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## Enrollment in Early Childhood Education Programs

TableS1-1 Standard errors for the percentage of children ages 3- 5 who were enrolled in center-based early childhood care and education programs, by child and family characteristics: Selected years 1991-2001

| Characteristic | 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1996 | 1999 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Female | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Black | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| Hispanic | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| Poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below poverty | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| At or above poverty | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Poverty status and race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Black | 3.6 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| Hispanic | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 6.5 |
| At or above poverty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Black | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| Hispanic | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| One or no parent | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| High school diploma or equivalent | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Some college, including vocational/technical | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Mother's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worked 35 hours or more per week | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Worked less than 35 hours per week | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Looking for work | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 5.2 |
| Not in labor force | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Household Education Surveys Program (NHES), "Parent Interview" survey, various years.

## Past and Projected Elementary and Secondary School Enrollments

| TableS2-3 | Standard errors forthe private elementary and secondary school enrollment (in thousands), by grade level and region: School years 1989-90 through 1999-2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School year | Total | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
|  | Grades K-12 |  |  |  |  |
| 1989-90 | 38 | 37 | 15 | 23 | 12 |
| 1991-92 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1993-94 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 6 |
| 1995-96 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 9 |
| 1997-98 | 13 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| 1999-2000 | 25 | 6 | 8 | 23 | 4 |
| Grades K-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989-90 | 34 | 34 | 12 | 21 | 9 |
| 1991-92 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1993-94 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 4 |
| 1995-96 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1997-98 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 3 |
| 1999-2000 | 18 | 5 |  | 16 | 3 |
| (9ades 9-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989-90 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| 1991-92 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1993-94 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 1995-96 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1997-98 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 1999-2000 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| - Not available. |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Depa | tment of Education, NCES. Private School | ous years. |  |  |  |

## Racial/Ethnic Distribution of Public School Students

TableS3-1 Standard errors for the percentage distribution of public school students enrolled in grades $K$ - 12 who were minorities: October 1972-2000

| October | White | Minority enrollment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Black | Hispanic | Other |
| 1972 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1973 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1974 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1975 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1976 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1977 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1978 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1979 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1980 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1981 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 1982 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1983 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1984 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1985 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1986 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1987 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1988 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1989 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1990 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1991 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1992 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1993 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1994 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 1995 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 1996 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1997 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1998 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1999 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 2000 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. October Current Population Surveys, 1972-2000.

## Racial/Ethnic Distribution of Public School Students

| TableS3-2 | Standard errors for the percentage distribution of public school students enrolled in grades $K-12$ who were minorities, by region: October 1972-2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October |  | Minority enrollment |  |  |  | White | Minority enrollment |  |  |  |
|  | White | Total | Black | Hispanic | Other |  | Total | Black | Hispanic | Other |
|  |  |  | Northeast |  |  |  |  | Midwest |  |  |
| 1972 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1973 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1974 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1975 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1976 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1977 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1978 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 1979 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1980 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 1981 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1982 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1983 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1984 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1985 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1986 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1987 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1988 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 1989 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 1990 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1991 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1992 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1993 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1994 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1995 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1996 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1997 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1998 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 1999 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 2000 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

[^0]
## Racial/Ethnic Distribution of Public School Students

TableS3-2 Standard errors for the percentage distribution of public school students enrolled in grades K-12 who were minorities, by region: October 1972-2000- Continued

| October | White | Minority enrollment |  |  |  | White | Minority enrollment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Black | Hispanic | Other |  | Total | Black | Hispanic | Other |
|  | South |  |  |  |  | West |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 1973 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 1974 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 1975 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1976 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 1977 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1978 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1979 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| 1980 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| 1981 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1982 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 1983 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 1984 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 1985 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 1986 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 1987 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 1988 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| 1989 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| 1990 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 1991 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 1992 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 1993 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 1994 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1995 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 1996 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1997 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1998 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1999 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 2000 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. October Current Population Surveys, 1972-2000.

## Poverty Among School-Aged Children

Table S4-1 Standard errors for the poverty rates of related children ages 5-17, by region: 1990-2001

|  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Total | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| 1990 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 1991 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 1992 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  |
| 1993 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 1994 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 1995 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 1996 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 1997 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 1998 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 1999 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 2000 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1990- 2001.

## Reading Performance of Students in Grade 4

TableS7-1 Standarderrorsforthe average reading scale score and percentage of $4^{\text {th }}$-grade studentsat orabove each reading achievementlevel, by sex: 1992, 1994,1998, and2000

| Sex and achievement level | 1992 | 1994 | 1998 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score |  |  |  |
| All $4^{\text {th }}$-graders | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Male | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Female | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
|  |  | Perc | level |  |
| All 4th-graders |  |  |  |  |
| Below Basic | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| At or above Basic | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| At or above Proficient | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| At Advanced | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| Below Basic | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| At or above Basic | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| At or above Proficient | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| At Advanced | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| Below Basic | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| At or above Basic | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| At or above Proficient | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| At Advanced | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). The Nation's Report Card: Fourth-Grade Reading 2000 (NCES 2001-499).

## Reading Performance of Students in Grade 4

Table S7-2 Standard errorsfor the average reading scale score for 4th-graders, by selected student,family, and school characteristics: 2000

| School and student characteristics | Average scale score |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.8 |
| Sex |  |
| Male | 1.1 |
| Female | 0.9 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |
| White | 1.0 |
| Black | 1.7 |
| Hispanic | 1.7 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 4.6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 4.7 |
| Number of pages per day read in school and for homework |  |
| 5 or fewer | 2.1 |
| 6-10 | 1.5 |
| 11 or more | 0.9 |
| How often discuss studies at home |  |
| Almost every day | 1.1 |
| Once or twice a week | 1.2 |
| Once or twice a month | 3.5 |
| Never/hardly ever | 1.7 |
| Control |  |
| Public | 0.9 |
| Private | 1.7 |
| Type of location |  |
| Central city | 1.6 |
| Urban fringe/large town | 1.8 |
| Rural/small town | 1.8 |
| Enrollment |  |
| Less than 300 | 2.3 |
| 300-999 | 1.0 |
| 1,000 or more | 4.7 |
| Percentage of students in the school eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |
| 0-10 | 1.6 |
| 11-25 | 2.0 |
| 26-50 | 1.8 |
| 51-74 | 2.4 |
| 76-100 | 2.1 |

[^1] by the Educational Testing Service.

# Trends in the Achievement Gap in Reading Between White and Black Students 

Table S8-1 Standard errorsfor the trends in the Black-White gap in average reading scale scores, by age: 1971-99

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| 13 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| 17 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2000). NAEP 1999 Trends in Academic Progress: Three Decades of Student Performance (NCES 2000-469) and National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1999 Long-Term Trend Assessment, unpublished data produced by the Educational Testing Service.

TableS8-2
Standard errorsfor the trendsin average reading scale scores, by race, age, and score quartile: 1971-99


[^2]
## International Comparisons of Reading Literacy

## Table 59-1 Standard errorsfor thecombined reading literacy average scores, standard deviation, and average subscale scores of 15-year-olds, by country: 2000

| Country | Combined reading literacy score | Standard deviation | Reading subscales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Retrieving information | Interpreting texts | Reflecting on texts |
| International average | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Australia | 3.5 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Austria | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Belgium | 3.6 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
| Brazil | 3.1 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Canada | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Czech Republic | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| Denmark | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| Finland | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| France | 2.7 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Germany | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| Greece | 5.0 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 5.6 |
| Hungary | 4.0 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.3 |
| Iceland | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Ireland | 3.2 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Italy | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| Japan | 5.2 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.4 |
| Korea, Republic of | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Latvia | 5.3 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 5.9 |
| Liechtenstein | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 5.7 |
| Luxembourg | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Mexico | 3.3 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 3.7 |
| New Zealand | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Norway | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| Poland | 4.5 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| Portugal | 4.5 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| Russian Federation | 4.2 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Spain | 2.7 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Sweden | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| Switzerland | 4.2 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.8 |
| United Kingdom | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| United States | 7.0 | 2.7 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). Outcomes of Learning: Results from the 2000 Program for International Student Assessment of 15 -Year-Olds in Reading, Mathematics, and Science Literacy (NCES 2002-115).

## International Comparisons of Reading Literacy

Table S9-2 Standard errors for the relationship between socioeconomicstatus and combined reading literacy average score and combined reading literacy average score, by sex and parents' education, by country: 2000

| Country | Socioeconomic status | Sex |  |  | Parents' education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average score increase with a onepoint increase on the ISEI index | Female | Male | Difference | Less than high school | High school diploma or equivalent | Bachelor's degree or higher | Difference |
| International average | (\#) | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Australia | 0.1 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 5.7 |
| Austria | 0.1 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 4.3 |
| Belgium | 0.1 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 6.2 |
| Brazil | 0.1 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 6.8 |
| Canada | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 3.2 |
| Czech Republic | 0.1 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 5.8 |
| Denmark | 0.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 4.8 |
| Finland | 0.1 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.6 |
| France | 0.1 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 4.5 |
| Germany | 0.1 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 6.0 |
| Greece | 0.2 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 8.5 |
| Hungary | 0.1 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 7.0 |
| Iceland | 0.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.5 |
| Ireland | 0.1 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 5.7 |
| Italy | 0.1 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| Japan | 0.2 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 6.4 | - | - | - | - |
| Korea, Republic of | 0.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| Latvia | 0.1 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 10.7 |
| Liechtenstein | 0.3 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 11.5 | 6.5 | (\#) | 8.7 | 10.9 |
| Luxembourg | 0.1 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| Mexico | 0.1 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 7.1 |
| New Zealand | 0.1 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 5.2 |
| Norway | 0.1 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 5.2 |
| Poland | 0.2 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 7.2 | 8.7 |
| Portugal | 0.1 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 8.0 |
| Russian Federation | 0.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 8.6 |
| Spain | 0.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 4.1 |
| Sweden | 0.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 4.4 |
| Switzerland | 0.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 6.6 |
| United Kingdom | 0.1 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 3.1 | 4.3 |
| United States | 0.2 | 6.2 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 9.6 |

- Not available.
\#roo small to report.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). Outcomes of Learning: Results from the 2000 Program for International Student Assessment of 15 -Year-Olds in Reading, Mathematics, and Science Literacy (NCES 2002-115).


## Mathematics Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

## TableS10-1 Standard errors for the average mathematicsscale score and percentage of studentsat or above each mathematics achievement level, by grade:

 1990, 1992, 1996, and 2000| Grade and achievement level | 1990 | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Grade 8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Grade 12 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
|  | Percentage at achievement level |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Below Basic | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| At or above Basic | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| At or above Proficient | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| At Advanced | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Below Basic | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| At or above Basic | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| At or above Proficient | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| At Advanced | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Below Basic | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| At or above Basic | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| At or above Proficient | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| At Advanced | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2000 (NCES 2001- 517).

## Mathematics Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

TableS10-2 Standard errorsfortheaverage mathematics scalescore for $4^{\mathrm{Ht}}, 8^{\mathrm{th}}$, and $12^{\text {th}}$-graders , by selected student and school characteristics: 2000

| Student and school characteristics | Average scale score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Total | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Female | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Black | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Hispanic | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | ( $\dagger$ ) | 3.4 | 2.8 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 2.1 | 8.3 | 4.4 |
| Parents' education |  |  |  |
| High school diploma or less | - | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | - | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Current mathematics class in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |  |  |
| Group 1 | - | 0.9 | - |
| Group 2 | - | 1.1 | - |
| Mathematics courses taken by $12^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |  |  |
| Low-level | - | - | 1.4 |
| Middle-level | - | - | 0.9 |
| High-level | - | - | 1.0 |
| Control |  |  |  |
| Public | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Private | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Type of location |  |  |  |
| Central city | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Urban fringe/large town | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Rural/small town | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Enrollment |  |  |  |
| Less than 300 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
| 300-999 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| 1,000 or more | 3.8 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| Percentage of students in the school eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| 11-25 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 26-50 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| 51-75 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 76-100 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| - Not available. <br> $\dagger$ Not applicable. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2000 (NCES 2001-517), and unpublished data from the NAEP 2000 Mathematics Assessment, provided by the Educational Testing Service. |  |  |  |

## Mathematics Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

## TableS10-3 Standard errors for the average mathematicsscale score for public school $4^{\text {th }}$ - and $8^{\text {th }}$-graders in 2000 and change in score since 1992 in grade 4 and since 1990 in grade 8, by state and jurisdiction: 2000

| State and jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score in 2000 | Change from 1992 average scale score | Average scale score in 2000 | Change from 1990 average scale score |
| Nation | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Alabama | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Arizona | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Arkansas | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| California | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Connecticut | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| Georgia | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Hawaii | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Idaho | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Illinois | 1.9 | - | 1.6 | 2.4 |
| Indiana | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Iowa | 1.3 | 1.6 | - | - |
| Kansas | 1.5 | - | 1.4 | - |
| Kentucky | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Louisiana | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Maine | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | - |
| Maryland | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Massachusetts | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 | - |
| Michigan | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| Minnesota | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| Mississippi | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | - |
| Missouri | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | - |
| Montana | 1.8 | - | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Nebraska | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Nevada | 1.2 | - | 0.9 | - |
| New Mexico | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| New York | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| North Carolina | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| North Dakota | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Ohio | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Oklahoma | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Oregon | 1.6 | - | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Rhode Island | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| South Carolina | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.4 | - |
| Tennessee | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | - |

## Mathematics Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

## TableS10-3 Standard errors for the average mathematics scale score for publicschool $4^{\text {th }}$ - and $8^{\text {th }}$-graders $\operatorname{in} 2000$ and change in score since 1992 in grade 4 and since 1990 in grade 8, by state and jurisdiction: 2000-Continued

| State and jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  | rade 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score in 2000 | Change from 1992 average scale score | Average scale score in 2000 | Change from 1990 average scale score |
| Texas | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Utah | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | - |
| Vermont | 1.6 | - | 1.1 | - |
| Virginia | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| West Virginia | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Wyoming | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |
| American Samoa | 3.9 | - | 4.5 | - |
| District of Columbia | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| DDESS | 1.2 | - | 2.3 | - |
| DoDDS | 0.7 | - | 1.0 | - |
| Guam | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| Virgin Islands | 2.8 | - | - | - |

- Indicates the jurisdiction did not participate in 2000, 1992, or 1990.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2000 (NCES 2001-517).

## Poverty and Student Achievement

Table S11-1 Standard errors for the average mathematics scale score and percentage of public school students in $4^{\text {th }}$-grade mathematics, by percentage of students in the school eligible for free or reduced-priced lunch and selected student characteristics: 2000

|  | 10 percent or less |  | 11-25 <br> percent |  | 26-50 percent |  | $51-75$ <br> percent |  | More than 75 percent |  | Total population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student characteristic | Score | Percent | Score | Percent | Score | Percent | Score | Percent | Score | Percent | Score | Percent |
| Total | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Language other than English spoken in the home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Sometimes | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| Always | 10.4 | 1.1 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Black | (\#) | 0.8 | 7.3 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.2 |
| Hispanic | 5.4 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| Student is eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | (\#) | 1.3 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Not eligible | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 |

\#Too few sample cases for a reliable estimate.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), unpublished data provided by the Educational Testing Service, 2000.

## Poverty and Student Achievement

Table S11-2 Standard errors for the percentage of $4^{\text {th }}$-grade students in the school eligible for free or reduced-priced lunch, by selected school and teacher characteristics of public schools: 2000


See footnotes at end of table.

## Poverty and Student Achievement

Table S11-2 Standard errors for the percentage of $4^{\text {th }}$-grade students in the school eligible for free or reduced-priced lunch, by selected school and teacher characteristics of public schools: 2000-Continued

| School and teacher characteristics | Students in school eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  | Total population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 percent or less | $\begin{array}{r} 11-25 \\ \text { percent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26-50 \\ \text { percent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51-75 \\ \text { percent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | More than 75 percent |  |
| School resources and social suppor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of parents who participate in open-house or back-to-school night |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-50 | 3.1 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 1.7 |
| 51-75 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 2.2 |
| More than 75 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 2.8 |
| Percentage of parents who participate in parent-teacher organizations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-25 | 7.1 | 9.2 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 3.0 |
| 26-50 | 5.8 | 9.8 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 2.9 |
| More than 50 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Percentage of parents who participate in parent-teacher conferences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-50 | (\#) | (\#) | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 1.5 |
| 51-75 | (\#) | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 2.0 |
| More than 75 | 1.9 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 2.4 |
| Percentage of students who received Title I funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 4.4 | (\#) | 3.0 |
| 11-25 | 4.1 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| 26-50 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (\#) | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 1.4 |
| 51-75 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 2.7 | (\#) | 0.6 |
| More than 75 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 3.8 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 2.5 |

[^3]$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), unpublished data provided by the Educational Testing Service, 2000.

## Science Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

Table S12-1 Standard errors for the average science scale score and percentage of students at or above each science achievement level, by grade: 1996 and 2000

| Achievement level | 1996 | 2000 | 1996 | 2000 | 1996 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
|  | Percentage at achievement level |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below Basic | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| At or above Basic | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| At or above Proficient | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| At Advanced | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (forthcoming). The Nation's Report Card: Science 2000 (NCES 2002-451).

## Science Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

Table S12-2 Standard errors for the average science scale score for $4^{\text {th }}=, 8^{\text {th }}$ - , and $12^{\text {th }}$ - -graders, by selected student and school characteristics: 2000

| Student and school characteristics | Average scale score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Total | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Female | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Black | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Hispanic | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | ( $\dagger$ ) | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.6 |
| Parents' education |  |  |  |
| High school diploma or less | - | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | - | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Current science class in 8th grade |  |  |  |
| Not taking | - | 2.8 | - |
| Life sciences | - | 2.5 | - |
| Earth sciences | - | 1.3 | - |
| Integrated sciences | - | 1.3 | - |
| Physical sciences | - | 1.3 | - |
| General sciences | - | 1.1 | - |
| Courses taken by 12th grade General science |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Not taken | - | - | 1.1 |
| Taken | - | - | 1.2 |
| 1st-year biology |  |  |  |
| Not taken | - | - | 3.5 |
| Taken | - | - | 0.9 |
| 1st-year chemistry |  |  |  |
| Not taken | - | - | 1.2 |
| Taken | - | - | 0.9 |
| 1st-year physics |  |  |  |
| Not taken | - | - | 1.0 |
| Taken | - | - | 1.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

## Science Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

Table S12-2 Standarderrorsforthe average science scale scorefor $4^{\text {th}}, 8^{\text {th}}$, and $12^{\text {th }}$-graders, byselected student and school characteristics: 2000- Continued

| Student and school characteristics | Average scale score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Control |  |  |  |
| Public | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Private | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Type of location |  |  |  |
| Central city | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Urban fringe/large town | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Rural/small town | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| Enrollment |  |  |  |
| Less than 300 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 3.8 |
| 300-999 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| 1,000 or more | 4.5 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| Percentage of students in the school eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |
| 0-10 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| 11-25 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 26-50 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| 51-75 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| 76-100 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 |

- Not available.
$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (forthcoming). The Nation's Report Card: Science 2000 (NCES 2002-451), and unpublished data from the NAEP 2000 Grade 4 Science Assessment, provided by the Educational Testing Service.


## Science Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

## Table S12-3 Standard errors for the average science scale score for public school $4^{\text {th }}$ - and $8^{\text {th }}$-graders in 2000 and change in score since 1996 in grade 8, by state and jurisdiction

| State and jurisdiction | Grade 4 | Grade 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score in 2000 | Average scale score in 2000 | Change from 1996 average scale score |
| Nation | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Alabama | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| Arizona | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
| Arkansas | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| California | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| Connecticut | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Georgia | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| Hawaii | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Idaho | 1.5 | 1.1 | - |
| Illinois | 1.6 | 1.9 | - |
| Indiana | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Iowa | 1.4 | - | - |
| Kentucky | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Louisiana | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| Maine | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Maryland | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Massachusetts | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Michigan | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Minnesota | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Mississippi | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| Missouri | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Montana | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| Nebraska | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Nevada | 1.3 | 1.1 | - |
| New Mexico | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| New York | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| North Carolina | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| North Dakota | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| Ohio | 1.6 | 1.5 | - |
| Oklahoma | 1.4 | 1.2 | - |
| Oregon | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Rhode Island | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| South Carolina | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Tennessee | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.4 |

See footnotes at end of table.

## Science Performance of Students in Grades 4, 8, and 12

Table S12-3 Standard errors for the average science scale score for publicschool $4^{\text {th }}$ - and $8^{\text {th }}$-graders $\operatorname{in} 2000$ and change in score since 1996 in grade 8, by state and jurisdiction- Continued

| State and jurisdiction | Grade 4 | Grade 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average scale score in 2000 | Average scale score in 2000 | Change from 1996 average scale score |
| Texas | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| Utah | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| Vermont | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Virginia | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| West Virginia | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Wyoming | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |
| American Samoa | 1.7 | 2.3 | - |
| DDESS | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| DoDDS | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Guam | 2.3 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Virgin Islands | 1.1 | - | - |

- The jurisdiction did not participate in 1996 or 2000 or did not meet the minimum guidelines for participation.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (forthcoming). The Nation's Report Card: Science 2000 (NCES 2002-451).

## Intemational Comparisonsof 8th $^{\text {th }}$-Graders'PerformanceinMathematicsand Science

TableS13-1 Standard errors forthe average mathematics and science performance of $8^{\text {th }}$-gradersforthe 38 participating countries, by sexand country: 1999

| Country | Mathematics: mean score |  |  | Science: mean score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| International average | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Australia | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 5.1 |
| Belgium-Flemish | 3.3 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 7.2 | 4.7 |
| Bulgaria | 5.9 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.8 |
| Canada | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| Chile | 4.4 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 4.3 |
| Chinese Taipei | 4.0 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 3.9 |
| Cyprus | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| Czech Republic | 4.2 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| England | 4.2 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 6.2 |
| Finland | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 4.3 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 4.4 |
| Hungary | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Indonesia | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.5 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 3.4 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 5.7 |
| Israel | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.0 |
| Italy | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 4.1 |
| Japan | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 |
| Jordan | 3.6 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 5.0 |
| Korea, Republic of | 2.0 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 4.0 |
| Latvia-LSS | 3.4 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.6 |
| Lithuania | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.4 |
| Macedonia, Republic of | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
| Malaysia | 4.4 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Moldova | 3.9 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 4.4 |
| Morocco | 2.6 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Netherlands | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.1 |
| New Zealand | 5.2 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 5.4 |
| Philippines | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 8.2 |
| Romania | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
| Russian Federation | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| Singapore | 6.3 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 7.9 |
| Slovak Republic | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 3.4 |
| Slovenia | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| South Africa | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 9.2 |
| Thailand | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.6 |
| Tunisia | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 3.3 |
| Turkey | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| United States | 4.0 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 4.6 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2000). Pursuing Excellence: Comparisons of International Eighth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement from a U.S. Perspective, 1995 and 1999 (NCES 2001028) (TIMSS-R).

## International Comparisonsof $8^{\text {th }}$-Graders'PerformanceinMathematicsand Science

TableS13-2 Standard errors forthe average mathematicsand science performance of $8^{\text {th }}$-gradersfor the 23 countries that participated in both assessments, by country: 1995 and 1999

|  | Mathematics: mean score |  |  | Science: mean score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | 1995 | 1999 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1995-99 } \\ \text { difference } \end{array}$ | 1995 | 1999 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1995-99 } \\ \text { difference } \end{array}$ |
| International average | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Australia | 3.8 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 6.0 |
| Belgium-Flemish | 5.9 | 3.3 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 3.1 | 7.1 |
| Bulgaria | 5.8 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 7.5 |
| Canada | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.3 |
| Cyprus | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| Czech Republic | 4.5 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 6.1 |
| England | 3.0 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 5.8 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 6.1 | 4.3 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 6.8 |
| Hungary | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 4.9 |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | 3.9 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 5.2 |
| Italy | 3.4 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 5.9 |
| Japan | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| Korea, Republic of | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| Latvia-LSS | 3.6 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 5.9 |
| Lithuania | 4.1 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| Netherlands | 6.1 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 9.1 |
| New Zealand | 4.7 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 6.9 |
| Romania | 4.6 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 7.8 |
| Russian Federation | 5.3 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 7.9 |
| Singapore | 4.0 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 9.8 |
| Slovak Republic | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.5 |
| Slovenia | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 4.4 |
| United States | 4.7 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 7.2 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2000). Pursuing Excellence: Comparisons of International Eighth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement from a U.S. Perspective, 1995 and 1999 (NCES 2001028) (TIMSS and TIMSS-R).

## International Comparisonsof 8 $^{\text {th }}$-Graders'Performancein Mathematicsand Science

TableS13-3 Standard errors for the score difference from the international average for the 17 participating countries, by mathematics and science performance of $4^{\text {th }}$-gradersin 1995 and $8^{\text {th }}$-gradersin 1999


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2000). Pursuing Excellence: Comparisons of International Eighth-Grade Mathematics and Science Achievement from a U.S. Perspective, 1995 and 1999 (NCES 2001028) (TIMSS and TIMSS-R).

## Education and Health

## TableS14-1 Standard errors for the percentage of the population age 25 and above who reported being in excellent or very good health, by educational attainment and selected characteristics: 1997

| Characteristic | Less than high school | High school diploma or equivalent | Some college, including vocational/ technical | Bachelor's degree or higher | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.6 |
| Female | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| Family income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$20,000 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| \$20,000-34,999 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| \$35,000-54,999 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| \$55,000-74,999 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| \$75,000 or more | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Black | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Hispanic | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1.0 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.7 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.4 |
| 35-44 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| 45-54 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.4 |
| 55-64 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 2.3 |
| 65 and above | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| Metropolitan area status |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 million or more | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 3.4 |
| 1-2.49 million | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Less than 1 million | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| Nonmetropolitan area | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Midwest | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| South | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| West | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 2.7 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics. National Heath Interview Survey, 1997.

## Annual Earnings of Young Adults

TableS16-1 Standard errors for the median annual earnings (in constant 2000 dollars) of all wage and salary workers ages $25-34$, by sex and educational attainmentlevel:March 1971-2000

| Year | Male |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { All } \\ \text { males } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grades } \\ 9-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High school diploma or GED | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Some } \\ \text { college } \end{array}$ | Bachelor's degree or higher | females | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grades } \\ 9-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High school diploma or GED | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Some } \\ \text { college } \end{array}$ | Bachelor's degree or higher |
| 1971 | \$299 | \$574 | \$423 | \$728 | \$734 | \$394 | \$654 | \$508 | \$1,094 | \$875 |
| 1972 | 297 | 793 | 366 | 672 | 684 | 379 | 823 | 505 | 906 | 735 |
| 1973 | 287 | 777 | 396 | 645 | 652 | 341 | 743 | 395 | 774 | 672 |
| 1974 | 264 | 733 | 375 | 526 | 607 | 306 | 689 | 387 | 657 | 631 |
| 1975 | 259 | 812 | 389 | 560 | 651 | 308 | 451 | 410 | 598 | 520 |
| 1976 | 267 | 702 | 375 | 610 | 602 | 298 | 687 | 392 | 602 | 556 |
| 1977 | 334 | 861 | 416 | 640 | 637 | 266 | 781 | 381 | 528 | 561 |
| 1978 | 361 | 844 | 609 | 680 | 527 | 245 | 362 | 325 | 571 | 565 |
| 1979 | 264 | 751 | 489 | 501 | 498 | 244 | 667 | 356 | 468 | 374 |
| 1980 | 229 | 630 | 347 | 470 | 582 | 245 | 696 | 319 | 464 | 401 |
| 1981 | 240 | 595 | 359 | 466 | 495 | 258 | 494 | 296 | 363 | 531 |
| 1982 | 247 | 592 | 351 | 523 | 442 | 193 | 623 | 319 | 351 | 494 |
| 1983 | 282 | 581 | 354 | 571 | 499 | 202 | 611 | 314 | 402 | 377 |
| 1984 | 319 | 533 | 849 | 567 | 786 | 190 | 615 | 277 | 355 | 374 |
| 1985 | 324 | 447 | 341 | 429 | 420 | 189 | 586 | 253 | 370 | 479 |
| 1986 | 292 | 519 | 369 | 491 | 489 | 193 | 585 | 236 | 527 | 502 |
| 1987 | 228 | 653 | 430 | 447 | 605 | 268 | 589 | 240 | 480 | 439 |
| 1988 | 222 | 684 | 383 | 472 | 914 | 291 | 514 | 241 | 495 | 376 |
| 1989 | 210 | 679 | 275 | 524 | 696 | 279 | 739 | 241 | 404 | 350 |
| 1990 | 205 | 653 | 337 | 605 | 666 | 193 | 621 | 220 | 352 | 534 |
| 1991 | 215 | 732 | 315 | 605 | 405 | 221 | 712 | 242 | 375 | 512 |
| 1992 | 223 | 687 | 365 | 525 | 398 | 208 | 533 | 339 | 355 | 424 |
| 1993 | 221 | 706 | 276 | 467 | 438 | 210 | 456 | 372 | 326 | 425 |
| 1994 | 286 | 625 | 286 | 545 | 598 | 202 | 660 | 352 | 354 | 348 |
| 1995 | 310 | 489 | 304 | 560 | 1,015 | 186 | 420 | 435 | 361 | 360 |
| 1996 | 220 | 478 | 352 | 342 | 520 | 276 | 613 | 357 | 398 | 315 |
| 1997 | 189 | 559 | 434 | 351 | 453 | 266 | 798 | 338 | 398 | 593 |
| 1998 | 402 | 610 | 320 | 682 | 811 | 209 | 589 | 363 | 420 | 397 |
| 1999 | 212 | 690 | 300 | 433 | 435 | 207 | 525 | 245 | 287 | 329 |
| 2000 | 193 | 722 | 351 | 365 | 754 | 256 | 620 | 284 | 245 | 298 |

[^4]
## Annual Earnings of Young Adults

Table S16-2 Standard errors for the ratio of median annual earnings of all wage and salary workers ages $25-34$ whose highest education level was grades 9-11, some college, or a bachelor's degree or higher, compared with those with a high school diploma or GED, by sex: March 1971-2000

| Year | Grades 9-11 |  | Some college |  | Bachelor's degree or higher |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 1971 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| 1972 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| 1973 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| 1974 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| 1975 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| 1976 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| 1977 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| 1978 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 1979 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 1980 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 1981 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 1982 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| 1983 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 1984 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| 1985 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 1986 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| 1987 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| 1988 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1989 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| 1990 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| 1991 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 1992 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 1993 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| 1994 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 1995 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 1996 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 1997 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 1998 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 1999 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 2000 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1972-2001.

## Annual Earnings of Young Adults

## TableS16-3 Standard errorsforthe ratio of median annual earningsof all male to all female wage and salaryworkersages 25- 34, byeducational attainment: March 1971-2000

| Year | All | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grades } \\ 9-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High school diploma or GED | Some college | Bachelor's degree or higher |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.05 |
| 1972 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.05 |
| 1973 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| 1974 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 1975 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| 1976 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 1977 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| 1978 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 1979 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 1980 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1981 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 1982 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1983 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1984 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 1985 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1986 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 1987 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1988 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1989 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1990 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1991 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1992 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 1993 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 1994 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 1995 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 1996 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 1997 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 1998 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1999 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 2000 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1972-2001.

## Annual Earnings of Young Adults

Table S16-4 Standard errors for the difference in average annual earnings(in constant 2000 dollars) for all wage and salary workers ages 25-34 between the highest and the lowest quartiles, by sex and educational attainment: March 1971-2000

| Year | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grades } \\ 9-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High school diploma or GED | Some college | Bachelor's degree or higher | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Grades } \\ 9-11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High school diploma or GED | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Some } \\ \text { college } \end{array}$ | Bachelor's degree or higher |
| 1971 | \$1,144 | \$668 | \$1,187 | \$1,488 | \$893 | \$549 | \$1,187 | \$1,595 |
| 1972 | 1,274 | 580 | 1,049 | 1,458 | 1,053 | 695 | 1,019 | 1,489 |
| 1973 | 1,275 | 707 | 1,487 | 1,197 | 920 | 605 | 958 | 1,301 |
| 1974 | 1,164 | 740 | 1,185 | 1,139 | 857 | 632 | 1,062 | 1,405 |
| 1975 | 1,158 | 698 | 1,124 | 822 | 1,012 | 483 | 794 | 1,176 |
| 1976 | 1,290 | 714 | 1,000 | 1,170 | 810 | 526 | 992 | 926 |
| 1977 | 1,587 | 670 | 828 | 912 | 834 | 590 | 964 | 914 |
| 1978 | 1,467 | 798 | 1,057 | 873 | 792 | 598 | 760 | 1,176 |
| 1979 | 1,479 | 661 | 875 | 792 | 915 | 443 | 811 | 1,062 |
| 1980 | 1,010 | 533 | 708 | 898 | 926 | 510 | 883 | 785 |
| 1981 | 1,104 | 472 | 848 | 834 | 784 | 453 | 695 | 994 |
| 1982 | 1,180 | 547 | 850 | 1,176 | 911 | 540 | 800 | 770 |
| 1983 | 952 | 654 | 847 | 1,022 | 920 | 545 | 621 | 965 |
| 1984 | 1,265 | 601 | 770 | 732 | 1,026 | 515 | 680 | 645 |
| 1985 | 1,436 | 554 | 976 | 942 | 888 | 478 | 856 | 830 |
| 1986 | 1,055 | 498 | 1,071 | 823 | 745 | 443 | 896 | 827 |
| 1987 | 1,072 | 500 | 983 | 1,115 | 807 | 394 | 721 | 742 |
| 1988 | 1,167 | 549 | 917 | 1,226 | 755 | 423 | 682 | 1,095 |
| 1989 | 927 | 615 | 688 | 804 | 696 | 391 | 656 | 996 |
| 1990 | 944 | 616 | 737 | 870 | 867 | 495 | 730 | 772 |
| 1991 | 854 | 709 | 760 | 1,325 | 616 | 481 | 795 | 908 |
| 1992 | 686 | 703 | 914 | 1,389 | 1,216 | 492 | 622 | 915 |
| 1993 | 758 | 779 | 828 | 897 | 1,044 | 495 | 744 | 736 |
| 1994 | 888 | 720 | 1,112 | 1,023 | 838 | 463 | 609 | 752 |
| 1995 | 915 | 521 | 843 | 1,485 | 917 | 502 | 556 | 654 |
| 1996 | 743 | 526 | 650 | 1,453 | 1,031 | 446 | 563 | 702 |
| 1997 | 943 | 500 | 774 | 1,982 | 910 | 495 | 483 | 1,248 |
| 1998 | 1,095 | 801 | 888 | 1,691 | 1,015 | 638 | 514 | 616 |
| 1999 | 869 | 471 | 503 | 1,568 | 802 | 741 | 838 | 855 |
| 2000 | 1,153 | 547 | 1,165 | 1,386 | 1,286 | 509 | 664 | 794 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1972-2001.

## Annual Earnings of Young Adults

TableS16-5 Standard errors for the percentage of young adults ages 25-34 whose highest education level was a high school diploma or GED and whose annual earnings were higher than the median, and the highest quartile of those with a bachelor's degree or higher, by sex: March 1971-2000

| Year | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | Highest quartile | Median | Highest quartile |
| 1971 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1972 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1973 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1974 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1975 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1976 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 1977 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 1978 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 1979 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1980 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 1981 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1982 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1983 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1984 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 1985 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 1986 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 1987 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 1988 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| 1989 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1990 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1991 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 1992 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 1993 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| 1994 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 1995 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 1996 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 1997 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 1998 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 1999 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 2000 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1972-2001.

## Students' Absence From School

Table S17-1 Standard errorsforthe percentage distributions of $8^{\text {th }}$, $10^{\text {th }}$, and $12^{\text {th }}$-grade studentsaccording to how many school daysthey missed in a 4 -week period, and average percentage of theirtotal absencesattributed to illness, skipping school, or other reasons: 1983, 1991, and 2000

| Grade | Total days absent from school |  |  |  | Percentage of total absences attributed to each reason |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 days | 1 day | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } 5 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | More than 5 days | Illness | Skipped school | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Other } \\ \text { reasons } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 10 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 12 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| 10 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 12 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

SOURCE: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research. Monitoring the Future $8^{\text {hh }}$, $10^{\text {th }}$, and $12^{\text {th }}$-Grade Studies, 1983, 1991, and 2000 .

## Students' Absence From School

TableS17-2 Standard errors for the percentage distributionsof $8^{\text {th }}$, $10^{\text {th }}$, and $12^{\text {th }}$-grade students according to how many days of school they missed in a 4week period, by reason for absence, and according to how many classestheycut: 1983, 1991, and 2000

| Grade | Days absent from school, by reason for absence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Illness |  |  | Skipped school |  |  | Other reasons |  |  |
|  | 0 days | 1 day2 or more <br> days |  | 0 days | 1 day2 or more <br> days |  | 0 days | 1 day | 2 or more days |
| 12 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  | 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 10 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 12 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 10 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 12 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 |



## $12^{\text {th }}$-Graders' Effort and Interest in School

Table S18-1 Standard errors for the percentage distributions of 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$-graders according to their ratings of school work's meaningfulness, courses' degree of interest, and the importance of theirschool leaming in later life, by sex, high school program, and average grades: 1983, 1990, 1995, and 2000

| Student characteristics | How often <br> school work is meaningful |  |  | How interesting most courses are |  |  | How important school learning will be in later life |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seldom or never | Sometimes | Often or always | Very or slightly dull | Fairly interesting | Quite or very interesting | Not or slightly important | Fairly important | Quite or very important |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Female | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| High school program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic/college-prep | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| General | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Vocational/technical | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| Average grades in high school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A's | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| B's | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| C'sorD's | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1990 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Female | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| High school program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic/college-prep | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| General | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Vocational/technical | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Average grades in high school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A's | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| B's | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| C'sorD's | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Female | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| High school program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic/college-prep | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| General | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Vocational/technical | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| Average grades in high school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A's | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| B's | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| C'sorD's | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 |

[^5]
## $12^{\text {th }}$-Graders' Effort and Interest in School

TableS18-1 Standard errorsforthe percentagedistributions of $12^{\text {th-gradersaccording totheirratingsof school work'smeaningfulness, courses'degree of interest, }}$ and the importanceof theirschool leaming inlaterlife, bysex, high school program, and average grades: 1983, 1990, 1995, and 2000-Continued

| Student characteristics | How often school work is meaningful |  |  | How interesting most courses are |  |  | How important school learning will be in later life |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seldom or never | Sometimes | Often or always | Very or slightly dull | Fairly <br> interesting | Quite or very interesting | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Not or } \\ \text { slightly } \\ \text { important } \end{array}$ | Fairly important | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Quite } \\ \text { or very } \\ \text { important } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Female | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| High school program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic/college-prep | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| General | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Vocational/technical | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| Average grades in high school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A's | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| B's | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| C'sorD's | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 |

[^6]
## $12^{\text {th }}$-Graders' Effort and Interest in School

Table S18-2 Standard errorsforthe percentage distributions of $12^{\text {th }}$-gradersaccording to frequency of engaging in three activities related to effort in school, by sex, high school program, and average grades: 1990, 1995, and 2000

|  | Try to do best work |  |  | Fool around in class |  |  | Fail to complete/ hand in assignments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student characteristics | Seldom or never | Sometimes | Often or always | Seldom or never | Sometimes | Often or always | Seldom or never | Sometimes | Often or always |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1990 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Female | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| High school program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic/college-prep | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| General | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Vocational/technical | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| Average grades in high school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A's | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| B's | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| C'sorD's | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Female | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| High school program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic/college-prep | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| General | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| Vocational/technical | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.3 |
| Average grades in high school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A's | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| B's | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| C'sorD's | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Female | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| High school program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic/college-prep | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| General | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| Vocational/technical | 2.3 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Average grades in high school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A's | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| B's | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| C'sorD's | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.5 |

SOURCE: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research. Monitoring the Future 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$-Grade Study: 1990, 1995, and 2000.

## Status Dropout Rates, by Race/Ethnicity

TableS19-1 Standard errorsforthe statusdropout rates of 16- to 24-yearolds, by race/ethnidity: October 1972-2000

| Year | Race/ethnicity (percent) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic |
| 1972 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| 1973 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| 1974 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| 1975 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| 1976 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 1977 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 1978 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 1979 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 1980 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1981 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| 1982 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1983 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1984 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| 1985 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| 1986 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| 1987 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| 1988 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| 1989 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
| 1990 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| 1991 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1992 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1993 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| 1994 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| 1995 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| 1996 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1997 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1998 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1999 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 2000 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
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## Status Dropout Rates, by Race/Ethnicity

## TableS19-2 Standard errors for the status dropout rates and number and percentage distribution of dropouts ages 16-24, by selected characteristics: October 2000

| Characteristic | Status dropout rate (percent) | Number of status dropouts (thousands) | Percent of all dropouts | Percent of population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0.3 | 89 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.4 | 66 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Female | 0.4 | 60 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| White | 0.3 | 59 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Black | 0.8 | 39 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Hispanic | 1.1 | 57 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.8 | 11 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0.5 | 19 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 17 | 0.6 | 26 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 18 | 0.8 | 31 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 19 | 0.8 | 33 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 20-24 | 0.4 | 69 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Immigration status |  |  |  |  |
| Born outside the 50 states and the District of Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 1.8 | 41 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Non-Hispanic | 0.9 | 18 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| First generation |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 1.5 | 25 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Non-Hispanic | 0.8 | 14 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Second generation or more |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 1.8 | 23 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Non-Hispanic | 0.3 | 68 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 0.6 | 33 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Midwest | 0.5 | 40 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| South | 0.5 | 57 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| West | 0.5 | 44 | 1.1 | 0.4 |

$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. October Current Population Survey, 2000.

## Immediate Transition to College

| TableS20-1 | Standard errorsfor the percentage of high school completers who were enrolled in college the October after completing high school, by family <br> incomeand race/ethnicity: October 1972-2000 |
| :--- | :--- |


| October | Total | Family income |  |  |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low |  | Middle <br> Annual |  | White <br> Annual | Black |  | Hispanic |  |
|  |  | Annual | 3-year average |  |  |  | Annual | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 3-year } \\ \text { average } \end{array}$ | Annual | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 3-year } \\ \text { average } \end{array}$ |
| 1972 | 1.3 | 3.4 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 4.6 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 9.7 | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| 1973 | 1.3 | 3.2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 9.0 | 5.3 |
| 1974 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 8.9 | 5.1 |
| 1975 | 1.3 | 3.6 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 8.4 | 4.9 |
| 1976 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 4.7 |
| 1977 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 4.7 |
| 1978 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 8.4 | 4.7 |
| 1979 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 4.8 |
| 1980 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 8.7 | 4.8 |
| 1981 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 8.2 | 4.7 |
| 1982 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 4.9 |
| 1983 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 9.0 | 4.7 |
| 1984 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 4.9 |
| 1985 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 9.8 | 5.2 |
| 1986 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 8.9 | 5.2 |
| 1987 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 8.3 | 5.0 |
| 1988 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 10.1 | 6.0 |
| 1989 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 10.5 | 6.3 |
| 1990 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 10.8 | 5.7 |
| 1991 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 9.6 | 5.5 |
| 1992 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 8.5 | 5.0 |
| 1993 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 8.2 | 5.0 |
| 1994 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 3.2 |
| 1995 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 3.2 |
| 1996 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 3.0 |
| 1997 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 2.9 |
| 1998 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 2.8 |
| 1999 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 2.8 |
| 2000 | 1.4 | 3.7 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 4.1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 5.0 | ( $\dagger$ |

- Not available.
†Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. October Current Population Surveys, 1972-2000.


## Immediate Transition to College

Table S20-2 Standard errorsfor the percentage distribution of high school completerswho wereenrolled in collegethe October after completing high school according to sex and type of institution: October 1972-2000

| October | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 2-year | 4-year | Total | 2-year | 4-year |
| 1972 | 1.9 | - | - | 1.8 | - | - |
| 1973 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| 1974 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| 1975 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| 1976 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| 1977 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 1978 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 1979 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 1980 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 1981 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 1982 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 1983 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 1984 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 1985 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 1986 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| 1987 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 1988 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 1989 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| 1990 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| 1991 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 1992 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| 1993 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 1994 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 1995 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| 1996 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 1997 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| 1998 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 1999 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| 2000 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 |

- Not available.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. October Current Population Surveys, 1972-2000.

## Immediate Transition to College

Table S20-3 Standarderrorsfor the percentage of high school completers who were enrolled in collegethe October aftercompleting high school, by parents' highest level of education: October 1990-2000

| Parents' education | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |
| Less than high school | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 5.5 |
| High school diploma or equivalent | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Some college, including vocational/ <br> technical | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Not available | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. October Current Population Surveys, 1990-2000.

## Persistence of Students With Pell Grants

Table S24-1 Standard errors for the percentage of low- and middle-income 1995-96 beginning postsecondary students who persisted, by receipt of Pell Grant, type of institution, SAT/ACT scores, and high school curriculum: 1998

| Characteristics of academic preparation | Pell recipients | Nonrecipients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public 4-year |  |
| Total | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| SAT/ACT composite score |  |  |
| Low quartile (400-700) | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| Middle quartiles (710-1020) | 3.1 | 2.1 |
| High quartile (1030-1600) | 3.4 | 3.9 |
| High school curriculum |  |  |
| Core or lower | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Mid-level | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| Rigorous | 5.3 | 4.1 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| SAT/ACT composite score |  |  |
| Low quartile (400-700) | 7.9 | 6.3 |
| Middle quartiles (710-1020) | 4.7 | 3.4 |
| High quartile (1030-1600) | 4.2 | 2.5 |
| High school curriculum |  |  |
| Core or lower | 6.3 | 4.3 |
| Mid-level | 5.7 | 3.3 |
| Rigorous | 3.8 | 3.3 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 4.1 | 2.8 |
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## Persistence of Students With Pell Grants

## TableS24-2 Standard errors for the percentage distribution of 1995-96 low- and middle-income beginning postsecondary students according to their academic preparation, by receipt of Pell Grant and type of institution

| Characteristics of academic preparation | Total | Pell recipients | Nonrecipients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less-than-4-year institutions |  |  |
| High school graduation status |  |  |  |
| High school diploma | 1.4 | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| GED or certificate | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| Did not graduate from high school | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
|  |  | 4-year institu |  |
| SAT/ACT composite score |  |  |  |
| Low quartile (400-700) | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Middle quartiles (710-1020) | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| High quartile (1030-1600) | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| High school curriculum |  |  |  |
| Core or lower | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| Mid-level | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Rigorous | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study, "First Follow-up" (BPS:1996/1998).

TableS24-3 Standard errors for the percentage of 1995-96 low- and middle-income beginning postsecondary students with each risk factor, by receipt of Pell Grant and type of institution: 1998

| Receipt of Pell Grant | No high school diploma | Delayed enrollment | Financially independent | Dependents other than a spouse | Single parent | Enrolled part time | Worked more than 35 hours per week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Pell recipients | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Nonrecipients | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
|  | Public 4-year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Pell recipients | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Nonrecipients | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | Private not-for-profit 4-year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Pell recipients | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Nonrecipients | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
|  | Public 2-year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| Pell recipients | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Nonrecipients | 1.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 2.8 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study, "First Follow-up" (BPS:1996/1998).

Educational Attainment

Table S25-1 Standard errors for the percentage of 25- to 29-year-oldswho completed high school, by race/ethnicity and sex: March 1971-2000

| March | All |  |  | White |  |  | Black |  |  | Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| 1972 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| 1973 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 1974 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| 1975 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| 1976 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| 1977 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| 1978 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 1979 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| 1980 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| 1981 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| 1982 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| 1983 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| 1984 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| 1985 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| 1986 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 1987 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| 1988 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 1989 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 1990 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| 1991 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| 1992 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| 1993 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| 1994 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 1995 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 1996 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| 1997 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 1998 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 1999 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 2000 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 2001 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1971-2001.

Educational Attainment

Table S25-2 Standard errorsforthe percentage of 25-to 29-year-olds with some college, by race/ethnicity and sex: March 1971-2000

| March | All |  |  | White |  |  | Black |  |  | Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1971 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| 1972 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| 1973 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.3 |
| 1974 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| 1975 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| 1976 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
| 1977 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| 1978 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| 1979 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| 1980 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 1981 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| 1982 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| 1983 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| 1984 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| 1985 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| 1986 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 1987 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 1988 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| 1989 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| 1990 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 1991 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 1992 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| 1993 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| 1994 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 1995 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 1996 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 1997 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 1998 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 1999 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 2000 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 2001 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1971-2001.

Educational Attainment

Table S25-3 Standard errors for the percentage of 25- to 29-year-olds with a bachelor's degree or higher, by race/ethnicity and sex: March 1971-2000

| March | All |  |  | White |  |  | Black |  |  | Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 1971 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 1971 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| 1971 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 1971 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 1971 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 1971 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 1971 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 1971 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| 1971 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 1992 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 1993 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| 1994 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1995 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 1996 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 1997 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| 1998 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 1999 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 2000 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 2001 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1971-2001.

## Trends in Science and Mathematics Coursetaking

Table S26-1 Standard errors for the percentage distribution of high school graduates according to the highest level of advanced science courses completed: 1982, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1994, and 1998

| Year |  | Low academic level |  |  |  | Advanced academic level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No science | Primary physical science | Secondary physical science and basic biology | Total | General biology | Chemistry I or physics | Chemistry I and physics I | Chemistry II or physics II or advanced biology | Total |
| 1982 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 1987 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| 1990 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 1992 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1994 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| 1998 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. High School and Beyond Longitudinal Study of 1980 Sophomores, "First Follow-up" (HSCB:1980/1982); National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988, "High School Transcript Study" (NELS:1988/1992); and National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) High School Transcript Studies, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1994, and 1998.

Table S26-2 Standard errors for the percentage distribution of high school graduates according to the highest level of advanced mathematics courses completed: 1982, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1994, and 1998

| Year | No mathematics | Nonacademic | Low academic | Middle academic |  |  | Advanced academic |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Level I | Level II | Total | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
| 1982 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| 1987 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| 1990 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| 1992 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1994 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 1998 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. High School and Beyond Longitudinal Study of 1980 Sophomores, "First Follow-up" (HS\&B:1980/1982); National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988, "High School Transcript Study" (NELS:1988/1992); and National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) High School Transcript Studies, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1994, and 1998.

## Parental Choice of Schools

Table S29-1 Standard errors for the percentage of districts with public school choice programs according to type of program, by region: 1993-94 and 19992000

| Region | Students can enroll in another school within this district |  | Students can enroll in another school in another district at no cost |  | Students from other districts can enroll in this district at no cost |  | District has magnet school program |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993-94 | 1999-2000 | 1993-94 | 1999-2000 | 1993-94 | 1999-2000 | 1993-94 | 1999-2000 |
| Total | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Northeast | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Midwest | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| South | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| West | 3.2 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS), "Teacher Demand and Shortage Survey," 1993-94 and "School District Survey," 1999-2000.

## Parental Choice of Schools

TableS29-2 Standard errors for the percentage distributions of studentsin grades1-12 according to type of school, by student and household characteristics: 1993, 1996, and 1999

| Student and household characteristics | School type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public, assigned |  |  | Public, chosen |  |  | Private, church-related |  |  | Private, not church-related |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 |
| Total | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Grade level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 6-8 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 9-12 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Black | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Hispanic | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Other | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Number of parents living in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| One parent | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Nonparent guardians | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| Household income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 or less | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| \$10,001-20,000 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| \$20,001-35,000 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| \$35,001-50,000 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| \$50,001-75,000 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| More than \$ 75,000 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Parent's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| High school diploma or GED | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Some college, vocational/ technical | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Bachelor's degree | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Graduate/professional school | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| South | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Midwest | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| West | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Community type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Suburban | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Rural | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Household Education Surveys Program (NHES), "School Readiness" survey, 1993; "School Safety and Discipline" survey, 1993; "Parent and Family Involvement" survey, 1996; and "Parent Interview" survey, 1999.

## Public Charter Schools

Table S30-1 Standard errors for the number and percentage distribution of public charter schools and students, and percentage of charter schools and students by school origin status, by selected school characteristics: 1999-2000

| Selected school characteristics | Schools and students |  |  |  |  | School origin status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of schools | Number of students | Average enrollment | Percent of schools | Percent of students | Newly created | Pre-existing public school | Pre-existing private school |
| All public charter schools | 4.3 | 3957.0 | 3.6 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | 2.8 | 1593.8 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| California | 1.3 | 2540.8 | 17.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| Michigan | 0.6 | 698.8 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| All other states | 3.7 | 2243.9 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Community type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central city | 8.2 | 3148.5 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Urban fringe/large town | 6.9 | 3272.5 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Rural/small town | 5.8 | 1039.6 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| School level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 5.9 | 2238.7 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Combined | 6.4 | 2951.8 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Secondary | 5.0 | 2191.5 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 300 | 6.9 | 1425.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 300-999 | 6.6 | 3568.7 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 1,000 or more | 2.1 | 3090.2 | 38.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| School origin status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly created | 6.6 | 3483.1 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Pre-existing public | 4.4 | 2760.3 | 14.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 0.0 | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Pre-existing private | 4.0 | 871.2 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 0.0 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 | 5.3 | 1583.6 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| 10-24 | 6.0 | 1956.7 | 8.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 25-49 | 5.8 | 2491.0 | 14.9 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 50-75 | 4.8 | 1976.3 | 11.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| More than 75 | 7.2 | 2939.4 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |

$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS), "Public Charter School Survey," 1999-2000.

## Public Charter Schools

TableS30-2 Standard errors forthenumber and percentage distribution of public schools according to school level and type, by selected school characteristics: 1999-2000

| Selected school characteristics | Elementary |  | Secondary and combined |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traditional public schools | Public charter $\qquad$ schools | Traditional public schools | Public charter schools |
| Number of schools | 250.3 | 5.9 | 192.1 | 6.5 |
| Race/ethnicity of students |  |  |  |  |
| White | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Black | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Hispanic | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 10-24 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| 25-49 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 50-75 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| More than 75 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 15 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| 15-29 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 30-49 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 50-74 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 75-100 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 |

## Public Charter Schools

Table S30-3 Standard errors for the percentage of public school teachers according to school level and type, by teachercharacteristics: 1999-2000

| Teacher characteristics | Elementary |  | Secondary and combined |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traditional public schools | Public charter schools | Traditional public schools | Public charter schools |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| White | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Black | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Hispanic | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Years of teaching experience |  |  |  |  |
| 3 or fewer | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| 4-9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| 10-19 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 20 or more | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| Among teachers who taught the following subjects, <br> percent who majored at the undergraduate <br> or graduate levels in: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elementary education | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 |  |
| Mathematics | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| Science | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| Life science | 4.4 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 3.1 |
| Social studies/history | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 2.0 |
| English/language arts | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 |  |
| Foreign languages | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 |

Percent of teachers who somewhat agreed or strongly
agreed with the following statements:*
The level of student misbehavior in this school (such as noise, horseplay, or fighting in the halls, cafeteria, or student lounge)

| interferes with my teaching. | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The amount of student tardiness and class cutting in this <br> school interferes with my teaching. | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Rules for student behavior are consistently enforced by teachers <br> in this school, even for students who are not in their classes. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 |

\#foo small to report.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Schools and Stafing Survey (SASS), "Public and Public Charter School and Teacher Surveys," 1999-2000

## Public Charter Schools

TableS30-4 Standard errors for the percentage of public schools according to school level and type, by parental involvement and selected programs and services: 1999-2000

| School characteristics | Elementary |  | Secondary and combined |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | al public schools | Public charter schools | Traditional public schools | Public charter schools |
| Number of schools | 250.3 | 5.9 | 192.1 | 6.5 |
| Parental involvement |  |  |  |  |
| More than half of parents participated in: |  |  |  |  |
| Parent-teacher conferences | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Written parent-school contracts | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Instructional issues | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Governance | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Programs and services |  |  |  |  |
| Programs with special instructional approaches | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Talented/gifted program | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Immersion in a foreign language program | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| A program for students with discipline |  |  |  |  |
| Extended day or before-school or after-school day care programs | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Advanced Placement (AP) Courses | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| International Baccalaureate (IB) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Specialized career academy | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Specialized Tech-Prep program(s) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 0.9 | 1.0 |

## $\dagger$ Not applicable.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS), "Public and Public Charter School and Teacher Surveys," 1999-2000.

## Academic Background of College Graduates Who Enter and Leave Teaching

TableS31-1 Standard errors for the percentage distributions of 1992-93 bachelor's degree recipients according to availability of scores and the quartile ranking of their college entrance examination score, by graduate characteristics: 1997

| Graduate characteristics | Total | Graduates with scores available | Of graduates with scores available, percentage whose scores ranked in the |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bottom quartile | Middle half | Top quartile |
| Status in teacher pipeline by 1997 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Pipeline-eligible, but did not enter pipeline | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Considered teaching or applied to teach | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Prepared but had not taught | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Had taught | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Had taught but not prepared | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Prepared and had taught | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Employment expectations in next 3 years | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Teaching full time | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Nonteaching full-time work | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Not working full time | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Baccalaureate major | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Business/management | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Humanities | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Mathematics/computer/natural sciences | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| Social science | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Baccalaureate and Beyond Longitudinal Study, "Second Follow-up" (BBB:1993/1997).

## Academic Background of College Graduates Who Enter and Leave Teaching

TableS31-2 Standard errors for the percentage distributions of 1992-93 bachelor's degree recipients who taught in elementary or secondary schools before 1997 according to availability of scores and the quartile ranking of their college entrance examination score, by selected characteristics of teachers' careers: 1997

| Characteristics of K-12 teachers' careers | Total | Graduates with scores available | Of graduates with scores available, percentage whose scores ranked in the |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bottom quartile | Middle half | Top quartile |
| By 1997 had taught | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Only in public schools | 1.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 5.3 |
| Only in private schools | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 5.2 |
| Both in public and private schools | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| By 1997 had taught | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Only in elementary school(s) | 1.9 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Only in secondary school(s) | 2.0 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 5.5 |
| Only in combined school(s) | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| In a mix of these school levels | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 4.1 |
| Had taught most recently in | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Central city | 2.1 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 5.2 |
| Urban fringe or large town | 1.9 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| Small town or rural area | 2.1 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 5.5 |
| Had taught most recently at school with enrollment of | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 300 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.2 |
| 300-999 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 4.9 |
| 1,000 or more | 1.6 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 4.9 |


| Had taught most recently at school <br> with minority enrollment of | $\mathbf{( t )}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 10 percent | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 6.0 |
| $10-24$ percent | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 4.0 |
| $25-49$ percent | 1.8 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 4.7 |
| $50-75$ percent | 1.9 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| More than 75 percent | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 4.2 |

Had taught most recently at school with percentage of students in the school lunch program ( $\dagger$ )

| Less than 15 percent | 2.4 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 7.4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-29$ percent | 2.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 5.7 |
| $30-49$ percent | 2.2 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 7.7 |
| $50-74$ percent | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.4 |
| $75-100$ percent | 1.9 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 2.2 |  |
| Teaching status in 1997 | $(\boldsymbol{+})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Still teaching | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.1 |  |
| No longer teaching | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 4.1 |

$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Baccalaureate and Beyond Longitudinal Study, "Second Follow-up" (BBB:1993/1997).

## Academic Background of College Graduates Who Enter and Leave Teaching

## TableS31-3 Standard errors forthe percentage distribution of all 1992-93 bachelor's degree recipients and those who taught in elementary or secondary schools before 1997 according to whether their college entrance examination scores were available, and the percentage whose available

 scores were in the top half of their peer group, by selected characteristics of teachers' careers: 1997| Characteristics of K-12 teachers' careers | Of all graduates, percentage with scores |  | Top half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unavailable | Available |  |
| Total of all 1992-93 graduates | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| By 1997 had taught |  |  |  |
| Only in public schools | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Only in private schools | 2.7 | 2.7 | 5.5 |
| Both in public and private schools | 6.5 | 6.5 | 8.4 |
| By 1997 had taught |  |  |  |
| Only in elementary school(s) | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| Only in secondary school(s) | 3.4 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| Only in combined school(s) | 4.7 | 4.7 | 8.4 |
| In a mix of these school levels | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.7 |
| Had taught most recently in |  |  |  |
| Central city | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| Urban fringe or large town | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| Small town or rural area | 2.2 | 2.2 | 3.5 |
| Had taught most recently at school with enrollment of |  |  |  |
| Less than 300 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| 300-999 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| 1,000 or more | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.2 |

Had taught most recently at school
with minority enrollment of

| Less than 10 percent | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10-24$ percent | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.5 |
| $25-49$ percent | 2.9 | 4.9 |  |
| $50-75$ percent | 3.6 | 3.6 | 5.7 |
| More than 75 percent | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.7 |

Had taught most recently at school with percentage
of students in the school lunch program

| Less than 15 percent | 2.3 | 2.3 | 4.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-29$ percent | 4.5 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| $30-49$ percent | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| $50-74$ percent | 4.6 | 4.6 | 6.6 |
| $75-100$ percent | 11.1 | 11.1 | 10.2 |
| Teaching status in $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ <br> Still teaching | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| No longer teaching | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.2 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Baccalaureate and Beyond Longitudinal Study, "Second Follow-up" (BBB:1993/1997).

## Educational Background of Teachers

TableS32-1 Standarderrors for the percentage distributions of full-time public and private school teacherswho held variousdegrees, byteacher and school characteristics: 1999-2000

| Teacher and school characteristics | Public |  |  |  | Private |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than bachelor's degree | Bachelor's degree | Master's degree | Higher than master's degree | Less than bachelor's degree | Bachelor's degree | Master's degree | Higher than master's degree |
| Total | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Years of teaching experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 10-19 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 20 or more | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Black | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | ve 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| School level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Combined | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Secondary | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 300 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 300-999 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1,000 or more | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Midwest | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| South | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| West | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 10-24 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 25-49 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 50-75 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| More than 75 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch

| Less than 15 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-29$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| $30-49$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| $50-74$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| $75-100$ | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |


| Percent of students with <br> limited English proficiency <br> Less than 1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-10$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| More than 10 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

[^9]
## Educational Background of Teachers

TableS32-2 Standard errors for the number and percentage distribution of full-time elementary public and private school teachers according to undergraduate and graduate majors in various fields of study, by teacher characteristics: 1999-2000

| Teacher characteristics | Number of teachers | Major field of study |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Subject area |  |  | General education | Other education |
|  |  | Academic subject | Specialization in education | Total |  |  |
|  | For undergraduate or graduate degree |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 17,102 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| School where currently teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 16,402 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Private | 3,006 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Years of teaching experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 or fewer years | 8,208 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| 4 to 9 years | 10,301 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| 10 to 19 years | 9,463 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 20 or more years | 12,355 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  | For underg | degree |  |  |
| Total | 16,936 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| School where currently teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 16,245 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Private | 3,009 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Years of teaching experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 or fewer | 8,213 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| 4 to 9 | 10,305 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| 10 to 19 | 9,476 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 20 or more | 12,326 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  | For grad | gree |  |  |
| Total | 13,370 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| School where currently teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 13,040 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Private | 1,612 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Years of teaching experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 or fewer | 3,157 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| 4 to 9 | 6,216 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 10 to 19 | 6,159 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 20 or more | 9,394 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 |

[^10]
## Educational Background of Teachers

TableS32-3 Standard errors for the number and percentage distribution of full-time secondary public and private school teachers according to undergraduate and graduate majors in variousfields of study, by teacher characteristics: 1999-2000

| Teacher characteristics | Number of teachers | Major field of study |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Academic subject | Subject area Specialization in education | Total | General education | Other education |
|  | For undergraduate or graduate degree |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10,972 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| School where currently teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 10,766 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Private | 2,277 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Years of teaching experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 or fewer years | 3,044 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 4 to 9 years | 3,806 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 10 to 19 years | 4,087 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 20 or more years | 6,038 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  | For underg | degree |  |  |
| Total | 10,881 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| School where currently teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 10,662 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Private | 2,285 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Years of teaching experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 or fewer | 3,027 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 4 to 9 | 3,753 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 10 to 19 | 4,032 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 20 or more | 6,012 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  | For grad | gree |  |  |
| Total | 7,140 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| School where currently teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 6,973 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Private | 1,325 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Years of teaching experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 or fewer | 1,368 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| 4 to 9 | 2,225 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 10 to 19 | 2,476 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| 20 or more | 4,828 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

[^11]
## Participation in Professional Development

Table S33-1 Standard errors for the percentage of public school teachers who participated in professional development activities in various content areas during the past 12 months, by focus of activity and hours of participation: 2000

|  | Total participating <br> in activity | Hours of participation |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Focus of professional development activity | $\mathbf{1 - 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 - 3 2}$ | More than 32 |  |
| Teachers participating in any professional development activity | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{( t )}$ | $\mathbf{( t )}$ | $\mathbf{( t )}$ |
| State or district curriculum and performance standards | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Integration of educational technology in the grade or subject you teach | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| In-depth study in the subject area of your main teaching assignment | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| New methods of teaching (e.g., cooperative learning) | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Student performance assessment | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Addressing the needs of students with disabilities | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Encouraging parental and community involvement | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Classroom management, including student discipline | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Addressing the needs of students from diverse cultural backgrounds | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Addressing the needs of students with limited English proficiency | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: US. Department of Education, NCES. Fast Response Survey System (FRSS), "Survey on Professional Development and Training in U.S. Public Schools," FRSS 74, 1999- 2000.

TableS33-2 Standard errors for the percentage of public school teachers who participated in various collaborative activities during the past 12 months, by focus of activity and frequency of participation: 2000

|  |  |  | Frequency of participation |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^12]
## Participation in Professional Development

| Focus of professional development activity | Improved classroom teaching |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A lot | Moderately | Somewhat | Not at all |
| State or district curriculum and performance standards |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| More than 8 hours | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Integration of educational technology in the grade or subject you teach |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| More than 8 hours | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| In-depth study in the subject area of your main teaching assignment |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| More than 8 hours | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | (\#) |
| New methods of teaching (e.g., cooperative learning) |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| More than 8 hours | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Student performance assessment |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| More than 8 hours | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.7 |
| Addressing the needs of students with disabilities |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| More than 8 hours | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Encouraging parental and community involvement |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 0.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| More than 8 hours | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| Classroom management, including student discipline |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| More than 8 hours | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| Addressing the needs of students from diverse cultural backgrounds |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| More than 8 hours | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Addressing the needs of students with limited English proficiency |  |  |  |  |
| 1-8 hours | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| More than 8 hours | 3.5 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 0.9 |

\#foo small to report.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). Teacher Preparation and Professional Development: 2000 (NCES 2001- 088).

## Participation in Professional Development

Table S33-4 Standard errors for the percentage of public school teachers indicating the extent to which participation in various collaborative activities during the past 12 months improved their teaching, by focus of activity and frequency of participation: 2000

| Focus of collaborative activity | Improved classroom teaching |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A lot | Moderately | Somewhat | Not at all |
| Regularly scheduled collaboration with other teacher(s), excluding meetings held for administrative purposes |  |  |  |  |
| At least once a week | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| 2 to 3 times a month | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| Once a month | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| A few times a year | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| Networking with teachers outside the school |  |  |  |  |
| At least once a week | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 0.8 |
| 2 to 3 times a month | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 0.6 |
| Once a month | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| A few times a year | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Common planning periods for team teachers |  |  |  |  |
| At least once a week | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| 2 to 3 times a month | 3.9 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| Once a month | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 2.0 |
| A few times a year | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
| Individual or collaborative research on a topic of interest professionally |  |  |  |  |
| At least once a week | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| 2 to 3 times a month | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.1 | (\#) |
| Once a month | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 0.4 |
| A few times a year | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Mentoring another teacher in a formal relationship |  |  |  |  |
| At least once a week | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| 2 to 3 times a month | 2.9 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.2 |
| Once a month | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 3.4 |
| A few times a year | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.8 |
| Being mentored by another teacher in a formal relationship |  |  |  |  |
| At least once a week | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.9 | (\#) |
| 2 to 3 times a month | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.4 |
| Once a month | 5.6 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 2.2 |
| A few times a year | 2.2 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.5 |

## \#roo small to report.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). Teacher Preparation and Professional Development: 2000 (NCES 2001- 088).

## Student Victimization

Table S34-1 Standard errorsfor the percentage of students ages 12-18 who reported criminal victimization at school, by student characteristics: 1999

| Student characteristic | Victimization |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any | Violent | Property |
| Total | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Female | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Black | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Hispanic | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Other | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| Grade level |  |  |  |
| 6-8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 9-10 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 11-12 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Family household income |  |  |  |
| Less than \$15,000 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| \$15,000-29,999 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| \$30,000-49,999 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| \$50,000-74,999 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| \$75,000 or more | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Place of residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Suburban | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Rural | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Type of school attended |  |  |  |
| Public | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Private | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Educatio | 2002-1 |  |  |

## Student Victimization

TableS34-2 Standard errorsfor the percentage of studentsages 12-18 who reported criminal victimization at school, by perception of conditions at school: 1999

|  |  | Victimization |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Perceptions of conditions at school | Any | Violent | Property |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ |
| Student reports street gangs at school <br> Yes | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| No | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Do not know | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Student reports knowing a student who brought a gun to school <br> Yes | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| No | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Student reports seeing a student with a gun at school | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Yes | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| No | (\#) | (\#) | (\#) |
| Do not know |  |  |  |

\#loo small to report.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (forthcoming). Are America's Schools Safe? Kids Speak Out (NCES 2002- 146).

## Undergraduate Diversity

## TableS35-1 Standard errors for the percentage distribution of undergraduates according to selected student characteristics, by institution type: 1999-

 2000| Student characteristics | Total | 4-year total | Public 4-year |  | Private not-for-profit |  | Public 2-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Doctoral | Nondoctoral | Doctoral | Nondoctoral |  |
| Total | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Female | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| Black | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Hispanic | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 and under | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 19-23 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| 24-29 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| 30-39 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 40 and above | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Average age | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Dependency status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Independent | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Respondent has dependents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Yes | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Single parent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Yes | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| Part-time | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| Not working | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Disability status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No disability | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Disability or difficulty | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citizens | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Student and parent(s) foreign-born | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Only parent(s) foreign-born | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| All other citizens | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Permanent residents | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Foreign students with visa | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

See footnotes at end of table.

## Undergraduate Diversity

## Table S35-1 Standard errors for the percentage distribution of undergraduates according to selected student characteristics, by institution type: 1999-2000- Continued

| Student characteristics | Total | 4-year total | Public 4-year |  | Private not-for-profit |  | Public <br> 2-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Doctoral | Nondoctoral | Doctoral | Nondoctoral |  |
| Home language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Other than English | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Part-time | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Delayed enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did not delay | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Delayed | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| High school attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school diploma | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| GED or other equivalency | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| High school completion certificate | (\#) | (\#) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| No diploma or equivalent | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

[^13]
## Undergraduate Diversity

TableS35-2 Standard errors forthe percentage distribution of undergraduates according to selected student characteristics, by institution type: 1989-90

| Student characteristics | Total | 4-year total | Public 4-year |  | Private not-for-profit |  | Public 2-year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Doctoral | Nondoctoral | Doctoral | Nondoctoral |  |
| Total | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Female | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| Black | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Hispanic | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 and under | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 19-23 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| 24-29 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 30-39 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 40 and above | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Average age | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Dependency status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| Independent | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| Respondent has dependents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Yes | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Part-time | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| Not working | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| High school attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school diploma | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| GED or other equivalency | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| High school completion certificate | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| No diploma or equivalent | 0.2 | (\#) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

\#0o small to report.
$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:1990).

## Perceived Impact of Work on Postsecondary Learning

Table S37-1 Standard errorsfor the percentage distribution of undergraduates according to hours worked per week and average hours worked, by how they identified their primary role, their attendance intensity, and type of institution: 1999-2000

| Characteristic |  | Hours worked per week |  |  |  |  | Average hours per week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Did not work | 1-15 | 16-20 | 24-34 | 35 or more |  |
| Total | ( $\dagger$ ) | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Primary role |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student who works | 0.5 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Employee who studies | 0.5 | ( $\dagger$ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Student only (does not work) | 0.4 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Attendance intensity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusively full-time | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Exclusively part-time | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Mixed | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Type of institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public 4-year | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Private not-for-profit 4-year | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Public 2-year | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 |

$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:2000).

## Student Participation in Distance Education

TableS38-1 Standard errors for the percentage of undergraduateswho participated in distance education classes at postsecondary institutions, by type of institution and selected student characteristics: 1999-2000

| Selected student characteristics | Total | 2-year public | 4-year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Public | Private not-for-profit |
| Total | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Female | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 or less | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| More than 24 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Attendance status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Part-time | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Student role |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students who do not work | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Students who work | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Employees who study | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Hours per week worked |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 20 or more | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Distance from home |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 miles or less | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| More than 30 miles | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:2000).

TableS38-2 Standard errors for the percentage of master's students who participated in distance education classes at postsecondary institutions, and percentage of participants with various experiences with distance education: 1999-2000

| Distance education characteristics | Total | Education master's degree | MBA | Other master's degree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total percentage participating | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Percentage of participants |  |  |  |  |
| Type of distance education |  |  |  |  |
| Live TV/audio | 2.0 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 2.4 |
| Prerecorded audio/TV | 1.9 | 3.1 | 5.8 | 2.5 |
| Internet | 2.4 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| Entire program available through distance education | 2.2 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 2.6 |
| Level of satisfaction with distance education classes compared with regular classes |  |  |  |  |
| Total | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| More satisfied | 1.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| Equally satisfied | 2.0 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 2.9 |
| Less satisfied | 1.7 | 2.2 | 5.7 | 2.4 |

$\dagger$ Not applicable.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:2000).

## Parents' Attitudes Toward Schools

## Table S40-1 Standard errors for the percentage of children in grades3-12 with parents who were very satisfied with variousaspects of the school theirchild attends, by selected family characteristics: 1993 and 1999

|  | Child's school |  | Child's teachers |  | School's <br> academic standards |  | School's order and discipline |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | 1993 | 1999 | 1993 | 1999 | 1993 | 1999 | 1993 | 1999 |
| Total | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Black | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Hispanic | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
| Other | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 2.4 |
| Household income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 or less | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| \$10,001-20,000 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
| \$20,001-35,000 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| \$35,001-50,000 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| More than \$50,000 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Parents' highest education level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.8 |
| High school diploma or equivalent | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Some college, including vocational/technical | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Bachelor's degree | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| Graduate/professional degree | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Family structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two biological/adoptive parents | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| One biological/adoptive parent | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| One biological/adoptive and one stepparent | 33.9 | 1.6 | 23.6 | 1.4 | 25.8 | 1.4 | 31.8 | 1.4 |
| Other relatives/step- or foster parents | 6.6 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 3.1 | 6.4 | 3.5 |
| Urbanicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Live inside urban area | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Live outside urban area | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
| Rural | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Household Education Surveys Program (NHES), "School Safety and Discipine" survey, 1993 and "Parent Interview" survey, 1999.

## Net Price of College Attendance

Table S44-1 Standarderrorsfor the percentage of full-time, full-year dependent undergraduatesreceiving differenttypes of grants, by type of institution and family income:Academicyear 1999-2000

| Type of institution <br> and family income | Total <br> grants | Total <br> federal <br> grants | Total <br> state <br> Total | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


[^0]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^1]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2001). The Nation's Report Card: Fourth-Grade Reading 2000 (NCES 2001-499), and unpublished data from the NAEP 2000 Grade 4 Reading Assessment, provided

[^2]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. (2000). NAEP 1999 Trends in Academic Progress: Three Decades of Student Performance (NCES 2000-469) and National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),
    1999 Long-Term Trend Assessment, unpublished data produced by the Educational Testing Service

[^3]:    \#Standard error estimates cannot be accurately determined.

[^4]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. March Current Population Surveys, 1972-2001.

[^5]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^6]:    SOURCE: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research. Monitoring the Future 12 $2^{\text {th }}$ Grade Study: 1983, 1990, 1995, and 2000.

[^7]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. October Current Population Surveys, 1972-2000.

[^8]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study," First Follow- up" (BPS:1996/1998),

[^9]:    \#too few sample cases.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Schools and Stafing Survey (SASS),"Public, Public Charter, and Private School and Teacher Surveys," 1999-2000.

[^10]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS), "Public, Public Charter, and Private School Teacher Surveys," 1999-2000.

[^11]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS), "Public, Public Charter, and Private School Teacher Surveys," 1999-2000.

[^12]:    $\dagger$ Not applicable.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. Fast Response Survey System (FRSS), "Survey on Professional Development and Training in U.S. Public Schools," FRSS 74, 1999- 2000.

[^13]:    \#roo small to report.
    $\dagger$ Not applicable.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NCES. National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:2000).

