
NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

E.D. TABS

April 1992

**Public School District Survey
on Safe, Disciplined, and
Drug-Free Schools**

Contractor Report



NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

E.D. TABS

April 1992

Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools

Contractor Report


Fast Response Survey System

Judi Carpenter
Elementary/Secondary Education Statistics Division
National Center for Education Statistics

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement

NCES 92-008

U.S. Department of Education

Lamar Alexander

Secretary

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

Diane Ravitch

Assistant Secretary

National Center for Education Statistics

Emerson J. Elliott

Acting Commissioner

National Center for Education Statistics

"The purpose of the Center shall be to collect, and analyze, and disseminate statistics and other data related to education in the United States and in other nations."- **Section 406(b)** of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1221e-1).

April 1992

Contact:

Judi Carpenter

(202) 219-1333

Highlights

The following are highlights from a national survey of over 700 district superintendents. Data are weighted to produce national estimates. Some differences among various types of districts are noted, though this publication does not present all such differences.

- Nearly all public school districts (97 to 98 percent) have written policies on general discipline and alcohol, drug, and tobacco use (Table 1).
- Less than 1 year ago, 35 to 38 percent of public school districts significantly changed their alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies (Table 2). Thirty-one percent significantly changed their general discipline policy.
- Public school principals and teachers were involved in the development of general discipline, alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies in over 90 percent of school districts. Parents were involved in the development of these policies in over 70 percent and students in over 50 percent of school districts (Table 2).
- A student alcohol, drug, or tobacco use survey has been conducted in the last 2 years by 61 percent of public school districts (Table 1). Fewer districts in the Northeast (39 percent) conducted a survey than in other regions, and fewer small districts (58 percent) than large districts (75 percent) conducted a survey.
- The average number of hours drug use education was taught in each grade during the 1990-91 school year ranged from about 14 hours in kindergarten through third grade to about 20 hours in grades 4 through 6 to 21 hours in grades 7 through 9, and to approximately 18 hours in grades 10 through 12 (Table 5).
- Drug use education is offered in a variety of different ways in public school districts. About 90 percent of districts offered drug use education within the health curriculum, and about 90 percent offered it at special assemblies or events at the elementary, junior high, and senior high school levels (Table 6).
- Superintendents were asked to indicate what proportion of schools in their district included various components in their drug use education programs/activities. More than 80 percent of public school districts included the following at all schools within the district: teaching students about causes and effects of alcohol, drug, and tobacco use; teaching students to resist peer pressure; school alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies/enforcement; and referrals for counseling and treatment (Table 7). Student drug-testing programs at all schools within the district were reported by only 8 percent of public school districts.
- Police provided assistance or educational support to a great extent in promoting safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools, according to 42 percent of public school district superintendents (Table 9). About 20 percent indicated that parent groups and social service agencies provided the same level of support.
- Suspensions because of disruptive behavior occurred on average about 26 times for every 1,000 students per public school district during the fall 1990 semester. On average, there were 2 student transfers to alternative schools for every 1,000 students and 1 expulsion for every 1,000 students during the same time period for disruptive behavior (Table 11).
- Superintendents were asked to report the number of suspensions, transfers to alternative schools, and expulsions administered for every 1,000 students due to drug use, possession, or sales. There was an average of 1.9 suspensions, 0.4 transfers to alternative schools, and 0.2 expulsions during the fall 1990 semester (Table 12).

SOURCE Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table of Contents

Section	Page
Highlights	iii
Introduction to the Data.....	1
Definitions	2
Tables	3
District Policies	
1 Percentage of public school districts with written policies on general discipline and alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, and percentage of public school districts that have conducted a student alcohol, drug, or tobacco use survey within the last 2 years, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91.....	4
2 Percentage of public school districts indicating when their general discipline, alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies were last changed significantly, and the percentage of public school districts indicating that certain groups were involved in the development of these policies: United States, 1990-91	5
3 Percentage of public school districts indicating that their general discipline, alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies were last changed significantly less than 1 year ago, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91	6
Drug Use Education	
4 Average number of hours drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education was required in each grade during the school year, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91.....	7
5 Average number of hours drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education was required in each grade span during the school year, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91	7
6 Percentage of public school districts offering drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education through different approaches at each instructional level, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91.....	8
7 Percentage of public school districts indicating in how many schools certain components are included as part of their drug use education program/activities: United States, 1990-91	9
8 Percentage of public school districts indicating that certain components are included as part of their drug use education program/activities in all or most schools, by size and location of district: United States, 1990-91.....	10

Table of Contents--continued

Section	Page
Tables--continued	
Community Support	
9	11
Percentage of public school districts indicating the extent to which various organizations in their community provide assistance or educational support to promote safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools , and the extent to which various types of assistance are provided by these organizations: United States, 1990-91.....	
10	12
Percentage of public school districts indicating that to a great or moderate extent various organizations in their community provide assistance or educational support to promote safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools , and the percentage indicating that to a great or moderate extent various types of assistance are provided by these organizations: United States, 1990-91	
Disciplinary Actions	
11	13
Total and average number of times certain school actions were taken for disruptive behavior during the fall 1990 semester , by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91.....	
12	14
Total and average number of times certain school actions were taken for student alcohol and drug use, possession, or sales during the fall 1990 semester , by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91	
Text Tables	
A	3
Number and percentage of public school districts in the study sample and the estimated number and percentage in the nation , by district characteristics: United States, 1990 -91	
B	16
Number of public school districts in the study sample that responded, by district characteristics: United States, 1990 -91	
Survey Methodology and Data Reliability	
	15
Sample Selection	15
Response Rates	15
Sampling and Nonsampling Errors	16
Variances	17
Background Information	18
References	18

Table of Contents--continued

Section	Page
Appendix A: Standard Error Tables.....	19
District Policies	
1a	21
Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts with written policies on general discipline and alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, and percentage of public school districts that have conducted a student alcohol, drug, or tobacco use survey within the last 2 years, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91	
2a	22
Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating when their general discipline, alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies were last changed significantly, and the percentage of public school districts indicating that certain groups were involved in the development of these policies: United States, 1990-91.....	
3a	23
Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating that their general discipline, alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies were last changed significantly less than 1 year ago, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91.....	
Drug Use Education	
4a	24
Standard errors of the average number of hours drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education was required in each grade during the school year, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91.....	
5a	25
Standard errors of the average number of hours drugs (including alcohol and tobacco) use education was required in each grade span during the school year, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91.....	
6a	26
Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts offering drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education through different approaches at each instructional level, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91.....	
7a	27
Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating in how many schools certain components are included as part of their drug use education program/activities: United States, 1990 -91	
8a	28
Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating that certain components are included as part of their drug use education program/activities in all or most schools, by size and location of district: United States, 1990-91.....	

Table of Contents--continued

Section		Page
	Standard Error Tables--continued	
	Community Support	
9a	Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating the extent to which various organizations in their community provide assistance or educational support to promote safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools , and the extent to which various types of assistance are provided by these organizations: United States, 1990-91	29
10a	Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating that to a great or moderate extent various organizations in their community provide assistance or educational support to promote safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools , and the percentage indicating that to a great or moderate extent various types of assistance are provided by these organizations, by size and location of district: United States, 1990-91	30
	Disciplinary Actions	
11a	Standard errors of the total and average number of times certain school actions were taken for disruptive behavior during the fall 1990 semester, by district characteristics: United States, 1990 -91	31
12a	Standard errors of the total and average number of times certain school actions were taken for student alcohol and drug use, possession, or sales during the fall 1990 semester, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91	32
	Appendix B: Questionnaire	33

Introduction to the Data

This report is the third in a series of three E.D. TABS presenting results from three surveys on **safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools**. The statistics represent public school district **superintendents'** perspectives on issues related to **safety, discipline, and drug use prevention** in public elementary and secondary **schools**. A national sample of 790 public school district superintendents was **selected; 739** of these superintendents responded to questions concerning the extent of discipline problems within **schools**, the nature and effectiveness of current policies and drug education **programs, and disciplinary actions**.

To the extent that student alcohol and drug **use, violence, and disruptive behavior** are problems facing **schools**, they are impediments to **learning**. To address such **problems**, the nation's Governors and the President endorsed a set of National Education Goals to be reached by the year 2000. National Education Goal Six calls for all schools in America to be free of drugs and violence and to offer a **safe, disciplined environment conducive to learning**. To achieve the **goal, policymakers, educators, and the public** need information about the current status of the nation's schools and the extent to which the goal's various objectives are being **met**.

The tabular summaries in this report are based on data collected from the District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools** for the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). The survey was conducted by **Westat, Inc.**, a research firm in **Rockville, Maryland**, through the Fast Response Survey System (FRSS). FRSS was designed to provide data on policy-related issues regarding emerging educational developments. The tables present data for all public school districts and for districts by type of school location (**urban, suburban, rural**), enrollment size (**less than 2,500, 2,500 to 9,999, 10,000 or more**), region (**Northeast, Central, Southeast, and West**), and percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches (**10 percent or less, 11 to 40 percent, 41 percent or more**). Statistics in all tables are based on national estimates (see Table A).

The statistics from the two related surveys have been published: an E.D. TABS report on the Teacher Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools (NCES 91-091)** and an E.D. TABS report on the Principal Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools (NCES 92-007)**. Reports integrating all three surveys will be developed during 1992.

Definitions

Common Core of Data Public School Universe — A data tape containing 84,968 records, one for each public elementary and secondary school in the 50 States, District of Columbia, and five outlying areas, as reported to the National Center for Education Statistics by the State education agencies. Records on this file contain the name, address, and telephone number of the school, name of the school district or other agency that operates the school, school type and locale, the full-time-equivalent number of classroom teachers assigned to the school, the number of students eligible for the federal free-lunch program, and membership, by grade and racial/ethnic categories.

Urban — Primarily serves a central city of a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA).

Suburban — Serves an MSA, but not primarily its central city.

Rural — Does not serve an MSA.

Full-time-equivalent (FTE) — Amount of time required to perform an assignment stated as a proportion of a full-time position and computed by dividing the amount of time employed by the time normally required for a full-time position.

Drug use education — Refers to learning activities and related policies to prevent or reduce alcohol, drug (e.g., marijuana, inhalants, cocaine), and tobacco use by youth. It does not include clinical treatment or rehabilitation.

Disruptive behavior — Refers to serious and/or unlawful actions that may interfere with order in school (e. g., physical attacks, property destruction, thefts). Alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, possession, sales, and distribution are reported separately on the FRSS questionnaire and are not included under "disruptive behavior."

Northeast region — Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

Central region — Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

Southeast region — Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia.

West region — Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

Percentage of students receiving federally funded free or reduced-price lunches — data used as an approximate measure of socioeconomic status.

Table A.--Number and percentage of public school districts in the study sample and the estimated number and percentage in the **nation**, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Sample		National estimate*	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All districts	739	100	15,500	100
Location of district				
Urban	138	19	700	4
Suburban	337	46	5,700	37
Rural	264	36	9,100	59
Enrollment size				
Less than 2,500	264	36	11,900	77
2,500 to 9,999	257	35	2,900	19
10,000 or more	218	30	700	4
Region				
Northeast	150	20	3,100	20
Central	210	28	6,000	39
Southeast	170	23	1,700	11
West	209	28	4,700	30
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches				
10 percent or less	134	18	2,800	18
11 to 40 percent	400	54	8,300	53
41 percent or more	191	26	3,800	25
Not available	14	2	600	4

● Data presented in all tables are weighted to produce **national estimates**. See **Survey Methodology and Data Reliability Section** for more information on sampling procedures (page 15).

NOTE: Percents may not sum to **100** and numbers may not sum to totals because of **rounding**.

SOURCE: Fast Response **Survey System**, Public School District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40**, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education **Statistics, 1992**.

Table 1.--Percentage of public school districts with written policies on general discipline and **alcohol, drug,** and tobacco **use,** and percentage of public school districts that have conducted a student **alcohol, drug,** or tobacco use survey within the last **2 years,** by district **characteristics:** United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Written policies				Conducted student alcohol, drug, or tobacco use survey in the last 2 years
	General discipline	Alcohol	Drug	Tobacco	
All districts	98	98	98	97	61
Location of district					
Urban	100	99	100	99	67
Suburban	100	98	98	96	56
Rural	96	98	98	97	64
Enrollment size					
Less than 2,500.....	97	98	98	96	58
2,500 to 9,999.....	100	100	100	98	70
10,000 or more	99	99	99	98	75
Region					
Northeast.....	96	96	96	92	39
Central	100	99	99	99	66
Southeast	100	99	99	99	66
West	94	98	98	97	68
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches					
10 percent or less	100	98	98	95	56
11 to 40 percent	99	97	97	97	60
41 percent or more	100	100	100	99	65

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40,** U.S. Department of **Education,** National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 2.--**Percentage** of public school districts indicating when their general discipline, alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies were last changed significantly, and the percentage of public school districts indicating that certain groups were involved in the development of these policies: United States, 1990-91-

District response	General discipline policy	Alcohol policy*	Drug policy*	Tobacco policy*
Last changed significantly				
Less than 1 year ago	31	35	38	38
1-3 years ago	42	50	50	46
More than 3 years ago	27	16	12	16
Involved in policy development				
State Department of Education	56	63	67	60
Principals	96	96	96	95
Teachers	96	94	95	94
Parents	79	77	77	73
Students	60	57	56	55
Community groups	48	53	56	51
Outside experts.....	44	55	58	51

*For districts in which alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies were included in a single policy, respondents were asked to describe each component separately.

NOTE: Percents for "Last changed significantly" are computed down each column, but may not sum to 100 because of rounding. Percents for "Involved in policy development" do not sum to 100 because more than one group could be involved in developing district policy.

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 3.--Percentage of public school districts indicating that their general discipline, alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies were last changed significantly less than 1 year ago, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	General discipline policy	Alcohol policy	Drug policy	Tobacco policy
All districts	31	35	38	38
Location of district				
Urban	50	48	49	54
Suburban	30	40	42	36
Rural	30	30	35	38
Enrollment size				
Less than 2,5 00.....	33	36	40	40
2,5 00 to 9,999	24	29	31	29
10,000 or more	30	32	32	32
Region				
Northeast	33	33	35	36
Central	31	38	41	33
southeast	34	25	33	34
West	29	35	37	47
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches				
10 percent or less	40	45	46	41
11 to 40 percent	27	34	37	39
41 percent or more	31	30	34	35

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 4.--Average number of hours drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education was required in each grade during the school year, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Grade												
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
All districts	11.8	13.6	13.9	14.7	17.6	20.0	21.0	22.3	21.6	20.2	20.2	16.5	16.5

Table 5.--Average number of hours drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education was required in each grade span during the school year, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Grade span			
	K-3	4-6	7-9	10-12
All districts.....	13.5	19.5	21.2	17.7
Location of district				
Urban	9.1	17.4	14.8	12.1
Suburban	12.6	19.3	20.9	16.4
Rural	14.4	19.8	21.8	18.9
Enrollment size				
Less than 2,500	13.8	19.5	21.8	18.6
2,500 to 9,999.....	12.9	19.9	19.9	16.0
10,000 or more.....	12.4	17.9	17.3	13.3
Region				
Northeast	14.6	19.5	21.0	20.3
Central	13.1	18.3	22.2	16.9
Southeast	19.6	22.1	22.1	19.5
West	11.1	20.2	19.3	16.5
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches				
10 percent or less	11.1	18.9	17.8	12.9
11 to 40 percent	14.7	19.4	22.2	18.4
41 Percent or more	13.2	18.2	21.6	19.8

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 6.--Percentage of public school districts offering drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education through different approaches at each instructional level, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Within health curriculum			Within science curriculum			As a separate course			Throughout the curriculum			At special assemblies or events		
	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High	Elem.	Sr. High	Jr. High	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High
All districts	89	88	92	62	71	64	29	28	22	67	64	62	89	91	91
Location of district															
Urban n.....	66	82	80	42	65	50	49	25	20	52	59	63	91	91	80
Suburban	90	88	90	64	71	57	29	25	20	64	57	56	91	93	90
Rural	90	88	94	61	71	69	28	29	23	69	69	66	88	90	92
Enrollment size															
Less than 2,500	89	88	93	62	71	65	28	27	21	66	65	64	88	89	90
2,500 [09,999	91	89	90	62	71	62	33	28	25	67	61	58	92	96	94
10,000 or more.....	85	85	88	53	67	65	37	30	24	68	58	56	92	94	96
Region															
Northeast	91	91	94	61	70	53	31	29	22	65	63	56	91	93	92
Central.....	87	90	95	64	70	66	24	26	21	72	67	68	88	89	91
Southeast	94	94	95	74	85	82	23	21	17	74	69	69	95	97	96
west	87	80	85	54	66	59	38	31	27	57	58	53	88	90	88
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches															
10 percent or less	86	90	85	67	72	57	35	42	35	63	65	66	92	94	92
11 to 40 percent	86	91	94	60	69	61	29	24	18	68	63	60	86	88	90
41 percent or more ..	94	78	91	64	72	76	19	25	22	71	69	66	94	94	91

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40**, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 7.--Percentage of public school districts indicating in how many schools certain components are included as part of their drug use education program/activities: United States, 1990-91

Education program/activities component	All schools	Most schools	Some schools	No schools
Teaching students about causes and effects of alcohol, drug, and tobacco use	96	4	(+)	0
Teaching students about laws regarding alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, possession, sales, and distribution.....	78	16	5	1
Teaching students to resist peer pressure	88	9	3	0
Peer counseling.....	35	13	29	23
School alcohol, drug, and tobacco policy/enforcement	87	7	4	2
Student assistance programs (SAPS)	41	9	20	30
School services for high-risk students	67	8	15	10
Referrals to counseling and treatment	81	9	9	2
Student drug-testing programs	8	1	4	87

(+) Less than 0.5.

NOTE: Percents are computed across each row, but may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 8.--**Percentage** of public school districts indicating that certain components are included as part of their drug use education program/activities in **all** or most schools, by size and location of **district: United States, 1990-91**

Education program/ activities component	Total	Enrollment size			Location of district		
		Less than 2,500	2,500 to 9,999	10,000 or more	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Teaching students about causes and effects of alcohol , drug, and tobacco use	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Teaching students about laws regarding alcohol , drug , and tobacco use, possession, sales, and distribution	94	94	91	93	99	95	93
Teaching students to resist peer pressure	97	97	97	98	99	98	96
Peer counseling	48	51	38	38	31	43	52
School alcohol, drug , and tobacco policy/ enforcement	94	94	93	97	98	92	95
Student assistance programs (SAPS)	51	51	48	52	43	47	53
School services for high-risk students	75	75	74	87	72	75	76
Referrals to counseling and treatment	90	91	85	88	73	89	91
Student dreg-testing programs	9	10	6	5	9	11	8

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey **System, Public** School District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined**, and Drug-Free **Schools, FRSS** 40, U.S. Department of **Education**, National **Center for Education Statistics, 1992.**

Table 9.--Percentage of public school districts indicating the extent to which various organizations in their community provide assistance or educational support to promote safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools, and the extent to which various types of assistance are provided by these organizations: United States, 1990-91

District response	Extent of support provided			
	Great extent	Moderate extent	Small extent	Not at all
Community organization				
Parent groups	19	44	33	4
Private corporations and businesses	5	22	40	33
Social service agencies	21	48	23	7
Police	42	40	16	2
Civic organizations/service clubs	13	38	35	14
Colleges/universities	2	7	29	62
Religious organizations	4	23	36	37
 Type of support				
Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for teachers and/or school staff	21	41	25	13
Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for students and families	18	43	29	10
Sponsoring after-school activities/programs	11	34	33	21
Serving on planning committee/task force	21	37	34	8
Support efforts to increase school safety	20	43	27	9

NOTE: Percents are computed across each row, but may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 10.--Percentage of public school districts indicating that to a great or moderate extent various organizations in their **community** provide assistance or educational support to promote **safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools**, and the percentage indicating that to a great or moderate extent various types of **assistance are provided** by these **organizations**: United States, 1990-91

District response	Total	Enrollment size			Location of district		
		Less than 2,500	2,500 to 9,999	10,000 or more	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Community organization							
Parent groups	63	60	70	78	60	70	58
Private corporations and businesses.....	27	23	37	58	41	25	27
Social service agencies.....	69	66	78	82	90	68	68
Police.....	82	79	90	98	72	81	83
Civic organizations/service clubs.....	51	47	63	70	49	53	50
Colleges/universities	9	7	14	29	16	8	9
Religious organizations	27	28	??	23	13	24	30
Type of support							
Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for teachers and/or school staff	62	61	64	64	61	69	58
Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for students and families	61	59	66	76	73	65	57
Sponsoring after-school activities/programs.....	45	43	50	56	45	45	45
Serving on planning committee/task force.....	58	53	77	82	61	66	53
Support efforts to increase school safety.....	63	61	69	77	49	67	62

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 11.--Total and average number of times certain school actions were taken for disruptive behavior during the fall 1990 semester, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Disciplinary action for disruptive behavior					
	Transfer to an alternative school		Suspension		Expulsion	
	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students
All districts	68	2	978	26	21	0.5
Location of district						
Urban	12	2	306	38	7	0.8
Suburban	41	3	461	24	8	0.4
Rural	15	2	210	21	5	0.5
Enrollment size						
Leas than 2,500	7	1	164	18	3	0.3
2,500 to 9,999.....	37	4	330	26	7	0.6
10,000 or more.....	24	2	484	32	10	0.6
Region						
Northeast.....	4	1	161	25	1	0.2
Central	6	1	227	24	5	0.5
southeast	29	5	298	33	5	0.6
West	29	3	291	24	9	0.7
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches*						
10 percent or less	5	1	124	19	1	0.2
11 to 40 percent	33	2	516	26	10	0.5
41 percent or more	30	4	323	31	9	0.8

*Some districts not did report data on students receiving free lunches; therefore, number of district actions for this characteristic may not sum to number of district actions for all districts.

NOTE: Numbers may not sum to totals because of rounding

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 12.--Total and average number of times certain school actions were taken for student alcohol and drug use, possession, or sales, during the fall 1990 semester, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Disciplinary action for student alcohol and drug use, possession, or sales					
	Transfer to an alternative school		Suspension		Expulsion	
	Total (in thousands)	Average ¹ number of occurrences per 1000 students	Total (in thousands)	Average ¹ number of occurrences per 1000 students	Total (in thousands)	Average ¹ number of occurrences per 1000 students
All districts	11	0.4	69	1.9	6	0.2
Location of district						
Urban	1	0.2	12	1.7	1	0.2
Suburban	5	0.4	37	1.9	2	0.1
Rural	4	0.7	20	2.0	2	0.2
Enrollment size						
Less than 2,500	3	0.4	18	2.0	1	0.1
2,500 to 9,999	5	0.5	23	1.9	2	0.2
10,000 or more	4	0.3	28	1.9	2	0.2
Region:						
Northeast	1	0.2	9	1.6	(+)	(~)
Central	2	0.3	19	2.0	1	0.1
Southeast	3	0.5	13	1.5	1	0.2
West	5	0.6	28	2.3	3	0.2
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches ²						
10 percent or less	1	0.3	11	1.7	1	0.1
11 to 40 percent	7	0.5	44	2.2	3	0.2
41 percent or more	3	0.5	14	1.6	2	0.2

(~) Less than 0.05.

(+) Less than 500.

¹Means include districts reporting 0 occurrences.

²Some districts not did report data on students receiving free lunches; therefore, number of district actions for this characteristic will not sum to number of district actions for all districts.

NOTE: Numbers may not sum to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Survey Methodology and Data Reliability

Sample Selection

A two-stage sampling process was used to select public school districts for the **FRSS** District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools**. **First**, a stratified sample of 890 public schools was drawn from the 1988-89 list of public schools compiled by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). This file contains about 85,000 listings and is part of the **NCES** Common Core of Data (CCD) School Universe. **Regular, vocational education, and alternative schools in the 50 states and District of Columbia were included in the survey universe**, while special education schools were excluded from the frame prior to **sampling**. Schools not operated by local education agencies and those including only prekindergarten or kindergarten were also **excluded**. With these **exclusions**, the final sampling frame consisted of approximately **81,100** eligible **schools**. The schools were stratified by type of locale (**city, urban fringe, town, rural**) and level of instruction (**elementary, secondary, and combined schools**). Within each of the **12 strata**, schools were sorted first by **state**, then district (**within each state**), and then enrollment size (**within each district**). Next schools were selected with probabilities proportionate to the square root of the number of full-time-equivalent (**FTE**) teachers in the school.

The sampling of **schools, in turn**, identified the **790** districts to be included **in** the district **survey**. Districts comprised of schools that appeared in two or more school strata had multiple chances of **selection**. The overall probability of selecting a district was approximately proportional to the size of the district.

Response Rates

In **mid-April 1991**, questionnaires (see Appendix **B**) were mailed to districts in the **sample**. Telephone followup of nonrespondents was initiated in late **May**; data collection was completed by the beginning of **July**. A response rate of **94 percent (739 districts)** was obtained (see Table **B**). Item nonresponse ranged from **0.0 percent to 2.5 percent**.

Table B.--Number of public school districts in the study sample that responded, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Sample	Respondents	Response rate
All districts	790	739	0.94
Location of district			
Urban	146	138	0.95
Suburban	364	337	0.93
Rural	280	264	0.94
Enrollment size			
Less than 2,500.....	285	264	0.93
2,500 to 9,999.....	272	257	0.94
10,000 or more	233	218	0.94
Region			
Northeast	161	150	0.93
Central	227	210	0.93
Southeast	181	170	0.94
West	221	209	0.95

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Sampling and Nonsampling Errors

The response data were weighted to produce national estimates. The weights were designed to adjust for the variable probabilities of selection and differential enrollment. The findings in this report are estimates based on the sample selected and, consequently, are subject to sampling variability.

The survey estimates are also subject to nonsampling errors that can arise because of nonobservation (nonresponse or noncoverage) errors, errors of reporting, and errors made in collection of the data. These errors can sometimes bias the data. Nonsampling errors may include such problems as the differences in the respondents' interpretation of the meaning of the questions; memory effects; misrecording of responses; incorrect editing, coding, and data entry; differences related to the particular time the survey was conducted; or errors in data preparation. While general sampling theory can be used in part to determine how to estimate the sampling variability of a statistic, nonsampling errors are not easy to measure and, for measurement

purposes, usually require that an experiment be conducted as part of the data collection procedures or that data external to the study be **used**.

To minimize the potential for **nonsampling errors**, the questionnaire was pretested with superintendents from districts like those that completed the **survey**. During the design of the survey and the survey **pretest**, an effort was made to check for consistency of interpretation of questions and to eliminate ambiguous **items**. The questionnaire and instructions were extensively reviewed by the National Center for Education **Statistics**, as well as the Office of Educational Research and **Improvement**, the Office of the **Undersecretary**, and the Drug Planning and Outreach **Staff**, Office of **Elementary/Secondary Education**, in the Department of **Education**. Manual and machine editing of the questionnaires were conducted to check the data for accuracy and **consistency**. Cases with missing or inconsistent items were recontacted by **telephone**. Imputations for item **nonresponse** were not **implemented**, as item **nonresponse** rates were less than 5 percent (for most **items**, **nonresponse** rates were less than **1 percent**). Data were keyed with 100 percent **verification**.

Variances

The standard error is a measure of the variability of estimates due to **sampling**. It indicates the variability of a sample estimate that would be obtained from all possible samples of a given design and **size**. Standard errors can be used as a measure of the precision expected from a particular **sample**. If all possible samples were surveyed under similar **conditions**, intervals of **1.96** standard errors below to 1.96 standard errors above a particular statistic would include the true population parameter being estimated in about 95 percent of the **samples**. This is a **95** percent confidence **interval**. For example, the estimated percentage of public school districts that conducted a student alcohol, drug, or tobacco use survey in the last two years is **61 percent**, and the estimated standard error is 2.9 percent. The 95 percent confidence interval for the statistic extends from $61 - (2.9 \text{ times } 1.96)$ to $61 + (2.9 \text{ times } 1.96)$, or from **55** to **67 percent**.

Estimates of standard errors were computed using a technique known as jackknife **replication**. As with any replication **method**, jackknife replication involves constructing a number of **subsamples (replicates)** from the full sample and computing the statistic of interest for each **replicate**. The mean square error of the replicate estimates around the full sample estimate provides an estimate of the variance of the statistic (e.g., **Wolter, 1985**, Chapter 4). To construct the **replications**, 30 stratified subsamples of the full sample were created and then dropped one at

a time to define 30 jackknife replicates (e.g., Wolter, 1985, page 183). A proprietary computer program (WESVAR), available at Westat, Inc., was used to calculate the estimates of standard errors. The software runs under IBM/OS and VAX/VMS systems.

Background Information

The survey was performed under contract with **Westat, Inc.**, using the Fast Response Survey System (**FRSS**). **Westat's** Project Director was Elizabeth **Farris**, and the Survey Managers were Wendy Mansfield and Sheila **Heaviside**. **Judi** Carpenter was the **NCES** Project **Officer**. The data requestor was Mary **Frase**, Data Development **Division**, **NCES**; outside consultants were Oliver **Moles**, Office of **Research**, Office of Educational Research and **Improvement**, and **Kimmon Richards**, Planning and Evaluation **Service**, Office of Policy and **Planning**.

The report was reviewed by **Rita Altman**, Associate **Superintendent**, School District of **Philadelphia**; **Floraline Stevens**, **AERA Fellow**, Director of Research and **Evaluation**, Los Angeles Unified School **District**; and Alfred **Tuchfarber**, Institute for Policy **Research**, University of **Cincinnati**. Within **NCES**, report reviewers were John **Grymes**, Data Development **Division**, and John **Matthews**, Education Assessment **Division**.

For more information about the Fast Response Survey System or the Surveys on **Safe, Disciplined**, and Drug-Free **Schools**, contact **Judi Carpenter**, Office of Educational Research and **Improvement**, National Center for Education **Statistics**, 555 New Jersey Avenue **NW**, Washington, DC 20208-5651, telephone (202) 219-1333.

References

The WESVAR Procedures. 1989. Rockville, MD: Westat, Inc.

Wolter, K. 1985. *Introduction to Variance Estimation*. Springer-Verlag.

Appendix A
Standard Error Tables

Table 1a.--Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts with written policies on general discipline and alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, and percentage of public school districts that have conducted a student alcohol, drug, or tobacco use survey within the last 2 years, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Written policies				Conducted student alcohol, drug, or tobacco use survey in the last two years
	General discipline	Alcohol	Drug	Tobacco	
All districts	2.3	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.9
Location of district					
Urban.....	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.4	11.6
Suburban	0.2	1.1	1.1	2.0	3.5
Rural	3.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	4.3
Enrollment size					
Less than 2,500	2.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	3.8
2,500 to 9,999.....	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.9	3.4
10,000 or more	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	2.8
Region					
Northeast	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.9	7.0
Central	0.1	0.8	0.8	0.8	4.6
Southeast	0.2	1.4	1.4	1.1	5.4
West	5.3	1.2	1.2	1.5	5.5
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches					
10 percent or less	0.3	2.0	2.0	3.6	6.3
11 to 40 percent.....	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	4.1
41 percent or more	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.4	6.9

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 2a.--Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating when their general **discipline, alcohol, drug,** and tobacco policies were last changed **significantly, and** the percentage of public school districts indicating that certain groups were involved in the development of these **policies:** United States, 1990-91

District response	General discipline policy	Alcohol policy*	Drug policy*	Tobacco policy*
Last changed significantly				
Less than 1 year ago	3.1	2.4	2.5	3.4
1-3 years ago	2.9	2.5	2.7	3.0
More than 3 years ago	2.5	1.3	1.3	1.8
Involved in policy development				
State Department of Education	2.8	2.2	2.2	2.6
Principals	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
Teachers	0.8	1.2	1.1	1.2
Parents	1.9	2.6	2.2	2.5
Students	2.6	3.1	3.1	3.2
Community groups	2.7	2.4	2.1	2.4
Outside experts	3.4	3.0	2.8	2.7

*At districts where **alcohol, drug,** and tobacco policies were included in a single **policy,** respondents were asked to describe each component **separately.**

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined,** and **Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.**

Table 3a.--Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating that their general discipline, alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies were last changed significantly less than 1 year ago, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	General discipline policy	Alcohol policy	Drug policy	Tobacco policy
AU districts	3.1	2.4	2.5	3.4
Location of district				
Urban	9.7	10.0	10.0	6.5
Suburban	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.3
Rural	4.4	3.5	3.9	4.6
Enrollment size				
Less than 2,500	3.9	3.3	3.3	4.5
2,500 to 9,999	2.4	2.5	2.8	3.3
10,000 or more	3.5	2.8	3.3	3.5
Region				
Northeast	9.1	6.1	6.9	7.1
Central	5.6	5.2	5.3	5.2
southeast	5.3	4.8	6.4	5.4
West	4.6	5.2	5.3	5.6
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches				
10 percent or less	7.4	4.8	4.9	5.7
11 to 40 percent	3.8	3.8	3.6	4.2
41 percent or more	4.6	5.0	5.7	6.4

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 4a.--Standard errors of the average number of hours drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education was required in each grade during the school year, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Grade												
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
All districts.....	0.83	0.93	0.91	0.89	1.06	1.08	1.08	1.27	1.16	1.29	1.31	0.98	1.04

Table 5a.--Standard errors of the average number of hours drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education was taught in each grade span during the school year, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

School characteristics	Grade span			
	K-3	4-6	7-9	10-12
All districts.....	0.87	1.05	1.14	1.06
Location of district				
Urban.....	2.61	2.36	2.51	2.47
Suburban.....	1.03	1.14	1.53	1.37
Rural.....	1.37	1.60	1.69	1.59
Enrollment size				
Less than 2,500.....	1.14	1.46	1.51	1.40
2,500-9,999.....	1.28	1.52	1.32	1.46
10,000 or more.....	1.01	2.01	2.52	2.21
Region				
Northeast.....	2.18	2.53	1.88	3.45
Central.....	1.45	1.61	2.92	1.74
Southeast.....	2.93	2.93	3.47	3.52
West.....	1.43	2.21	1.60	1.92
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches				
10 percent or less.....	1.81	1.86	1.76	1.52
11 to 40 percent.....	1.28	1.37	1.51	1.53
41 percent or more.....	1.71	1.77	2.32	2.68

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1991.

Table 6a.--Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts offering drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education through different approaches at each instructional level, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Within health curriculum			Within science curriculum			As a separate course			Throughout the curriculum			At special assemblies or events		
	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High	Elem.	Jr. High	Sr. High
All districts	2.1	1.9	1.4	3.0	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.0	2.1	2.4	3.2	3.2	1.9	2.2	2.0
Location of district															
Urban	13.6	5.9	8.6	11.7	5.3	6.6	10.8	6.1	5.7	15.3	6.3	6.9	3.5	2.7	10.1
Suburban	1.9	2.4	2.1	4.6	2.9	4.1	3.7	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.7	4.2	1.7	2.3	2.5
Rural	3.2	2.7	1.8	4.4	4.0	3.7	4.1	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.9	4.5	3.1	3.0	2.3
Enrollment size															
Less than 2,500	2.7	2.5	1.8	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.5	2.8	2.8	3.3	4.4	4.4	2.4	2.8	2.8
2,500-109,999	1.5	2.3	1.9	3.4	2.7	3.5	4.1	2.7	2.7	3.1	2.9	2.9	1.4	1.3	1.6
10,000 or more	2.7	2.6	1.8	4.1	3.0	3.0	4.1	4.1	3.0	4.3	4.4	4.1	1.8	1.7	1.4
Region															
Northeast	2.2	2.4	2.3	9.0	5.8	6.7	6.3	7.5	3.7	7.0	6.5	6.3	3.1	2.7	2.5
Central	3.5	2.7	2.1	4.3	4.1	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.6	5.6	4.8	3.5	3.8	3.9
Southeast	1.6	2.0	1.6	6.0	3.2	3.2	4.6	3.9	3.7	4.2	4.4	4.5	2.1	1.7	2.1
West	3.9	4.5	4.4	6.6	5.7	6.6	6.2	4.8	5.3	6.4	5.3	7.0	3.3	3.7	3.9
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches															
10 percent or less	6.7	2.9	4.1	7.0	5.3	6.2	6.3	5.5	6.8	5.6	5.1	5.9	2.8	2.7	2.8
11 to 40 percent	3.3	2.2	1.5	4.5	4.4	3.8	2.7	2.6	2.6	3.0	4.4	3.9	3.2	3.5	2.9
41 percent or more	2.5	5.5	3.3	7.9	4.5	4.3	5.0	4.5	4.1	5.4	4.6	5.4	2.4	2.3	3.0

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 7a.--Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating in how many schools certain components are included as part of their drug use education program/activities: United States, 1990-91

Education program/activities component	All schools	Most schools	Some schools	No schools
Teaching students about causes and effects of alcohol, drug, and tobacco use	1.3	1.3	(+)	
Teaching students about laws regarding alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, possession, sales, and distribution.....	2.6	2.1	1.2	0.7
Teaching students to resist peer pressure	1.8	1.7	1.0	
Peer counseling.....	3.1	1.5	2.7	2.6
School alcohol, drug, and tobacco policy/enforcement.....	2.0	1.6	0.6	1.0
Student assistance programs (SAPS)	2.9	1.1	1.9	3.2
School services for high-risk students	2.5	1.1	1.9	2.1
Referrals to counseling and treatment	1.9	1.5	1.2	0.9
Student drug-testing programs	1.3	0.4	0.8	1.5

(+) Less than 0.5.

-- Estimate of standard error is not derived because it is based on a statistic estimated at 0 percent or at 100 percent.

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 8a.--Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating that certain components are included as part of their drug use education program/activities in all or most schools, by size and location of district: United States, 1990-91

Education program/ activities component	Total	Enrollment size			Location of district		
		Less than 2,500	2,500 to 9,999	10,000 or more	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Teaching students about causes and effects of alcohol, drug, and tobacco use	(+)		0.2			0.1	
Teaching students about laws regarding alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, possession, sales, and distribution	1.5	.9	1.9	1.9	0.9	1.3	2.3
Teaching students to resist peer pressure	1.0	.3	1.2	1.2	0.7	1.0	1.6
Peer counseling	3.4	.1	3.7	3.5	9.8	5.1	4.2
School alcohol, drug, and tobacco policy/enforcement	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.1	2.2	1.5
Student assistance programs (SAPS)	2.7	3.6	2.8	3.5	10.6	4.2	3.7
School services for high-risk students	2.5	3.0	3.0	1.9	16.5	2.8	3.4
Referrals to counseling and treatment	1.4	1.7	1.9	2.7	16.4	1.8	1.7
Student drug-testing programs	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.5	5.5	2.3	2.0

(+) Less than 0.05.

-- Estimate of standard error is not derived because it is based on a statistic estimated at 0 percent or at 100 percent.

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 9a.--Standard errors of the percentage of public school districts indicating the extent to which various organizations in their **community** provide assistance or educational support to promote **safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools**, and the extent to which various types of assistance are provided by **these organizations: United States, 1990-91**

District response	Extent of support provided			
	Great extent	Moderate extent	Small extent	Not at all
Community organization				
Parent groups	1.4	3.1	3.0	1.4
Private corporations and businesses	1.0	2.0	3.2	2.8
Social service agencies	2.2	2.9	2.8	2.1
Police	3.4	3.1	2.2	1.0
Civic organizations/service clubs	1.4	3.1	3.2	2.7
Colleges/universities	0.7	1.2	2.4	3.0
Religious organizations	1.2	3.0	2.9	2.3
Type of support				
Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for teachers and/or school staff	3.3	3.1	2.5	2.2
Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for students and families	1.6	3.5	3.0	2.7
Sponsoring after-school activities/programs	2.0	3.1	3.1	2.6
Serving on planning committee/task force	2.4	2.5	3.7	2.2
Support efforts to increase school safety	3.2	3.4	3.4	2.7

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40**, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 10a. --Standard errors of the percentage of public school **districts** indicating that to a great or moderate extent various organizations in their **community** provide assistance or educational support to promote **safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools, and** the percentage indicating that to a great or moderate extent various types of assistance **are** provided by these **organizations**, by size and location of **district: United States, 1990-91**

District response	Total	Enrollment size			Location of district		
		Less than 2,500	2,500 to 9,999	10,000 or more	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Community organization							
Parent groups	3.1	4.0	2.0	2.8	13.0	3.4	4.6
Private corporations and businesses	2.3	2.8	2.9	4.3	9.2	3.1	3.3
Social service agencies	2.7	3.4	2.6	2.7	5.0	3.9	4.1
Police	2.6	3.4	2.0	0.9	14.4	3.6	3.3
Civic organizations/service clubs	3.0	3.7	3.5	3.6	9.6	3.8	5.2
Colleges/universities	1.3	1.6	2.2	3.3	3.0	1.7	2.1
Religious organizations	3.1	3.7	2.7	3.5	2.8	3.2	4.7
Type of support							
Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for teachers and/or school staff	3.0	3.8	2.9	3.4	11.0	3.6	4.0
Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for students and families	3.1	4.1	2.9	2.3	7.5	4.7	4.4
Sponsoring after-school activities/programs	3.6	4.4	3.9	5.1	11.0	4.9	4.7
Serving on planning committee/task force	3.4	4.4	2.9	2.9	14.4	3.8	4.8
Support efforts to increase school safety	3.1	3.8	3.5	3.9	10.4	4.1	4.9

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on **Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40**, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 11a.--Standard errors of the total and average number of times certain school actions were taken for disruptive behavior during the fall 1990 semester, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Disciplinary action for disruptive behavior					
	Transfer to an alternative school		Suspension		Expulsion	
	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students
AU districts	13.6	0.5	71.4	1.7	2.0	0.05
Location of district						
Urban	3.5	0.4	55.3	4.5	1.9	0.14
Suburban	13.4	0.9	51.6	2.2	1.8	0.08
Rural	3.2	0.5	36.7	2.7	0.9	0.08
Enrollment size						
Less than 2,500	1.1	0.2	24.4	2.3	0.5	0.05
2,500 to 9,999	13.4	1.5	35.1	2.4	1.2	0.09
10,000 or more	3.8	0.3	52.4	3.2	1.7	0.11
Region						
Northeast	1.0	0.1	31.6	3.5	0.3	0.04
Central	0.9	0.1	31.7	2.4	1.1	0.10
southeast	12.4	2.0	50.6	3.8	0.9	0.08
West	7.5	0.6	49.5	2.6	1.9	0.11
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches						
10 percent or less	0.7	0.1	21.5	2.6	0.4	0.05
11 to 40 percent	6.0	0.4	44.9	2.1	1.5	0.07
41 percent or more	12.3	1.6	41.4	3.6	1.7	0.14

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Table 12a. --Standard errors of the total and average number of times certain school actions were taken for student alcohol and drug use, possession, or sale, during the fall 1990 semester, by district characteristics: United States, 1990-91

District characteristic	Disciplinary action for student alcohol and drug use, possession, or sales					
	Transfer to an alternative school		Suspension		Expulsion	
	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students	Total (in thousands)	Average number of occurrences per 1000 students
All districts.....	1.2	0.04	6.3	0.17	0.9	0.02
Location of district						
Urban	0.4	0.05	2.8	0.16	0.3	0.03
Suburban	0.7	0.04	6.5	0.31	0.7	0.03
Rural	1.1	0.16	2.5	0.20	0.4	0.04
Enrollment size						
Less than 2,500	0.8	0.11	2.0	0.21	0.3	0.03
2,500 to 9,999.....	0.9	0.09	2.1	0.16	0.5	0.04
10,000 or more.....	0.5	0.04	5.4	0.37	0.4	0.03
Region						
Northeast	0.2	0.04	1.5	0.24	0.1	0.02
Central	0.5	0.07	1.8	0.18	0.3	0.03
Southeast	0.7	0.11	2.1	0.14	0.2	0.02
West	0.7	0.08	5.8	0.42	0.7	0.06
Percentage of students receiving free or reduced-price lunches						
10 percent or less	0.3	0.06	1.9	0.22	0.2	0.03
11 to 40 percent	0.9	0.06	6.7	0.32	0.7	0.03
41 percent or more	0.8	0.12	1.9	0.17	0.3	0.03

SOURCE: Fast Response Survey System, Public School District Survey on Safe, Disciplined, and Drug-Free Schools, FRSS 40, U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1992.

Appendix B
Questionnaire

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20208-5651

FORM APPROVED
O.M.B. No.: 1850-0657
EXPIRATION DATE: 12/91

DISTRICT SURVEY ON **SAFE, DISCIPLINED, AND DRUG-FREE** SCHOOLS

FAST RESPONSE SURVEY SYSTEM

This survey is authorized by law (20 U.S.C. 1221e-1). While you are not required to **respond**, your cooperation is needed to make the results of this survey **comprehensive, accurate, and timely**.

DEFINITIONS FOR THIS SURVEY

Drug use education refers to learning activities and related policies to prevent or reduce **alcohol, drug (e.g., marijuana, inhalants, cocaine), and tobacco use by youth**. It does **not** include clinical treatment or **rehabilitation**.

Disruptive behavior includes serious and/or unlawful actions that may interfere with order in school (e.g., physical attack, property destruction, thefts). **Alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, possession, sales, and distribution should** be reported separately on this questionnaire and **not** included under "disruptive behavior."

AFFIX LABEL HERE

IF ABOVE INFORMATION IS INCORRECT, PLEASE UPDATE DIRECTLY ON LABEL.

Name of Person Completing This Form: _____ Telephone Number: _____

RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:

WESTAT, INC.
1650 Research Boulevard
Rockville, Maryland 20850

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to **average 30 minutes per response**, including the time for reviewing **instructions**, searching existing data **sources**, gathering and maintaining **the data needed**, and completing and reviewing the collection of **information**. Send comments regarding this burden **estimate** or any other aspect of this collection of **information**, including suggestions for reducing this **burden**, to the U.S. Department of **Education**, Information Management and Compliance **Division**, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651; and to the **Office of Management and Budget**, Paperwork Reduction Project 1850-0657, Washington, D.C. 20503.

1. Circle the number for each item describing your district's general discipline and alcohol, drug, and tobacco policies. (If describe the components separately, even if they are included in a single policy.)

	GENERAL DISCIPLINE POLICY		ALCOHOL POLICY		DRUG POLICY		TOBACCO POLICY
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
a. Does your district have a written policy?..... (If NO to a policy, skip items b and c for that policy.)	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
b. Which of the following were involved in the development of your district's policies?							
1) State Department of Education	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
2) Principals	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
3) Teachers	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
4) Parents	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
5) Students	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
6) Community groups	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
7) Outside experts	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
c. Circle the number indicating when each of your policies was last changed significantly. (If never changed, indicate they were adopted.)							
			LESS THAN 1 YEAR AGO	1 - 3 YEARS AGO	MORE THAN 3 YEARS AGO		
1) General discipline policy			1	2	3		
2) Alcohol policy			1	2	3		
3) Drug policy			1	2	3		
4) Tobacco policy			1	2	3		

2. a. Circle all grades taught in your district.

All K 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

b. What is the average number of hours drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education is required in each grade the 1990-91 school year? (Write 0 for each grade in which it is not required; write NA for each grade not offered in your district.)

GRADE	HOURS	GRADE	HOURS	GRADE	HOURS	GRADE	HOURS
K	_____	4	_____	7	_____	10	_____
1	_____	5	_____	8	_____	11	_____
2	_____	6	_____	9	_____	12	_____
3	_____						

c. In which of the following ways do schools in your district offer drug (including alcohol and tobacco) use education?

	WITHIN HEALTH CURRICULUM		WITHIN SCIENCE CURRICULUM		AS A SEPARATE COURSE		THROUGHOUT THE CURRICULUM		AT SPECIAL ASSEMBLIES OR EVENTS		OTHER (SPECIFY)
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
Elementary	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	_____
Junior high	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	_____
Senior high	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	_____

3. Circle the number indicating how many schools in your district currently include each of the following components as part of their drug use education program/activities.

	ALL SCHOOLS	MOST SCHOOLS	SOME SCHOOLS	NO SCHOOLS
a. Teaching students about causes and effects of alcohol, drug, and tobacco use	1	2	3	4
b. Teaching students about laws regarding alcohol, drug, and tobacco use, possession, sales, and distribution	1	2	3	4
c. Teaching students skills to resist peer pressure	1	2	3	4
d. Peer counseling	1	2	3	4
e. School alcohol, drug, and tobacco policy/enforcement	1	2	3	4
f. Student assistance programs (SAPs).....	1	2	3	4
g. School services for high-risk students	1	2	3	4
h. Referrals to counseling and treatment	1	2	3	4
i. Student drug-testing programs	1	2	3	4
j. Other (specify)	1	2	3	4

4. Has your district conducted a student alcohol, drug, or tobacco use survey within the last two years? YES NO

5. Circle the number indicating the extent to which each of the following organizations in your district provides assistance or educational support to promote safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools.

	GREAT EXTENT	MODERATE EXTENT	SMALL EXTENT	NOT AT ALL
a. Parent groups	1	2	3	4
b. Private corporations and businesses..	1	2	3	4
c. Social service agencies	1	2	3	4
d. Police	1	2	3	4
e. Civic organizations/service clubs	1	2	3	4
f. Colleges/universities.....	1	2	3	4
g. Religious organizations	1	2	3	4

6. Circle the number indicating to what extent the above groups provide support to promote safe, disciplined, and drug-free schools.

	GREAT EXTENT	MODERATE EXTENT	SMALL EXTENT	NOT AT ALL
a. Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for teachers and/or school staff	1	2	3	4
b. Sponsoring alcohol and drug prevention education programs for students and families	1	2	3	4
c. Sponsoring after-school activities/programs	1	2	3	4
d. Serving on planning committee/task force	1	2	3	4
e. Supporting efforts to increase school safety	1	2	3	4

7. How many times were the following actions taken in your district in the fall 1990 semester for disruptive behavior or student alcohol and drug use, possession, or sales? ("Times" refers to number of incidents, not number of students disciplined.)

	NUMBER OF TIMES ACTIONS TAKEN FOR	
	DISRUPTIVE BEHAVIOR	ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE, POSSESSION, OR SALES
a. Transfer to an alternative school (write NA if alternative schools are not available).....	_____	_____
b. Suspension	_____	_____
c. Expulsion	_____	_____

8. To obtain an approximate socioeconomic measure for your district in order to better interpret the data of this survey, please indicate the percent of students in your district currently receiving federally funded free or reduced-price lunches.

_____ %