basic research |
|------|---------------------|----------------|
| Rank | State or equivalent | expenditures |
| 49 | Nevada | 0 |
| 49 | Rhode Island | 0 |
| 49 | Wisconsin | 0 |

TABLE 11. State agency expenditures for R&D, ranked by expenditures from federal sources: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| | | Expenditures from |
|------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Rank | State | federal sources |
| | United States | 255,504,186 |
| 1 | California | 27,867,854 |
| 2 | Texas | 20,318,659 |
| 3 | South Carolina | 19,731,986 |
| 4 | New York | 11,175,919 |
| 5 | Florida | 9,887,481 |
| 6 | Ohio | 8,767,534 |
| 7 | Hawaii | 8,578,749 |
| 8 | North Carolina | 8,043,625 |
| 9 | Washington | 7,728,621 |
| 10 | Kentucky | 6,823,866 |
| 11 | Connecticut | 6,735,926 |
| 12 | Virginia | 6,387,630 |
| 13 | Louisiana | 6,382,762 |
| 14 | North Dakota | 5,957,704 |
| 15 | Arizona | 5,659,756 |
| 16 | Alaska | 5,647,941 |
| 17 | Georgia | 5,545,780 |
| 18 | Missouri | 5,473,754 |
| 19 | Maryland | 5,053,001 |
| 20 | Kansas | 4,864,624 |
| 21 | Wisconsin | 4,772,502 |
| 22 | Tennessee | 4,658,000 |
| 23 | Indiana | 4,428,024 |
| 24 | lowa | 4,388,234 |
| 25 | Pennsylvania | 4,278,736 |
| 26 | Michigan | 4,127,849 |
| 27 | Colorado | 4,044,780 |
| 28 | Alabama | 3,636,117 |
| 29 | South Dakota | 3,011,796 |
| 30 | New Jersey | 2,927,179 |
| 31 | Montana | 2,913,589 |
| 32 | West Virginia | 2,663,801 |
| 33 | Mississippi | 2,285,202 |
| 34 | Oregon | 2,245,190 |
| 35 | Arkansas | 2,066,318 |
| 36 | Minnesota | 2,002,686 |
| 37 | Nebraska | 1,940,130 |
| 38 | Utah | 1,800,000 |
| 39 | New Hampshire | 1,793,026 |
| 40 | Wyoming | 1,688,296 |
| 41 | Illinois | 1,521,332 |
| 42 | Vermont | 1,282,280 |
| 43 | Massachusetts | 1,125,989 |
| 44 | District of Columbia | 938,460 |
| 45 | Idaho | 652,451 |
| 46 | Oklahoma | 643,333 |
| 47 | Nevada | 505,000 |
| 48 | Delaware | 277,211 |
| 49 | Rhode Island | 150,000 |
| | | |

TABLE 11. State agency expenditures for R&D, ranked by expenditures from federal sources: FY 2006 (Dollars)

| (Dollars | | |
|----------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | Expenditures from |
| Rank | State | federal sources |
| 50 | Puerto Rico | 103,503 |
| 51 | Maine | 0 |
| 51 | New Mexico | 0 |

Appendix A. Technical Notes

The National Science Foundation (NSF) Survey of State R&D Expenditures measures the extent of R&D activity performed and funded by each of the nation's 50 states and the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico (treated as state equivalents in this report). The FY 2006 survey inaugurated this data collection effort; it collected amounts expended for R&D activities by state agencies for state fiscal years ending in 2006. A survey of FY 2007 expenditures will be conducted.

NSF provided funding to the U.S. Census Bureau to develop and conduct the FY 2006 survey, via a Web-based instrument. The survey was launched in November 2006 with a letter from the NSF Director, Dr. Arden Bement, to governors asking for each state's participation. The survey materials also included a letter of endorsement from the National Governors Association chair, Governor Janet Napolitano. (See appendix B.) Responses were collected through October 2007.

Scope of Survey

The survey covered state government departments, agencies, independent commissions, and other entities determined to be state-run. It excluded state-run colleges and universities, which are canvassed as part of the NSF Survey of R&D Expenditures at Colleges and Universities (see "Data Comparability," below, for more detail). It also excluded entities determined to be nonprofit or private, as defined by Census Bureau government classification.

Survey Methodology

The universe of interest covered all state departments, agencies, commissions, and dependent entities, with the exception of state-run colleges and universities; however, evidence existed that not all state agencies needed to be surveyed, as many were clearly not involved in R&D activity. Governors' offices identified a central coordinator in each state to assist in collecting data from state agencies. Each state coordinator was given a list of preselected agencies in their state that were to be surveyed with certainty. These agencies were identified in advance by the Census Bureau and NSF as having names that indicated a strong likelihood for providing R&D support, on the basis of reported R&D funding from a state survey conducted in 1996.[1] State coordinators were encouraged to add agencies they believed were also involved with R&D to the preselected list. State coordinators were allowed to add agencies until final survey closeout. The total preselected agency count was 320. State coordinators added 103 agencies to the respondent universe, for a total agency respondent universe of 423.[2]

Before officially submitting the data for their state, coordinators performed a final verification of aggregated agency data. All responses, including the initial agency data submissions and final state coordinator verifications, were received via the Web form or e-mail. Basic logical edit checks, review of respondent comments, and comparisons of data from previous surveys allowed staff to detect and work with state respondents to correct data errors.

Survey Instrument

The respondent questionnaire consisted of one screening and four R&D expenditures data questions (appendix B). The four R&D expenditures questions contained a total of 10 items.

Screening Question. The purpose of this question was to reduce the burden on agency respondents who did not have qualifying R&D expenditures during FY 2006

and to further clarify the scope of the survey for those agencies with R&D expenditures data to report. All 423 state agencies were asked whether they conducted or funded R&D activities during FY 2006. Respondents were provided definitions and examples (appendix B), including the following definition of R&D: creative work conducted systematically to (1) extend scientific knowledge, or (2) devise new or improved applications, including materials, products, devices, processes, systems, or services. Respondents who answered "No" to the screening question were not required to complete the remaining questions.

Question 1. The first seven data items were grouped as one question on the survey form. The purpose of this question was to allow the respondent to report all R&D expenditures for the agency, with the exception of R&D plant expenditures. The first three items asked for "Internal Performer" expenditures, by source of funds (state, federal, other). The second three items asked the respondent to report expenditures according to three types of "External Performers." The final data item, the agency's "Total R&D Expenditures," was calculated automatically as the respondent entered data.

Question 2. This question asked the respondent to provide the total amount of basic research expenditures for the agency. Respondents were provided with the "Total R&D Expenditures" amount calculated in Question 1.

Question 3. The next data item was also a subset of the "Total R&D Expenditures" amount calculated in Question 1. This question requested total R&D expenditures from federal sources, regardless of whether the R&D was performed internally or externally.

Question 4. The final data item asked the respondent to report R&D plant expenditures for FY 2006. This item was requested separately to avoid including large, one-time agency expenditures for construction projects or the purchase of land or buildings in "Total R&D Expenditures."

The instrument also allowed respondents to provide comments for each question.

Response Rates

The FY 2006 Survey of State R&D Expenditures calculated two response rates: one at the agency level and one for official data verification at the state level. Of the 52 states and state equivalents, 48 (92.3%) provided officially verified final state data. The four entities that did not officially verify data had some or all agencies submit data. The final agency response was 416 out of 423, for a rate of 98.3%. One state, Maine, did not submit individual agency data but submitted state totals via the state coordinator. For this reason, the 10 preselected agencies in Maine were not counted in the final response rates. Table A-1 displays final agency response rates, as well as counts of agencies that identified themselves as not having qualifying R&D expenditures for FY 2006. Table A-2 displays the progression of response rates throughout data collection for both state and agency responses.

Of the 416 agencies that responded to the survey, 164 (39.4%) reported conducting and funding no R&D in FY 2006; 252 reported having FY 2006 R&D activity. Of the 315 agencies preselected for the survey who responded, 103 (32.7%) reported having no R&D. Of the 101 respondent agencies added to the survey by a state coordinator, 61 (60.4%) reported having no R&D, which indicated that the preselection process for identifying R&D activities of state agencies was generally successful but not complete.

National Totals and Imputations

All state and national totals are aggregates of reported agency data or amounts revised at the state level by state coordinators. No statistical methods were used to account for nonresponding agencies.

Data Limitations

State coordinators in Massachusetts, Michigan, New Mexico, and Rhode Island did not verify the aggregated agency data. Their agencies' data are included in totals reported here. At least one agency in each of the following states did not respond to the survey: Colorado (1), New Hampshire (1), New Jersey (1), New Mexico (2), and Rhode Island (2).

Although every effort was made to exclude out-of-scope amounts, it is likely that some reported data include expenditures for non-R&D activities, such as commercialization, environmental testing, or routine survey work. Some state data may also exclude minor R&D expenditure amounts from agencies not surveyed.

Data Comparability

The last two previous NSF-supported surveys of state government R&D were undertaken more than a decade ago. The first collected data for fiscal years 1987 and 1988,[3] and the second, for fiscal year 1995.[4] Both data collections were conducted by nonfederal organizations that were supported by NSF grants. Because of differences in the survey populations, definition of covered R&D activities, and collection methods, the results of those surveys are not comparable with the statistics collected on the FY 2006 Survey of State R&D Expenditures.

The data reported here focus exclusively on R&D expenditures of state departments, agencies, commissions, and dependent entities, with the exception of state-run colleges and universities. Universities, colleges, or other higher education entities surveyed under the NSF Survey of R&D Expenditures at Universities and Colleges were out of scope, as respondents, for this effort. State-run laboratories or experiment stations controlled by state universities were excluded. Any entities determined to be nonprofit or private, as defined by Census Bureau government classification, were also excluded from the respondent universe. Several industry-specific state commissions, which are generally chartered by state legislatures but are administered independently, were considered state agencies and included in the survey.

The data exclude R&D expenditures of states that did not flow through state agencies' budgets. The state totals do not include direct appropriations from state legislatures to colleges and universities. In FY 2006, universities and colleges reported expending \$3.0 billion on separately budgeted R&D activities that were funded from all sources of state and local government support.[5] State agencies reported \$0.5 billion in expenditures used to support R&D performance by academic institutions. A major factor for the difference between totals reported in the Academic and the State R&D surveys is due to direct appropriations/grants to state-run universities that are included in the former, but not the latter. Another likely factor is the exclusion of R&D at agricultural experiment stations from the state survey totals. Table A-3 provides state-specific comparisons.

Data Availability

Data from this and other NSF R&D surveys are available at http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/.

Notes

[1] Battelle Memorial Institute and State Science & Technology Institute. 1998. *Survey of State Research and Development Expenditures: Fiscal Year 1995*. Columbus, OH.

[2] Ten agencies in Maine were preselected to receive the survey questionnaire. However, the state coordinator opted to submit aggregate state totals, rather than individual state agency data. Consequently, those 10 agencies are not included in the counts of agencies.

[3] National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Studies. 1990. *Research and Development Expenditures of State Government Agencies: Fiscal Years 1987 and 1988*. Special Report NSF 90-309. Washington, DC. (Report based on data collected by Syracuse Research Corporation.)

[4] National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Studies. 1999. *What is the State Government Role in the R&D Enterprise?* NSF 99-348. Arlington, VA. Available at http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/nsf99348/. (Report based on Survey of State Research and Development Expenditures: Fiscal Year 1995, conducted by Battelle Memorial Institute and State Science & Technology Institute.)

[5] National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics. 2007. *Academic Research and Development Expenditures: Fiscal Year 2006.* Detailed Statistical Tables NSF 08-300. Arlington, VA. Available at http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/nsf08300/.

Technical Tables

- A-1 Final response rates and agencies reporting "no R&D" for Survey of State R&D Expenditures: FY 2006
- A-2 Monthly cumulative response rates during calendar year 2007
- A-3 State-funded R&D expenditures at universities and colleges, reported by academic and state agency survey respondents: FY 2006

| TABLE A-1. Final respo | nse rates and agencies reporting | "no R&D" for Surve | y of State R&D Expenditures: FY 2006 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | | |

| | Agencies | Respons | Responses | | reported |
|-------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------------|
| Agencies selected | surveyed | Agencies | Rate (%) | Agencies | % of responses |
| All agencies | 423 | 416 | 98.3 | 164 | 39.4 |
| Preselected | 320 | 315 | 98.4 | 103 | 32.7 |
| Added | 103 | 101 | 98.1 | 61 | 60.4 |

NOTES: Ten agencies in Maine were preselected to receive the survey questionnaire. However, the state coordinator opted to submit aggregate state totals, rather than individual state agency data. Consequently, those 10 agencies are not included in this table.

TABLE A-2. Monthly cumulative response rates during calendar year 2007

| Date (last day of | Agencies co | mpleted | States com | pleted |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|------------|---------|
| each month) | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| January | 171 | 40.4 | 5 | 9.6 |
| February | 232 | 54.8 | 20 | 38.4 |
| March | 323 | 76.4 | 29 | 55.8 |
| April | 349 | 82.5 | 36 | 69.2 |
| May | 370 | 87.5 | 40 | 76.9 |
| June | 384 | 90.8 | 42 | 80.8 |
| July | 397 | 93.9 | 43 | 82.7 |
| August | 410 | 96.9 | 46 | 88.5 |
| September | 412 | 97.4 | 47 | 90.4 |
| October | 416 | 98.3 | 48 | 92.3 |

TABLE A-3. State-funded R&D expenditures at universities and colleges, reported by academic and state agency survey respondents: FY 2006 $\,$

(Dollars in thousands)

| State | Academic survey ^a | State agency survey |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Jnited States | 3,013,112 | 503,97 |
| Alabama | 9,989 | 1,83 |
| Alaska | 2,392 | 62 |
| Arizona | 29,386 | 20,91 |
| Arkansas | 50,625 | 2,29 |
| California | 266,911 | 57,22 |
| Colorado | 18,030 | 1,19 |
| Connecticut | 9,334 | 3,96 |
| Delaware | 4,989 | 71 |
| District of Columbia | 4,477 | 78 |
| Florida | 169,020 | 21,16 |
| Georgia | 82,124 | 2,93 |
| Hawaii | 8,933 | 2,50 |
| Idaho | 25,643 | 1,31 |
| Illinois | 67,523 | 15,42 |
| Indiana | 62,201 | 3,70 |
| Iowa | 50,318 | 6,93 |
| Kansas | 49,150 | 9,48 |
| Kentucky | 54,237 | 12,24 |
| Louisiana | 105,588 | 3,60 |
| Maine | 8,811 | |
| Maryland | 63,874 | 14,71 |
| Massachusetts | 53,406 | 5,90 |
| Michigan | 74,737 | 37,93 |
| Minnesota | 53,684 | 3,73 |
| Mississippi | 35,180 | 45 |
| Missouri | 33,429 | 4,77 |
| Montana | 22,218 | 2,91 |
| Nebraska | 10,881 | 2,34 |
| Nevada | 7,695 | 1,05 |
| New Hampshire | 9,425 | 1,08 |
| New Jersey | 57,502 | 18,12 |
| New Mexico | 27,164 | 6 |
| New York | 201,728 | 57,38 |
| North Carolina | 138,796 | 9,75 |
| North Dakota | 32,349 | 8,97 |
| Ohio | 150,297 | 33,95 |
| Oklahoma | 39,099 | 4,56 |
| Oregon | 44,585 | 2,87 |
| Pennsylvania | 121,023 | 68,74 |
| Rhode Island | 8,032 | 15 |
| South Carolina | 34,467 | 3,83 |
| South Dakota | 15,848 | 2,09 |
| Tennessee | 64,384 | 4,34 |
| Texas | 409,255 | 22,10 |
| Utah | 20,632 | 2,00 |
| Vermont | 5,679 | 61 |
| Virginia | 104,458 | 5,56 |
| Washington | 42,391 | 5,66 |
| West Virginia | 5,220 | 4,11 |

TABLE A-3. State-funded R&D expenditures at universities and colleges, reported by academic and state agency survey respondents: FY 2006 nds)

| (Dollars in thousan |
|---------------------|
|---------------------|

| State | Academic survey ^a | State agency survey ^b |
|-------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Wisconsin | 36,584 | 3,309 |
| Wyoming | 2,616 | 1,969 |
| Puerto Rico | 6,793 | 0 |

^aIncludes academic R&D support from local government sources.

^bExcludes direct legislative appropriations to academic institutions.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics (NSF/SRS), Survey of FY 2006 State R&D Expenditures; NSF/SRS Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and Colleges: FY 2006.

- National Governors Association letter
- NSF Director's letter
- Survey Questionnaire



Janet Napolitano Governor of Arizona Chair Tim Pawlenty Governor of Minnesota Vice Chair Raymond C. Scheppach Executive Director

October 26, 2006

TO ALL GOVERNORS:

I write to request your cooperation with a joint endeavor by the U.S. Census Bureau and the National Science Foundation (NSF) to update NSF's State R&D Expenditures Survey. As you know, my Chair's Initiative, *Innovation America*, focuses on what we as governors can do to enhance our state's competitiveness through improving its capacity to innovate. The NSF survey is an important source of relevant data, focusing as it does on states' efforts to strengthen their science and technology base.

The Census Bureau is collecting this information from specific departments and agencies in each state that contribute to state's R&D investments. This information will be compiled and forwarded to NSF, the federal agency charged with collecting and reporting national R&D statistics. In turn, NSF will incorporate states' information into their R&D publications as well as reports to international organizations on overall R&D activities for the United States. If you wish to examine the survey, you can find it at http://harvester.census.gov/nsf/preview/.

I am pleased to endorse this FY 2006 State R&D Expenditures Survey and ask that you quickly appoint a state coordinator to assist in this important effort. The accompanying letter to you from Arden Bement, Director of NSF, provides additional detail. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Repetition

Overnor Janet Napolitano

Hall of the States = 444 North Capitol Street = Suite 267 = Washington, D.C. 20001-1512 Telephone (202) 624-5300 = Fax (202) 624-5313 = www.nga.org The Honorable GOVNAME1 GOVNAME2 ADDRESS1 ADDRESS2 CITY, STATE ZIP-ZIP4

Dear Governor GOVNAME2:

The National Science Foundation (NSF) and the U.S. Census Bureau are collaborating on the FY 2006 State R&D Expenditures Survey. I am including a letter of support from Governor Janet Napolitano of Arizona in her capacity as Chair of the National Governors Association. In it, she expresses her support for the survey and asks that you join her to make this data-collection effort a success. States' survey responses will be included in the national R&D statistics published by NSF as well as in a special report on the State R&D Expenditures Survey.

To facilitate the data collection process, we are asking that each governor appoint a state coordinator. The state coordinator will provide the names and contact information for each of the departments and agencies that are most likely to fund your state government's total R&D activities. Working with your state coordinator, we will provide each of these departments and agencies with individualized access to the Census Bureau Web based survey. We will also give your state's coordinator Web access to track your state's progress and obtain up-to-the-minute totals for your state's answers to the survey.

To help you make this appointment, we would like to recommend CONTACTNAME in the DEPARTMENT as your state coordinator. Of course, you may also appoint a different state coordinator if you prefer. Please contact James Berry, project manager for this survey at the Census Bureau, with your state coordinator selection by e-mail at james.r.berry.jr@census.gov or by phone at 800-622-6193.

Thank you in advance for your help in completing a picture of our nation's R&D expenditures.

Sincerely,

Don & Bernard of .

Arden L. Bement, Jr. Director

cc: [name and job title of proposed state coordinator]



Survey of FY 2006 State R&D Expenditures



Survey Questions Definitions & Examples

The purpose of the State R&D Expenditures Survey is to measure your state's contributions toward scientific advancement and technological innovation. The survey is conducted in conjunction with ongoing collections of R&D data from private industry, academic institutions, and the Federal government.

This document is available electronically on the survey website at <u>http://harvester.census.gov/nsf</u>.

Results of this survey will be published by the National Science Foundation at <u>www.nsf.gov/statistics</u>.

OMB: 0607-0933 Expires: October 31, 2009

R&D Screener Question

Did your department/agency do any of the following during FY 2006? Your answer will only determine whether you continue to the R&D Expenditure questions and will not be released publicly.

- Had a division, branch, or office devoted to research or development
- Conducted research or development activities using department/agency staff
- Funded research or development at another state or local government
- Funded universities or other nonprofit organizations to perform research or development activities
- Funded companies or individuals to perform research or development activities
- Conducted or funded other research or development activities not specified above

{ } Yes { } No

If you select "No" your state survey coordinator will be notified that your department or agency does not have qualifying R&D activities for the FY 2006 survey.

R&D Expenditure Questions

Question 1

What were your department/agency R&D expenditures for FY 2006 by the following types of performers?

- Include R&D from all sources, and report sources separately when your department/agency performs the R&D internally.
- Do NOT report expenditures for construction and acquisition of facilities used primarily for R&D; use Question 4.

Internal Performers

| R&D performed by your department's/agency's employees Services performed by others in support of an internal R&D project (e.g., lab testing) | Examples of expenditure Salaries Benefits Supplies Travel | es: • • | Equipment Indirect Costs Purchased Services |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| Expenditures by Internal Performers | Source of Expenditures | Examples o Sources | f |
| \$ | State Funds | | riations, state grants, ement funds, lottery |
| \$ | Federal Funds | Federal awar | ds |
| \$ | Other Funds | nonprofit org | III other sources, such as: janizations, companies, ocal governments |
| External Performers R&D done for your department/agency by: Academic institutions Companies and individuals Others | Examples of expenditures: Grants Payments for contracted R&D projects Reimbursable costs for R&D projects Exclude pass-through grants for which you have no administrative oversight or control. | | grants for which you have no administrative |
| Expenditures by External Performers | Source of Expenditures | | |
| Academic Institutions \$ | All Funds (federal, state, other) | | |
| Companies and Individuals \$ | All Funds (federal, state, other) | | |
| Others \$ | All Funds (federal, state, other) | | |
| \$ | Total R&D Expend | litures (aut | tomatically calculated) |

| R&D Expenditure Questions |
|--|
| |
| Question 2 |
| How much of your total department/agency R&D expenditures reported in Question 1 were for basic research? You may provide an estimate, if necessary. Basic research is conducted primarily to acquire new knowledge without any specific product or process in mind. (The other two categories of R&D, applied and development, are not reported separately on this survey. Applied research is conducted with a specific practical objective.) |
| Sector Basic research expenditures (if none, enter "0") |

R&D Expenditure Questions

Question 3

How much of your total department/agency R&D expenditures reported in Question 1 were from federal funds? You may provide an estimate, if necessary.

- Include expenditures for internal and external performers
- Federal sources include grants, contracts, and appropriations from the United States government.
- **Exclude** any nonfederal matching amounts.



R&D Expenditure Questions

Question 4

What were your department/agency FY 2006 expenditures for construction and acquisition of facilities used primarily for R&D?

Please include:

- Construction projects
- Major renovations of buildings
- Purchasing land and buildings



Expenditures for construction and acquisition of facilities used primarily for R&D (if none, enter "0")

Definitions & Examples

- A. What does R&D mean?
- B. What should be included/excluded in R&D?
- C. What makes it R&D?
- D. What are sources of R&D funding?
- E. What does R&D performer mean?
- F. What expenditures should be included/excluded?
- G. What are some other survey definitions that may be helpful?

A. What does R&D mean?

Research and development (R&D) is creative work conducted systematically to:

- 1) extend scientific knowledge, or
- 2) devise new or improved applications.

Applications can include materials, products, devices, processes, systems or services.

B. What should be included/excluded in R&D?

| <u>R&D includes</u> , but is not limited to, activities that produce: | R&D does NOT include: |
|---|---|
| Ideas that might be patented | Management information systems |
| Findings that could be published in academic | Economic / policy / feasibility studies |
| journals | Program planning and evaluation |
| New or improved products or applications | Strategic planning |
| | Market research or analysis |
| | Routine data collection / dissemination |
| | Routine monitoring / testing |
| | Technology transfer |
| | Technical assistance |
| | Consulting services |
| | Commercialization |
| | General patient services |
| | |

C. What makes it R&D?

| What makes it R&D | When it is R&D | When it is NOT R&D |
|--|---|--|
| R&D is novel. It increases our knowledge of the subject It hasn't been done before. | You are testing blood samples as part of a research project to find out the side effects of a new cancer treatment. | You are collecting information from samples of patients to estimate the incidence of chicken pox in the state's population. (You are using a standard approach to estimate the spread of chicken pox.) |
| R&D creates solutions useful to others. Others might benefit from the findings. The findings can be generalized to other situations and locations. | You are testing a pavement on your highways that is currently used only at airports. Other states will want the results. | You are testing pavement on your state's highways to estimate how much you need to budget for pavement replacement over the next five years. (Other states will not benefit from your specific state information.) |
| The outcome of R&D is uncertain.The solution isn't obvious to an expert in that field. | Your research involves monitoring streams to determine whether a new program is increasing the population of a particular type of fish. | You are monitoring streams as part of plan to implement long-term monitoring for a particular type of fish. (The monitoring plan has already been tested and you are certain of the quality of the plan.) |

D. What are sources of R&D funding?

Sources of R&D funding include:

| State | State appropriations and grants, tobacco settlement funds, state lottery proceeds |
|-----------|---|
| Federal | Grants, contracts, and appropriations from the United States government |
| All other | Grants and contracts from: Companies Nonprofit organizations Other state governments City, county, regional, or other local governments |

E. What does R&D performer mean?

Internal Performers:

| Within your department/agency | R&D performed by your department's/agency's employees |
|-------------------------------|--|
| | Services performed by others in support of an internal R&D project (e.g., lab testing) |

External Performers:

| Academic institutions | R&D performed for your department/agency by public or private universities and colleges | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Companies and individuals | R&D performed for your department/agency by companies or individuals under contract for research projects | |
| Others | R&D performed for your department/agency by: Nonprofit organizations Other departments/agencies within your state Other state governments City, county, regional, or other local governments Federal government | |

F. What expenditures should be included/excluded?

Expenditures are amounts paid for current operations and capital outlays.

Respondents to this survey will be asked to report expenditures by performer of R&D. Please refer below for guidance on the types of expenditures to report for internal and external performers.

Expenditures for your Department/Agency as Performer (Internal)

| Include: | Do NOT include: |
|--|--|
| Salaries, wages, and benefits Supplies Purchased services (e.g. lab testing) Travel Indirect or overhead costs for R&D activities Equipment | Agency and other fiduciary fund expenditures Intra-governmental transactions Non-cash payments |

Expenditures for Academic Institutions, Companies or Individuals, or Others as Performers (External)

| Include: | Do NOT include: |
|---|--|
| Grants Payments for contracted R&D projects Reimbursable costs for R&D projects | Pass-through grants over which you have no administrative oversight or control |

G. What are some other survey definitions that may be helpful?

Your State should include your state's departments, agencies, boards, and commissions.

Fiscal Year is your state's fiscal year ending in 2006.

Construction and Acquisition of Facilities Used Primarily for R&D includes major costs for construction and purchase of buildings to be primarily used as R&D facilities. Include new construction, major renovations, and purchase of land or buildings.

Basic Research

In order to understand the definition of basic research, it may be helpful to compare it to the other two components of R&D—applied research and development. Below are definitions and examples.

Basic research is conducted primarily to acquire new knowledge without any specific product or process in mind. (The other two categories of R&D, applied research and development, are not reported separately in this survey. Applied research is conducted with a specific practical objective.)

| Examples | | |
|---|--|---|
| Basic research | Applied research | Development |
| You are studying the properties of blood to determine what affects coagulation. | You are conducting research on how a new chicken pox vaccine affects blood coagulation. | You are testing a newly developed chicken pox vaccine with various ages of school children before implementing it statewide. |
| You are studying the properties of molecules under various heat and cold conditions. | You are conducting research on the properties of particular substances under various heat and cold conditions with the objective of finding longer lasting components for pavement. | You are testing a newly developed pavement under various types of heat and cold conditions prior to using it on your state's highways. |
| You are studying the heart chambers of various fish species. | You are examining various levels of a toxic substance to determine the maximum safe level for fish in a stream. | You are designing a new system for monitoring a stream that will try out the results of your recent research in a real world situation. |

Suggested Citation, Acknowledgments

National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics. 2008. *State Agency Research and Development Expenditures: Fiscal Year 2006*. Detailed Statistical Tables NSF 08-310. Arlington, VA. Available at http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/nsf08310/.

The U.S. Census Bureau collected, processed, and tabulated the statistics in this report under the direction of James Berry. Elizabeth Holland assisted in survey development, data collection, and post-collection activities. Kristin Stettler, Raymond Goode, and Ryan Driscoll provided methodological and Web application support. Adrienne Oneto, Assistant Chief, Governments Division; Terri Kennerly, Chief, Elementary-Secondary Education Statistics Branch; and Duane Cavanaugh, Chief, Information Systems Branch provided managerial support. Extensive methodological assistance was provided by Fran Featherston, National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics (SRS), Research and Development Statistics (RDS) Program. Brandon Shackelford, NSF/SRS/RDS contributed subject-matter expertise.

Division of Science Resources Statistics

| Lynda T. Carlson | Mary J. Frase |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Division Director | Deputy Director |

Stephen CohenJohn E. JankowskiChief StatisticianProgram Director, Research and Development Statistics Program



Division of Science Resources Statistics (SRS)

The National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230, USA Tel: (703) 292-8780, FIRS: (800) 877-8339 | TDD: (800) 281-8749