
Prospectus for Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.6

Analyses of the Effects of Global Change on Human Health and Welfare and Human Systems

Lead Agency: Environmental Protection Agency
Supporting Agencies: Department of Energy
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Institutes of Health

1. Overview: Description of Topics, Questions to be Addressed, and Audience

1.1 Description of Topics

The Strategic Plan of the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) calls for the preparation of 21 synthesis and assessment products (SAPs) to support policy making and adaptive management across a range of issues. Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.6 addresses the effects of global change on human health, human welfare, and human settlements. The impacts of climate variability, climate change and shifting patterns of land use are a human problem, not simply a problem for the natural or the physical world. Therefore, this Product will focus on examining the vulnerability of human health and socioeconomic systems to global change. The potential impacts of environmental changes on human systems will be characterized by focusing on three core areas of impact and adaptation: human health, human welfare and human settlements.

The three topics are fundamentally linked, but are unique dimensions of global change. Human health is one of the most basic and direct measures of human welfare. However, the concept of human welfare encompasses a much broader array of economic and quality of life impacts. Further, the impact of global change on human health and welfare will depend greatly on changing settlement patterns in the United States over the coming decades. Therefore, the Product will cover the distinct scientific research in each area and illustrate the connections between them.

1.1.a. Effects of Global Change on Human Health

Health effects associated with global change are wide-ranging and occur via pathways of varying directness, scale and complexity. Timely knowledge of human health impacts may support our public health infrastructure in devising and implementing strategies to prevent, compensate or respond to these effects. Over the past decade, several research and agenda-setting efforts have called for continued and expanded research and development of methods in this area. These potential effects have been described in recent assessments from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Third Assessment Report (IPCC, 2001), World Health Organization reports (WHO, 2003; WHO, 2003), a report from the National Research Council (NRC, 2001) and the U.S. National Assessment (EHP, 2001; NAST, 2000). Given the complex interactions

1 among physical, biological, and human systems, this research requires well-integrated
2 interdisciplinary approaches that span the breadth from fundamental research to applications.

3
4 The most comprehensive assessment to date of the potential impacts of climate variability and
5 change on human health in the United States was published in 2000 as part of the First National
6 Assessment of the Potential Impacts of Climate Variability and Change undertaken by the U.S.
7 Global Change Research Program. This Health Sector Assessment (HSA) examined potential
8 impacts and identified research and data gaps to be addressed in future research. Its results
9 appeared in a special issue of *Environmental Health Perspectives* (May 2001). The HSA
10 focused on four questions:

- 11 1. What is the current status and what are the current stresses on the nation's health?
- 12 2. How might climate variability and change exacerbate or ameliorate current or potential
13 future public health stressors?
- 14 3. What is the country's capacity to adapt to climate variability and change?
- 15 4. What essential knowledge gaps must be filled to fully understand the potential human
16 health impacts of climate variability and change in the U.S.?

17 Each question was evaluated for five categories of health stressors: extreme heat and cold;
18 extreme weather events (e.g. storms and floods); air pollution health effects; water- and food-
19 borne diseases; and vector- and rodent-borne diseases. The HSA assessed the cumulative impact
20 of likely future changes in exposure (i.e., regions and associated populations that are affected),
21 sensitivity (how those affected respond), and adaptation (structural and behavioral responses in
22 response to or anticipation of changes).

23
24 The Health Sector Assessment concluded that:

- 25 ■ "populations in Northeastern and Midwestern U.S. cities are likely to experience the greatest
26 number of illnesses and deaths in response to changes in summer temperatures" (McGeehin
27 and Mirabelli, 2001)
- 28 ■ "the health impacts of extreme weather events hinge on the vulnerabilities and recovery
29 capabilities of the natural environment and the local population" (Greenough et al., 2001)
- 30 ■ "if the climate becomes warmer and more variable, air quality is likely to be affected.
31 However, the specific types of changes ... are a matter of speculation. . . ." (Bernard et al.,
32 2001)
- 33 ■ "federal and state laws and regulatory programs protect much of the U.S. population from
34 water-borne disease; however, if climate variability increases, current and future deficiencies
35 in areas such as watershed protection, infrastructure, and storm drainage systems will
36 probably increase the risk of contamination events" (Rose et al., 2001)
- 37 ■ it is unlikely that vector- and rodent-borne diseases "will cause major epidemics in the
38 United States if the public health infrastructure is maintained and improved" (Gubler et al.,
39 2001)
- 40 ■ "multiple levels of uncertainty preclude any definitive statement on the direction of potential
41 future change for each of the health outcomes assessed." (Patz et al., 2000)

42 Finally, the HSA found that much of the U.S. population is protected against adverse health
43 outcomes associated with weather and/or climate by existing public health and medical care
44 systems, although certain demographic and geographic populations are at increased risk.

45

1 Section One of the Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.6 will expand the focus on impacts of
2 global change on human health to include an examination of the adaptive strategies that have
3 been or are expected to be developed by the public health community in response to the
4 challenges and opportunities posed by climate variability and change.

6 **1.1.b. Effects of Global Change on Human Welfare**

8 Section Two of the report will focus on the relationship between global change and human
9 welfare. Previous assessments have identified potential impacts across a range of sensitive
10 natural systems that affect quality of life (NAST, 2001). For example, global change is expected
11 to impact the hydrologic cycle, sea level, regional climates and unique habitat, which in turn
12 have implications for water quality, coastal property, air quality and endangered species.
13 However, while many changes have clearly negative impacts, some are more benign or even
14 positive. Human welfare is a fundamental way to conceptualize the net impact of such changes.

16 Human welfare is also defined by distinct categories that will help organize the assessment. For
17 example, previous EPA guidance on preparing economic analyses provides a classification
18 scheme for ecological benefits that distinguishes between direct and indirect effects. In turn,
19 direct effects reflected in markets are distinguished from non-market effects (EPA 2000, pg. 70).
20 These three categories --- market, non-market and indirect --- can also be used as a framework to
21 examine the state of research on global change and human welfare.

23 Some core aspects of quality of life are expressed directly in markets through income,
24 consumption, personal wealth, corporate profits and, therefore, are easier to measure. Some of
25 these direct market-based impacts will likely be addressed in other Synthesis and Assessment
26 Products. The focus on human welfare in SAP 4.6 is on non-market effects. Although, some of
27 these aspects of human welfare are difficult to measure and value (Mendelsohn et al., 1999;
28 EPA, 1995), others can be measured in economic terms. For example, a wide range of climate-
29 sensitive natural amenities directly impact quality of life. These amenities have an economic
30 value reflected in property values and the allocation of people's limited leisure time. Both
31 represent revealed consumer preferences that have been widely measured using well-developed
32 methods such as hedonic price models and travel cost estimation (EPA, 2000). This section of
33 the report will examine the literature and research gaps in this area as they relate to global
34 change's impact on natural amenities not directly traded in markets, but whose value can be
35 quantified.

37 On the other hand, many core values central to quality of life are more difficult to quantify in
38 monetary terms, but have been empirically examined and measured by researchers. For
39 example, rather than simply measure "loss of life" in monetary terms, researchers have
40 developed concepts such as *quality adjusted life years* (QALY's). Other concepts such as equity
41 reflect core quality of life values that are applied to the community rather than to the individual
42 level. The Product will examine the relevant research addressing these more difficult-to-quantify
43 aspects of human welfare that are tied to climate-sensitive amenities. This will have important
44 linkages to the human settlements section that follows, since many of these difficult to quantify
45 aspects of human welfare are tied to communities, neighborhoods and social networks.

1.1.c. Effects of Global Change on Human Settlements

Scaling up from individuals, human “systems” may be characterized in a variety of ways. In this third section of the report, we will focus on human settlements. The IPCC Third Assessment Report (IPCC, 2001) concludes that settlements are among the human systems that are the most sensitive to climate variability and change. For example, projected changes in climate extremes could have devastating consequences for human settlements that are vulnerable to droughts and wildfires, floods and storm surge, heat waves, avalanches, land slides, and windstorms. While specific changes in these extreme conditions as a result of climate change cannot yet be predicted with great certainty, climate change is expected to increase the frequency and severity of some if not all of these types of events in different regions.

The emphasis here on human settlements will be distinct from the emphasis, in the previous sections, on the health and welfare of the inhabitants of these settlements. Aggregating populations in specific types of communities in specific regions introduces new vulnerabilities and resiliencies in the face of global change. The focus of this section will be on the interaction between the characteristics of these settlements and the various climate and environmental stressors. For example, many of our most valuable landscapes for development are also our most vulnerable. Similarly, the most inherently vulnerable individuals in our society are also often bound the most tightly to place, limiting their adaptive capacity even further.

There will be a particular focus on urban and highly-developed population centers in the U.S. This focus is consistent with the recommendation from the First National Assessment on priorities for future assessments (NAST, 2001). Simply because of their high density, urban areas multiply human risk, and this is compounded by their relatively high proportions of the very old, the very young, and the poor. In addition, because of the scale of their built environments, transportation networks, and energy and resource demands, urban areas can exacerbate their own vulnerability to externally imposed environmental change – one example is the potential for increased heat-related morbidity and mortality as a function of both a warmer climate and a more intense urban heat island effect. The Metro East Coast Assessment (one of the First National Assessment’s regional assessments; Rosenzweig, et al., 2001), with its focus on densely settled areas along the northeast coast of the U.S., found a broad range of vulnerabilities to projected climate change impacts, including: more severe storm surges, beach erosion, and flooding risk to low-level transportation infrastructure; stressed water resource management systems and sewer and drinking water infrastructure; increased risk of heat-related illness; increased energy demands to cope with warming; and increased potential for sudden extreme events requiring large-scale and well-organized emergency management preparations and responses.

The importance of urban centers and their near surroundings are only expected to grow over time, as they are the locus for much of the new development and population growth in the U.S. Furthermore, a disproportionate share of this urban growth is expected to concentrate population in areas, like the Inter-Mountain West or the Gulf Coast, which are inherently more vulnerable to environmental change. Such trends have the potential to, over time, be maladaptive – the idea that human settlements are likely to be among the sectors that could be “most easily adapted to climate change, given appropriate planning and foresight and appropriate technical, institutional and political capacity” (IPCC, 2001) may become less and less valid.

1
2 In this context, the major focus of this portion of the report will be on high density (and/or
3 rapidly growing) settlements and the potential for changes over time in their place-based (e.g.,
4 climatic regime, elevation, proximity to coasts and rivers, etc.) and/or form-based (e.g.,
5 sprawling, compact, etc.) vulnerability to climate-related effects such as heat waves, drought and
6 water supply limitations, wild fire, extreme precipitation, tornadoes, mudslides, wind and storm
7 surge damage, flooding, and other stressors.

8 9 **1.2 Questions to be Addressed**

10 11 **1.2.a. Questions regarding Human Health Impacts**

12
13 The Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.6 will provide a timely update to the 2000 Health
14 Sector Assessment (Patz et al., 2001) while exploring new ground through analyses of the
15 prevention, control, and treatment strategies that may be applied to the potential health impacts
16 of climate change. Lessons learned from domestic and, to a lesser degree, international studies
17 will be incorporated.

18
19 The human health impacts section will be organized in two parts and will incorporate questions
20 derived from those that appear in Chapter 9 of the CCSP *Research Strategy*. The key focus for
21 Product 4.6 is summarized in the following question:

22 *What are the potential human health effects of global environmental change, and what*
23 *climate, socioeconomic, and environmental information is needed to assess the*
24 *cumulative risk to health in the United States from these effects and to inform*
25 *adaptations in the provision of public health and health care interventions?*

26
27 **Human Health Part 1: IMPACTS.** The first part focuses on an assessment of the potential
28 impacts in the U.S. of global environmental change (especially climate variability and change)
29 on four health endpoints: water-borne illnesses, vector- and rodent-borne illnesses, human
30 morbidity and mortality associated with changes in air quality (incorporating results from recent
31 and ongoing assessments of the impacts of climate change on air quality), and human morbidity
32 and mortality associated with extreme weather and temperature extremes.

33
34 For each of the four health endpoints, the assessment will address, but not be limited to, the
35 following topics:

- 36 1) What are the potential impacts of global changes, especially changes in climate
37 variability and change, in the United States?
- 38 2) Where possible, assess the potential indirect effects, such as impacts on quality of life or
39 on economic outcomes.
- 40 3) What research or data gaps exist, that if bridged, would allow significant advances in the
41 assessment of impacts of global change on human health?

42
43 **Human Health Part 2: ADAPTATION.** The second part of the human health section of the
44 report will focus on adaptation to the potential impacts of environmental change on human health
45 in the United States. The topics that will be considered may include, but not be limited to, the
46 following:

- 1) Assess adaptation efforts (including prevention, response, or treatment strategies) either presently underway or considered for responding to the human health impacts of climate variability and change in the United States.
- 2) What scientific information do public health decision makers require to develop effective adaptation responses in the U.S.?
- 3) What are the best methods for developing and evaluating tools and information products designed to enhance public health adaptations and support effective decision making?
- 4) How can the capacity of public health and societal infrastructure in the U.S. be improved to prevent, detect, and effectively respond to health impacts associated with environmental change?
- 5) What research or data gaps exist, that if bridged, would allow significant advances in the evaluation of adaptation of strategies for protecting human health in response to the challenges and opportunities posed by global change?

1.2.b. Questions regarding Human Welfare Impacts

The human welfare section of the report will focus on impacts related to changes in climate and land use. The core questions will also be based on issues highlighted in Chapter 9 of the CCSP *Research Strategy*. The key question regarding Human Welfare Impacts is framed in Question 9.2 of the CCSP Research Strategy:

What are the current and potential future impacts of global environmental variability and change on human welfare, what factors influence the capacity of human societies to respond to change, and how can resilience be increased and vulnerability reduced?

Human Welfare Part 1: IMPACTS. This section will explore research on the **human welfare impacts** of global change with the following questions as a starting point:

- 1) How might the combined effect of climate change, climate variability, and evolving patterns of land use alter key aspects of Americans' quality of life? Specifically, the section will examine non-market measures of human welfare associated with the following issues:
 - health-related quality of life;
 - recreational opportunities and the experience of recreational resources impacted by changing and variable climate conditions;
 - e.g., skiing in areas where climate change reduces annual snowfall or recreational fishing in water bodies whose temperature has been impacted by climate change
 - changes in aesthetic and recreational experience related to impacted species and altered habitats;
 - aesthetic experience of cities, infrastructure, and ecosystems that are subject to increased extreme weather events;
 - the impact of these aesthetic changes on property values and employment growth, and, in turn, changes in public sector revenues tied to these socioeconomic trends
 - aesthetic and property value impacts related to changes in air quality
 - recreational enjoyment and or changes in property value associated with air quality degradation

- 1 ▪ aesthetic and property value impacts related to changes in water quality;
 - 2 ○ recreational desirability
- 3 ▪ aesthetic quality and ability to preserve unique human settlements and vulnerable
- 4 ecosystems in the face of extreme weather events and an altered landscape.

5 Recent and ongoing assessments of the potential impacts of climate change on air quality and on
6 human health, water quality and ecosystems will quantify effects and allow for the valuation of
7 various measures of human welfare.

8
9 2) How might the distribution of the types of human welfare impacts described above vary
10 across socioeconomic groups (e.g. age, income, race)? In particular, are some groups more
11 vulnerable to the impacts of global change?

12
13 3) How may methods be refined to more comprehensively assess the non-market human welfare
14 impacts related to the broad range of potential global environmental changes?

15
16 4) What are the important research gaps that, if addressed, would allow for better
17 characterization of the human welfare impacts of global change?

18
19 **Human Welfare Part 2: ADAPTATION.** The second part of the section will focus on
20 adaptation to these potential human welfare impacts. This section will address, but not be
21 limited to, the following topics:

22
23 1) What adaptation efforts (including prevention and response strategies) are presently
24 underway or under consideration that respond to the human welfare impacts of climate change,
25 climate variability, and evolving patterns of land use in the United States?

26
27 2) Do these adaptation efforts adequately serve the unique needs of socioeconomic groups that
28 might be more vulnerable to the effects of environmental change (e.g. seniors, low-income
29 households)?

30
31 3) What scientific information do decision makers require to develop effective adaptation
32 responses? In particular, what strategies or policies minimize quality of life impacts related to
33 global change and attempt, where possible, to improve human welfare in the face of such forces?

34
35 4) What are the best methods for developing and evaluating decision support tools or
36 information products designed to enhance human welfare adaptations and support effective
37 decision making?

38
39 5) How can the capacity of public and community infrastructure be improved to prevent, detect,
40 and effectively respond to impacts associated with environmental change?

41
42 6) What important research gaps exist in this field that if addressed would allow for better
43 understanding of adaptation of human welfare to the impacts of climate change, climate
44 variability, and evolving patterns of land use?

1.2.c. Questions regarding Human Settlements Impacts

The third section of the report will focus on the impacts on human settlements of climate variability and change and land use change. The Human Settlements Impacts section will incorporate questions derived from those appearing in Chapter 9 of the CCSP *Research Strategy*.

Human Settlements Part 1: IMPACTS. This section will address, but not be limited to, the following questions on the **impacts** of climate variability and change and land use change on human settlements:

1) What are the current and potential future impacts of climate variability and change on human settlements in the U.S., in particular urban population centers and highly-developed areas?

2) How do the distribution of the types of human health and welfare impacts vary across distinct community types; (e.g. central city, suburban, exurban neighborhoods; coastal vs. inland cities; small, medium, and large metropolitan regions)? Are some places more vulnerable to the impacts of global change?

3) How might potential future changes in the patterns of development and consumption alter the characteristics of U.S. settlements? These include:

- Physiographic (e.g., coastal, mountain, arid region, floodplain, etc.)
- Physical (e.g., size, urban heat island, impermeable surface, green space, etc.)
- Socioeconomic characteristics (e.g., water and energy demand, travel behavior, industrial activity, etc.).

How may these changes influence the capacity of human settlements to respond to global change?

4) How might the combination of these changing settlement patterns with climate variability and change affect resource management (e.g., water, fish, agriculture, forestry, and natural reserves), coastal zone management, and the effectiveness of public environmental and infrastructure programs in the U.S.?

5) How might the combination of these changing settlement patterns with climate variability and change alter the distribution of human health and welfare impacts across socioeconomic groups? Will some groups become more vulnerable to global change due to changes in mobility, access to health care, and access to emergency services?

6) What are the important research gaps that, if addressed, would allow for better characterization of the impacts of climate variability and change on human settlements in the U.S.?

Human Settlements Part 2: ADAPTATION. The second part of the human settlements section will focus on **adaptation** to the potential impacts of environmental change on human settlements in the U.S. The following questions, and others identified by the authors, will be addressed:

1) What are the adaptation efforts (including prevention and response strategies) either presently underway or being considered for responding to the impacts of climate variability and change on U.S. settlements?

2) To what extent do these strategies address social justice issues associated with the unique needs of different socioeconomic groups?

3) What scientific information do decision makers require to develop effective adaptation responses?

4) What are the best methods for developing and evaluating tools and information products designed to enhance human settlement adaptations and support effective decision making?

5) How can the capacity of societal infrastructure be improved to increase resilience and reduce vulnerability of human settlements to global change?

6) What are the important research gaps that, if addressed, would allow for better understanding of effective adaptation to climate variability and change in evolving human settlements in the U.S.?

1.3 Audience and Intended Use

Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.6 is designed to serve decision makers interested in using science to inform adaptations to the impacts of climate variability and change and land use change in the utilization and distribution of public health resources and health care services, in the understanding and advancing of human welfare, and in the planning and management of human settlements in the U.S. The goal is to provide factual information on the impacts of environmental change on human health, human welfare, and human settlements to public health authorities and other public planning and resource management entities to allow for well-coordinated responses to the impacts of global change. The report will be useful for shaping the future development and evaluation of decision support activities, particularly with regard to improving the interactions between the scientific research community and the public planning and resource management communities.

2. Contact Information and Role of Lead Agency

2.1 Contact Information

Contact information for responsible individuals at lead and supporting agencies are as follows.

Agency / Participants	Email	Telephone
<u>Lead:</u> EPA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Janet Gamble ▪ Anne Grambsch ▪ John Thomas ▪ Chris Weaver (AAAS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> gamble.janet@epa.gov grambsch.anne@epa.gov thomas.john@epa.gov weaver.chris@epa.gov 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 202-564-3387 202-564-3221 202-564-3391 202-564-0900

1

DOE ▪ John Houghton	John.Houghton@science.doe.gov	301-903-8288
NASA ▪ Lawrence Friedl	lfriedl@hq.nasa.gov	202-358-1599
NOAA ▪ Caitlin Simpson	Caitlin.Simpson@noaa.gov	301-427-2345
NIH/CDC / Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects ▪ Michael McGeehin	michael.mcgeehin@cdc.hhs.gov	770-488-3400
NIH/NIEHS/National Toxicology Program ▪ Allen Dearry	dearry@niehs.nih.gov	919-541-3068

2

3 2.2 Lead Agency Roles and Responsibilities

4

5 The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is the lead agency for this synthesis product with
6 Department of Energy (DOE), National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA),
7 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and National Institutes of Health
8 (NIH) as the supporting agencies. Because EPA is the lead agency, the product will be subject to
9 EPA guidelines for implementing the Information Quality Act (IQA) and for meeting the
10 requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). EPA is responsible for
11 coordinating the acquisition of the authors' time and travel as needed, except for those authors
12 that are employed by federal agencies.

13

14 3. Authors

15

16 As the Convening Lead Author, the Environmental Protection Agency will be responsible for
17 compiling and synthesizing the contributions from the authors listed in this Prospectus to
18 produce the final 4.6 Report. The final report will undergo a FACA committee review as well as
19 all other reviews called for in the U.S. Climate Change Science Program guidelines.

20

21 The lead and supporting agencies have prepared a list of nominees for Lead Authors, based on
22 interest in this product and a record of accomplishments in the relevant fields of expertise.
23 Biographical information for the Lead Author nominees is included in Section 3.3.

24

25 3.1 Lead Authors, Contributing Authors and Required Expertise

26

27 The writing team will include three Lead Authors. These authors will each be responsible for
28 one section of the overall report. In addition, the three Lead Authors will nominate Contributing
29 Authors to contribute to the preparation of the three report sections. These Contributing Authors
30 may also be nominated by the public (see Section 3.3).

31

32 Collectively, the Lead Authors and the Contributing Authors will be responsible for preparing
33 the initial draft of the three sections of the report, including the text and any analysis required to
34 synthesize the underlying studies on which the product is based. The authors will rely on the

1 existing peer-reviewed literature as the basis for their report. The Lead Authors will decide how
2 best to organize their respective teams, including division of responsibility and time
3 requirements among the Contributing and Lead Authors. In addition, the Lead Authors and the
4 Contributing Authors they convene will be responsible for responding to comments from public
5 and scientific reviews. All authors should be accomplished writers and have technical
6 backgrounds in at least one field relevant to the implications of climate variability and change
7 and land use change on human health, human welfare, and human settlements in the United
8 States.

9
10 Overall project guidance, preparation of the Executive Summary, and the lead for responding to
11 reviewer comments on the document as a whole will be the responsibility of the Convening Lead
12 Author for the Project (Dr. Janet Gamble) from the Environmental Protection Agency. As the
13 Convening Lead Author, the EPA will be responsible for compiling and synthesizing the
14 contributions from the Lead Authors. This includes providing guidance for the entire project,
15 assembling the final report (including harmonizing all of the written contributions and editing the
16 document for consistency and clarity), preparing the Preface and Executive Summary, and
17 responding to reviewer comments on the document for each round of reviews. During the
18 review phase, the EPA Convening Lead Author will work with the Lead Authors and the
19 Contributing Authors to develop responses to comments from the public and from scientific
20 reviews and will formally document all responses.

21 22 **3.2 Federal Advisory Committee Act Review Committee and Required Expertise**

23
24 The Environmental Protection Agency (the lead agency) for Product 4.6 will convene a Federal
25 Advisory Committee comprised of approximately ten independent expert reviewers. This
26 committee will function under the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act
27 (FACA). As a FACA committee, the Review Committee's deliberations related to substantive
28 matters will take place in a public forum. Meetings of the FACA Review Committee (including
29 conference calls and face to face meetings) will be announced in the Federal Register Notice no
30 less than 15 days in advance of the meeting. The FACA Review Committee will represent the
31 interests of the scientific community, both in terms of reviewing the substance provided by the
32 product and the quality of the writing. They will provide an independent scientific review to
33 ensure that the report accurately represents the state of the science and conveys the interests of
34 public health and resource management communities addressing the impacts of climate change
35 and land use change on human health, non-market measures of human welfare, and human
36 settlements.

37
38 Each member of the FACA Review Committee should be an agreed-upon expert in at least one
39 of the topics included in this product. In selecting FACA members, EPA will consider candidates
40 with expertise in human health, public health, environmental economics, social sciences, urban
41 planning, environmental engineering, ecological systems, geography, and political science. To
42 ensure independence and avoid conflicts of interest, reviewers will not be employees or recent
43 contractors or grantees of the lead agency. In addition, no member of the FACA Review
44 Committee will participate in any way on this Product's writing team.
45

3.3 Author Nominees

The following three Lead Authors have been nominated by the sponsoring agencies to participate in the overall coordination of Product 4.6 preparation. Please contact Janet L. Gamble, Ph.D. at the Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Mail Code 8601 N, Washington, DC 20460, 202-564-3387 (gamble.janet@epa.gov) to nominate Contributing Authors on or before July 10, 2006. Nominations should include a current CV and a list of publications. The Lead Authors will organize the contributions of the Contributing Authors, with each Contributing Author having specific assignments based on their scientific expertise.

Human Health Lead Author: Dr. Kristie L. Ebi
Human Welfare Lead Author: Dr. Frances Sussman
Human Settlements Lead Author: Dr. Thomas J. Wilbanks

4. Stakeholder Interactions

Numerous entities, including federal, state, and local governments and agencies and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), are engaged in securing human health and welfare and protecting human settlements. Consultation with these decision makers will be embedded in the writing process, as Contributing Authors make appropriate contacts with stakeholders during the preparation of the synthesis and assessment report. The writing team will develop, in consultation with the agency sponsors, specific processes for engaging stakeholders in the project.

5. Drafting Process

A comprehensive literature review will be conducted to provide background material and to help guide the development of the report. EPA's Convening Lead Author together with the Lead Authors for the three sections of the report will review the assembled bibliographic materials. The Lead Authors will then develop a framework for each of the chapters that addresses the questions enumerated in this prospectus.

The Lead Authors and the Contributing Authors will confer through email exchanges and teleconferences to prepare a detailed outline. All Lead Authors will be involved in preparation of an introductory section to describe the topic, the audience and the intended uses of the product. The process for preparation of this report will be consistent with the guidelines for preparing CCSP synthesis and assessment reports. The materials referenced in this report will be derived exclusively from the existing peer-reviewed scientific literature.

6. Review

There will be a number of opportunities for both expert peer review and public comment. The timetable for these reviews appears in section 8 of this prospectus. Product 4.6 will be reviewed according to the process outlined in the *Guidelines for Producing CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Products*: 1) a first draft, upon clearance by the CCSP, will be released publicly for public review and will undergo an expert, scientific review by an independent FACA review

1 panel convened by EPA; 2) a second draft, incorporating the comments received from the FACA
2 review panel and those from the public, will be made available on the CCSP website along with
3 a document describing the disposition of reviewer comments; 3) this second draft will undergo a
4 second FACA peer review; 4) a third draft will be prepared, in response to the comments
5 received from the second FACA peer review, along with a document describing the disposition
6 of comments, and will undergo final review and approval through the CCSP and the National
7 Science and Technology Council. This will constitute the final report.

8
9 The expert peer review process will engage the independent scientific reviewers convened as a
10 FACA committee by EPA. The public is invited to nominate independent scientific reviewers to
11 the FACA review committee. Nominations should be emailed or sent to Janet L. Gamble, Ph.D.
12 at the Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Mail Code 8601N,
13 Washington, DC 20460, 202-564-3387 (gamble.janet@epa.gov) on or before July 10, 2006.
14 Nominations should include CVs and publications lists. The expert peer review process will
15 involve one or more face-to-face meetings of the FACA Review Committee in compliance with
16 the Federal Advisory Committee Act and with the requirements for peer review from the Office
17 of Management and Budget Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review (“OMB Peer
18 Review Bulletin”) issued 16 December 2004. Each expert FACA Reviewer will review the
19 document as a whole.

20
21 The major objectives of the FACA Committee are to provide advice and recommendations on: 1)
22 the scope of the report; 2) the methods used to synthesize the results and conclusions; 3) the
23 veracity of the literature cited; and 4) determination of whether the report’s conclusions are
24 supported by the literature. Specific and detailed review charges will be developed and provided
25 to the FACA Committee to guide the review process.

26
27 When the first draft report is released to the FACA panel, the report will also be released for
28 public comment. The public comment period will last at least 45 days. Notice of the public
29 comment period will be disseminated on the CCSP web page, in the Federal Register, and
30 through other publications, web sites, and means as appropriate to encourage wide public
31 participation in the review. Following the public and first FACA review, EPA, and the Lead and
32 Contributing Authors, will revise the first draft by incorporating comments and suggestions from
33 the reviewers, as deemed appropriate. EPA and the Lead and Contributing Authors will prepare
34 a document detailing the disposition of all comments. A second draft report along with a
35 document detailing the disposition of all comments will be submitted to the FACA expert panel
36 for a second review.

37
38 Next, the EPA and the Lead and Contributing Authors will prepare a third draft of the product,
39 taking into consideration the FACA panel’s comments on the second draft. EPA and the Lead
40 and Contributing Authors will also prepare a document detailing the disposition of all comments
41 from the second FACA review. Once revisions are complete, EPA will determine that the
42 product has been prepared in accordance with the Information Quality Act (including ensuring
43 objectivity, utility and integrity as defined in 67 FR 8452), and will submit the Synthesis and
44 Assessment Product 4.6 to the CCSP Interagency Committee for approval. If the CCSP
45 Interagency Committee determines that further reviews are necessary, their comments will be

1 sent to EPA for consideration and resolution by the lead and sponsoring agencies in conjunction
2 with the Lead and Contributing Authors.

3
4 If the CCSP Interagency Committee review determines that no further revisions are needed and
5 that the product has been prepared in conformance with the *Guidelines for Producing CCSP*
6 *Synthesis and Assessment Products* (see [http://www.climate-science.gov/library/sap/sap-](http://www.climate-science.gov/library/sap/sap-guidelines.htm)
7 [guidelines.htm](http://www.climate-science.gov/library/sap/sap-guidelines.htm)) they will submit the product to the National Science and Technology Council
8 (NSTC) for clearance. Clearance will require the concurrence of all members of the Committee
9 on Environment and Natural Resources. Comments generated during the NSTC review will be
10 addressed by the CCSP Interagency Committee in consultation with the lead and sponsoring
11 agencies and the Lead and Contributing Authors.

12 13 **7. Communications**

14
15 The lead agency will produce and release the completed product using the standard format for all
16 CCSP synthesis and assessment product. The final product and the comments received during
17 the expert review and the public comment period will be posted on the CCSP web site. Once the
18 document has been cleared by the NSTC process, the product will be prepared for both web and
19 hardcopy dissemination. Final report production and layout will be managed by professional
20 technical editors and writers. The number of hardcopies and the distribution process will be
21 determined as part of the development of the product.

22
23 A communications plan for review and distribution of the product will be developed by the lead
24 and supporting agencies along with the Lead Authors. One mechanism for alerting the public
25 health community to the assessment process and findings would be to request a special session to
26 be scheduled during the American Public Health Association's annual meeting in November
27 2006. At that time, APHA members could be informed about the project and invited to
28 participate in the public comment period in Spring 2007.

29
30 In addition, journal editors will be contacted by the lead and supporting agencies and by the Lead
31 Authors to determine whether interest can be generated for publishing the entire product 4.6 in a
32 scientific journal (as was done for the Health Sector Assessment in *Environmental Health*
33 *Perspectives* in 2001).

34 35 **8. Proposed Timeline**

36
37 The CCSP Product 4.6 Working Committee expects completion of the product by December
38 2007. The completion date will depend upon the various review processes. Specific tasks and
39 expected completion dates follow.

2006	
June	Prospectus posted on CCSP web site for public comment (30 days)
August	Final (revised) prospectus posted on the CCSP web site
August	Author teams begin preparation of draft report

1

2007	
January	EPA completes first draft report, submits to FACA review panel, and releases for public comment (45 day review period)
April	FACA review panel meets to consider first draft
August	EPA completes response to review panel and to public comments and prepares second draft. This second draft is submitted to FACA review panel and made available to the public along with the documentation of the disposition of comments.
October	FACA review panel meets to consider second draft
December	EPA completes response to FACA review panel and prepares third (final) draft to submit to CCSP and NSTC

Bibliography

1
2
3 Bernard SM, JM Samet, A Grambsch, KL Ebi, I Romieu. May 2001. The Potential Impacts of
4 Climate Variability and Change on Air Pollution-Related Health Effects in the United States.
5 Environmental Health Perspectives. 109 (Supplement 2): 199-209.

6
7 Bernard SM and KL Ebi. May 2001. Comments on the Process and Product of the Health
8 Impacts Assessment Component of the National Assessment of the Potential Consequences of
9 Climate Variability and Change for the United States. Environmental Health Perspectives. 109
10 (Supplement 2): 177-184.

11
12 Grambsch A, Menne B. Adaptation and adaptive capacity in the public health context. Chapter
13 in: Climate Change and Human Health: Risks and Responses. McMichael AJ et al., (eds),
14 WHO, Geneva. 2003.

15
16 Greenough G, M McGeehin, SM Bernard, J Trtanj, J Riad, D Engelberg. May 2001. The
17 Potential Impacts of Climate Variability and Change on Health Impacts of Extreme Weather
18 Events in the United States. Environmental Health Perspectives. 109 (Supplement 2): 191-198.

19
20 Gubler DJ, P Reiter, KL Ebi, W Yap, R Nasci, JA Patz. May 2001. Climate Variability and
21 Change in the United States: Potential Impacts on Vector- and Rodent-Borne Diseases.
22 Environmental Health Perspectives. 109 (Supplement 2): 223-233.

23
24 Human Health Consequences of Climate Variability and Change for the United States. Editors
25 SM Bernard, MG McGeehin, JA Patz. Environmental Health Perspectives. Vol 109.
26 Supplement 2. May 2001.

27
28 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2001. Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation
29 and Vulnerability. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

30
31 Kovats RS, Menne B, Ahern M, Patz JA. National assessments of health impacts of climate
32 change: a review. Chapter in: WHO Monograph on Climate and Health. WHO, Geneva (in
33 press).

34
35 McGeehin MA and M Mirabelli. May 2001. The Potential Impacts of Climate Variability and
36 Change on Temperature-Related Morbidity and Mortality in the United States. Environmental
37 Health Perspectives. 109 (Supplement 2): 185-190.

38
39 McMichael, AJ, DH Campbell-Lendrum, CF Corvalan, KL Ebi, AK Githeko, JD Scheraga, A
40 Woodward. 2003. Climate Change and Human Health: Risks and Responses. Geneva: World
41 Health Organization.

42
43 Mendelsohn, R and JE Neumann (Eds.). 1999. The Impact of Climate Change on the United
44 States Economy. Cambridge University Press.

- 1 National Assessment Synthesis Team. 2001. *Climate Change Impacts on the United States:*
2 *Foundation.* Cambridge University Press.
3
- 4 National Research Council Division on Earth and Life Studies. 2001. *Under the Weather:*
5 *Climate, Ecosystems, and Infectious Disease.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
6
- 7 Patz JA, McGeehin MA, Bernard SM, Ebi KL, Epstein PR, Grambsch A, Gubler DJ, Reiter P,
8 Romieu I, Rose JB, Samet JM, Trtanj J. Potential consequences of climate variability and
9 change for human health in the United States. Chapter in: *Climate Change Impacts on the*
10 *United States: The Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change.* Cambridge
11 University Press, 2001, in press (official report to Congress of the US National Assessment on
12 Climate Variability and Change).
13
- 14 Patz JA, McGeehin MA, Bernard SM, Ebi KL, Epstein PR, Grambsch A, Gubler DJ, Reiter P,
15 Romieu I, Rose JB, Samet JM, Trtanj J. The potential health impacts of climate variability and
16 change for the United States: executive summary of the report of the health sector of the U.S.
17 National Assessment. *Environ Health Perspect* 2000; 108: 367-376.
18
- 19 Rose JB, PR Epstein, EK Lipp, BH Sherman, SM Bernard, JA Patz. May 2001. *Climate*
20 *Variability and Change in the United States: Potential Impacts on Water- and Foodborne*
21 *Diseases Caused by Microbiologic Agents.* *Environmental Health Perspectives.* 109
22 (Supplement 2): 211-222.
23
- 24 Rosenzweig, C and WD Solecki (Eds.). July 2001. *Climate Change and a Global City: The*
25 *Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change: Metro East Coast.* New York City:
26 Columbia Earth Institute: Columbia University.
27
- 28 Scheraga, Joel D., K.L. Ebi, A.R. Moreno, and John Furlow, "From Science to Policy:
29 Developing Responses to Climate Change," in *Climate Change and Human Health: Risks and*
30 *Responses* (Eds. A.J. McMichael et. al), WHO, WMO, UNEP, 2003.
31
- 32 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1995. *Ecological Impacts from Climate Change: An*
33 *Economic Analysis of Freshwater Recreational Fishing.*
34
- 35 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2000. *Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses.*
36 Office of the Administrator. EPA 240-R-00-003.

Appendix A –Biographical Information for Lead Authors**Human Health Lead Author.**

Dr. Kristie L. Ebi is a Senior Managing Scientist in Exponent’s Health Sciences practice and is based in Alexandria, VA. Dr. Ebi is an epidemiologist who has worked in the field of global climate change for eight years. Her research focuses on potential impacts of climate variability and change, including impacts associated with extreme events, thermal stress, food-borne diseases, and vector-borne diseases, and on the design of adaptation response options to reduce current and projected future negative impacts. Before joining Exponent, she conducted research while at the Electric Power Research Institute and the WHO European Centre for Environment and Health in Rome, Italy. She is chief editor of the upcoming book “Integration of Public Health with Adaptation to Climate Change: Lessons Learned and New Directions.” She is a Lead Author for the Human Health chapter of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report. She was a Convening Lead Author on the WHO publication: Methods of Assessing Human Health Vulnerability and Public Health Adaptation to Climate Change, and she has been Lead Author in the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment and the U.S. National Assessment of the Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change. Dr. Ebi has more than 25 years of multidisciplinary experience in environmental issues, and has more than 50 publications. Dr. Ebi’s scientific training includes a M.S. in toxicology and a Ph.D. and MPH in epidemiology, and two years of postgraduate research in epidemiology at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.

Human Welfare Lead Author.

Dr. Frances Sussman is an independent consultant experienced in a range of analytical and policy topics related to the environment and economics. For the past 13 years she has worked almost exclusively on international and domestic climate change issues, including impacts and adaptation, emissions trading, emissions inventory uncertainty, international policy options, and forestry. Within the context of climate change, she has completed several projects related to valuation. For the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), she prepared a paper summarizing and evaluating the arguments made by ecologists and economists for and against current ecosystem valuation techniques and methods used by economists in benefit-cost analyses. She also prepared a brief, unifying framework for understanding market and non-market valuation categories in the context of the national climate impacts assessment, and the economic (and non-economic) values placed on natural and human resources potentially affected by climate change. More generally, she has co-authored a number of articles on the economic analysis of environmental issues, including valuation of life and discounting, which have appeared in peer-reviewed journals. Over the years, she has assisted in defining the analytical frameworks for several assessments of the potential benefits of proposed environmental regulation (primarily toxic substances and pesticides), and supervised supporting, targeted literature reviews on market and non-market values of both ecosystems (specifically birds and waterfowl) and human mortality and morbidity. Dr. Sussman is also experienced in synthesizing and presenting research and papers conducted by multiple authors. Her work in this arena includes assisting USEPA in preparing early versions of the national climate impacts assessment, an effort that required combining and unifying papers from diverse disciplines. She also was the primary author of a synthesis report for EPA entitled "Climate Change Mitigation Strategies in

1 the US Forestry and Agriculture Sectors." More recently, she was lead author on a report for
2 USEPA synthesizing, summarizing, and analyzing three place-based assessments currently being
3 funded by USEPA. Prior to consulting independently in 2000, she was a Project Manager at ICF
4 Consulting for 9 years. Her additional experience includes employment at the Congressional
5 Budget Office, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the Bureau of Economic
6 Analysis at the Department of Commerce. She received a Ph.D. in Economics from the
7 University of Maryland in 1986.

8 9 **Human Settlements Lead Author.**

10 **Dr. Thomas J. Wilbanks** is a Corporate Research Fellow at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory
11 and leads the Laboratory's Global Change and Developing Country Programs. Dr. Wilbanks is a
12 past President of the Association of American Geographers (AAG), one of only two non-
13 academics to serve as the president in its more than 100 years, and has been awarded a number
14 of honors in that field. He conducts research and publishes extensively on such issues as
15 sustainable development, energy and environmental policy, responses to global climate change,
16 and the role of geographical scale in all of these regards (i.e., global to local scales and how scale
17 differences and interactions matter). Dr. Wilbanks played roles in the Global Change and Local
18 Areas project of the Association of American Geographers (1995-2000); the first U.S. National
19 Assessment of Possible Consequences of Climate Variability and Change (1997-2000); the
20 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Working Group II (Impacts, Adaptation,
21 and Vulnerability) Third Assessment Report; and aspects of the UNEP et al. Millennium
22 Ecosystem Assessment related to issues of geographic scale and regional and local assessments.
23 More recently, he is serving as Coordinating Lead Author for the IPCC's Fourth Assessment
24 Report, Working Group II, Chapter 7: Industry, Settlement, and Society. He is a member of the
25 Board on Earth Sciences and Resources of the U.S. National Research Council (NRC) and Chair
26 of NRC's Committee on Human Dimensions of Global Change. He is also a member of the
27 Scientific Steering Group for the U.S. Carbon Cycle Research Program, a member of the Panel
28 on Earth Science Applications and Societal Needs of the NRC "decadal study" of Earth Science
29 and Applications from Space: A Community Assessment and Strategy for the Future, a member
30 of a current NRC panel on public participation in environmental assessment and decision-
31 making, and a member of the Steering Group for an NAS/NRC Urban Sustainability Project
32 being initiated in 2005.