

Multi-objective decision model for urban water use: Planning for a regional water reuse ordinance

Illinois Institute of Technology
Illinois Waste Management Research Center
Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

NE Illinois: Growing demand for water




NE Illinois: Limited water sources



Toward sustainable water resources planning

Realize natural capital of treated wastewater
Water reuse can be part of the solution
Multi-objective decision model
Identify and balance competing issues:

Economics, technology, policy, regulations, human health and ecosystem risk, public perception



Planning for water reuse
 Identify industrial users (quality, volume)
 Identify industrial clusters near WRP

 Volume and location determine reuse cost
 Minimize cost subject to constraints


Water reuse priorities

Industrial Process/cooling

- Commercial/Domestic
 - Car wash
 - Toilet flush
 - Firefighting

Irrigation

- Groundwater recharge
- Potable water



Industrial hydrologic footprints


Measure of industry interaction with water
 Conventional direct water use
 Evaporative loss associated with electricity use


- Stormwater runoff from industry property
- Supply chain direct water use
- Supply chain evaporative loss with electricity

Consider 50 largest volume water dischargers


- Supply chain data from eiolca.net
- Data normalized to economic activity (gal/\$)

Hydrologic footprints for four SIC codes






Supply chain water & electricity use



Hydrologic footprint summary


Indirect use (stormwater, electricity) are small
Direct use (industry or supply chain) dominates
Supply chains are often important
Supply chains dominated by a few industries
10% have relatively big footprints (gal/\$)
Is reuse cost-effective for these industries?





Is wastewater reuse economical?

Objective: ■ Minimize cost Constraints: Demand ■ Mass balance ■ Capacity ■ Water withdrawal ■ Water quality

Pipeline costs dominate







Chicago reuse study summary

Pipeline installation costs dominate Spatial relationship affects supply cost Reuse can be cost effective Chicago is an unusual case study: Municipal water is very cheap ■ MWRDGC has little incentive for reuse Successful water conservation efforts

What about Wayne's World?

- Aurora, IL 40 miles west of Chicago
- 2nd largest city in Illinois
- Rapidly growing area
- Municipal water
 - Groundwater supplies uncertain
 - Surface water up to 35% treated effluent

Aurora study advantages

Recent severe drought
\$4.81 / 1000 gallons
WRD exploring marketing effluent
Experience with heat pump

Aurora study issues

No industrial clusters
Potential non-industrial users:

Park district, golf course
Limited seasonal demand

Water quality requirements for recharge?

Surprising results

• "...implement a policy before there is a need..." Little economic incentive in Chicago MWRDGC funding: Property tax Chicago municipal water: \$1.38/1,000 gal Change is hard Public perception: Water is plentiful Industry is risk averse: Why change?

Update on partners

Current partners

- Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning
- Waste Management and Research Center

New partners

Fox Metro Water Reclamation District study

Potential partners

- Other water reclamation districts
- Suburban municipalities



Current collaborators
 ILWMRC, CMAP, Fox Metro WRD
 Potential collaborators
 IL Regional Water Supply Planning Group
 Chicago Waste-to-Profit Network
 Professor Fan, Hungkuang University (Taiwan)

Feedback, questions, and contacts

- Great Lakes and Eastern US applications?
- Industry water quality requirements?
- US DOE water & energy integrated efforts?
- US DOC industrial water use survey?
- International (Taiwan) cooperation?
- Water quality limits for recharge & irrigation?