



Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere

Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences

U.S. Climate Change Science Program
Synthesis and Assessment Product 1.1

April 2006

EDITORIAL TEAM

Chief Editor: Thomas R. Karl, NOAA

Associate Editors: Susan J. Hassol, STG, Inc.
Christopher D. Miller, NOAA
William L. Murray, STG, Inc.

Graphic Design: Sara W. Veasey, NOAA

Technical Support: Erin E. McKay, STG, Inc.

FEDERAL EXECUTIVE TEAM

Director, Climate Change Science Program: James R. Mahoney

Acting Director, Climate Change Science Program Office: Peter A. Schultz

NOAA Assistant Administrator,
Program Planning and Integration Office: Mary M. Glackin

Director, NOAA Climate Goal: Chester J. Koblinsky

Director, NOAA National Climatic Data Center;
NOAA Program Manager for Climate
Observations and Analysis: Thomas R. Karl

Program Manager of the NOAA Climate Program
Office Climate Change Data and Detection Program;
Federal Advisory Committee Designated Federal Official: Christopher D. Miller

This document, the first of the Synthesis and Assessment Products described in the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) Strategic Plan, was prepared in accordance with Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-554) and the information quality act guidelines issued by the Department of Commerce and NOAA pursuant to Section 515 <<http://www.noaa.gov/stories/iq.htm>>. The CCSP Interagency Committee relies on Department of Commerce and NOAA certifications regarding compliance with Section 515 and Department guidelines as the basis for determining that this product conforms with Section 515. For purposes of compliance with Section 515, this CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Product is an “interpreted product” as that term is used in NOAA guidelines and is classified as “highly influential”. This document does not express any regulatory policies of the United States or any of its agencies, or provide recommendations for regulatory action.



Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere

Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences

Synthesis and Assessment Product 1.1
Report by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program
and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research

EDITED BY:

Thomas R. Karl, Susan J. Hassol,
Christopher D. Miller, and William L. Murray



April 2006

Members of Congress:

We are pleased to transmit to you this report, *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*, the first of a series of Synthesis and Assessment Products produced by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP). This series of 21 reports is aimed at providing current evaluations of climate change science to inform public debate, policy, and operational decisions. These reports are also intended to help inform CCSP's consideration of future program priorities.

CCSP's guiding vision is to empower the Nation and the global community with the science-based knowledge to manage the risks and opportunities of change in the climate and related environmental systems. The Synthesis and Assessment Products are important steps toward that vision, helping translate CCSP's extensive observational and research base into informational tools that directly address key questions that are being asked of the research community.

This first Synthesis and Assessment Product addresses previously identified discrepancies between observations and simulations of surface and atmospheric temperature trends. It was developed with broad scientific input and reviewed by the National Research Council. Public comments were solicited and carefully reviewed at multiple stages in the process. It was prepared in accordance with the Information Quality Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Further information on the process for preparing Synthesis and Assessment products and the CCSP itself can be found at www.climate-science.gov.

We commend the report's authors for both the thorough nature of their work and their adherence to an inclusive review process. This product sets a high standard for quality for subsequent Synthesis and Assessment Products.

Carlos M. Gutierrez
Secretary of Commerce
Chair, Committee on Climate Change
Science and Technology Integration

Samuel W. Bodman
Secretary of Energy
Vice Chair, Committee on Climate
Change Science and Technology
Integration

John H. Marburger III
Director, Office of Science and
Technology Policy
Executive Director, Committee
on Climate Change Science and
Technology Integration



Abstract..... III

Preface/Motivation for Report..... V

Executive Summary..... 1

CHAPTER

1 15

Why do temperatures vary vertically (from the surface to the stratosphere) and what do we understand about why they might vary and change over time?

2 29

What kinds of atmospheric temperature variations can the current observing systems measure and what are their strengths and limitations, both spatially and temporally?

3 47

What do observations indicate about the changes of temperature in the atmosphere and at the surface since the advent of measuring temperatures vertically?

4 71

What is our understanding of the contribution made by observational or methodological uncertainties to the previously reported vertical differences in temperature trends?

5 89

How well can the observed vertical temperature changes be reconciled with our understanding of the causes of these temperature changes?

6 119

What measures can be taken to improve our understanding of observed changes?

Appendix A 129

Statistical Issues Regarding Trends

Glossary and Acronyms 140

References 143

AUTHOR TEAM FOR THIS REPORT

Preface	Thomas R. Karl, NOAA; Christopher D. Miller, NOAA; William L. Murray, STG, Inc.
Executive Summary	Convening Lead Author: Tom M. L. Wigley, NSF NCAR Lead Authors: V. Ramaswamy, NOAA; John R. Christy, Univ. of AL in Huntsville; John R. Lanzante, NOAA; Carl A. Mears, Remote Sensing Systems; Benjamin D. Santer, DOE LLNL; Chris K. Folland, U.K. Met. Office
Chapter 1	Convening Lead Author: V. Ramaswamy, NOAA Lead Authors: James W. Hurrell, NSF NCAR; Gerald A. Meehl, NSF NCAR Contributing Authors: Adam Phillips, NSF NCAR; Benjamin D. Santer, DOE LLNL; M. Daniel Schwarzkopf, NOAA; Dian J. Seidel, NOAA; Steven C. Sherwood, Yale Univ.; Peter W. Thorne, U.K. Met. Office
Chapter 2	Convening Lead Author: John R. Christy, Univ. of AL in Huntsville Lead Authors: Dian J. Seidel, NOAA; Steven C. Sherwood, Yale Univ. Contributing Authors: Ming Cai, FL State Univ.; Eugenia E. Kalnay, Univ. of MD; Chris K. Folland, U.K. Met. Office; Carl A. Mears, Remote Sensing Systems; Peter W. Thorne, U.K. Met. Office; John R. Lanzante, NOAA
Chapter 3	Convening Lead Author: John R. Lanzante, NOAA Lead Authors: Thomas C. Peterson, NOAA; Frank J. Wentz, Remote Sensing Systems; Konstantin Y. Vinnikov, Univ. of MD Contributing Authors: Dian J. Seidel, NOAA; Carl A. Mears, Remote Sensing Systems; John Christy, Univ. of AL in Huntsville; Chris E. Forest, MIT; Russell S. Vose, NOAA; Peter W. Thorne, U. K. Met. Office; Norman C. Grody, NOAA
Chapter 4	Convening Lead Author: Carl A. Mears, Remote Sensing Systems Lead Authors: Chris E. Forest, MIT; Roy W. Spencer, Univ. of AL in Huntsville; Russell S. Vose, NOAA; Richard W. Reynolds, NOAA Contributing Authors: Peter W. Thorne, U.K. Met. Office; John R. Christy, Univ. of AL in Huntsville
Chapter 5	Convening Lead Author: Benjamin D. Santer, DOE LLNL Lead Author: Joyce E. Penner, Univ. of MI; Peter W. Thorne, U.K. Met. Office Contributing Authors: William D. Collins, NCAR; Keith W. Dixon, NOAA; Thomas L. Delworth, NOAA; Charles Doutriaux, DOE LLNL; Chris K. Folland, U.K. Met. Office; Chris E. Forest, MIT; James E. Hansen, NASA; John R. Lanzante, NOAA; Gerald A. Meehl, NSF NCAR; V. Ramaswamy, NOAA; Dian J. Seidel, NOAA; Michael F. Wehner, DOE LBNL; Tom M.L. Wigley, NSF NCAR
Chapter 6	Convening Lead Author: Chris K. Folland, U.K. Met. Office Lead Authors: David E. Parker, U.K. Met. Office; Richard W. Reynolds, NOAA; Steven C. Sherwood, Yale Univ.; Peter W. Thorne, U.K. Met. Office
Appendix A	Tom M.L. Wigley, NSF NCAR With contributions by: Benjamin D. Santer, DOE LLNL; John R. Lanzante, NOAA



Previously reported discrepancies between the amount of warming near the surface and higher in the atmosphere have been used to challenge the reliability of climate models and the reality of human-induced global warming. Specifically, surface data showed substantial global-average warming, while early versions of satellite and radiosonde data showed little or no warming above the surface. This significant discrepancy no longer exists because errors in the satellite and radiosonde data have been identified and corrected. New data sets have also been developed that do not show such discrepancies.

This Synthesis and Assessment Product is an important revision to the conclusions of earlier reports from the U.S. National Research Council and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. For recent decades, all current atmospheric data sets now show global-average warming that is similar to the surface warming. While these data are consistent with the results from climate models at the global scale, discrepancies in the tropics remain to be resolved. Nevertheless, the most recent observational and model evidence has increased confidence in our understanding of observed climatic changes and their causes.

RECOMMENDED CITATIONS

For the Report as a whole:

Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences. Thomas R. Karl, Susan J. Hassol, Christopher D. Miller, and William L. Murray, editors, 2006. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For the Preface:

Karl, T.R., C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors, 2006: in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For the Executive Summary:

Wigley, T.M.L., V. Ramaswamy, J.R. Christy, J.R. Lanzante, C.A. Mears, B.D. Santer, C.K. Folland, 2006: Executive Summary in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For Chapter 1:

Ramaswamy, V., J.W. Hurrell, G.A. Meehl, 2006: Why do temperatures vary vertically (from the surface to the stratosphere) and what do we understand about why they might vary and change over time? in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For Chapter 2:

Christy, J.R., D.J. Seidel, S.C. Sherwood, 2006: What kinds of atmospheric temperature variations can the current observing systems detect and what are their strengths and limitations, both spatially and temporally? in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For Chapter 3:

Lanzante, J.R., T.C. Peterson, F.J. Wentz, K.Y. Vinnikov, 2006: What do observations indicate about the change of temperatures in the atmosphere and at the surface since the advent of measuring temperatures vertically? in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For Chapter 4:

Mears, C.A., C.E. Forest, R.W. Spencer, R.S. Vose, R.W. Reynolds, 2006: What is our understanding of the contribution made by observational or methodological uncertainties to the previously reported vertical differences in temperature trends? in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For Chapter 5:

Santer, B.D., J.E. Penner, P.W. Thorne, 2006: How well can the observed vertical temperature changes be reconciled with our understanding of the causes of these changes? in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For Chapter 6:

Folland, C.K., D. Parker, R.W. Reynolds, S.C. Sherwood, P.W. Thorne, 2006: What measures can be taken to improve the understanding of observed changes? in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

For Appendix A:

Wigley, T.M.L., Appendix A: Statistical Issues Regarding Trends, in *Temperature Trends in the Lower Atmosphere: Steps for Understanding and Reconciling Differences*. T. R. Karl, S. J. Hassol, C. D. Miller, and W. L. Murray, editors. A Report by the Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research, Washington, DC.

Report Motivation and Guidance for Using this Synthesis/Assessment Report

Authors:

Thomas R. Karl, NOAA; Christopher D. Miller, NOAA; William L. Murray, STG, Inc.

A primary objective of the U. S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) is to provide the best possible scientific information to support public discussion and government and private sector decision-making on key climate-related issues. To help meet this objective, the CCSP has identified an initial set of 21 synthesis and assessment products that address its highest priority research, observation, and decision-support needs. This Synthesis/Assessment Report, the first of the 21 Reports, focuses on understanding the causes of the reported differences between independently produced data sets of atmospheric temperature trends from the surface through the troposphere to the lower stratosphere.

This topic is relevant to policy-makers because previous discrepancies between surface and tropospheric temperature observations challenged the correctness of climate model simulations and the reality of greenhouse gas-induced global warming. As described in the Executive Summary, considerable progress has been made in resolving many of these earlier discrepancies.

Background

Measurements of global surface air temperature show substantial increases over the past several decades. In the early 1990s, data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) polar orbiting satellites were analyzed for multi-decadal trends. These initial analyses indicated that global-mean temperatures in the troposphere showed little or no increase, in contrast with surface air measurements from ships, land-based weather stations, and ocean buoys. This result led some to question the reality and/or the cause of reported global-mean surface temperature increases, on the basis that human influences, thought to be important contributors to observed change, were expected to increase temperatures both at the surface and in the troposphere, with the largest increases expected in the tropical troposphere. This led to an intensive effort by climate scientists to better understand

the causes of the apparent differences in the reported rates of temperature changes between the surface and the troposphere.

Scientists analyzing the data knew that there were complex and unresolved issues related to inadequacies of observing systems that could lead to misleading impressions or misinterpretation of the data. There were also uncertainties in our understanding of how the climate might respond to various forcings, as is often assessed through the use of climate models. In an attempt to resolve these issues, in 2000 the National Research Council (NRC) specifically addressed the issue of temperature trends in the troposphere and at the surface. In its Report, the NRC concluded that "the warming trend in global-mean surface temperature observations during the past 20 years is undoubtedly real and is substantially greater than the average rate of warming during the twentieth century. The disparity between surface and upper air trends in no way invalidates the conclusion that surface temperature has been rising." The NRC further found that corrections in the Microwave Sounding Unit (MSU) processing algorithms brought the satellite data record into slightly closer alignment with surface temperature trends. They concluded that the substantial disparity that remained probably reflected a less rapid warming of the troposphere than the surface in recent decades due to both natural and human-induced causes.

In 2001, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Third Assessment Report devoted additional attention to new analyses of the satellite, weather balloon, and surface data to evaluate the difference in temperature trends between the surface and the troposphere. Similar to the NRC, the IPCC concluded that it was very likely that the surface temperature increases were larger and differed significantly from temperature increases higher in the troposphere. They concluded, "during the past two decades, the surface, most of the troposphere, and the stratosphere have responded differently to climate forcings because

different physical processes have dominated in each of the regions during that time.” (IPCC, *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*, Chapter 2, p. 122-123; Cambridge University Press).

Focus of this Synthesis/Assessment Report

The efforts of the NRC and IPCC to address uncertainties about the temperature structure of the lower atmosphere (*i.e.*, from the surface through the lower stratosphere) have helped move us closer to a comprehensive understanding of observed trends of temperature. Although these documents provided a great deal of useful information, full resolution of the issue was hampered by the complexities of the climate system coupled with shortcomings of the available observing systems. To more fully address remaining fundamental questions, a broader examination has been undertaken here to answer the following questions:

- 1) Why do temperatures vary vertically (from the surface to the stratosphere) and what do we understand about why they might vary and change over time?
- 2) What kinds of atmospheric temperature variations can the current observing systems measure and what are their strengths and limitations, both spatially and temporally?
- 3) What do observations indicate about the changes of temperature in the atmosphere and at the surface since the advent of measuring temperatures vertically?
- 4) What is our understanding of the contribution made by observational or methodological uncertainties to the previously reported vertical differences in temperature trends?
- 5) How well can the observed vertical temperature changes be reconciled with our understanding of the causes of these changes?
- 6) What measures can be taken to improve the understanding of observed changes?

These questions provide the basis for the six main chapters in this Synthesis/Assessment Report (the chapter numbers correspond to the question numbers above). They highlight several of the fundamental uncertainties and differences between and within the individual components of the existing observational and modeling systems. The responses to the questions are written in a style consistent with major authoritative international scientific assessments (*e.g.*, IPCC assessments, and the Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project of the World Meteorological Organiza-

tion [WMO]). The Executive Summary, which presents the key findings from the main body of the Report, is intended to be useful for those involved with policy-related global climate change issues. The Chapters supporting the Executive Summary are written at a more technical level suitable for non-climate specialists within the scientific community and well-informed lay audiences.

The Synthesis/Assessment Report is structured so as to compartmentalize, as much as possible, the answers to each of the six questions (above). However, given the interconnected nature of the questions, this is not entirely possible, or desirable. Occasionally topics extraneous to a particular chapter are mentioned in passing to make an important point or alert the reader to some issue(s) covered elsewhere in the report. However, as a general rule, in the interest of brevity this report does not always explicitly refer the reader to another chapter. The reader is advised to keep this in mind and refer to Table 1 (next page.) for guidance on locating the discussion of particular issues.

To help answer the questions posed, climate model simulations of temperature change based on time histories of important forcing factors have been compared with observed temperature changes. It is recognized that in a system containing internally generated variations, it is unrealistic to expect models to exactly replicate observed changes. If the ensemble of simulations replicates important aspects of the observed temperature changes (*e.g.*, global mean, tropical mean) this increases confidence in our understanding of the observed temperature record and reduces uncertainties about projected changes. If not, then this implies that the time histories of the important forcings are not adequately known, all of the important forcings are not included, the processes being simulated in the models have flaws, the observational record is incorrect, or some combination of these factors is present.

This CCSP Synthesis/Assessment Report assesses the uncertainties associated with the data used to determine changes of temperature, and whether such changes are consistent with our understanding of climate processes. This requires a detailed comparison of observations and climate models used to simulate observed changes, including an appreciation of why temperatures might respond differently at the surface compared to various layers higher in the atmosphere.

This CCSP Report also addresses the accuracy and consistency of the temperature records and outlines steps necessary to reconcile differences between individual data sets. Understanding exactly how and why there are differences in temperature trends reported by several analysis teams using different observation systems and analysis methods is a nec-

Table 1. Guide to readers to identify Chapter emphasis. The Executive Summary ties together all these aspects of the Synthesis/Assessment Report.

Report Section	Observations	Observational Uncertainty	Processes	Models	Comparing Model Simulations & Observations	Statistical Analysis
Chapter 1	secondary		primary			
Chapter 2		primary				
Chapter 3	primary					
Chapter 4		primary				
Chapter 5			secondary	primary	primary	secondary
Chapter 6		primary			secondary	
Appendix	secondary					primary

essary step in resolving previously identified discrepancies between observations and model simulations.

New observations and analyses since the IPCC and NRC Reports

Since the IPCC and NRC assessments, there have been intensive efforts to create new satellite and weather balloon data sets using a range of approaches. Having multiple tropospheric temperature data sets provides the opportunity for much greater understanding of observed changes and their uncertainty than was possible in the previous assessments. In addition, for the first time, a suite of models simulating observed climate since 1979 (when satellite data began) has provided a unique opportunity to inter-compare observed trends from various data sets with model simulations using various scenarios of historical climate forcings. Taken together, these advances lead to a greater understanding of the issues. The process of producing this Report has stimulated additional research and analysis on these topics, and helped to move the science forward.

This Report includes recent analyses of and corrections to data sets that have helped resolve inconsistencies among observational data sets and between observations and models. The science of upper air temperatures is a rapidly evolving field. During the preparation of this Report, new findings were published and are now included in the current draft. For example, a recent article demonstrated an error in the method used in the original satellite data set to correct for diurnal cycle errors due to satellite orbital drift.

When corrected, the data set yielded greater warming in the lower troposphere. Since it was possible for the error to be rectified fairly quickly, a new version of this data set was available for this Report. At the same time, another research team produced its first version of satellite-derived lower tropospheric temperatures, and yet another team updated its tropospheric temperature time series. All these results are included in this Report and are compared to a suite of recent climate model simulations. The authors certainly expect that new data and discoveries that follow the release of this Report will further improve our understanding.

Factors that guided the authors in the selection of the climate records considered extensively in this Report were: (a) publication heritage, (b) public availability, (c) use by the scientific community at-large, (d) updates on a monthly basis, and (e) period of record beginning in 1979 or earlier. The climate records considered in this Report are also global in scope.¹

¹ Most analyses undertaken to date have considered temperature trends at the global scale or large-regional scale (e.g., the tropics). Because this report was charged with assessing the current state of the science, it also necessarily focuses on these large scales. It is at these scales that the apparent discrepancies in temperature trends were first reported. We also currently have most capability in simulating climate at these scales. Until we can reconcile our understanding on the very large scales, little scientific value will be added by considering finer regional details. This does not imply that future analyses should not consider finer regional scales for a complete understanding of relative temperature trends at the surface and in the troposphere.

The three surface analyses that were used have many publications that describe their construction methods. These data sets are readily available and are widely used. Two of the three satellite data sets used, while relatively recent, are based on a heritage of published versions that have incorporated new adjustments as discoveries have been made. Each of these data sets allows ready access to the public and has been used in several research publications. A third, more recently developed data set has been updated during the preparation of this Report. Two data sets used were based on weather balloon data. One of these data sets publicly appeared in 2005, but the authors had made the preliminary versions and methodology available to scientists as early as 2002 and have built upon the extensive experience acquired from previous versions of these data sets. Another data set has a heritage dating back several decades and was recently updated.

The models selected for comparison with observations were those models available to the author team during the course of this assessment. They represent the state-of-the-science from every major global climate modeling center in the world. The model simulations selected include a large fraction of those that were run for the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC, due to be published in 2007. The simulations are freely available, and details regarding access to the model data can be obtained from the Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison (http://www.pcmdi.llnl.gov/ipcc/about_ipcc.php). The data used in this report are also openly available and a list of web sites where they can be obtained is included in Chapter 3.

How to use this Synthesis/Assessment Report

This Report promises to be of significant value to decision-makers, and to the expert scientific and stakeholder communities. Readers of this Report will find that new observations, data sets, analyses, and climate model simulations enabled the Author Team to resolve many of the issues noted by the NRC and the IPCC in their earlier Reports. This

Synthesis/Assessment Report already has had an important impact on the content of the draft to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC, due to be published in 2007.

This Synthesis/Assessment Report exposes the remaining differences among different observing systems and data sets related to recent changes in tropospheric and stratospheric temperature. Discrepancies between the data sets and the models have been reduced and our understanding of observed climate changes and their causes has increased. Given this, there is no longer sufficient evidence to conclude that there exists any notable discrepancy between our understanding of recent global average temperature changes and model simulations of these changes. This represents a change from conclusions of earlier reports (see above) and should constitute a valuable source of information to policymakers.

In addition, we expect the information generated here will be used both nationally and internationally, *e.g.*, by the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Atmospheric Observation Panel to help identify effective ways to reduce observational uncertainty. The findings regarding observations and comparisons between models and observations of lower stratospheric temperature trends may also be useful for future WMO/United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Ozone Assessments.

Some terms used in the Report may be unfamiliar to those without training in meteorology; a glossary and list of acronyms is included at the end of the Report. In addition, Table 2 on page X defines the terminology used in this Report for the layers of the atmosphere.

To integrate a wide variety of information, this Report also uses a lexicon of terms (See Fig. 1) to express the team’s considered judgment about the likelihood of results. Confidence in results is highest at each end of the spectrum. Unless qualified by these expressions of likelihood, all statements are implied to be certain.




Figure 1.

The Synthesis/Assessment Product Team

A full list of the Author Team (in addition to a list of lead authors provided at the beginning of each Chapter) is provided on page II of this Report. The Author Team Convening Lead Authors (CLAs), Lead Authors (LAs), and Chief Editor were constituted as a Federal Advisory Committee that was charged with advising the CCSP on the scientific and technical content of the Report. Contributing Authors (CAs) provided relevant input used in the development of the report, but CAs who were not also LAs or CLAs did not participate in the Federal Advisory Committee (FAC) committee deliberations upon which this Synthesis and Assessment Product was developed. The remainder of the Editorial Staff reviewed the scientific/technical input and managed the assembly, formatting and preparation of the Report. The focus of this Report follows the Prospectus guidelines developed by the Climate Change Science Program and posted on its website at <http://www.climatescience.gov>.




Figure 2. The illustration shows the layers of the atmosphere of primary interest to this Synthesis/Assessment Report. The multi-colored line on this diagram indicates the variations in temperature with altitude. The table on the following page defines the terminology used in this Report for the layers of the atmosphere.

Table 2. Abbreviated terms: Subscript “S,” refers to the Surface. Subscripts “2” and “4” refer to MSU data from channels 2 and 4. Subscript “2LT” refers to a modification of channel 2 data to focus more directly on the lower Troposphere and reduce the influence of stratospheric temperatures on channel 2 data. Subscripts “850–300” and “100–50” are specific atmospheric layers sampled by radiosondes. Subscript “*G” refers to a combination of channel 2 and channel 4 data derived by Fu and co-workers, applicable to global averages, and “*T” refers to applicable tropical averages. For the model-observation comparisons, the observation-based definitions were used as listed in the Table.

Terms for Layers of the Atmosphere Used in this Report				
Common Term	Abbreviated Term for the temperature of that layer	Main region of Influence	Approximate altitude. (For satellite products: altitude range of bulk (90%) of layer measured)	Lower and upper pressure level boundaries
Surface	T_S	<u>Air:</u> Just above surface <u>Water:</u> Shallow depth	<u>Surface Air:</u> Land: 1.5 m above surface; Ocean: ship deck-height (5 – 25 m) above surface (NMATs). <u>Surface Water:</u> 1 - 10 m depth in ocean (SSTs)	Surface (or ~1000 hPa at sea level)
Lower Troposphere	T_{2LT}	Lower to Mid-Troposphere	Sfc – 8 km	Sfc – 350 hPa
Troposphere (radiosonde)	$T_{(850-300)}$	Troposphere	1.5 – 9 km	850 – 300 hPa
Troposphere (satellite)	T^*_G	Troposphere	Sfc – 13 km	Sfc – 150 hPa
Tropical Troposphere (satellite)	T^*_T	Troposphere (tropics only)	Sfc – 16 km	Sfc – 100 hPa
Mid Troposphere to Lower Stratosphere	T_2	Mid and Upper Troposphere to Lower Stratosphere ²	Sfc – 18 km	Sfc – 75 hPa
Lower Stratosphere (satellite)	T_4	Lower Stratosphere	14 – 29 km	150 – 15 hPa
Lower Stratosphere (radiosonde)	$T_{(100-50)}$	Lower Stratosphere	17 – 21 km	100 – 50 hPa

² Only about 10% of this layer extends into the lower stratosphere.