

Prepared in cooperation with the Hanalei Watershed Hui

Survey of Chemical Contaminants in the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001



Scientific Investigations Report 2007-5096

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

Cover. Rainbow over Hanalei Bay (left), Hanalei River flowing through the taro fields in the Hanalei National Wildlife Refuge (top right), and the sediment-laden bay near Hanalei Pier after a heavy rain event (bottom right). (Carl Orazio, U.S. Geological Survey, 2007)

Survey of Chemical Contaminants in the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001

By Carl E. Orazio, Thomas W. May, Robert W. Gale, John C. Meadows, William G. Brumbaugh, Kathy R. Echols, William W.M. Steiner, and Carl J. Berg, Jr.

Prepared in cooperation with the Hanalei Watershed Hui

Scientific Investigations Report 2007–5096

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

U.S. Department of the Interior

DIRK KEMPTHORNE, Secretary

U.S. Geological Survey

Mark D. Myers, Director

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2007

For product and ordering information: World Wide Web: http://www.usgs.gov/pubprod Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS

For more information on the USGS--the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment: World Wide Web: http://www.usgs.gov Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS

Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this report is in the public domain, permission must be secured from the individual copyright owners to reproduce any copyrighted materials contained within this report.

Suggested citation:

Orazio, C.E., May, T.W., Gale, R.W., Meadows, J.C., Brumbaugh, W.G., Echols, K.E., Steiner, W.W.M., and Berg, Jr., C.J., 2007, Survey of chemical contaminants in the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2007–5096, 21 p.

Contents

Abstract1
Introduction1
Study Area 2
Purpose and Scope2
Methods 3
Collection of Samples 3
Determination of Organic Contaminants3
Determination of Elements6
Quality Control7
Contaminant Concentrations in the Hanalei River
Surface Waters
Biota
Stream Bed Sediments11
Comparison of Contaminant Concentrations in the Hanalei River to Rivers on Oahu14
Summary18
Acknowledgments
References Cited
Appendices

Figures

1–2.	Ma	aps showing—	
	1.	Location of the study area on the Island of Kaua'i, Hawai'i	4
	2.	Location of upper and lower reach sampling sites on the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001	5
3.	Со	mparison of gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of Hanalei River surface water to that of a positive control sample containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	11

Tables

1.	Hanalei River sampling sites and specimens collected December 4–11, 2001	4
2.	Concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in surface waters of the Hanalei River, December 2001	9
3.	Concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in surface waters of the Hanalei River, December 2001	10
4.	Concentrations of elements in surface waters of the Hanalei River, December 2001	12
5.	Concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in Akupa sleeper fish <i>(Eleotris sandwicensis)</i> , freshwater Asian clams <i>(Corbicula fluminea)</i> , and giant mud crabs <i>(Scylla serrata)</i> of the Hanalei River, December 2001	13
6.	Concentrations of elements in Akupa sleeper fish, freshwater Asian clams, and giant mud crabs of the Hanalei River, December 2001	14

7.	Concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in stream bed sediment composites of the Hanalei River, December 2001	15
8.	Concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in stream bed sediment composites of the Hanalei River, December 2001	16
9.	Concentrations of elements in stream bed sediment composites of the Hanalei River, December 2001	17

Conversion Factors, Datum and Acronyms SI to Inch/Pound

Multiply	Ву	To obtain
	Length	
micrometer (µm)	0.00003937	inch (in.)
millimeter (mm)	0.03937	inch (in.)
centimeter (cm)	0.3937	inch (in.)
meter (m)	3.281	foot (ft)
kilometer (km)	0.6214	mile (mi)
	Area	
square meter (m ²)	10.76	square foot (ft ²)
square kilometer (km ²)	0.3861	square mile (mi ²)
	Volume	
microliter (µL)	0.00003381	Fluid ounce (fl.oz)
milliliter (mL)	0.03381	Fluid ounce (fl.oz)
liter (L)	0.2642	gallon (gal)
cubic centimeter (cm ³)	0.06102	cubic inch (in ³)
cubic meter (m ³)	35.31	cubic foot (ft ³)
cubic kilometer (km ³)	0.2399	cubic mile (mi ³)
	Flow rate	
cubic meter per second (m ³ /s)	35.31	cubic foot per second (ft ³ /s)
	Mass	
nanogram (ng)	3.527 x 10 ⁻¹¹	ounce, avoirdupois (oz)
microgram (µg)	3.527 x 10 ⁻⁸	ounce, avoirdupois (oz)
gram (g)	3.527 x 10 ⁻²	ounce, avoirdupois (oz)
	Conversion	
picogram/gram (pg/g)	=	part per trillion (ppt; 10 ⁻¹²)
nanogram/liter (ng/L)	=	part per trillion (ppt; 10 ⁻¹²)
nanogram/gram (ng/g)	=	part per billion (ppb; 10-9)
microgram/kilogram (µg/kg)	=	part per billion (ppb; 10-9)
microgram/liter (µg/L)	=	part per billion (ppb; 10 ⁻⁹)
microgram/gram (µg/g)	=	part per million (ppm; 10 ⁻⁶)
milligram/liter (mg/L)	=	part per million (ppm; 10 ⁻⁶)

Temperature in degrees Celsius (°C) may be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) as follows: °F=(1.8×°C)+32

Horizontal coordinate information is referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)

AA Atomic absorption **BEC** Blank equivalent concentration **CCC** Criterion continuous concentrations **CERC** Columbia Environmental Research Center **CSeQC PEL** Canadian Sediment Quality Guidelines–Probable Effect Level **DBC** Dibutylchlorendate **DDD** Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane **DDE** Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene **DDT** Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane **ECD** Electron capture detection **GC** Gas chromatography **GC/ECD** Gas chromatography with electron capture detector GC/MS Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry HNWR Hanalei National Wildlife Refuge **HCB** Hexachlorobenzene HCH Hexachlorocyclohexane HCI Hydrochloric acid HNO, Nitric acid **HP-SEC** High performance-size exclusion chromatography **ICP-MS** Inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometry **LP-SEC** Low pressure-size exclusion chromatography **MB** Matrix blank **MDL** Method detection limit **MQL** Method quantitation limit **MS** Mass spectrometry **MS** Matrix spike NAWQA National Water Quality Assessment Program **NIST** National Institute of Standards and Technology NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation **0C** Organochlorine (pesticides) **ODS** Octadecylsilane **PAH** Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon **PB** Procedural blank PCA Pentachloroanisole **PCB** Polychlorinated biphenyls **PEL** Probably effect level **POC** Particulate organic carbon PTFE Polytetrafluorethylene **QC** Quality control **RSD** Relative standard deviation **SD** Standard deviation **TCMX** Tetrachlorometaxylene **TOC** Total organic carbon **USEPA** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency **USFWS** U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service **USGS** U.S. Geological Survey

This page intentionally blank.

Survey of Chemical Contaminants in the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001

By Carl E. Orazio¹, Thomas W. May¹, Robert W. Gale¹, John C. Meadows¹, William G. Brumbaugh¹, Kathy R. Echols¹, William W.M. Steiner², and Carl J. Berg, Jr.³

Abstract

The Hanalei River on the island of Kaua'i in Hawai'i was designated an American Heritage River in 1998, providing special attention to natural resource protection, economic revitalization, and historic and cultural preservation. Agricultural, urban, and tourism-related activities are potential sources of contamination within the Hanalei River watershed. The objective of this study was to measure certain persistent organic chemicals and elements in the Hanalei River.

During a relatively low-flow period in December of 2001, samples of native Akupa sleeper fish (Eleotris sandwicensis), freshwater Asian clam (Corbicula fluminea), giant mud crab (Scylla serrata), surface water, and stream bed sediment were collected from a lower estuarine reach of the river near its mouth at Hanalei Bay and from an upper reach at the Hanalei National Wildlife Refuge. Samples were analyzed for residues of urban and agricultural chemicals including organochlorine pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and elements (including mercury, lead, cadmium, arsenic, and selenium). Organic contaminants were extracted from the samples with solvent, enriched, and then analyzed by gas chromatographic analysis with electron capture or mass spectrometric detection. Samples were aciddigested for semi-quantitative analysis for elements by inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometry and for quantitative analysis by atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

Concentrations of organochlorine pesticides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and polychlorinated biphenyls in biota, surface water, and bed sediment sampled from the Hanalei River ranged from nondetectable to very low levels. Polychlorinated biphenyls were below detection in all samples. Dieldrin, the only compound detected in the water samples, was present at very low concentrations of 1-2 nanograms per liter. Akupa sleeper fish and giant mud crabs from the lower reach ranged from 1 to 5 nanograms per gram (wet weight) dieldrin and from less than 0.3 to 2.1 nanograms per gram total chlordane. Concentrations of individual polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the lower reach bed sediments ranged from less than 1 to 190 nanograms per gram (dry weight). Relative concentrations (patterns) of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in one portion of a sediment sample indicated combustion sources. Concentrations of elements in the surface water, biota, and sediment samples were below toxicity thresholds of ecological concern. In summary, concentrations of the organic contaminants and elements targeted by this study of the Hanalei River in 2001 were below U.S. Environmental Protection Agency probable adverse effects levels for aquatic organisms.

Introduction

The Hanalei River on the island of Kaua'i in Hawai'i was designated as an American Heritage River in 1998, enabling the local community to receive Federal assistance for natural resource protection, economic revitalization, and historic and cultural preservation. Several endangered species of plants, fish, and wildlife inhabit the lush river valley. The river runs through the Hanalei National Wildlife Refuge (HNWR), a 3.7 square kilometer (km²) refuge established in 1972. Much of the state's taro, a staple of the Hawaiian diet, is produced within the HNWR (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2006). The lower portion of the river forms the third largest state estuary and serves as a nursery and habitat for numerous fishes. Within the Hanalei River watershed are agricultural, urban, and tourism-related activities that are dependent upon the watershed's natural resources.

¹U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center, 4200 New Haven Road, Columbia, Missouri 65201

²University of Hawai'i at Hilo, College of Agriculture, Forestry & Natural Resource Management, 200 W. Kawili Street, Hilo, Hawai'i 96720

³Hanalei Watershed Hui, 5299C Kuhio Highway, P.O. Box 1285, Hanalei, Hawai'i 96714

Study Area

The Hanalei River is located on the north shore of the island of Kaua'i in Hawai'i (fig. 1). The 60-km² Hanalei watershed begins at an elevation of 1,066 meters (m) on the summit of Mount Waialeale, an area that annually receives 1,140 centimeters (cm) of rain and is one of the wettest areas on Earth. Rainfall events can dramatically raise the river discharge in a matter of hours from a baseline of 2 cubic meters per second (m³/s) to over 100 m³/s, rapidly flushing the river of recently deposited bed sediments (Calhoun and others, 2002). The river flows 26 kilometers (km) through wilderness, pastures, and cropland before emptying into Hanalei Bay. The mouth of the river is located between the town of Princeville and the town of Hanalei, near the Hanalei Pier. Two reaches of the river were selected for assessment of chemical contamination: a lower reach within 2 km of the mouth of the river and an upper reach within or just upstream of the HNWR (fig. 2).

Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this study was to measure certain persistent organic chemicals and elements in the Hanalei River in 2001. This study was a collaborative effort between the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Columbia Environmental Research Center (CERC), the Hanalei Watershed Hui, and the USGS Pacific Island Ecosystems Research Center. Monitoring of potential environmental stressors such as chemical pollution provides valuable information to managers of this river. Various samples were collected including unfiltered surface water, stream bed sediment, and aquatic biota. The aquatic biota sampled-the Akupa sleeper fish (Eleotris sandwicensis), freshwater Asian clam (Corbicula fluminea), and giant mud crab (Scylla serrata)—represent different ecosystem trophic levels that may bioaccumulate chemicals differently depending on the exposure pathway. Stream bed sediments were sampled because they act as a sink for certain chemical contaminants. The following chemicals commonly associated with urban and agricultural activities were assessed in this study (see Appendices for full description of the chemicals):

(1) Organochlorine (OC) pesticides: aldrin, alpha-hexachlorocyclohexane (α -HCH), beta-HCH (β -HCH), delta-HCH (δ -HCH), pentachloroanisole (PCA), heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, *cis*-chlordane, oxychlordane, *trans*-chlordane, *cis*nonachlor, *trans*-nonachlor, *o*,*p*'-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane (*o*,*p*'-DDD), *p*,*p*'-DDD, *o*,*p*'-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (*o*,*p*'-DDE), *p*,*p*'-DDE, *o*,*p*'-dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (*p*,*p*'-DDT), *p*,*p*'-DDT, dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate (DCPA), dieldrin, endosulfan I, endosulfan II, endosulfan sulfate, endrin, hexachlorobenzene (HCB), lindane, methoxychlor, mirex, and toxaphene. This list includes termiticides aldrin/dieldrin and chlordane, mosquito-control chemical DDT, current-use pesticides such as lindane and hexachlorocyclohexanes, and pentachloroanisole, a degradant of the wood preservative pentachlorophenol.

(2) *Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs):* industrial chemicals used in many applications including electrical transformers, electrical ballasts, caulk, paint, and others.

(3) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and aliphatic hydrocarbons: The sixteen U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) priority pollutant PAHs plus 1- and 2-methylnaphthalenes, dibenzothiophene, 2-methylphenanthrene, 4,5-methylene-phenanthrene, 1-methylphenanthrene, retene, 1-methylpyrene, benzo[b]naphtha[2,2-d]thiophene, benzo[e]pyrene, and perylene. Sources of PAHs include emissions of gasoline and diesel engines, combustion of coal/wood, creosote-treated wood, and runoff from fossil fuel-based materials, such as asphalt (Neff, 1979; Lebo and others, 1996; Petty and others, 2000). Aliphatic hydrocarbons also were analyzed in the water samples.

(4) *Elements (approximately 60):* The USEPA priority pollutant elements, including heavy metals mercury, cadmium, lead, and thallium, and the toxic elements arsenic and selenium.

Akupa sleeper fish, freshwater Asian clams, and giant mud crab tissues were analyzed for PCBs, OC pesticides, and elements. Stream bed sediments and surface waters were analyzed for PAHs, PCBs, OC pesticides, and elements, and screened for petroleum (aliphatic) hydrocarbons. Also, percent lipid of the biota, percent moisture of biota, concentration of particulate organic carbon (POC) in water and total organic carbon (TOC) of the sediments were measured. Hydrophobic organic contaminants tend to concentrate in lipid and sorb to POC and TOC (Lyman and others 1990).

Rivers in urban and agricultural areas may be impacted by other chemicals that were not targeted in this study. For example, the following chemical contaminants were not measured: volatile hydrocarbons; certain chemicals used to maintain lawns, golf courses, and roadside right-of-ways; chemicals associated with wastewater treatment effluents; and chemicals of emerging concern such as pharmaceuticals and personal care products. These other chemicals may include diazinon, trifluralin, pendamethalin, triazines, triazoles, carbamates, and organophosphates such as permethrin and chlorpyrifos, and selected degradation products (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2000; U.S. Geological Survey, 2006). Surfactants such as ethoxylated tallowamines (polyoxyethyleneamines) (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1996; Wang and others, 2005) used in certain herbicide formulations were not measured in our study .

Methods

Collection of Samples

Site selection and sampling supplies: Surface water, biota, and stream bed sediments were collected December 4–11, 2001 from a reach of the Hanalei River within two kilometers of its mouth (referred to as the lower reach) and from a reach within and just upstream of the HNWR (referred to as the upper reach) (fig. 2, table 1). Sampling equipment was certified as clean or was cleaned prior to use. Sample collection techniques followed guidelines of Schmitt and others (1981, 1990). Several samples were collected at each reach to provide sound statistical measure of contaminants at each reach.

Samples of surface water: Two unfiltered surface-water samples were collected from each reach for organic chemical analyses. At the time of sampling, the waters had little suspended river sediment and the water was quite clear. Unfiltered water samples were collected in 2.3 liter (L) amber bottles fitted with polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE)-lined caps. Water samples for elemental analyses were collected in 200 milliliter (mL) polyethylene containers and preserved with 4 mL of 7 Molar ultrapure nitric acid (HNO₃). A bottle filled with deionized water to which acid was similarly added, served as a field blank for elemental analysis. All surface-water samples were placed on ice and shipped to the USGS-CERC for analyses. Water samples for organic chemical analysis were preserved with dichloromethane upon receipt by the USGS-CERC. Unfiltered waters were analyzed in all instances.

Samples of biota: Approximately 20 Akupa sleeper fish, the native Hawaiian O'opu akupa, were collected by hook and line or spear from each reach. The length of the fish ranged from 10 to 15 cm; their composite weight was greater than 500 grams (g). They are highly predaceous on various invertebrates, such as snails and clams. These fish are relished by people as a food item. Twenty giant mud crabs were collected with nets at the lower reach only, as none were present in the upper reach. In Hawai'i, these giant mud crabs feed on an assortment of mollusks, crustaceans, and polychaetes, and are a sought-after food delicacy. More than 50 freshwater Asian clams with a composite weight greater than 500 g were collected from each reach. This small Asian clam feeds on plankton. In Hanalei, each group of samples was wrapped in clean, solvent-rinsed aluminum foil, placed inside polyethylene bags, frozen, and shipped to the USGS-CERC. Biota samples remained frozen at -15 °C prior to analysis.

Samples of stream bed sediment: The top 2–3 cm of stream bed sediment from five areas within each reach were collected with a polyvinyl chloride pipe sampler and then transferred into 0.5 L clean amber glass jars. Sediments were fine-grained particles less than (<) 2 millimeter (mm) in diameter. Sediment samples were shipped cool on ice and remained refrigerated at 4 degrees Celsius (°C) prior to analysis.

Determination of Organic Contaminants

Surface-water samples were prepared for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis of PAHs. The waters were extracted in separatory funnels with dichloromethane. Prior to extraction, 100 nanograms (ng) of each perdeuterated priority pollutant PAH surrogate was added. Quality control distilled water blanks and spiked distilled water samples were also analyzed. The dichloromethane extracts were filtered though anhydrous sodium sulfate, cleaned up by using high performance-size exclusion chromatography (HP-SEC), and reduced in volume by rotary evaporation and nitrogen blow-down to 0.25 mL. The GC/MS analysis of the PAHs was performed with a Carlo-Erba GC8000 Top[™] GC interfaced to a Finnigan VoyagerTM quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS). A Carlo-Erba AS800™ autosampler injected 2 microliters of the final extract from a 1-mL semi-conical amber vial onto a 2.5 m x 530 micrometer (um) deactivated fused silica retention gap via a cool on-column injection technique. A 50 m x 200 µm GC column coated with 5 percent phenyl methylsilicone was used with an initial hold of 2.5 minutes (min) at 60 °C, followed by a ramp to 300 °C at 5 °C/ min, and held for 10 min. Helium carrier gas was maintained at 1.00 mL/min with an initial linear velocity of ~35 cm/second (sec). The analytical column was directly connected to the MS through a transfer line maintained at 305 °C. The MS was tuned daily to unit mass resolution and calibrated using perfluorotributylamine. A single full-scan mass window of 50–450 mass to charge ratio, 0.75 sec width, was used for the entire chromatographic run. Data acquisition began at 10 min, prior to elution of naphthalene and was continued to elution of benzo[g,h,i] pervlene. Parent (M+) ions for each of the perdeuterated and native PAHs were monitored and used for quantitation. Spectra were verified by comparison to the standards and mass spectral library. The concentration of each PAH was inherently corrected for method recovery and other analytical variables by the isotope dilution method. Native PAH standards were matched to the response range of the samples. For positive identification and quantitation the following criteria were met: signal/noise limit greater than three (S/N > 3), and native PAH retention times were within 0.05 percent of the PAH surrogate. Those compounds without analytical standards, referred to as "tentatively identified compounds," were evaluated based on spectra and relative-retention times, and their concentrations were estimated using response factors of parent PAHs.

Samples of surface waters were prepared for GC with electron capture detection (ECD) analysis of OC pesticides and PCBs. Water samples were quantitatively extracted in separatory funnels three times with dichloromethane. The following internal recovery standards (40 ng each) were added prior to extraction: 2,4,5-trichlorobiphenyl (PCB 029), 2,2',4,4',6,6'-hexachlorobiphenyl (PCB 155), 2,2',3,4,4',5,6,6'-octachlorobiphenyl (PCB 204), dibutyl-



Figure 1. Location of the study area on the Island of Kaua'i, Hawai'i.

 Table 1.
 Hanalei River sampling sites and specimens collected December 4–11, 2001. Samples included Akupa sleeper fish (Eleotris sandwicensis), freshwater Asian clam (Corbicula fluminea), giant mud crabs (Scylla serrata), surface water, and stream bed sediment.

Description of sampling site	Latitude	Longitude	Specimen
	Upper reach		
At cutoff, 100 feet above and below cut-off and lower pool, below merger east bank, at cut-off, west bank at yard	See fig. 2	See fig. 2	1 composite of 20 Akupa sleeper fish
Lower pool, below merger, east bank	22' 11.346"	159' 28.004"	5 subsamples of bed sediment
At cutoff, west bank of yard	22' 11.222"	159' 27.925"	2 samples of surface water
At canal discharge	22' 12.183"	159' 28.190"	1 composite of 60 freshwater Asian clams
	Lower reach		
Roadside west bank, upstream of and at rear lot of restaurant	22' 12.263"	159' 29.312"	1 composite of 20 Akupa sleeper fish
Weke Road, west bank near boatyard ramp	22' 12.823"	159' 29.723"	5 subsamples of bed sediment
Weke Road, west bank near boatyard ramp	22' 12.823"	159' 29.723"	2 samples of surface water
Weke Road, west bank just downstream of Weke Road	22' 12.813"	159' 29.738"	1 composite of 60 freshwater Asian clams
Between Weke Road and restaurant site	See fig. 2	See fig. 2	1 composite of 20 giant mud crabs

Methods 5



Figure 2. Location of upper and lower reach sampling sites on the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001.

6 Survey of Chemical Contaminants in the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001

chlorendate (DBC), and tetrachlorometaxylene (TCMX). The dichloromethane extracts were filtered though anhydrous sodium sulfate. Pesticides and PCBs were fractionated by silica gel-octadecylsilane (ODS) chromatography and rotary evaporated to 1 mL. Eighty nanograms of each instrumental internal standard, PCB congeners 2,4,6-trichlorobiphenyl (PCB 030), and 2,2',3,3',4,4',5,6,6'-nonachlorobiphenyl (PCB 207), were added. Analyses were performed using HP 5890 Series[™] II GC systems with cool on-column capillary injection systems and HP model 7673TM autosamplers. A 3-m section of 0.53-mm inner diameter uncoated and deactivated capillary retention gap was connected with a Press-TightTM union. The dual analytical columns were a 60 m x 0.25 mm 0.25 µm 5 percent phenyl with 95 percent methylsilicone, and a 60 m x 0.25 mm 0.25 µm 50 percent phenyl with 50 percent methylsilicone. The hydrogen-carrier gas was pressure-regulated at 170 kilopascals (KPa), equivalent to 25 psi. The GC temperature program was 60 °C initially, ramped to 150 °C at 15 °C/min, then to 250 °C at 1 °C/min, and finally to 320 °C at 10 °C/min, with 1 min final hold. The ECD temperature was 330 °C. Data were processed with Perkin Elmer Turbochrom[™] software. Six levels of PCB calibration standards (200 to 8,000 ng/mL total-PCBs) were used to quantitate approximately 130 individual congeners. The method detection limit (MDL) or method quantitation limit (MQL) was calculated as follows using mean and standard deviation (SD) of several method blanks (Keith, 1991):

MDL = Mean (blank) + 3 SD, which represents the 95 percent confidence interval. (1)

MQL = Mean (blank) + 10 SD which corresponds to the 99 percent confidence interval. (2)

Samples of biota were prepared for GC/ECD analysis of OC pesticides and PCBs. Carapace and claw soft tissues from four of the larger of the giant mud crabs having carapace widths of 13.5, 12.0, 10.0, and 6.5 cm were mixed together and homogenized. Whole-body Akupa sleeper fish samples were ground to a coarse consistency and a composite of each reach was created. Recovery standards of PCB 029, PCB 055, PCB 204, DBC, and TCMX, were added to the 10-g portions of each type of biota sample. Procedural blanks (PB), bluegill-matrix blanks (MB), and bluegill-matrix spikes (MS) were analyzed with the samples. The MS received 400 ng of each of the 24 pesticides and 1,000 ng of PCBs. The samples were dried by mixing with anhydrous sodium sulfate and then extracted in glass columns with dichloromethane. A portion of the extract was used for percent lipid determination. Extracts were enriched with low pressure-size exclusion chromatography (LP-SEC) and HP-SEC. Then extracts were fractionated into PCB and pesticide fractions with silica gel-ODS chromatography. Extracts were reduced in volume to 2 mL and analyzed by GC/ECD using the method described above.

Samples of stream bed sediment were prepared for GC/ECD analysis of OC pesticides and PCBs, in addition to GC/MS analysis of PAHs. Overlying water was decanted from the jar and the stream bed-sediment samples were homogenized. Composites were created using equal portions from five jars of sediment taken from sampling sites at each reach. Remaining portions of sediment were returned to storage at -15 °C. (Note: Inorganic analyses were performed on individual jars of sediment.) Percent moisture and total organic carbon were determined. Sediment aliquots (15 g) were spiked with perdeuterated PAHs (surrogates), pesticide and PCB recovery compounds, and then mixed with anhydrous sodium sulfate to form a dry free-flowing sample. The sediments were extracted with dichloromethane in a Soxhlet apparatus for 8 hours and then split for PAH and pesticide/PCB cleanup procedures. Elemental sulfur was removed from the sediment extracts with both activated copper and HP-SEC procedures. The pesticides and PCB fractions were purified and analyzed using GC/ECD as described above for the biological tissue samples. The PAH extract was purified and fractionated with potassium silicate and silica gel (both nondestructive) to produce aliphatic and aromatic fractions. Extracts were reduced in volume to 0.25 mL and analyzed using GC/MS as described above for the water samples.

Determination of Elements

Surface water samples were prepared for semi-quantitative scan analysis of elements using inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AA) was used for analysis of arsenic, selenium, and mercury. Duplicate water samples were collected and analyzed. A surface-water sample of 5 mL was placed into a 50 mL quartz reaction vessel, and 1 mL HNO₃ was added and heated to 180 °C in a Perkin-Elmer MultiwaveTM 3000 Digestion System. After cooling, digestates were transferred to a storage container and diluted to a final volume of 50 mL of high purity water. Quality control included digestion blanks and blank spikes, reference solutions and materials, sample replicates, sample spikes, repeated runs of a reference solution, and internal standards.

Biota samples were prepared for ICP-MS scan and AA analyses of arsenic, selenium, and mercury. Ground homogenates of the Akupa sleeper fish samples and of the five giant mud crab samples were created. Soft body tissues of freshwater Asian clams, claw and carapace tissues of giant mud crabs, and whole-body Akupa sleeper fish samples were ground separately to a coarse consistency and dried by lyophilization. The percent moisture was determined. Dried samples were ground to a coarse powder and stored in glass jars in a desiccator prior to analysis. A homogenized portion of each biota sample (0.25 g) was heated with 5 mL HNO₃ in a sealed 100-mL microwave PTFE vessel to 180 °C. The digested sample was transferred into a 125 mL polyethylene bottle with ultrapure water and brought to a final weight of 101.5 g (100 mL) using 5 percent HNO₃. For subsequent mercury determination, 30 mL of this solution was transferred to a glass tube containing 0.3 mL of hydrochloric acid (HCl).

Samples of stream bed sediment were prepared for ICP-MS scan and AA analyses. Samples were lyophilized and then digested with 5.5 mL $\text{HNO}_3 + 0.5$ mL HCl to determine total recoverable metals (refractory mineral phases in sediment are not measured by this procedure). The digested sample and solids were transferred and diluted as described above for biota. A portion of the digested sample (30 mL) was sealed in a glass tube containing 0.15 mL HCl for subsequent mercury determination.

All samples were analyzed for total recoverable metals by ICP-MS using a semiquantitative scan mode (TotalQuantTM). This method typically measures the elements with an accuracy of 30–50 percent error. Sample digestates were prediluted 10 fold by a CETAC ASD-500TM autodiluter as part of the analytical sequence. Internal standards included scandium, rhodium, and thorium each at 10 parts per billion (ppb). The external standard was a National Institute of Standards and Testing (NIST) traceable reference solution to which five elements (praseodymium, terbium, thulium, tantalum, and gold) were added for improved calibration in the rare-earth region of the mass-spectral range.

All samples were analyzed for arsenic and selenium by hydride generation AA spectrophotometry. A 20-mL or ~0.25-g portion of each sample was dry-ashed with HNO₃ and magnesium nitrate. The procedure consisted of three steps: (1) boiling with HNO₂ for solubilization and partial oxidation, (2) ashing at 500 °C with magnesium nitrate for completion of oxidation and decomposition of remaining organic matter, and (3) heating with HCl to dissolve the ash and reduce selenium to the Se⁺⁴ oxidation state required for hydride generation. The reduction procedure does not affect arsenic, which was therefore determined as As⁺⁵. Digestates were diluted to ~100 mL with deionized water, yielding a final acid matrix of 10 percent HCl. Digestates were mixed with an HCl carrier solution and then reduced by sodium tetrahydridoborate stabilized with sodium hydroxide. The resulting volatile hydrogen selenide or arsenide was carried into a heated AA quartz cell with argon gas.

Mercury concentrations in biota and stream bed sediment were determined by flow injection cold vapor AA spectrophotometry, whereby mercury vapor produced by reaction with stannous chloride was swept into a 100 °C quartz cell. Mercury was not measured in the water because it is normally present at extremely low concentrations that require special collection and analysis protocols that were not part of this study.

Quality Control

The quality control (QC) results for the organic contaminant analyses were within acceptable limits based on established specifications for each respective method. Method detection limits for all the targeted compounds were similar to CERC historical values; the matrix and procedural blanks analyzed with all the sample types had normal concentrations of background contaminants. The extraction efficiencies and methodological accuracy were monitored by periodic analysis of positive control samples including in-house reference materials. Samples with low recoveries were reanalyzed. All field duplicates of the water samples and all analytical duplicates and triplicates of the stream bed sediments and biota were in agreement with each other, except for the PAH results in one of five portions in the sediment from the lower reach, as described in the Results section.

The trace-element analyses QC results indicate the data are of high quality and within acceptable limits based on broadly established specifications for each respective method. In summary, reference material data were in agreement with target values. (The QC data is not shown in tables; it is available upon request.) Recovery rates for reference water samples ranged 81-119 percent, with the exception of 156 percent for aluminum and 168 percent for barium. Recoveries for analysis of the three tissue-reference materials ranged 77-124 percent with the exception of 185 percent for aluminum and 158 percent lead in one sample and 160 percent tin in another. These higher recovery rates, both in reference solutions and tissues, may be caused by interferences inherent in the matrix of the materials and thus not directly relevant to Hanalei samples. Total recoverable elements compared well with total metals NIST values of the reference materials: 62-107 percent was observed for lithium, magnesium, chromium, manganese, iron, cobalt, nickel, copper, zinc, arsenic, cadmium, barium, thallium, and lead; expected differences were due to differences in the methodological intentions. The recoveries were in agreement with historical values measured by CERC. Recoveries of mercury, selenium, and arsenic were within the recommended limits except for two cases of mercury in tissues that slightly exceeded upper limits.

Analytical precision for the semi-quantitative scans were < 18 percent relative standard deviation (RSD). Instrumental precision for arsenic, selenium, and mercury was < 6 RSD. In the ICP-MS semi-quantitative scans, internal standards responses were stable, varying < 8 percent during the analysis. Method precision for surface water, biota, and stream sediments as determined by triplicate digestion and analysis yielded percent RSDs < 45 percent. Percent RSDs for arsenic, selenium, and mercury were < 26 percent. Recoveries were determined for elements spiked into samples. Ranges for recoveries of surface water samples were 64–146 percent and averaged 102 percent. Recoveries for biota samples were

105–137 percent and averaged 115 percent, excluding a high cadmium recovery in one spike (242 percent). The range of recoveries for stream bed sediment samples was 61–127 percent and averaged 94 percent, excluding poor recoveries for chromium (51 percent) and molybdenum (1 percent and 4 percent). Ranges for arsenic, selenium, and mercury recoveries were 84–112 percent and averaged 100 percent. Ranges of recoveries for postdigestion spikes of arsenic, selenium, and mercury were 92–109 percent and averaged 102 percent. Elemental background interference or "blank equivalent concentrations" (BEC) were below USGS reporting limits for the semi-quantitative scan. The BECs for arsenic, selenium, and mercury were less than the method detection limits, except for one mercury BEC. None of the data was corrected for BEC.

Contaminant Concentrations in the Hanalei River

Surface Waters

It is worth noting that the flow rate (discharge) of the Hanalei River varies dramatically throughout the year. The sampling period for this study, December 4–11, 2001, was preceded by several months of moderate flows that ranged from about 2.5 to 5.7 m³/s [90–200 ft³/s (cubic feet per second)], punctuated by a two-day increased discharge that ranged from 32 to 43.3 m³/s (1,120 to 1,530 ft³/s) on November 26–27, 2001 (U.S. Geological Survey, 2007). Following this pulse, discharge returned to a range of 3.9 to 9.3 m³/s (136 to 330 ft³/s). The surface water samples for the 2001 study were clear and contained few visible suspended sediments.

Under low flow conditions, the water is relatively clear; however, during heavy rainfall events and higher discharge, mobilized sediment and soil turn the river and bay a rustybrown color. (Note the color of the Hanalei Bay water in the cover photo taken near the Hanalei Pier on the morning after a mean daily discharge of 65 m³/s (2,300 ft³/s) (U.S. Geological Survey, 2007).

Dieldrin, the only organic compound detected, was found at extremely low levels (1–2 ng/L) in water samples collected from both the upper and lower reaches (tables 2, 3). The concentration of dieldrin was well below the USEPA Freshwater Criterion Continuous Concentration (CCC) of 56 ng/L for filtered water (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1999). These waters were screened by semi-quantitative GC/MS method for aliphatic hydrocarbons (indicative of petroleum oils) and for neutral compounds typical of urban effluents, such as caffeine and nonylphenol ethoxylates; however, none of these compounds were found. As noted above, PAHs were not detected in the Hanalei River surface waters. As a QC measure and to illustrate PAH contamination of water, we used a positive control water sample from Jackson Lake, Wyoming contaminated by exhaust from a 4-cycle boat motor. The GC/MS chromatogram for the positive control lake water sample shows PAHs and alkylated PAHs detected (fig. 3, table 3).

Concentrations of elements in Hanalei River surfacewater samples were generally very low (table 4). A few elements, including aluminum, manganese, iron, and rubidium were above reporting limits. As expected, there were marked differences between the upper reach freshwater samples and lower reach estuarine water samples for the major elements sodium, magnesium, potassium, calcium, and iron, and for the minor elements lithium, aluminum, manganese, zinc, and strontium. Waters from the lower reach contained sodium, magnesium and strontium at concentrations of 860,000, 105,000, and 750 micrograms per liter (µg/L) respectively, all of which are approximately 10 percent their concentration in seawater. Elemental water concentrations were less than the USEPA CCC for chromium, iron, nickel, copper, zinc, arsenic, and selenium (1999). The aluminum CCC of 87 µg/L was exceeded in water samples at all reaches; however, all Hanalei water samples were unfiltered, so the resulting aluminum concentrations may have been due to particulates in the water. Concentrations of copper and molybdenum were higher in one blank than in water samples from upper and lower reaches. Duplicate samples produced similar results with one exception of lead in the upper reach duplicates, which measured 1 µg/L versus 17 µg/L. Because these samples were true field duplicates, the 17 µg/L concentration in one of the duplicate pairs was likely a result of random contamination that occurred during collection and/or processing for analysis.

Biota

Concentrations of OC pesticides and PCBs in the biological tissue samples were extremely low and in most cases below detection (table 5). Heptachlor epoxide, oxychlordane, *cis/trans*-nonachlors, *p,p*'-DDE, *p,p*'-DDD, and dieldrin were detected in the giant mud crabs at the lower reach. Akupa sleeper fish had traces of *cis/trans*-nonachlors and dieldrin. No pesticides were detected in tissue samples from the upper reach, a finding that correlated with the results of the sediment and water analyses, with very low to nondetectable concentrations found. Residues of the OC pesticide toxaphene were also analyzed but were not detected in the biota. The biota samples were not analyzed for PAHs because fish tend to metabolize PAHs and clams are not particularly good accumulators of PAHs, primarily because of their low percentage of lipid content (0.6 percent in this study).

Concentrations of dieldrin and chlordane in the Akupa sleeper fish of the Hanalei River were below the Canadian

Table 2. Concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in surface waters of the Hanalei River, December 2001.

[ng/L, nanogram per liter; <, less than; DDE, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene; DDD, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane; DDT, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane; endrin had low recovery and is not reported]

Organachlarina nastiaida ar	Upper	reach ¹	Lower	reach ²	Quality control	Method	Pagayany
polychlorinated biphenyl	Sample 1 (ng/L)	Sample 2 (ng/L)	Sample 1 (ng/L)	Sample 2 (ng/L)	Trip blank (ng/L)	detection limit (ng/L)	(percent)
Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.24	1	78
Pentachloroanisole (PCA)	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	0.34	2	88
<i>alpha</i> -hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH)	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.01	0.1	90
beta-HCH	< 1	< 1	< 1	<1	0.17	1	91
Lindane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.01	0.5	92
delta-HCH	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.03	0.5	93
Heptachlor	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.02	0.1	81
Heptachlor epoxide	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.15	1	100
Dimethyl tetrachloroter- ephthalate (DCPA)	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.10	1	91
Dieldrin	1.0	1.4	2.0	1.5	0.22	1	97
Oxychlordane	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.28	1	99
cis-Chlordane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.01	0.5	101
trans-Chlordane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.04	0.5	98
cis-Nonachlor	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.01	0.5	93
trans-Nonachlor	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.01	0.1	99
o,p'-DDE	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.01	0.5	87
o,p'-DDD	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	0.52	2	89
o,p'-DDT	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.01	0.1	97
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.11	1	93
<i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDD	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.03	0.2	90
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.04	0.5	96
Endosulfan I	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.10	1	102
Endosulfan II	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.00	0.5	90
Endosulfan sulfate	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.28	1	98
Methoxychlor	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	1.0	3	109
Mirex	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.00	0.1	94
Total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50	30	50	100

¹ Particulate organic carbon was 330 micrograms per liter in the upper reach.

² Particulate organic carbon was 480 micrograms per liter in the lower reach.

Table 3. Concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in surface waters of the Hanalei River, December 2001.

[ng/L, nanogram per liter; < , less than; ND, not determined]

	Upper	reach ¹	Lower	reach ²		Positive control	water sample	Met	hod
	Sample 1 (ng/L)	Sample 2 (ng/L)	Sample 1 (ng/L)	Sample 2 (ng/L)	Trip blank (ng/L)	2-stroke boat motor (ng/L)	4-stroke boat motor (ng/L)	Detection limit (ng/L)	Recovery (percent)
Naphthalene	< 15	< 15	< 15	< 15	4.5	790	1,700	15	103
Alkylated C1-C3 naphthalenes	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	200-5,000	200-5,000	30	ND
Acenaphthylene	< 1	< 1	<1	<1	0.4	260	390	1	76
Acenaphthene	< 1 <	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.5	130	170	1	91
Fluorene	4 >	4	<pre></pre>	< 4	1.5	210	230	4	91
Phenanthrene	< 60	< 60	< 60	< 60	19	180	230	09	113
Anthracene	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	1.1	78	82	3	103
Fluoranthene	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	9.5	68	104	30	105
Pyrene	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	3.2	121	190	10	102
Benz[a]anthracene	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	0.1	8.1	2.3	0.4	66
Chrysene	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	0.6	9.2	2.8	2	101
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	0.8	7.6	2.9	2	98
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	0.1	6.5	0.8	0.4	100
Benzo[a]pyrene	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 0.6	0.2	6.1	2.1	0.6	100
Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.2	4.7	2.0	0.5	76
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	< 1 <	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.4	< 1	< 1	1	109
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	1.0	19	9.3	3	100
¹ Particulate organic carbon was 330 I	micrograms pe	r liter in the upper r	each.						

² Particulate organic carbon was 480 micrograms per liter in the lower reach.



Figure 3. Comparison of gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis of Hanalei River surface water to that of a positive control water sample containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). *A*, Surface water from the lower reach of Hanalei River shows no PAHs detected. *B*, Positive control water sample containing 4-cycle boat motor exhaust shows PAHs detected.

Sediment Quality Guidelines-Probable Effect Level (CSeQG PEL) and New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Wildlife Guidelines (Canadian Council, 1999; Newell and others, 1987). These limits are based on two criteria: (1) At concentrations above the CSeQG PEL, chronic exposure of aquatic biota is expected to result in frequent adverse effects, and (2) the NYSDEC limits are set for protection of fish-eating birds and mammals. The dieldrin, chlordane, and DDE detected in the biota are ubiquitous OC pesticides; they were used in the past to control mosquitoes (DDT) and termites (chlordane and aldrin/dieldrin) (Schmitt and others, 1999). The concentrations of these pesticides in the giant mud crabs and Akupa sleeper fish are below any documented adverse effect levels for fish and wildlife concerns (Peterle, 1997). The relative concentrations of pesticide residues generally followed the relative percent lipids of the Hanalei River Akupa sleeper fish, freshwater Asian clams, and giant mud crabs, at 1.5, 0.6, and 7 percent, respectively.

Generally, differences in element concentrations in Akupa sleeper fish samples from upper and lower reaches were low (table 6). Elemental data for freshwater Asian clams from the upper and lower reaches were similar. Concentrations of mercury, arsenic, selenium, lead, and cadmium were below toxicity levels of concern. Freshwater Asian clams from the upper reach contained about two times higher concentrations of cobalt, vanadium, chromium, nickel, and cadmium. Freshwater Asian clams from the lower reach contained higher arsenic and strontium concentrations indicative of seawater. The giant mud crabs sampled from the lower reach contained elemental concentrations similar to those in the freshwater Asian clams, but with lower concentrations of chromium, cobalt, vanadium, and nickel.

Stream Bed Sediments

Neither OC pesticides nor PCBs were detected in bed sediments sampled from the upper and lower reaches (table 7). Sediments collected from the lower reach contained PAHs, but samples from the upper reach did not (table 8). PAH patterns were similar in the five sub-samples of the lower reach sediment that were analyzed. One sub-sample had concentrations 15 times higher than the other four, an increase that is likely

12 Survey of Chemical Contaminants in the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001

Table 4. Concentrations of elements in surface waters of the Hanalei River, December 2001.

 $[\mu g/L, microgram per liter (same as parts per billion); <, less than; ND, not determined; analysis by inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP/MS) semi-quantitative scan, unless otherwise noted]$

	Upper	reach	Lowe	er reach	Quality	control
Element	Sample 1 (µg/L)	Sample 2 (µg/L)	Sample 1 (µg/L)	Sample 2 (µg/L)	Trip blank 1 (μg/L)	Trip blank 2 (µg/L)
Alumnium (Al)	120	88	280	290	13	9.4
Antimony (Sb)	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.1	0.3	< 0.1	0.1
Arsenic (As) ¹	< 0.24	< 0.24	0.27	< 0.24	< 0.24	< 0.24
Barium (Ba)	1.4	1.4	3.6	3.6	< 1	< 1
Cadmium (Cd)	< 0.1	0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Calcium (Ca)	4,600	4,700	38,000	37,000	< 100	< 100
Chromium (Cr)	< 1	< 1	1.3	1.5	< 1	< 1
Copper (Cu)	3.7	< 1	1.8	1.4	4.8	2.7
Iron (Fe)	100	99	530	660	80	54
Lead (Pb)	< 1	17	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1
Lithium (Li)	< 1	< 1	13	13	< 1	< 1
Magnesium (Mg)	4,600	4,500	110,000	100,000	< 100	< 100
Manganese (Mn)	4.5	4.3	40	41	0.7	0.4
Mercury (Hg)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Nickel (Ni)	< 1	< 1	1.9	2.1	< 1	< 1
Potassium (K)	440	430	32,000	31,000	< 100	< 100
Rubidium (Rb)	0.8	0.4	13	12	0.1	< 0.1
Selenium (Se) ¹	< 0.31	0.42	< 0.31	< 0.31	< 0.31	< 0.31
Silver (Ag)	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Sodium (Na)	6,000	6,000	840,000	880,000	< 100	< 100
Strontium (Sr)	24	24	770	730	< 1	< 1
Thallium (Tl)	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Zinc (Zn)	2.6	17	100	38	< 1	< 1

¹ As and Se determined by flow injection hydride generation atomic absorption spectroscopy.

caused by PAH-rich particles. The relative concentrations of the PAHs in the stream bed sediment sample of the lower reach were evaluated to determine likely sources of the PAHs (Laflamme and Hites, 1978). Using the PAH data, several parameters for pattern evaluation pointed to a pyrogenic/combustion sources (among potential sources are campfire and charcoal pit ashes):

- (1) ratio of fluoranthene to pyrene ratio was about 1,
- (2) benzo[a]pyrene was present,
- (3) ratio of benzo[e]pyrene to benzo[a]pyrene was about 1,
- (4) ratio of phenanthrene to anthracene was > 1.

When comparing concentrations of hydrophobic organic chemicals in samples of the upper and lower reaches, differences in percent organic carbon should be taken into account. The sediments from the upper reach contained very low (0.8 percent) organic carbon, while the sediments from the lower reach had 4.1 percent organic carbon and thus a greater capacity to sorb organic chemicals.

Sediments of the lower reach of the river contained noticeably higher concentrations of lithium, sodium, potassium, calcium, arsenic, and strontium (table 9). As was the case with the river water samples under low-flow conditions, seawater influences the stream bed sediment of the lower reach. The source of elevated arsenic is unknown. Stream bed sediments from the upper reach contained barium at levels about five times higher than that of the lower reach. Concentrations of copper, zinc, cadmium, mercury, and lead (197, 315, 3.53, 0.486, and 91.3 μ g/g, respectively) in all Hanalei sediments were less than the PELs (μ g/g dry weight concentrations) for those elements; whereas, concentrations of chromium and nickel exceeded the PELs of 90 and 36 μ g/g, respectively (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000). Table 5. Concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in Akupa sleeper fish (Eleotris sandwicensis), freshwater Asian clams (Corbicula flumineal, and giant mud crabs (Skylla serrata) of the Hanalei River, December 2001. [ng/g, nanogram per gram; ww, wet weight; *italic type*, percent; --, no data; <, less than; HCH, hexachlorocclohexane; DCPA, dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate; **bold type**, above detection limit; DDE, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane; DDD, dichloroethane; DDT, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane; PCB, polychlorinated biphenyls; endrin had low recovery and is not reported]

Organochlorino	Akina s	leener fish	Freshwater	Asian clams	Giant mud crahs	Ouality	control	Me	thod
besticides or pesticides or biphenyls	Upper reach (ng/g ww)	Lower reach (ng/g ww)	Upper reach (ng/g ww)	Lower reach (ng/g ww)	Lower reach (ng/g ww)	Method blank (ng/g ww)	Matrix blank (ng/g ww)	Detection limit (ng/g ww)	Recovery (percent)
Lipid (percent)	1.5	1.2–1.4	0.5	0.6	7.1–6.8	:	1	1	
Hexachlorobenzene	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3	0.08	0.29	0.05	100
Pentachloroanisole	< 0.32	< 0.32	< 0.32	< 0.32	< 0.32	0.36	0.32	0.32	66
alpha-HCH	< 0.37	< 0.37	< 0.37	< 0.37	< 0.37	0.00	0.49	0.37	122
beta-HCH	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	0.00	< 0.01	0.21	98
Lindane	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	0.06	0.46	0.30	98
delta-HCH	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	0.00	0.18	0.18	100
Heptachlor	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.00	< 0.02	0.10	104
Heptachlor epoxide	< 0.30	< 0.30	<0.30	< 0.30	0.5	0.11	0.38	0.30	121
DCPA	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	0.33	0.61	0.50	61
Dieldrin	< 0.11	5.3-5.5	< 0.11	< 0.11	1.0-1.3	0.15	4.00	0.11	125
Oxychlordane	< 0.07	< 0.07	< 0.07	< 0.07	0.9-1.2	0.11	< 0.03	0.07	104
cis-Chlordane	< 0.20	< 0.20– 0.4	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	0.00	0.46	0.20	116
trans-Chlordane	< 0.13	< 0.13– 0.2	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.13	0.02	0.46	0.13	116
cis-Nonachlor	< 0.12	0.2-0.3	0.2	< 0.12	0.6 - 0.7	0.18	1.62	0.12	122
trans-Nonachlor	< 0.06	< 0.06– 0.7	< 0.06	< 0.06	1.0-1.3	0.08	4.39	0.06	109
o,p'-DDE	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	0.00	0.69	0.20	111
o,p'-DDD	< 0.66	< 0.66	< 0.66	< 0.66	< 0.66	0.02	< 0.01	0.66	107
o,p'-DDT	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.01	0.02	0.05	127
p,p'-DDE	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	3.3–3.4	0.56	3.68	0.50	135
p,p'-DDD	< 0.75	< 0.75	< 0.75	< 0.75	1.2-1.3	0.14	0.26	0.75	127
p,p'-DDT	< 0.70	< 0.70	< 0.70	< 0.70	< 0.70	0.18	0.21	0.70	103
Endosulfan I	< 0.70	< 0.70	< 0.70	< 0.70	< 0.70	0.00	< 0.01	0.70	123
Endosulfan II	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	0.05	< 0.01	0.25	98
Endosulfan sulfate	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.6	0.00	< 0.01	1.60	121
Methoxychlor	< 2.6	< 2.6	< 2.6	< 2.6	< 2.6	3.99	3.95	2.60	133
Mirex	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.1	0.00	< 0.01	0.02	97
Total PCBs	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	100

14 Survey of Chemical Contaminants in the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001

Table 6. Concentrations of elements in Akupa sleeper fish *(Eleotris sandwicensis),* freshwater Asian clams *(Corbicula fluminea),* and giant mud crabs *(Skylla serrata)* of the Hanalei River, December 2001.

[µg/g, micrograms per gram; dw, dry weight; italic type, percent; analysis by inductively coupled plasma with mass spectrometry (ICP/MS) semi-quantitative scan, unless otherwise noted]

	Akupa slo	eeper fish	Freshwater	Asian clams	Giant mud crabs
Element	Upper reach (µg/g dw)	Lower reach (µg/g dw)	Upper reach (µg/g dw)	Lower reach (µg/g dw)	Lower reach (µg/g dw)
Moisture (percent)	75	74	92	91	67
Antimony (Sb)	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Arsenic (As) ¹	0.09	0.41	1.25	6.65	3.49
Cadmium (Cd)	< 0.1	0.17	2.2	0.53	1.1
Chromium (Cr)	4.7	3.8	84	31	1.8
Cobalt (Co)	1	1.2	16	7.2	2.9
Copper (Cu)	4.7	3.1	110	110	120
Gallium (Ga)	0.45	0.35	2.9	1.2	0.12
Lead (Pb)	< 1	< 1	1.2	< 1	< 1
Mercury (Hg) ²	0.18	0.30	0.49	0.64	0.26
Nickel (Ni)	4.9	2.1	52	26	1.3
Selenium (Se) ¹	1.39	1.86	3.94	3.26	2.98
Silver (Ag)	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.15	0.36	0.16
Strontium (Sr)	66	180	24	160	140
Thallium (Tl)	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Tin (Sn)	5.8	2.4	< 0.1	0.65	0.18
Vanadium (V)	3.1	3.3	19	7.7	0.69
Zinc (Zn)	79	65	240	240	170

¹As and Se determined by flow injection hydride generation atomic absorption spectroscopy.

²Hg determined by flow injection cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy.

The PELs indicate concentrations above which harmful effects are likely to be observed for benthic invertebrates (*Hyallela azteca* and *Chironomus tentans* or *riparius*). However, the bioavailability of metals in sediments depends on several factors (Hansen and others, 2005), so harmful effects cannot be assumed from these data.

Comparison of Contaminant Concentrations in the Hanalei River to Rivers on Oahu

In 1998, the USGS National Water Quality Assessment Program (NAWQA) sampled selected streams on the Hawaiian Island of Oahu and found concentrations of chlordane and dieldrin in stream bed sediment and fish tissue to exceed guidelines for the protection of birds that eat fish and sediment-dwelling biota (Brasher and others, 1998). Concentrations in the streams studied in the Oahu sediments and fish were 100–1,000 times higher than those found in the Hanalei River. Concentrations of dieldrin from urban sites on Oahu at Manoa, Nuuanu, and Kaneohe were 50-300 ng/g (dry weight basis) [reported as micrograms per kilogram (µg/kg) in Brasher and others, 1998], well above the 6.7 ng/g CSeQG PEL. Fish-tissue residues of dieldrin from these same sites on Oahu ranged as high as 1,200 ng/g (wet weight basis), exceeding the NYSDEC wildlife guideline of 120 ng/g for fish. Waikele Stream, an agricultural-area stream on Oahu, and a conservation stream (Waihee), had no dieldrin detected in the fish or stream bed sediment. Chlordane concentrations in the urban sediments of Oahu were 10-40 times the CSeQG PEL. In fish collected from urban sites on Oahu, chlordane concentrations ranged 300–1,900 ng/g, thus ranging to levels much higher that the NYSDEC PEL of 500 ng/g. Concentrations of chlordane in stream sediments and fish from agricultural and conservation areas on Oahu were below 5 ng/g. Total DDTrelated contaminants were highest in streams near agricultural

Table 7. Concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in stream bed sediment composites of the Hanalei River, December 2001.

[rep, replicate; ng/g, nanogram per gram¹; dw, dry weight; *italic type*, percent; --, no data; <, less than; HCH, hexachlorocyclohexane; DCPA, dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate; DDE, dichlorodiphenyldichlorotethane; DDT, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane; endrin had low recovery and is not reported]

		Upper reach			Lower reach		Quali	ly control	Met	poq
Organochlorine pesticides or polychorinated biphenyls	Rep A (ng/g dw)	Rep B (ng/g dw)	Rep C (ng/g dw)	Rep A (ng/g dw)	Rep B (ng/g dw)	Rep C (ng/g dw)	Method blank (ng/g dw)	Control method blank (ng/g dw)	Detection limit (ng/g dw)	Recovery (percent)
Organic carbon (percent)	0.8	0.8	0.8	4.1	4.1	4.1	ł	-	1	1
Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	< 0.07	< 0.07	< 0.07	< 0.07	< 0.07	< 0.07	0.08	0.02	0.07	73
Pentachloroanisole (PCA)	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	0.05	0.07	0.21	75
alpha-HCH	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.01	0.03	0.10	19
beta-HCH	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.02	0.01	0.03	82
Lindane	< 0.66	< 0.66	< 0.66	< 0.66	< 0.66	< 0.66	0.75	0.22	0.66	99
delta-HCH	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	69
Heptachlor	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	78
Heptachlor epoxide	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	86
DCPA	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	9
Dieldrin	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	0.09	0.06	0.18	11
Oxychlordane	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.28	0.00	0.03	75
cis-Chlordane	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	0.01	0.02	0.06	73
trans-Chlordane	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	0.10	0.04	0.12	73
cis-Nonachlor	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	0.11	0.05	0.15	78
trans-Nonachlor	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.09	0.03	0.03	0.09	75
o,p'-DDE	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.03	0.00	0.03	11
o,p'-DDD	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	72
o,p'-DDT	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	78
<i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	0.13	0.06	0.18	68
p,p'-DDD	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	72
p,p'-DDT	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	102
Endosulfan I	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	11
Endosulfan II	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	12
Endosulfan sulfate	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	73
Methoxychlor	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.09	0.01	0.03	0.09	75
Mirex	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	85
Total polychlorinated biphenyls	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	< 22	120
¹ Same as micrograms per kilogram.										

Table 8. Concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in stream bed sediment composites of the Hanalei River, December 2001

	_
1	Ð.
•	E.
	<u>,</u>
	2
	Ë
	0
¢	4
	ŝ
	Ē
	đ
	Ξ
	ā
	S
	Ξ
	ŝ
	H
	5
	Ē.
	Ξ
	2
	š
	O
	۶.
٤	8
د	Ħ
	~
	2
	ĕ
	E.
	-
	12
	e)
	Ħ
	S
	ă
	Ē
	E
	ರ
	č
	ö
	ğ
	e
,	÷
	ŏ
	5
	ğ
	G
	ð
	Ĕ
	2
	-
1	ςĵ,
1	7
1	4
	÷
	ਙ
5	日
	~
	20
	×.
,	ĕ
	č
,	, <u>le</u>
	a; <, les
	ata; <, les
	data; <, les
-	o data; <, les
	no data; <, les
-	-, no data; <, les
-	, no data; <, les
-	t;, no data; <, les
-	nt;, no data; <, les
-	cent;, no data; <, les
-	strent;, no data; $<$, les
	percent;, no data; <, les
	percent;, no data; $<$, les
	<i>e</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
-	<i>pe</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
-	type, percent;, no data; $<$, les
-	c type, percent;, no data; <, let
	<i>luc type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	<i>talic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	<i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	t; <i>utalic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	tht; <i>utalic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	ight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	eight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	y weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; $<$, les
	try weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	w, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	n'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	am ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	ram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	er gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	a per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	tm per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>ttaltc type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	ram per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	gram per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	nogram per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	anogram per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	nanogram per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>utalic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, let
	$^{\prime}g$; nanogram per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; $<$, les
	g/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; $<$, les
	ng/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	;; ng/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	ite; ng/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	cate; ng/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	licate; ng/g; nanogram per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	plicate; ng/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	replicate; ng/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	, replicate; ng/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	p, replicate; ng/g; nanogram per gram ¹ ; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les
	rep, replicate; ng/g; nanogram per gram'; dw, dry weight; <i>italic type</i> , percent;, no data; <, les

Polycyclic aromaticRep A (ng/g dw)Rep B (ng/g dw)Rep A (ng/g dw)Organic carbon (percent) 0.8 0.8 $0.4.1$ $< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < $		Upper	reach			Lower reach			Quality control
Organic carbon (percent) 0.8 0.8 4.1 Naphthalene < 5 < 5 ND < 10 < 10 < 10 < 10 < 10 < 10 < 10 < 11 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	Rep A (ng/g dw)	Rep B (ng/g dw)	Rep A (ng/g dw)	Rep B (ng/g dw)	Rep C (ng/g dw)	Rep D (ng/g dw)	Rep E (ng/g dw)	matrix blank (ng/g dw)
Naphthalene < 5 < 5 < 5 ND $<$ Alkylated C1-C3 naphthalenes < 10 < 10 < 10 < 10 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 $<$	ganic carbon (percent)	0.8	0.8	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	1
Alkylated C1-C3 naphthalenes<10<10<10<10<10<10<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11<11	aphthalene	~ 5	< 5	ND	< 5	8	7	8	2
Acenaphthylene <1	kylated C1-C3 naphthalenes	< 10	<10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Acenaphthene <1 <1 <1 <2 Fluorene <1 <1 <1 <10 <1 Phenanthrene <1 <1 <1 <10 <1 Anthracene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Anthracene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Pyrene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Benzolajnthracene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Benzolkjfluoranthene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Benzolkjfluoranthene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Benzolkjfluoranthene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Benzolkjfluoranthene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Benzolkjfluoranthene <td>cenaphthylene</td> <td>< 1</td> <td>< 1</td> <td>< 1 <</td> <td>< 1</td> <td>7</td> <td>< 1 <</td> <td><1</td> <td>< 1</td>	cenaphthylene	< 1	< 1	< 1 <	< 1	7	< 1 <	<1	< 1
Fluorene <1	cenaphthene	< 1	< 1	2	1	2	< 1 <	<1	0
Phenanthrene <1	uorene	< 1	< 1	< 10	< 10	15	< 1 <	<1	0
Anthracene <1	lenanthrene	< 1	< 1 <	ND	ND	190	С	5	4
Fluoranthene <1	nthracene	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	19	< 1 <	<1	< 0
Pyrene <1	uoranthene	< 1	< 1	11	10	132	4	4	9
Benz[a]anthracene <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 Chrysene <1 <1 <1 6 Benzo[b]fluoranthene <1 <1 4 Benzo[k]fluoranthene <1 <1 5 Benzo[a]pyrene <1 <1 5 Indeno[1,2,3-c,d] pyrene <1 <1 4 Dibenz[a,h]anthracene <6 <6 <6 <6	rene	< 1	< 1	6	8	180	3	5	5
Chrysene <1	snz[a]anthracene	< 1	< 1	4	3	65	< 3	< 3	2
Benzo[b]fluoranthene <1 <1 4 Benzo[k]fluoranthene <1 <1 5 Benzo[a]pyrene <1 <1 5 Indeno[1,2,3-c,d] pyrene <1 <1 4 Dibenz[a,h]anthracene <6 <6 <6 <6	ırysene	< 1	< 1	9	5	94	3	3	4
Benzo[k]fluoranthene<1<1<5Benzo[a]pyrene<1	snzo[b]fluoranthene	< 1	< 1	4	4	56	3	2	4
Benzo[a]pyrene<1<15Indeno[1,2,3-c,d] pyrene<1	snzo[k]fluoranthene	< 1	< 1	S	5	63	3	3	С
Indeno[1,2,3-c,d] pyrene <1 <1 4 Dibenz[a,h]anthracene <6 <6 <6 < $B_{arrotoch}$ bibenz[a,h]anthracene <6 <6 <6 <	snzo[a]pyrene	< 1	< 1	5	5	63	2	3	2
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene < 6	deno[1,2,3-c,d] pyrene	< 1	< 1	4	3	27	2	2	33
Banzofa hilnawilana - K - K -	benz[a,h]anthracene	< 6	< 6	< 6	< 6	11	< 6	< 6	1
	enzo[g,h,i]perylene	< 6	< 6	< 6	< 6	30	< 6	< 6	5

¹ Same as micrograms per kilogram.

Table 9. Concentrations of elements in stream bed sediment composites of the Hanalei River, December 2001.

$[N, number of samples; Std dev, standard deviation; \mu g/g, micrograms per gram; dw, dry weight, <, less than;, no data; analys$	es
conducted by inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometry in semi quantitative mode, unless indicated otherwise]]	

	Upper	reach	Lowe	er reach
Element	Mean (N=5) (µg/g dw)	Std dev (µg/g dw)	Mean (N=5) (µg/g dw)	Std dev (µg/g dw)
Antimony (Sb)	< 0.1		< 0.1	
Arsenic (As) ¹	1.2	0.60	12	3
Barium (Ba)	136	11	31	10
Cadmium (Cd)	0.16	0.08	0.15	0.01
Calcium (Ca)	2,440	1,110	65,200	33,200
Chromium (Cr)	364	32	274	18
Cobalt (Co)	54	3	34	2
Copper (Cu)	81	9	59	9
Iron (Fe)	50,800	5,070	43,000	3,800
Lead (Pb)	7.7	4.3	5.2	1.2
Magnesium (Mg)	17,800	17,900	17,200	4,300
Manganese (Mn)	532	336	410	130
Mercury (Hg) ²	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.02
Nickel (Ni)	458	164	290	25
Potassium (K)	138	52	926	307
Selenium (Se) ¹	0.38	0.16	0.62	0.22
Silver (Ag)	< 0.1		< 0.1	
Sodium (Na)	318	203	5,980	2,930
Strontium (Sr)	74	13	1,210	555
Thallium (Tl)	< 0.1		< 0.1	
Tin (Sn)	0.6	0.4	0.32	0.14
Vanadium (V)	91	3	86	8
Zinc (Zn)	124	17	85	9

¹As and Se determined by flow injection hydride generation atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

²Hg determined by flow injection cold vapor atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

areas, in some cases exceeding the 200 ng/g NYSDEC PEL. Residues of chlordanes and dieldrin (aldrin degradant) are likely the result of past use for termite and ant control (prior to the 1988 ban of chlordane use in the United States). Chlordane is quite stable in soil environments and so continues to be transported from treated soils near buildings into the watershed (Orazio and others, 1990; Puri and others, 1991). The National Contaminants Biomonitoring Program of the USGS reported that Chinese catfish sampled from the Waikele Stream in 1986 had 140 ng/g dieldrin, and Manoa Stream fish sampled in 1984 contained 1390 ng/g dieldrin (Schmitt and others, 1999). Concentrations of PAHs in the 2001 Hanalei River stream bed sediments (from < 1 to 190 ng/g) were less than the concentrations found in urban areas of Oahu (U.S. Geological Survey, 2006). Phenanthrene, fluoranthene, chrysene, pyrene, benzo[a]anthracene and benzo[a]pyrene concentrations of 1,400, 1,800, 1,000, 1,600, 770, and 780 ng/g, respectively, were reported in sediments of Kalauao Stream at Moanalua Road. Other streams on Oahu had PAH concentrations ranging from < 50 ng/g to several hundred ng/g. The PAH patterns in Oahu stream bed sediments on Oahu, and the presence of benzo[a]pyrene are indicative of pyrogenic/combustion sources.

18 Survey of Chemical Contaminants in the Hanalei River, Kaua'i, Hawai'i, 2001

Concentrations of arsenic, selenium, mercury and other toxic metals in the Hanalei River stream bed sediments (table 9) were indicative of natural concentrations in fresh and estuarine waters of Hawai'i. Trace element concentrations in Hanalei River stream bed sediments were similar to or less than stream bed sediments from selected streams on the Island of Oahu (from 1998 to 2000) (Brasher and others, 2000). Reported mercury concentrations on Oahu ranged 0.06–0.2 μ g/g (dry weight), and were indistinguishable from the Hanalei River stream bed sediments at the upper and lower reaches, which ranged 0.025–0.09 μ g/g (dry weight). Concentrations of arsenic and selenium in the Hanalei River were also similar to concentrations in stream bed sediments on Oahu.

Summary

This study measured certain persistent organic chemicals and elements in samples collected from the Hanalei River during a relatively low-flow period in December of 2001. Akupa sleeper fish, freshwater Asian clams, giant mud crabs, surface waters, and stream bed sediments were collected from a lower, estuarine reach near Hanalei Bay and from a freshwater reach at the HNWR. Very low or non-detectable concentrations of OC pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, alkylated PAHs, aliphatic hydrocarbons, mercury, arsenic, selenium, cadmium, and lead were found. Concentrations of these organic compounds and elements were below probable adverse effects screening levels set by USEPA for aquatic organisms. The chemicals targeted by this study are a subset of the myriad of potential contaminants associated with urban and agricultural activities. Inclusion of additional chemicals such as those associated with wastewater treatment effluents, right-of-way and golf course maintenance, and chemicals of emerging concern would provide a more complete description of the occurrence of pollutant chemicals. Periodic monitoring of the Hanalei River for chemical contaminants would provide valuable information for managing this American Heritage River.

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff of the Kilauea Point Natural History Association and the Hanalei Watershed Hui for their support of USGS biological resources research. Mahalo (thank you) to Hui Executive Director Makaala Kaaumoana. Thanks also to State Fisheries Biologist, Don Heacock, and his team for their time and effort in the collection of samples. We appreciate the contributions from the team of environmental chemists at USGS: Ray Wiedmeyer and Michael Walther for trace elements analysis and Kevin Feltz, George Tegerdine, and Paul Peterman for the organic chemical contaminant analyses.

References Cited

- Brasher, A.M., and Anthony, S.S., 2000, Occurrence of organochlorine pesticides in stream bed sediment and fish from selected streams on the Island of Oahu, Hawai'i, 1998: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 140–00.
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1999, Canadian sediment quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life, summary tables, 1999: Winnipeg, Can., Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, accessed August 2002 at URL http://www.ec.gc.ca/ceqg-rcqe.
- Calhoun, R.S., Fletcher, C.H., and Harney, J.N., 2002, A budget of marine and terrigenous sediments, Hanalei Bay, Kaua'i, Hawaiian Islands: Sedimentary Geology, v. 150, n. 1, p. 61–87.
- Hansen, D., Ditoro, D.M., Berry, W.J., Boothman, W.S., Burgess, R.M., Ankley, G.T., Mount, D.R., Mcgrath, J.A., Derosa, L.D., Bell, H.E., Reiley, M.C., and Zarba, C.S., 2005, Procedures for deriving equilibrium partitioning sediment benchmarks (ESBs) for the protection of benthic organisms—metals mixtures (cadmium, copper, lead, nickel, silver, and zinc): Washington, D.C., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/600/R-02/011 (NTIS PB2006-102425).
- Keith, L.H., 1991, Environmental sampling and analysis a practical guide: Boca Raton, Fla., Lewis Publishers, p. 93–119.
- LaFlamme, R.E., and Hites, R.A., 1978, The global distribution of PAH in recent sediments: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 42, p. 289–303.
- Lebo, J.A., Zajicek, J.A., Orazio, C.E., Petty, J.D., and Huckins, J.N., 1996, Use of semipermeable membrane devices (SPMDs) to sample polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon pollution in a lotic system: Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds, v. 8, p. 53–65.
- Lyman, W.J., Reehl, W.F., and Rosenblatt, D.H., 1990, Handbook of chemical property estimation methods: Washington, D.C., American Chemical Society, Chapter 4, 5, p. 1–30.
- Neff, J.M., 1979, Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the aquatic environment—sources, fate, and biological effects: London, Applied Science Publishers Ltd, p. 7–33.
- Newell, A.J., Johnson, D.W., and Allen, L.K., 1987, Niagra River biota contamination project—fish flesh criteria for piscivorous wildlife, *in* Bureau of Environmental Protection Technical Report #87-3: New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Fish and Wildlife, p. 182.

Orazio, C., Kapila, S., Meadows, J., Puri, R., and Yanders, A., 1990, Field and laboratory studies on sources and persistence of chlordane contamination in the Missouri aquatic environment: Chemosphere, v. 20, no. 11, p. 1581–1588.

Peterle, T.J., 1997, Wildlife toxicology: New York, Van Norstrand Reinhold, p. 133–187.

- Petty, J.D., Orazio, C.E., Huckins, J.N., Gale, R.W., Lebo, J.A., Meadows, J.C., Echols, K.R., and Cranor, W.L., 2000, Considerations involved with the use of semipermeable membrane devices for monitoring environmental contaminants: Journal of Chromatography, v. 879, p. 83–95.
- Puri, R., Orazio, C., Kapila, C., Clevenger, T., Yanders, A., McGrath, K., Buchanan, A., Czarnezki, J., and Bush, J., 1990, Studies on the transport and fate of chlordane in the environment—long range transport of pesticides: Boca Raton, Fla., CRC Press, Inc., p. 271–289.
- Schmitt, C.J., Ludke, J.L., and Walsh, D.F., 1981, Organochlorine residues in fish–national pesticide monitoring program, 1970–1974: Journal of Pesticide Monitoring, no. 4, p. 136–206.
- Schmitt, C.J., Zajicek, J.L., and Peterman, P.H., 1990,
 National contaminant biomonitoring program—residues of organochlorine chemicals in freshwater fish, 1976–1984:
 Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, v. 19, no. 5, p. 748–781.
- Schmitt, C.J., Zajicek, J.L., May, T.W., and Cowman, D.F. 1999, Organochlorine residues and elemental contaminants in U.S. freshwater fish, 1976–1986, National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program: Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, v. 162, p. 43–104.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture/Syracuse Environmental Research Associates, Inc., 1999, Selected commercial formulations of glyphosate—Accord, Rodeo, Roundup and Roundup Pro—risk assessment final report prepared for the USDA, Forest Service; SERA TR 96-22-02-01C: Syracuse, N.Y., Syracuse Research Corporation, accessed January 2003 at URL http://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/pesticide.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1999, National recommended water quality criteria–correction: Washington, D.C.,
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water,
 EPA 822-Z-99-001, p. 60664–60665.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000, Prediction of sediment toxicity using consensus-based freshwater sediment quality guidelines: Chicago, Ill., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, GLNPO, EPA 905/R-00/007.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 2006, National Assessment of Water Quality (NAWQA): U.S. Geological Survey, accessed December 2006 at URL http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/constituents.

- U.S. Geological Survey, 2007, USGS surface-water daily statistics for Hawai'i: U.S. Geological Survey, accessed April 2007 at URL http://waterdata.usgs.gov/hi/nwis.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2000, A study on the effects of golf course organophosphates and carbamate pesticides on endangered cave-dwelling arthropods, Kaua'i, Hawai'i: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, accessed December 2006 at URL http://www.fws.gov/pacific/ecoservices/enicon/pim/ reports/Hawaii/Golfcaves.html.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2006, Pacific Islands—Hanalei National Wildlife Refuge: Kilauea, Hawai'i, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, accessed December 2006 at URL http:// www.fws.gov/pacificislands/wnwr/khanaleinwr.html.
- Wang, N., Besser, J.M., Buckler, D.R., Honegger, J.L., Ingersoll, C.G., Johnson, B.T., Kurtzweil, M.L., MacGregor, J., and McKee, M.J., 2005, Influence of sediment on the fate and toxicity of a polyethoxylated tallowamine surfactant system in aquatic microcosms: Chemosphere, v. 59, no. 4, p. 545–551.

Appendices

Appendix 1. Persistent organic chemicals.

Organic chemical class	Chemical name(s)	Principal uses and sources to aquatic ecosystems
Aldrin	1,2,3,4,10,10-hexachloro-1,4,4a,5,8,8a-hexahy- dro-1,4:5,8-dimethanonaphthalene	Insecticide
cis-Chlordane	1,2,4,5,6,7,8,8-octachloro-2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hexahy- dro-4,7-methano-1H-indene (1-α,2-α,3a-α,4- β,7-β,7a-α)	Insecticide; technical chlordane constituent
trans-Chlordane	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,8-nonachloro-2,3,3a,4,7,7a- hexa- hydro-4,7-methano-1H-indene(1- α ,2- β ,3- α , 3a- α ,4- β ,7- β ,7a- α)	Technical chlordane constituent
<i>o,p</i> '-Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane (DDD)	2-(<i>o</i> -chlorophenyl)-2-(<i>p</i> -chlorophenyl)-1,1-di- chloroethane	<i>o,p'</i> -DDT metabolite
<i>o,p</i> '-Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE)	2-(<i>o</i> -chlorophenyl)-2-(<i>p</i> -chlorophenyl)-1,1-di- chloroethylene	<i>o,p'</i> -DDT metabolite
<i>o,p</i> '-Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT)	2-(<i>o</i> -chlorophenyl)-2-(<i>p</i> -chlorophenyl)-1,1,1-tri- chloroethane	<i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDT impurity
<i>p,p'</i> -DDD	2,2-bis (p-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dichloroethane	Insecticide; DDT-metabolite
<i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE	2,2-bis (p-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dichloroethylene	DDT-metabolite
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	2,2-bis (p-chlorophenyl)-1,1,1-trichloroethane	Insecticide
Dieldrin	1,2,3,4,10,10-hexachloro-6,7-epoxy-1,4,4a,5,8,8a- hexahydro-1,4-endnnno-exo-5,8-dimethanon- aphthalene	Insecticide; aldrin metabolite
Dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate (DCPA)	dimethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrachlorobenzene-1,4-dicar- boxylic acid	Herbicide; may contain dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD) and HCB as impurities
Endrin	1,2,3,4,10,10-hexachloro-6,7-epoxy- 1,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-octahdyro-1,4- endo-endo- 5,8-dimethanonaphthalene	Insecticide; isodrin metabolite
Endosulfan I (a-Endosulfan)	6,9-methano-2,4,3-benzodioxathie- pin,6,7,8,9,10,10-hexachloro-1,5,5a,6,9,9a	Pesticide
Endosulfan II (b-Endosulfan)	6,7,9,10,10-hexachloro-1,5,5a,6,9,9a-hexahydro- 6,9-methano-2,4,3-benzodiozathiepin-3-oxide, (3a, 5aa, 6b, 9b, 9aa)	Pesticide
Endosulfan sulfate	6,7,8,9,10,10-hexachloro-1,5,5a,6,9,9a-hexahy- dro-6,9-methano,2,4,3-benodiatiepin 3,3-diox- ide	Endosulfan byproduct
Heptachlor	1H-1,4,5,6,7,8-8-heptachloro-3a,4,7,7a-tetrahy- dro-4,7-methanoindene	Insecticide
Heptachlor epoxide	1,4,5,6,7,8,8-heptachloro-2,3-epoxy-3a,4,7,7a-tet- rahydro-4,7-methano-1H-indene	Heptachlor metabolite; technical chlordane constituent/metabolite
Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	Perchlorobenzene	Fungicide; industrial intermediate
alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH)	1,2,3,4,5,6-hexachlorocyclohexane	Constituent of insecticide mixture containing various HCH isomers; also known as a-benzene beyachlo.

ride (BHC)

Organic chemical class	Chemical name(s)	Principal uses and sources to aquatic ecosystems
beta-Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH)	1,2,3,4,5,6-hexachlorocyclohexane	Technical HCH (BHC) constituent
delta-Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH)	1,2,3,4,5,6-hexachlorocyclohexane	Technical HCH (BHC) constituent
gamma-Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) (Lindane)	1,2,3,4,5,6-hexachlorocyclohexane	Insecticide; technical HCH (BHC) constituent
Methoxychlor	1,1'-(2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)-bis[4-methoxy- benzene]	Insecticide
Mirex	1,1a,2,2,3,3a,4,5,5,5a,5b,6-dodecachloro- octahy- dro-1,3,4-metheno-1H-cyclobuta(cd)pentalene	Insecticide; fire retardant
<i>cis</i> -Nonachlor	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,8-nonachloro-2,3,3a,4,7,7a- hexa- hydro-4,7-methano-1H-indene (1-α,2-α, 3-α, 3a-α, 4-β, 7-β, 7a-α)	Technical chlordane constituent
trans-Nonachlor	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,8-nonachloro-2,3,3a,4,7,7a- hexa- hydro-4,7-methano-1H-indene(1-α,2-β,3-α, 3a-α,4-β,7-β,7a-α)	Technical chlordane constituent
Oxychlordane	2,3,4,5,6,6a,7,7-octachloro-1a,1b,5,5a,6, 6a-hexa- hydro-2,5-methano-2H-indeno(1,2-b)oxirene (1a-α,1b-β,2-α,5-α,5a-β,6-β,6a-α)	<i>cis</i> -Chlordane metabolite
Pentachloroanisole	Chlorinated benzene	Metabolite of pentachlorophenol
Pentachlorobenzene	Chlorinated benzene	Fungicide; fire retardant
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	Mixture containing as many as 209 mono- through nona-chloro-substituted biphenyl congeners.	Dielectric, hydraulic, and transformer fluids; lubricants; extenders; de- dusting agents; carbonless copy paper
Toxaphene	Chlorinated camphene mixture averaging 62% chlorine by weight	Insecticide; herbicide

Appendix 1. Persistent organic chemicals. —Continued

Appendix 2. Elements.

Analyte	Atomic symbol	Principal uses and sources to aquatic ecosystems
Arsenic	As	Industrial sources; herbicides; defoliants
Cadmium	Cd	Mining, smelting and other industrial sources; urban runoff; sewage discharges
Chromium	Cr	Mining, tanning, and other refractory and chemical industrial sources
Copper	Cu	Mining, smelting and other industrial sources
Lead	Pb	Mining, smelting and other industrial sources; urban runoff; atmospheric pollution; fishing sinkers; lead shot
Mercury	Hg	Herbicides; fungicides; pulp, paper, and textile effluents; open-cycle chloralkali cells; land- fills; mining; atmospheric pollution
Nickel	Ni	Mining, smelting, and other industrial sources
Selenium	Se	Coal-fired powerplants; irrigation return flows
Zinc	Zn	Mining, smelting and other industrial sources; urban runoff

For more information concerning the research described in this report, contact:

U.S. Geological Survey Columbia Environmental Research Center 4200 New Haven Road Columbia, Mo 65201 (573) 875-5399 http://www.cerc.usgs.gov

