

Pogo Gold Mine Project
Summary of Changes Between DEIS and FEIS

September 19, 2003

Executive Summary Changes

Page No.	Section No.	Section Title	Comment
S-16 to S-17	S.12.1	Identification of the Environmentally Preferable and Preferred Alternatives/Options Common to All Alternatives/Gravel Source	This discussion has been redrafted to better explain how the Environmentally Preferable Alternative and the Preferred Alternative were determined.
S-17 to S-19	S.12.2	Identification of the Environmentally Preferable and Preferred Alternatives/Options Specific to Alternatives, But Not Related to Surface Access/Tailings Facility Liner	This discussion has been redrafted to better explain how the Environmentally Preferable Alternative and the Preferred Alternative were determined.
S-22 to S-24	S.12.3	Identification of the Environmentally Preferable and Preferred Alternatives/Options Specific to Alternatives, and Related to Surface Access/Surface Access/Use and Disposition	The discussions of these two subcomponents have been redrafted to reflect comments that the access road should be closed to the public during mine operations, and the road reclaimed past Gilles Creek.
S-24 to S-25	“	Identification of the Environmentally Preferable and Preferred Alternatives/Options Specific to Alternatives, and Related to Surface Access/Power Line Route/South Ridge Route	A second ¶ has been added that discusses the new, post-DEIS option for the power line reroute out of Sutton Creek to the road corridor over the Shaw Creek/Goodpaster River divide.
S-28	S.13	Presentation of the Environmentally Preferable and Preferred Alternative/Table S-7	The table has been revised to reflect the change of use of the Shaw Creek Hillside road only by the Pogo project during mine operations, with the security gate at the end of the existing Shaw Creek Road, as being the option newly selected for the Preferred Alternative.

Body of FEIS Changes

FEIS Page No.	FEIS Section No.	Section/Subsection/Heading Title	Comment
1-11	1.7	Draft EIS Public Comment and Responses	New short section added describing public comment period, number of comments received, and where they and responses appear in FEIS.
2-12	2.3.3	Access/Surface Access	Last three ¶s on page have been added describing construction of the Goodpaster River Bridge near the mine site.
2-17	2.3.6	Tailing Disposal/Dry-Stack Tailings Storage	New last ¶ in section added to describe how dust from the tailings pile would be managed.
2-17 to 2-18	2.3.8	Development Rock Storage	The entire section has been redrafted and expanded.
2-34	2.3.18	Explosives Handling and Controlled Firing Area	“Controlled Firing Area” has been added to subsection heading, and a second ¶ (on page 2-35) has been added describing the controlled firing area.
2-37 to 2-39	2.3.24	Surface Disturbance	This section has been entirely redrafted. An explanation has been added describing why disturbance acreages do not change in the FEIS although the expected project’s disturbance acreage has. Table 2.3-6 has been expanded to include disturbance acreages for the Preferred Alternative that likely would be constructed.
2-46 to 2-47	2.3.32	Changes Following DEIS Comments	New section added that describes two changes to the likely project based on DEIS comments (tailings dry-stack erosion control/drainage blanket, and power line reroute out of Sutton Creek).
3-12	3.5.4	Surface Hydrology/Site Meteorology	New 2-sentence ¶ (top of page) added regarding site evaporation data.
3-12 to 3-14	3.5.5	Surface Hydrology/Vessel Navigation	New 3-¶ section added that describes existing navigational uses of the Goodpaster River, especially in the vicinity of the proposed Goodpaster River bridge near the mine site.
4-9 to 4-10	4.1.2	Surface Hydrology/Options Common to All Alternatives	A new 5-¶ discussion has been added that describes potential impacts to vessel navigation on the Goodpaster River.

FEIS Page No.	FEIS Section No.	Section/Subsection/Heading Title	Comment
4-12 to 4-13	4.1.3	Surface Hydrology/Options Not Related to Surface Access/Alternative 4/Water Discharge/Off-River Treatment Works	The last ¶ in this subsection has been redrafted to clarify the RTP overtopping issue for this discharge option.
4-31 to 4-36	4.3.2	Water Quality/Options Common to All Alternatives/Development rock Disposal	The entire development rock disposal discussion has been redrafted and substantially expanded.
4-41 to 4-52	4.3.3	Water Quality/Options Not Related to Surface Access/Alternatives 2, 3 and 4/Water Discharge/Development <u>and</u> Operations Phases	The 12 pages of discussions of water discharge for both phases of all three action alternatives have been redrafted to address the large majority of water quality-related comments. Changes include those regarding how the newly adopted state water quality regulations affected the DEIS discussions.
4-66 to 4-70	4.5.4	Noise/Options Related to Surface Access/Alternative 2/Surface Access/Route	The DEIS modeling that predicted noise levels at residences adjacent to Shaw Creek Road was incorrectly based on a speed limit of 35 mph on Shaw Creek Road. The model has been rerun using the correct speed limit of 25 mph, and the associated text has been changed accordingly.
4-84	4.6.4	Wetlands/Options Related to Surface Access/Alternative 2/Power Supply/Power Line Route/Sutton Creek	A new 3-¶ discussion has been added to address the new, post-DEIS option of moving the power line out of Sutton Creek to follow the road alignment over the Shaw Creek/Goodpaster divide.
4-109	4.9.3	Wildlife/Options Not Related to Surface Access/Alternative 4/Water Discharge/Off-River Treatment Works/Habitat	This 2-¶ discussion has been redrafted.
4-114	4.9.4	Wildlife/Options Related to Surface Access/Alternative 2/Power Supply/Power Line/Route	A new discussion has been added to address the new, post-DEIS option of moving the power line out of Sutton Creek to follow the road alignment over the Shaw Creek/Goodpaster divide.
4-181 to 4-182	4.18.2	Technical and Economic Feasibility/Options Common to All Alternatives/Gravel Source	This entire discussion has been redrafted to more clearly explain why crushing development rock for gravel is not feasible and practicable.

FEIS Page No.	FEIS Section No.	Section/Subsection/Heading Title	Comment
4-182 to 4-183	4.18.3	Technical and Economic Feasibility/Options Not Related to Surface Access/Tailings Facility Liner/Dry-stack tailings pile and RTP	The discussions for both facilities have been redrafted to more clearly explain the technical and economic impacts of lining these facilities.
5-30 to 5-32	5.2.1	Identification of the Environmentally Preferable Alternative and Preferred Alternative/Options Common to All Alternatives/Gravel Source	This discussion has been redrafted to better explain how the Environmentally Preferable Alternative and the Preferred Alternative were determined.
5-32 to 5-34	5.2.2	Identification of the Environmentally Preferable Alternative and Preferred Alternative/Options Specific to Alternatives, but Not Surface Related/Tailings Facility Liner	This discussion has been redrafted to better explain how the Environmentally Preferable Alternative and the Preferred Alternative were determined.
5-38 to 5-40	5.2.3	Surface-Access Related Options Specific to Alternatives/Surface Access/Use and Disposition	The discussions of these two subcomponents have been redrafted to reflect comments that the access road should be closed to the public during mine operations, and the road reclaimed past Gilles Creek.
5-40	5.2.3	Surface-Access Related Options Specific to Alternatives/Power Line Route	The second ¶ of this discussion has been added to discuss the new, post-DEIS option for the power line reroute out of Sutton Creek to the road corridor over the Shaw Creek/Goodpaster River divide.
5-44	5.3	Presentation of the Environmentally Preferable and Preferred Alternative/Table 5.3-3	The table has been revised to reflect the change of use of the Shaw Creek Hillside road only by the Pogo project during mine operations, with the security gate at the end of the existing Shaw Creek Road, as being the option newly selected for the Preferred Alternative.
7-16	7.13	Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments Executive Order/Table 7.13-1	The last entries in the table have been revised to update consultation and coordination activities.
7-21 to 7-22	7.13	Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments Executive Order/Issues raised following DEIS publication	This new subsection has been added to describe the issues and questions raised by the Tribes during the post-DEIS government-to-government process.