

Quantifying Stream Ecosystem Responses to Smart Growth: How to Design an Assessment

Allison Roy

Cross-ORD Postdoctoral Researcher

US Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Research and Development

National Risk Management Research Laboratory

Sustainable Technology Division



Sustainable Environments Branch



Potential Aquatic Ecosystem Benefits of Smart Growth

SMART GROWTH may include:

- Compact development
- Reduced impervious surfaces
- Improved water retention/infiltration
- Protected “sensitive” areas
- Increased public transit



Which *may* result in:

- reduced stream “flashiness”
- reduced sedimentation
- improved water quality
- healthier biotic assemblages



QUESTION: How do you quantify water resource responses to smart growth?



hydrology
geomorphology
water quality
ecology



RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Building a scientific foundation for sound environmental decisions

ANSWER:
You can't.

WHY NOT?

- Proportion of watershed mitigated is often small
- Comparable control streams are hard to find
- Natural temporal and spatial variability overwhelms the ability to detect a response
- Typically don't know stream baseline or reference conditions (e.g., geomorphology, diversity, etc.)
- Unclear what level of indicator is desired



HOWEVER,

Monitoring is an Essential Component of Watershed Protection and Restoration

- Must demonstrate improvements to economically, politically, legally, and socially justify protective/restorative measures
- If protective design measures are part of the TMDL process, then need need to quantify improvements
- Helps to identify potential thresholds of response and defend management guidelines



RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Building a scientific foundation for sound environmental decisions

How do you plan an assessment to maximize the probability of detecting change, if it occurred?

STEPS:


- 1) Consider the analysis in initial design phase
- 2) Select ideal indicators
- 3) Choose an appropriate spatial scale
- 4) Pick a useful temporal scale for selected parameters



1. Consider the analysis in initial design phase

Before/After Analysis
Control/Treatment Analysis
Replicated treatments?
Replicated controls?

Note: If you don't have the resources to sample controls or to sample long enough to understand temporal variability, then don't bother!!!



2. Select ideal indicators

- Likely to show a response
- Relatively easy to measure
- Able to control for temporal and spatial variation
- Known level of health/improvement
- Clear ecosystem implications

landscape → hydrology → water quality → habitat → biota



3. Choose an appropriate spatial scale

Small scales – higher likelihood of response, but more stochastic variability
vs

Large scales – risk of diluting response, but less expected variability

→ Sample at a small enough scale such that the proportion of watershed impacted is large enough to predict a response; if stream is ephemeral or intermittent, also include downstream sites



4. Pick a useful temporal scale for selected parameters



Hydrology:

- continuous monitoring (stage OK for before/after)
- enough events of various sizes (e.g., 40-50 events)


Water Quality:

- several baseflow and stormflow samples

Algae & Macroinvertebrates:

- constant habitat
- seasonal (light, organics)
- quantitative

Number of years before/after will depend on how “typical” climate conditions are






What if no in-stream effect is found???

- Describe any shortfalls in the monitoring design which may have prevented seeing a response.
- Look for project failures...are the low impact design measures doing what they're supposed to?

- Accept that ecosystem improvement may not have happened given:
 - % of watershed mitigated
 - time scale of monitoring





If you don't monitor,
you don't know....