



# Wind Turbine Generator System

# **Power Performance Test Report**

for the

# **Bergey Excel-S/60 Wind Turbine**

# with BW03 Airfoil Blades

in

Golden, Colorado

by

**National Wind Technology Center** National Renewable Energy Laboratory **1617 Cole Boulevard** Golden, Colorado 80401

> Jeroen van Dam **Mark Meadors**

February 2003

12 Feb 2003

Jeroen van Dam, NREL Certification Test Engineer

Date

Date

Harold F. Link, NREL Certification Technical Manager

Approval By

Approval By:

Approval By:

Charles P. Butterfield, NREL Certification QA Manager

Date

# 1.0 Table of Contents

1.0 TABLE OF CONTENTS
2.0 TABLE OF TABLES
3.0 TABLE OF FIGURES
4.0 DISCLAIMER
5.0 TEST SUMMARY
6.0 RESULTS
6.1 Site Calibration Test
6.2 Tabular Results of Power Performance Test
6.3 Graphical Results
7.0 EXCEPTIONS
7.1 Exceptions to Standard
7.2 Exceptions to the Test Plan
APPENDIX A: PICTURES OF TEST SITE17
APPENDIX B: CALIBRATION SHEETS21
APPENDIX C: TEST PLAN

# 2.0 Table of Tables

Table 1. Performance at Sea Level Air Density, 1.225 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	7
Table 2. Performance at Site Average Density, 1.022 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	8
Table 3. Annual Energy Production at Sea Level Density, 1.225 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	9
Table 4. Annual Energy Production at Site Average Density, 1.022 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	9
Table 5. Equipment List for Power Performance Test	15

# 3.0 Table of Figures

Figure 1. Power curve summary
Figure 2. Power curve at sea level density, 1.225 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Figure 3. Coefficient of performance at sea level density, 1.225 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Figure 4. Power curve at site average density, 1.061 kg/m <sup>3</sup> 11
Figure 5. Scatter plot of mean and standard deviation of power data
Figure 6. Scatter plot of minimum and maximum power data
Figure 7. Wind turbulence at the test site as a function of wind speed
Figure 8. Wind turbulence at the test site as a function of wind direction
Figure 9. Binned inverter efficiency as a function of the power produced by the wind turbine

### 4.0 Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. The test results documented in this report define the characteristics of the test article as configured and under the conditions tested.

Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. They also do not assume legal liability or responsibility for the performance of the test article or any similarly named article when tested under other conditions or using different test procedures.

Neither Midwest Research Institute nor the U. S. government shall be liable for special, consequential, or incidental damages. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. government or any agency thereof.

The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) is a national laboratory of the U. S. Department of Energy (DOE), and as an adjunct of the U. S. government, cannot certify wind turbines. The information in this report is limited to NREL's knowledge and understanding as of this date.

This report may not be reproduced except in full without written permission from NREL.

### 5.0 Test Summary

Figure 1 summarizes the results of the power performance test. These results are normalized to sea level air density using wind speed correction. Further details of these results are given in Section 6.0 Results. Details on the test method, test site, and the turbine under test can be found in the test plan in Appendix C.

This test was conducted on the Bergey Excel-S/60 turbine, which includes a Gridtek 10 inverter, located at Site 1.4 of the National Wind Technology Center (NWTC) near Boulder, Colorado. Testing began on December 21, 2001 and ended on March 18, 2002. During that time, 1,588 hours of data with the wind out of the acceptable wind directions (153° to 1°) and with the turbine available were collected. The quantity of data collected meets the requirement of the standard. The highest bin filled (with wind speed normalized to sea level density) was the 19.5 m/s bin; it meets the standard's requirement.

		Bin Wind	Bin Power	Number	Ср
$\bullet$		(m/s)	(kW)	Points	
Power Performance Test		0.64	-0.05	48	-8.36
Bergev Excel-S/60 Gridtek-10		1.05	-0.05	347	-1 82
		1.51	-0.05	617	-0.67
Sea-Level Density Power Curve		2.00	-0.06	769	-0.34
······································		2.50	-0.08	875	-0.21
Report Created: May 10, 2	2002	2.99	-0.08	903	-0.12
		3.50	-0.05	817	-0.05
Turbine Specifications:		3.99	0.02	618	0.01
		4.49	0.16	523	0.07
Rated Power:	10 kW	4.99	0.34	415	0.12
Cut-in Wind Speed:	3.5 m/s	5.50	0.57	358	0.15
Cut-out Wind Speed:	none	6.00	0.82	385	0.16
Rated Wind Speed:	12.4 m/s	6.50	1.12	283	0.17
Rotor Diameter:	7 m	7.00	1.44	311	0.18
Control Type:	Variable Speed	7.51	1.83	304	0.18
	Furling	8.00	2.24	243	0.19
Pitch Setting:	Fixed	8.51	2.68	221	0.18
		9.00	3.15	227	0.18
		9.49	3.65	220	0.18
Site Conditions:		9.99	4.19	161	0.18
		10.50	4.76	142	0.17
Average Air Density:	1.022 kg/m^3	10.99	5.24	128	0.17
Measurement Sectors:	153 - 1 °	11.50	5.85	89	0.16
		11.99	6.21	80	0.15
		12.51	6.70	93	0.15
est Statistics:		12.97	6.86	83	0.13
		13.48	6.84	55	0.12
Start Date:	December 1, 2001	13.97	6.71	49	0.10
End Date:	March 18, 2002	14.45	6.28	33	0.09
Amount of Data Collected:	1588.3 hours	14.99	5.72	27	0.07
Highest Bin Filled:	19.5 m/s	15.46	5.18	31	0.06
Test Completed?	yes	15.99	4.40	16	0.05
		16.50	3.53	11	0.03
		16.92	2.98	7	0.03
		17.53	2.77	12	0.02
		17.97	2.68	9	0.02
		18.42	2.66	11	0.02
		19.00	2.05	6	0.01
		19.47	2.52	3	0.01

♠.



Figure 1. Power curve summary.

### 6.0 Results

#### 6.1 Site Calibration Test

No site calibration was performed.

### 6.2 Tabular Results of Power Performance Test

Table 1 and Table 2 show the power performance of the Bergey Excel-S turbine with Gridtek 10 inverter with the wind speed normalized to air densities of sea level and average site conditions during the test. Table 3 and

Table 4 indicate annual energy production of the turbine if it were to perform as measured during the test and operate with 100% availability and if winds were to correspond to the Rayleigh wind speed distribution shown. Table 3 indicates the energy production expected at sea level.

Table 4 shows energy production at the test site, assuming the annual average air density is the same as the average air density measured during the test. NREL calculated these production estimates using the method prescribed in the Standard and does not warranty actual performance.

Bin	Normalized	Power	Number of	Category A	Category B	Combined		
(m/s)	Wind Speed	Output	10-Minute	Uncertainty	Uncertainty	Uncertainty		
· · · ·	(m/s)	(kŴ)	Data Sets	(kW)	(kW)	(kW)		
0.5	0.6	-0.1	48	0.00	0.04	0.04		
1	1.0	0.0	347	0.00	0.04	0.04		
1.5	1.5	-0.1	617	0.00	0.04	0.04		
2	2.0	-0.1	769	0.00	0.04	0.04		
2.5	2.5	-0.1	875	0.00	0.04	0.04		
3	3.0	-0.1	903	0.00	0.04	0.04		
3.5	3.5	-0.1	817	0.00	0.04	0.04		
4	4.0	0.0	618	0.00	0.04	0.04		
4.5	4.5	0.2	523	0.00	0.05	0.05		
5	5.0	0.3	415	0.01	0.07	0.07		
5.5	5.5	0.6	358	0.01	0.09	0.09		
6	6.0	0.8	385	0.01	0.10	0.10		
6.5	6.5	1.1	283	0.01	0.12	0.12		
7	7.0	1.4	311	0.01	0.14	0.14		
7.5	7.5	1.8	304	0.01	0.18	0.18		
8	8.0	2.2	243	0.01	0.20	0.20		
8.5	8.5	2.7	221	0.02	0.22	0.22		
9	9.0	3.1	227	0.02	0.26	0.26		
9.5	9.5	3.7	220	0.02	0.29	0.29		
10	10.0	4.2	161	0.02	0.33	0.33		
10.5	10.5	4.8	142	0.03	0.35	0.35		
11	11.0	5.2	128	0.06	0.32	0.32		
11.5	11.5	5.9	89	0.07	0.40	0.41		
12	12.0	6.2	80	0.10	0.26	0.28		
12.5	12.5	6.7	93	0.11	0.34	0.36		
13	13.0	6.9	83	0.14	0.14	0.20		
13.5	13.5	6.8	55	0.24	0.05	0.24		
14	14.0	6.7	49	0.32	0.12	0.34		
14.5	14.5	6.3	33	0.31	0.38	0.49		
15	15.0	5.7	27	0.40	0.46	0.61		
15.5	15.5	5.2	31	0.28	0.52	0.59		
16	16.0	4.4	16	0.27	0.68	0.73		
16.5	16.5	3.5	11	0.36	0.81	0.89		
17	16.9	3.0	7	0.55	0.65	0.85		
17.5	17.5	2.8	12	0.35	0.18	0.39		
18	18.0	2.7	9	0.44	0.12	0.46		
18.5	18.4	2.7	11	0.22	0.05	0.23		
19	19.0	2.1	6	0.46	0.57	0.74		
19.5	19.5	2.5	3	0.97	0.56	1.12		
> 19.5	insufficient data							

Table 1: Performance at Sea Level Air Density, 1.225 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Rin	Normalized	Power	Number of	Category A	Category B	Combined
(m/a)	Wind Speed	Power	10 Minuto	Uncertainty	Uncortainty	Uncertainty
(111/8)	(m/s)		Data Sata	(LW)	(LW)	
0.5	(111/S)					
0.5	0.6	-0.1	31	0.00	0.04	0.04
1	1.0	0.0	289	0.00	0.04	0.04
1.5	1.5	-0.1	551	0.00	0.04	0.04
2	2.0	-0.1	715	0.00	0.04	0.04
2.5	2.5	-0.1	792	0.00	0.04	0.04
3	3.0	-0.1	860	0.00	0.04	0.04
3.5	3.5	-0.1	787	0.00	0.04	0.04
4	4.0	0.0	681	0.00	0.04	0.04
4.5	4.5	0.1	538	0.00	0.05	0.05
5	5.0	0.2	457	0.00	0.06	0.06
5.5	5.5	0.4	352	0.01	0.07	0.07
6	6.0	0.6	334	0.01	0.09	0.09
6.5	6.5	0.9	348	0.01	0.10	0.10
7	7.0	1.2	270	0.01	0.13	0.13
7.5	7.5	1.5	282	0.01	0.14	0.14
8	8.0	1.8	296	0.01	0.18	0.18
8.5	8.5	2.2	223	0.01	0.20	0.20
9	9.0	2.6	210	0.02	0.21	0.22
9.5	9.5	3.1	218	0.02	0.26	0.26
10	10.0	3.6	204	0.02	0.28	0.28
10.5	10.5	4.1	174	0.02	0.32	0.32
11	11.0	4.6	133	0.03	0.35	0.36
11.5	11.5	5.0	127	0.06	0.28	0.29
12	12.0	5.6	92	0.06	0.43	0.44
12.5	12.5	6.0	85	0.09	0.26	0.27
13	13.0	6.5	76	0.10	0.39	0.41
13.5	13.5	6.8	88	0.12	0.27	0.30
14	14.0	6.9	70	0.18	0.07	0.19
14.5	14.5	6.6	53	0.27	0.23	0.35
15	15.0	6.6	40	0.34	0.05	0.34
15.5	15.5	6.4	27	0.34	0.20	0.39
16	16.0	5.3	26	0.41	0.96	1.04
16.5	16.5	5.2	26	0.32	0.11	0.34
17	17.0	4.4	16	0.27	0.80	0.84
17.5	17.5	3.5	11	0.36	0.81	0.89
18	18.0	3.0	7	0.55	0.65	0.85
18.5	18.6	2.8	11	0.38	0.18	0.42
19	19.0	2.8	9	0.42	0.06	0.43
19.5	19.5	2.6	11	0.24	0.28	0.36
20	20.1	2.2	5	0.55	0.42	0.69
20.5	20.4	2.0	4	0.60	0.35	0.70
> 20.5			insuffici	ent data	•	

Table 2: Performance at Site Average Density, 1.022 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Hub Height	AEP-Measured		Uncertainty		AEP-Extrapolated
Mind Speed		nieasureu	01 AED Maagurad		(nom extrapolated
(m/s)	(kWh/yr)		(kWh/yr)	(%)	(kWh/yr)
(11/3)	(K)	(II/)	(K (( II/ )1)	(70)	
4	3,365	Complete	634	18.8%	3,365
5	7,506	Complete	903	12.0%	7,506
6	12,424	Complete	1180	9.5%	12,429
7	17,160	Complete	1437	8.4%	17,209
8	20,992	Complete	1656	7.9%	21,190
9	23,632	Complete	1823	7.7%	24,132
10	25,120	Complete	1931	7.7%	26,070
11	25,665	Incomplete	1983	7.7%	27,151

Table 3: Annual Energy Production at Sea Level Density, 1.225 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Table 4: Annual Energy Production at Site Average Density, 1.022 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Hub Height	AEP-	Measured	Uncertainty		AEP-Extrapolated
Annual Avg.	(from	measured	of		(from extrapolated
Wind Speed	powe	power curve) AEP-Measured		<b>Aeasured</b>	power curve)
(m/s)	(kWh/yr)		(kWh/yr)	(%)	(kWh/yr)
4	2,587	Complete	575	22.2%	2,587
5	6,167	Complete	819	13.3%	6,167
6	10,663	Complete	1,084	10.2%	10,665
7	15,290	Complete	1,338	8.8%	15,310
8	19,323	Complete	1,565	8.1%	19,415
9	22,359	Complete	1,746	7.8%	22,613
10	24,319	Complete	1,874	7.7%	24,829
11	25,320	Complete	1,947	7.7%	26,153

#### 6.3 Graphical Results

Figure 2 gives the binned power curve for sea level air density. Figure 3 gives the power coefficient for the same conditions. Figure 4 gives the binned power curve for the site average air density (1.061 kg/m<sup>3</sup>). Figure 5 and Figure 6 give the scatter plot of the 10-minute statistics of measured inverter power. Figure 7 and Figure 8 give an indication of the wind conditions during the test period. Figure 9 gives a plot of inverter efficiency. The inverter has an efficiency of about 87% over most of the operating range.



Figure 2. Power curve at sea level density, 1.225 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.



Figure 3. Coefficient of performance at sea level density, 1.225 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.



Figure 4. Power curve at site average density, 1.061 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.

11



Figure 5. Scatter plot of mean and standard deviation of power data.



Figure 6. Scatter plot of minimum and maximum power data.

12



Figure 7. Wind turbulence at the test site as a function of wind speed.



Figure 8. Wind turbulence at the test site as a function of wind direction.



Figure 9. Binned inverter efficiency as a function of the power produced by the wind turbine.

### 7.0 Exceptions

#### 7.1 Exceptions to Standard

- 1. The power transducer was not tested for compliance with IEC 60688.
- 2. The current transformers were not tested for compliance with IEC 60044.
- 3. The terrain does not meet the requirements of the IEC standard for variations within a distance of 4-8L from the test turbine.

#### 7.2 Exceptions to the Test Plan

The instruments that are listed in the test plan (Appendix C) were either re-calibrated during the test or replaced by another calibrated instrument. Table 5 lists the instruments that were present at the completion of the test. The calibration sheets of those instruments are given in Appendix B.

Power Transducer and CTs (Inverter Power)						
Make/Model:	OSI, GWV5-001EY24 CT pn 12975					
Serial Number (Transducer & CTs):	9101376					
Range with CTs:	-13.33 to 13.33 kW/kVar					
Calibration Due Date:	15 November 2002					
Power Transducer and CTs (WT Watts)						
Make/Model:	OSI, P-143E					
Serial Number (Transducer & CTs):	9100896					
Range with CTs:	0 to 40 kW					
Calibration Due Date:	15 November 2002					
Primary Anemometer						
Make/Model:	Met One, 010C with Aluminum Cups					
Serial Number.	T2345					
Calibration Due Date:	21 February 2003					
Secondary Anemometer						
Make/Model:	Met One, 010C with Aluminum Cups					
Serial Number:	U2645					
Calibration Due Date:	21 February 2003					
Wind Direction Sensor						
Make/Model:	Met One, 020C with Aluminum Vane					

#### Table 5. Equipment List for Power Performance Test

Serial Number:	T1010				
Calibration Due Date:	21 February 2003				
Barometric Pressure Sensor					
Make/Model:	Vaisala, PTB101B				
Serial Number:	S2830007				
Calibration Due Date:	16 November 2002				
Atmospheric Temperature Sensor					
Make/Model:	Met One, T-200 RTD				
Serial Number:	0464507				
Calibration Due Date:	19 November 2002				
Datalogger					
Make/Model:	Campbell Scientific CR23X				
Serial Number:	3101				
Calibration Due Date:	30 October 2002				

16

# **Appendix A: Pictures of Test Site**

Bearings are magnetic, which are 11° lower than true bearings.











Wind Turbine Generator System Power Performance Test Report for the Bergey Excel-S/60 Wind Turbine with BW03 Airfoil Blades

# **Appendix B: Calibration Sheets**

Branch #: 5000

#### NREL METROLOGY LABORATORY

Test Report

Test Instrument: Transducer

Model # : DWV5-001EY24

Calibration Date: 11/15/2001			Due Date: 11/15/2003					
Input Voltage 860 Hz	Input Power @60 Hz	Output Nominal Voltage	Measured Output Volt, @ Watt Terminal (VDC)		(x)Mfr. Specs. OR ( )Data only			
(Volt)	(K-Watt/VAR)	(VDC)	AS Found	λS Left				
1. Watt Test								
100 V	-20	0.8	0.802	Same	± 0.016 VDC			
	-15	1.2	1.99	*	± 0.016 VDC			
	-10	1.6	1.599	"	± 0.016 VDC			
	-5	2	1.999	~	± 0.016 VDC			
	o	2.4	2.399	~	± 0.016 VDC			
	5	2.8	2.799	~	± 0.016 VDC			
	10	3.2	3.200	~	± 0.016 VDC			
	15	3.6	3.600		± 0.016 VDC			
	20	4	4.002	~	± 0.016 VDC			
1. VAR Tes	it							
100 V	-20.000	1.0000	1.0047	Same	± 0.020 VDC			
	-15.008	1.4992	1.4825	~	± 0.021 VDC			
	-10.001	1.9999	1.9891	~	± 0.022 VDC			
	-5.019	2.4981	2.4943	~	± 0.023 VDC			
	o	3.0000	2.9903	~	± 0.024 VDC			
	5.019	3.5019	3.4970		± 0.025 VDC			
	10.001	4.0001	4.0015	"	± 0.026 VDC			
	15.008	4.5008	4.5080	*	± 0.027 VDC			
	20.000	5.0000	5.0170	~	± 0.028 VDC			

Tested By: Reda Date : 11/15/2001

Page 21 of 54

S/N : 9101376

DOE #: 02793C

sheet: 1 of: 1

Center #: 5000

#### NREL METROLOGY LABORATORY Test Report

Test Instrument: Transducer

Model # : P-143E

Calibration Date: 11/15/2001

Input Voltage ©60 Hz	Input Power 060 Hz	Output Nominal Voltage	Measured Output Volt, @ Watt Terminal (VDC)		(x)Mfr. Specs. OR ( )Data only
(Volt)	(KWatt)	(VDC)	AS Found	λS Left	
200	0	1.0	1.000	Same	± 0.05 VDC
п	4	1.4	1.396		
u	8	1.8	1.796		
п	12	2.2	2.196	-	
a	16	2.6	2.597		
	20	3.0	2.999		
п	24	3.4	3.400		
"	28	3.8	3.804		
	32	4.2	4.205		u.
"	36	4.6	4.609		
	40	5	5.012		,
			-		
		4			

Tested By: Reda Date : 11/15/2001

DOE #: 02792C

S/N : 9100896

Due Date: 11/15/2003

sheet: 1 of: 1

Met O	1600 Was Grants Pa Telephone Facsimile S ine ents	hington Blvd. ss, Oregon 97526 541-471-7111 541-471-7116 Test	Regi 3206 Row Telep Facs <b>ON</b>	Regional Sales & Service 3206 Main St., Suite 106 Rowlett, Texas 75088 Telephone 972-412-4715 Facsimile 972-412-4716		
		Control Ma				
Model W/S SE	NSOR 010	Serial No	02043			
Job Number	5168	Customer	NREL			
Test Date <u>1-2</u> Room Temperatur	- <u>&gt;</u> -22 Next e <u>13.79</u>	Calibration Due     Room Relative	e Humidity	23 Tested By 74 40.8%	<u></u>	
Test Standards:		N o d o				
Stand	dards	Mode		S N	CalDate	
DMM TEMPERATU		HP3468B		2231A01057	4/19/2001	
DELATIVE HI		VAISALA HME	0.35	10025	4/14/2001	
BAROMETRI	PRESSURE	MOLOGOB-SI		H6507	2/28/2001	
EREQUENCY	FRESSORE	PROTEK B-200		U20003371	3/6/2001	
TREGOENOT		TROTER B-200		020000011	5/6/2001	
TEST	EXPECTED	ACTUAL	ERROR	SPEC	NOTES	
Torque	<0.003in oz	6.0031NOZ	Pass/Pail	<0.003in oz		
Output Freq	200.0 Hz	200.00 0.0		±1.7 Hz	300RPM	
END PLAY	ć	X	C	ONNECTOR	OK	
HARDWARE T		e	LA	BELS	OK	
CUPS/ARMS			FI	NISH		
Test Proced	ure # 010C-61					

The Standards used for calibration have accuracies equal to or greater than the instruments tested. These standards are on record and traceable to NIST to the extent allowed by the institutes calibration facility. Unless other wise stated heron, all instruments are calibrated to meet manufacture's published specifications. The calibration system complies with MIL-STD-45662A.

#### Deutsches Windenergie - Institut

GmbH Ebertstr. 96 D-26382 Wilhelmshaven Tel. 49 4421 48080 Fax. 49 4421 4808 43

Test laboratory according to DIN EN 45.001 accredited by the DAP Deutsches Akkreditierungssystem Prüfwesen GmbH

Member of MEASNET International Network for Harmonised and Recognised Measurements in Wind Energy





# **DEWI Anemometer Calibration**

Calibration No.	76_02	
Object	Cup Anemometer	
Manufacturer	Met One Instruments USA	This calibration certificate documents that the measured physical values frequency, voltage, air pressure, air temperature and difference pressure in the similar
Туре	010C-1	standards. The determination of the wind
Serial number Cup number	T2345 T2345	velocity follows to ISO 3966 1977 Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits [2] and MEASNET Curp Anemometer
Customer	NREL USA Colden Colonada	Calibration Procedure [1].
Date	01/18/02	The presented results are valid only for the described anemometer and the measuring conditions.
Remarks	no	-

This calibration report includes 3 pages (plus appendix). It is not permitted to publish this document partly without permission of DEWI. The test result documented in this report relates only to the item tested. The user has to recalibrate the anemometer at appropriate intervals.

Wilhelmshaven, 20.01.2002

ß Ener i.V. Dipl. Phys. D. Westermann

DEWI Calibration No. 76\_02



1Sazle

i.A. Dipl.-Ing. P.Busche



# Certificate of Calibration

#### Customer:

Company Name:	NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LAB
City/State/Strt:	MS 3911
	1617 COLE BLVD
	GOLDEN CO
Contract/PO #:	
RMA #:	4492
Log Option:	2

### Model: CR23X-4M

### Serial Number: 3101

Test Panel Loc. 1				
CSI Calibration Number:	20780			
Calibration Procedures:	TST10517B R1	PRC32A R8	TST10517C R17	PRC33A R 1

### Instrument Calibration Condition

Received Disposition:	In Tolerance	•	Out of Tolerance	Operational Failure
Returned Disposition:	In Tolerance	•		

### Recommended Calibration Schedule

Based on past experience and assumed normal usage, it is recommended that this instrument be calibrated by due date stated below to insure sustained accuracy and reliable performance.

Calibration Date:	10/30/01	Manufacturer's suggested recalibration date:	10/30/02
-------------------	----------	--	----------

### Report of Calibration Standards Used

Make/ Model	SN	Cal Due Date	NIST reference
DP 8200	A014824	9/8/02	A014824
CSI Oscillator	196319	5/18/02	196319

CSI certifies the above instrument meets or exceeds published specifications and has been calibrated using standards and instruments whose accuracies are traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology, an accepted value of a natural physical constant or a ratio calibration technique. The collective measurement uncertainty of the calibration process exceeds a 4:1 ratio. The policies and procedures at this calibration facility comply with ISO-9001. The calibration of this instrument was performed in accordance with CSTs Quality Assurance program.

Quality Control Manager responsible for content of certificate: Clint Howell

### Remarks:

Based on Report option, some fields are intentionally left blank. This document shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Campbell Scientific, Inc.

Page 1 of 2

26

.

### NREL METROLOGY LABORATORY

#### Test Report

Test Instrument: Pressure Transmitter

Model # : PTB101B

Calibration Date: 11/16/2001

No	Function	Nominal	Measure	( )Mfr. Specs. OR	
	Tested	Value (mb)	Output Voltage (VDC)	Equivalent Pressure (mb)	(X)Data only (mb)
*	Absolute Pressure	651.7	0.2815	651.8	
		701.7	0.5538	701.9	
		751.7	0.8266	752.1	
		801.7	1.0987	802.2	
		851.7	1.3712	852.3	
		901.7	1.6424	902.2	
		951.7	1.9152	952.4	
		1001.7	2.1875	1002.5	
		1051.7	2.4601	1052.7	
	Note: Uncertainty o	f the nominal va	lue is ± 1 mb		
				Tested By Date	: Reda : 11/16/2001

DOE #: 02794C

S/N : S2830007

Due Date: 11/16/2002

sheet: 1 of: 1

#### **RTD** Calibration Certificate

Calibration Laboratory:	Item Calibrate	d:
National Wind Technology Center - Cert. Team	Mfgr:	Met One Instruments, Inc
National Renewable Energy Laboratory	Model:	T200
1617 Cole Boulevard	Serial No:	0464507
Golden, Colorado 80401	Condition:	good
Calibration Location:	Cal Date:	November 19, 2001
National Wind Technology Center		.,,
Building 257 room 101-04	Results:	
•	Slope:	2.6034 C/ohm
Calibrated for:	Offset:	-260.20 C
NWTC - Certification Team	Max Uncert*:	0.65 C
	*over temper	ature range of -20 to +45 C
Procedure:		
CI02 Calibrate RTD 011128	Certificate Nur	nber / File Name:
Deviations: NONE		RTD Cal 0464507, 011119.xls
Reference Standard:	Associated Eq	uipment
Hart Scientific, Model 9102 HDRC Dry-Well Calibrator	Campbell Sci	entific, CR23X, Datalogger, s/n 3099
Last Calibration: Hart Scientific, 8/28/2001, A182823	Vishay, S102	C, 10 kohm Precision Resistor
The standard used in this calibration is traceable to the National II	nstitute of Standard	ds and Technology (NIST). Measurement
Moscurament * It is based upon a QEX coordiance laud /courses	a feator - 2)	ere expression of Uncertainty in



i || 29/01 Date



RTD Cal 0464507, 011119.xls

Page 1 of 2

### Wind Vane Calibration Report

Calib Nat Nat 161 Gol	ional V ional V ional R 7 Cole den, C	Laborat /ind Tex enewat Boulev olorado	ory: chnology Cent ble Energy Lat ard 80401	ter - Cert. T coratory	eam	Custome Nationa Nationa 1617 C Golden	e: I Wind Te I Renewa ole Boulev , Colorado	chnology ble Energ vard 980401	Center y Labo	- Certification ratory	n Team	
Calib Nati Roc	iration ional W om 129	Location /ind Tex , Indust	h: shnology Cent rial Users Fac	ler illity		Calibratio	on Date:		:	28 Jan, 2002		
Repo Page	ort Num	ber:	T1010-28 Ja	in, 2002		Procedu NWTC-	re: CT: GI24-	000613, \	Vind V	ane Calibratio	'n	
liem	dellar	uta at				Deviation	ns from pr	ocedure:		None		
Mar Mod Seri Van Con	calibra lufactu del ial Nun le Mate idition	neu: rer iber irial	Met One Ins 020C T1010 Aluminum Refurbished	truments, k	1G	Results Offse Max erro	s: Siope t to boom r:	:: 0 ::	.1428 91.9 0.5	deg/mv deg deg		
Estin	nated U Indii Und	Incertai nometer certainty (deg) 0.10	nty: Uncert	Total lainty (deg) 0.24		Traceabi Inclinon Voltmet	lity: neter: ler:	Mfg & M Lucas D Fluke 7-	Aodel AP45 43B	Serial Number 82860032 6965608	12/1	Cal Date 3/02 1/2/03
ſ		Calib	ration by: Ma	k Meadors		1.010	<u></u>	///		28-Jan-02 Date		
ĺ		360										0.8
	eg)	300						•				0.6
	ngle (d	240			1	1	- ·	•	•			ہ (deg)
	μĀ	180	•	•						•		o o o o
	ЪГ	120	•	•			1			• •	-	-0.2 <b>pis</b>
	ane	120	• -									4 <b>X</b>
	۲a S	60	-						-	•	,	-0.6
		0				_		+				-0.8
Ξ.			0	500		1000	1	500	2	2000	250	00
					Vane	Outpu	it Volta	ge (m\	/)			

Appendix C: Test Plan





# Wind Turbine Generator System

# **Power Performance Test Plan**

for the

# **Bergey Excel-S/60 wind turbine**

# with BW03 airfoil blades

in

Golden, Colorado

Conducted by

**National Wind Technology Center** National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Boulevard Golden, Colorado 80401

for

Bergey Windpower Company, Inc. 2001 Priestley Avenue Norman, Oklahoma 73069

> **Mark Meadors** Jeroen van Dam

> > January 2003

Approval By:	Mon 1	2 leb 2003
	Jeroen van Dam, NREL Certification Test Engineer	Date
Approval By:	Hordel. Int	12 Feb 2003
	Harold F. Link, NREL Certification Test Manager	Date
Approval By:	Junda Jausyth	z/12/08
	Trudy Forsyth, NREL Project Engineer	Date

### 1 Table of Contents

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
2	TABLE OF TABLES	2
3	TABLE OF FIGURES	2
4	TEST OBJECTIVE	3
5	BACKGROUND	3
6	TEST TURBINE	3
7	TEST SITE	5
,	7.1 General Description	5
,	7.2 EVALUATION OF OBSTRUCTIONS	7
-	7.3 EVALUATION OF TERRAIN	8
8	POWER PERFORMANCE TEST	9
8	8.1 DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT	9
8	8.2 Test Preparations	11
8	8.3 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES	12
9	ANALYSIS METHODS 1	13
10	UNCERTAINTY	16
11	REPORTING	17
12	EXCEPTIONS TO STANDARD PRACTICE	17
13	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	17
AP	PPENDIX A: INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION SHEETS	18

### 2 Table of Tables

Table 1. Test Turbine Configuration and Operational Data	5
Table 2. Obstructions Close to the Bergey Excel Test Turbine	8
Table 3. Criteria for Acceptance of Test Site without Site Calibration Testing	8
Table 4. Equipment List for Power Performance Tests	9
Table 5. Uncertainty in Power Performance Measurements	16
Table 6. Roles of Test Participants	17

# 3 Table of Figures

Figure 1. The Bergey Excel wind turbine	. 4
Figure 2. View of test turbine toward the prevailing wind direction (292°)	. 6
Figure 3. Plot plan of the test site	. 7
Figure 4. Layout of instrumentation for power performance tests	10
Figure 5. Detail of instrument locations and mounting booms on the meteo tower	11

### 4 Test Objective

The objective of this test is to obtain the power performance characteristics of the Bergey Excel-S/60 wind turbine for participation in the U.S. Department of Energy/Golden Field Office (DOE/GO) Field Verification Program. The power performance characteristics will be measured in accordance with the International Electrotechnical Commission's (IEC's) standard, *Wind Turbine Generator Systems Part 12: Power Performance Measurement Techniques*, IEC 61400-12 Ed.1.0, 1998. Hereafter this document is referred to as the Standard or the IEC standard.

### 5 Background

This test is being conducted as part of the DOE's Small Wind Turbine Field Verification Program. The primary purpose of this program is to provide consumers, manufacturers, and host site organizations with an independent assessment of the performance and reliability of small wind turbines manufactured in the United States. As part of the DOE/GO Field Verification Program, each turbine must pass a suite of IEC tests, including duration, system safety and function, power performance, and noise tests.

The Bergey Excel test turbine, located at the National Wind Technology Center (NWTC), is owned by AWS Scientific, Inc. This turbine was erected at the NWTC in October 1999.

### 6 Test Turbine

The Bergey Excel is a three-bladed upwind wind turbine rated at a 10 kW output at 13.0 m/s. It is connected to a Bergey Gridtek inverter, which provides power to the NWTC public service electrical grid.

The Excel uses a permanent magnet alternator to produce three-phase variable frequency output at a nominal 240 volts. The three-phase output is then rectified to DC power and converted to single-phase, 240-volt, 60-Hz AC power in the Gridtek inverter.

The turbine blades are made from pultruded fiberglass. In high wind speeds (greater than about 15.6 m/s), the turbine will turn out of the wind (known as furling) to protect the turbine from over-speeding. Table 1 lists basic turbine configuration and operational data.



Figure 1. The Bergey Excel wind turbine.

General Configuration:	
Make, Model, Serial Number	Bergey WindPower, Excel, #9900550
Rotation Axis (H/V)	Horizontal
Orientation (upwind/downwind)	Upwind
Number of Blades	3
Rotor Hub Type	Rigid
Rotor Diameter (m)	7.0
Hub Height (m)	37
Performance:	
Rated Electrical Power (kW)	10
Rated Wind Speed (m/s)	13.0
Cut-In Wind Speed (m/s)	3.1
Cut-Out Wind Speed (m/s)	none
Rotor:	
Swept Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	38.4
Blade Pitch Control	Powerflex® Passive Pitch with Pitch Weights
Direction of Rotation	Clockwise
Rotor Speed (rpm)	0-400
Power Regulation (active or passive)	Passive
Tower:	
Туре	Bergey Guyed Lattice
Height (m)	36.6
Control / Electrical System:	
Controller: Make, Type	Bergey Gridtek Inverter
Electrical Output Voltage	Nominal 240-Volt Single Phase
Yaw System:	
Wind Direction Sensor	Tail Vane

Table 1. Test Turbine Configuration and Operational Data

### 7 Test Site

### 7.1 General Description

The Bergey Excel wind turbine under test is located at Test Site 1.4 of the NWTC (hereafter referred to as the test site), approximately 8 km south of Boulder, Colorado. The site is located in somewhat complex terrain at an approximate elevation of 1850 m above sea level. Figure 2 shows a picture of the turbine toward the prevailing wind direction 292°. Figure 3 shows a plot plan of the test site with topography lines listed in feet above sea level.

The meteorological tower is located 22.7 m from the test turbine at an azimuth of 292 degrees true. The distance is about three rotor diameters from the turbine.



Figure 2. View of test turbine toward the prevailing wind direction (292°).



Figure 3. Plot plan of the test site.

#### 7.2 Evaluation of Obstructions

The IEC standard uses the expression "measurement sector" to define wind directions that can be used for power performance measurements. The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) defines a "preliminary measurement sector" as part of the site assessment procedure. Using data obtained during site calibration or the power performance test, NREL may change the measurement sector to avoid wind directions where terrain or obstacles affect the wind.

The first step in defining the measurement sector is to consider historic wind data, if available. Experience at the NWTC has shown that the prevailing wind direction is 292° for winds above 4 m/s. These winds usually come during the "wind season," which normally lasts from November to April.

Next we analyze the site to estimate the wakes from obstructions. The preliminary measurement sector should avoid wake effects on the turbine and the meteorological tower. This includes the potential for the turbine wake to affect the anemometers on the meteorological tower. Table 2

lists the positions and characteristics of structures close to the Bergey Excel. This table does not include the data shed as the IEC standard permits small data acquisition sheds within the measurement sector. NREL will evaluate the effect of this shed using the power performance data. If we find that the shed has a significant effect, the measurement sector will be adjusted accordingly. Based on the effects of the obstructions listed in Table 2, the preliminary measurement sector is 153° to 1° true.

Obstruction	Tower Height	Diameter (rotor or equiv.)	Distance	Bearing	Relative to:	Excl Sec [ <sup>4</sup>	uded tor `]
	[m]	[m]	[m]	[°]		Start	End
AOC 15/50 (site 1.1)	25.0	15.0	210	22.0	Test Turbine	1	43
NPS NW100 (site 1.2)	23.5	16.6	140	32.6	Test Turbine	7	59
AOC 15/50 (site 1.1)	25.0	15.0	211.6	28.2	Anno	7	50
NPS NW100 (site 1.2)	23.5	16.6	145.4	41.5	Anno	16	67
Bergey XL10 (site 1.4)	36.6	7.0	22.7	112.1	Anno	71	153

Table 2. Obstructions Close to the Bergey Excel Test Turbine

### 7.3 Evaluation of Terrain

To conduct a power performance test without a site calibration, the terrain surrounding the test turbine must meet all the criteria listed in Section A.1 of the IEC standard. Table 3 lists these criteria and the results of the NWTC-CT's assessment. The site failed criterion #7; however, because the turbine is placed on a relatively high tower, the expectation is that the influence on the power performance is negligible. In this case, NREL chose to forgo the site calibration. We will evaluate the power performance data to determine whether small obstructions have any influence on the power curve measurements.

Criterion	Description	Distance <sup>1)</sup>	Sector	Test Site	Pass/Fail
			(deg)	Condition	
1	Maximum slope of best fit plane < 3%	<2L	360	1.9%	Pass
2	Maximum variation from best fit plane < 0.08 D	<2L	360	0.04	Pass
3	Maximum slope of best fit plane < 5%	2-4L	Inside prel. meas. sector	2%	Pass
4	Maximum variation from best fit plane < 0.15 D	2-4L	Inside prel. meas. sector	0.05	Pass
5	Steepest slope maximum < 10%	2-4L	Outside prel. meas. sector	2.1%	Pass
6	Maximum slope of best fit plane < 10%	4-8L	Inside prel. meas. sector	2.5%	Pass
7	Maximum variation from best fit plane < 0.15 D	4-8L	Inside prel. meas. sector	0.43	Fail
8	No neighboring and operating turbines	$<2D_n$	360	8.4	Pass
9	No obstacles	<2D <sub>e</sub>	360	43.1	Pass
10	Preliminary measurement sector within available measurement sector	n/a	n/a	Yes	Pass

Table 3. Cri	iteria for Accent	ance of Test Sit	e without Site	<b>Calibration</b> T	esting
	100110 101 1100000	ance of rest sit	e mithout site	Cambration 1	counts

1) L is the distance between the turbine and the meteorological tower,

D<sub>n</sub> is the rotor diameter of a neighboring turbine, and

 $D_e$  is the equivalent rotor diameter of obstacles.

### 8 Power Performance Test

#### 8.1 Description of Test Equipment

Table 4 is an equipment list that provides the requirements and specifications for each of the instruments used for performance testing. Figure 4 shows the overall locations of the instrumentation. Figure 5 shows the location of instruments at the top of the meteorological tower.

Power Transducer and CTs (Inverter Power)			
Make/Model:	OSI, GWV5-001EY24 CT pn 12975		
Serial Number (Transducer & CTs):	9101376		
Range with CTs:	-13.33 to 13.33 kW/kVar		
Calibration Due Date:	14 September 2001		
Power Transducer and CTs (WT W	atts)		
Make/Model:	OSI, P-143E		
Serial Number (Transducer & CTs):	9100896		
Range with CTs:	0 to 40 kW		
Calibration Due Date:	14 September 2001		
Primary Anemometer			
Make/Model:	Met One, 010C with Aluminum Cups		
Serial Number.	Y4397		
Calibration Due Date:	20 February 2002		
Secondary Anemometer			
Make/Model:	Met One, 010C with Aluminum Cups		
Serial Number:	X4233		
Calibration Due Date:	20 February 2002		
Wind Direction Sensor			
Make/Model:	Met One, 020C with Aluminum Vane		
Serial Number:	U1477		
Calibration Due Date:	20 February 2002		
Barometric Pressure Sensor			
Make/Model:	Vaisala, PTB101B		
Serial Number:	T3330002		

**Table 4. Equipment List for Power Performance Tests** 

Calibration Due Date:	19 December 2001		
Atmospheric Temperature Sensor			
Make/Model:	Met One, T-200 RTD		
Serial Number:	0653393		
Calibration Due Date:	12 December 2001		
Datalogger			
Make/Model:	Campbell Scientific CR23X		
Serial Number:	1214		
Calibration Due Date:	31 January 2002		



Figure 4. Layout of instrumentation for power performance tests.



#### Figure 5. Detail of instrument locations and mounting booms on the meteo tower.

In addition to the instruments listed in Table 4, the performance test requires a signal to determine turbine operational status.

For the Bergey Excel with Gridtek inverter, the logbook will be checked on manual resets of inverter faults. The logbook will also be checked for further information on turbine availability (DAS or turbine maintenance). If the grid goes down, power to the power transducer is lost and thus the power signal is invalid; in this way, those data points will be sorted out automatically.

#### 8.2 Test Preparations

In preparation for the test, the test technician will:

- install and check all instrumentation for the power performance test using procedures defined in this section of the test plan
- perform a series of "in-field" checks on each of the instruments
- leave the datalogger in "logging" mode to collect a short, 6-12 hour data set. This data set will be checked to identify any problems that might not be apparent in the in-field checks.
- perform a third check if a data acquisition system is available that can provide comparable signals to those monitored by the NREL system. In this check, data sets are compared to identify any unexplainable differences in any of the comparable signals.

In parallel with instrumentation checkouts, the turbine owner will:

- complete final modifications to the test turbine and test site (if any)
- notify NREL in writing that the turbine and test site configurations are fixed
- provide NREL with updated information on the final test configuration of the turbine and the test site.

After all instrumentation checks are complete, and upon receipt of verification that the turbine and test site configurations are fixed, NREL will change the site identification code on the datalogger to signify the beginning of testing.

#### 8.3 Measurement Procedures

Measurements during the power performance test will be obtained automatically by the Campbell datalogger at a sample rate of 1 Hz. At the end of each 10-minute period, as indicated on the datalogger's clock, it records the averages of these data with their standard deviations and minimum and maximum values for the ten minutes. It also records the percentage of time that the turbine or system is not available. Finally, the logger records the number of samples in each record. If the datalogger is interrupted by a program change, its first or last record will contain less than 10 minutes. The IEC standard does not allow use of such records.

On a weekly basis, NREL will transfer data from the datalogger to computers at NREL offices. Also on a weekly basis, NREL personnel will check instruments located on the meteorological tower from ground level. They will note whether there are any obvious failures such as broken or missing cups from the anemometers; bent, broken or missing wind vane; or misalignment of any sensors. They will also note whether 120 VAC power is being provided to the datalogger. NREL personnel will also record any unusual occurrences with the turbine or instrumentation in the appropriate logbook inside the turbine control shed.

NREL will analyze the data sets once per week. Using the procedures described in the next section, the test engineer will note whether any problems have arisen. The test will be considered as suspended pending resolution of the problem. The test engineer will determine whether data obtained during the period when the problem was active can be used in the determination of power performance and note whether data are used in the test report.

If the test site or turbine changes during the test, the test engineer will determine whether it is appropriate to continue the test, restart the test, or cancel the test. All actions will be documented in the test report.

NREL will monitor the quantity of data obtained during testing and will report on test progress to NREL management on a weekly basis.

The power curve must be well defined over a range of wind speeds specified by the IEC standard. In this test, the low end of the range is 2.1 m/s, which is 1 m/s below the Bergey Excel's cut-in wind speed. The high end of the range is 20 m/s, which is 1.5 times the wind speed at which the turbine produces 85% of its rated power. The test will continue until 180 hours of usable data have been obtained in the specified wind speed range and when each 0.5 m/s wind bin in this range contains at least 30 minutes of data. Once sufficient data are obtained to fulfill these requirements, the NREL test engineer will inform NREL management that the test is complete.

### 9 Analysis Methods

NREL analyzes power performance data in two steps. First we determine which data are usable. Then we process the usable data to obtain power curves and to estimate annual energy production and uncertainty.

In the first step, the analyst enters the data into a spreadsheet in which time series plots are used to review the various instrument readings. The data acquisition system has failed if:

- 1) voltage of the datalogger's power supply is below 11 volts DC
- 2) temperature at the datalogger is less than -40°C or greater than 80°C
- 3) the record contains less than 600 samples
- 4) any channel is over range (as indicated by a record of -99999).

Other checks are made to ensure to the greatest extent practical that all signals are valid. Also, the analyst tags as unusable any data obtained when the logbook indicates that the turbine or external conditions prevented normal operation. Occasionally such periods are noted in the logbook but not recorded by the datalogger. Any data that are found to be unusable are filtered from the data set.

The usable data are then entered into a second spreadsheet with custom macros for processing. These macros apply additional filters in accordance with the IEC standard. The IEC standard requires that all data be used unless the following conditions are present:

- The wind turbine is unavailable
- The test equipment fails
- The wind direction is outside of the valid measurement sector.

NREL defines unavailable as:

- The turbine is faulted (the inverter is waiting for a manual reset)
- The turbine is not in automatic run mode (i.e. the turbine has manually been furled)
- The utility grid is not available (utility power is not within specifications).

Once the above criteria have been applied, the remaining data from the primary test data set and the resulting power performance from this set are analyzed and reported. The macros perform the following calculations on that data set:

1. When site calibration data are available, Equation 1 is used to adjust the average wind speeds measured on the meteorological tower (MET) to calculate turbine wind speeds according to the site calibration results. If no site calibration test was performed, then the  $\Gamma_{\text{Site}} = 1.0$ .

$$V_{Turb} = \Gamma_{Site} \cdot V_{MET}$$

**Equation 1** 

where:  $V_{Turb}$  = wind speed at turbine (m/s)

 $\Gamma_{\text{Site}}$  = site calibration factor

- $V_{MET}$  = wind speed at MET (m/s)
- 2. If the pressure sensor is more then 10 meters below hub height, then for each data point the measured pressure is corrected to hub height by Equation 2 (from ISO 2533).

$$p = p_b \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta}{T_b} \cdot \left( H - H_b \right) \right]^{-\frac{g_n}{\beta \cdot R}}$$
 Equation 2

where: p = pressure at hub height (Pa) = measured pressure (Pa)  $p_b$ = temperature gradient (-6.5 K/m) ß = measured temperature (K) T<sub>b</sub> Η = hub height above ground (m) H<sub>b</sub> = pressure transducer height above ground (m) = acceleration of gravity (9.807 m/s<sup>2</sup>)  $g_n$ = specific gas constant ( $287.053 \text{ m/Ks}^2$ ) R

3. For each data point, the average air density is calculated by the Ideal Gas Law (Equation 3):

$$\rho_{10\,\text{min}} = \frac{B_{10\,\text{min}}}{R * T_{10\,\text{min}}}$$
Equation 3

where: 
$$\rho_{10\text{min}} = \text{derived air density averaged over 10 minutes (kg/m3)}$$
  
 $T_{10\text{min}} = \text{measured absolute air temperature averaged over 10 minutes (K)}$   
 $B_{10\text{min}} = \text{measured air pressure averaged over 10 minutes (Pa)}$   
 $R = \text{gas constant for air (287.05 J/kgK)}$ 

- 4. For each data point, the derived site air density is used to calculate the average site air density for the test period, rounded to the nearest  $0.05 \text{ kg/m}^3$ .
- 5. For small turbines that use furling, NREL has determined that the most appropriate method to use for normalizing the power curve is to adjust wind speed in accordance with Equation 4. In this test, normalization will be performed using monthly averages instead of 10-minute averages of air density:

$$V_n = V_{10\min} \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_{10\min}}{\rho_0}\right)^{1/3}$$
 Equation 4

where:  $V_n$  = normalized wind speed (m/s)  $V_{10min}$  = measured wind speed averaged over 10 minutes (m/s)  $\rho_0$  = site average air density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)  $\rho_{10min}$  = measured air density averaged over 10 minutes (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

- 6. Equation 4 is applied a second time with  $\rho_o$  replaced with the standard sea-level air density (1.225 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), creating a standard normalized wind speed (V<sub>ns</sub>).
- 7. All data are sorted, according to normalized wind speeds, into bins which are 0.5 m/s wide, with bin centers at integer multiples of 0.5 m/s. Each power, DC and AC, is averaged for each bin. As a result, two power curves and AEPs are calculated.
- 8. For each data bin, the following parameters are calculated:
  - bin average air temperature (K)

- bin average corrected air pressure (Pa)
- bin average measured wind speed (m/s)
- bin average standard deviation of wind speed (m/s)
- bin average measured power (W)
- bin average standard deviation of measured power (W)
- bin average site average density normalized power (W)
- bin average site average density standard deviation normalized power (W)
- bin average sea-level density normalized power (W)
- bin average sea-level density standard deviation normalized power (W)
- site average density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- amount of 10-minute data points in bin
- bin average uncorrected air pressure (Pa)
- bin power coefficient
- 9. The test power curve is then formed by the resulting average normalized wind speed and average power (average for site average density, standard for sea-level density) at each bin. For each bin, the generator power coefficient is calculated by Equation 5:

$$C_{P,i} = \frac{P_i}{0.5*\rho_0 A V_i^3}$$
 Equation 5

where:

e:  $C_{P,i}$  = generator power coefficient in bin i (non-dimensional)  $V_i$  = normalized wind speed in bin i (m/s)  $P_i$  = average power in bin i (W) A = swept area of the turbine rotor  $\rho_0$  = reference air density (same as used to normalize  $V_i$ )

10. The measured power curve is then used to estimate annual energy production (AEP) for a variety of Rayleigh wind speed distributions. For each case, the distributions are specified at turbine hub height and assumed to be constant over the swept area of the rotor. The AEP estimations are made according to Equations 6 and 7:

$$AEP = N_h \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ F(V_i) - F(V_{i-1}) \right] \left( \frac{P_{i-1} + P_i}{2} \right)$$
 Equation 6

where:

- AEP = annual energy production (kWh)
  - $N_h$  = number of hours in one year  $\approx 8760$  hr
  - N = number of bins
  - V<sub>i</sub> = normalized and averaged wind speed in bin i
  - $V_{i-1}$  = normalized and averaged wind speed in bin i-1
  - $P_i$  = averaged measured power in bin i
  - $P_{i-1}$  = averaged measured power in bin i-1
  - F(V) = the accumulated Rayleigh distribution, given by:

$$F(V) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{V}{V_{ave}}\right)^2\right)$$
 Equation 7

where:

V<sub>ave</sub> = annual average wind speed at hub height V = wind speed

- 11. The summation of Equation 6 is initiated by setting  $V_{i-1}$  equal to  $V_i$ -0.5 m/s, and  $P_{i-1}$  equal to 0 kW. The AEP calculations are made for integer values of annual average wind speeds ranging between 4 and 11 m/s.
- 12. An uncertainty analysis is performed per Annex C of the IEC standard for both the measured power curve and estimated AEP.

AEP is calculated in two ways, one designated AEP-measured and the other AEP-extrapolated. AEP-measured is calculated assuming that power in winds above the highest bin in the power curve is zero. AEP-extrapolated is calculated assuming that power in winds above the highest bin in the power curve is equal to the power in the highest wind bin. If AEP-measured is less than 95% of AEP-calculated, then the table reporting AEP-measured values must indicate "Incomplete."

### 10 Uncertainty

NREL considers two types of uncertainty in the calculation of overall measurement uncertainty of the power curve. Type A is calculated from the scatter of test data. Type B accounts for uncertainty in calibration, installation, and for instrument accuracy.

Table 5 indicates values for known and estimated uncertainty levels for this test. Once the test data are obtained, NREL calculates the Type A uncertainties based on data scatter and combines these with the Type B uncertainties listed to obtain an overall uncertainty.

Component	Uncertainty		Source
Power (Inverter)			
Power Transducer	6W or 0.12%		Specs
Data Acquisition	36	W	Specs
Resistor	0.006	%	Specs
Wind Speed			
Anemometer	0.06 m/s		Calibration
Operational Characteristics	1.73%		Estimate
Mounting Effects	1.15%		Estimate
Terrain Effects	2.00%		IEC Recommendation
Data Acquisition	0.00		Estimate
Temperature			
Temperature Sensor	0.15	K	Instrument Specs
Radiation Shielding	1.15	K	Shield Specs
Mounting Effects	0.24	K	IEC Method
Linearization	0.12	K	Estimate

Table 5. Uncertainty in Power Performance Measurements

Data Acquisition	0.03	K	Datalogger Specs/ Resistor Specs
Air Pressure			
Pressure Sensor	2.0	hPa	Specs
Mounting Effects	0.07	hPa	IEC Method
Data Acquisition	0.8	hPa	Datalogger Specs

### 11 Reporting

When the data collection and analysis are completed, NREL will generate a test report. This report will include the power curves and AEP for the Bergey Excel, as well as detailed explanations of any deviations from this test plan. The report will also examine the uncertainty of the measurements and whether the test passes the minimum requirements of the Standard.

### **12 Exceptions to Standard Practice**

Power performance instrumentation deviates from the IEC standard as follows:

- 1. The power transducer was not tested for compliance with IEC 60688.
- 2. The current transformers were not tested for compliance with IEC 60044.
- 3. The terrain does not meet the requirements of the IEC standard for variations within a distance of 4-8L from the test turbine.

### 13 Roles and Responsibilities

Table 6 lists the planned test team and identifies roles and responsibilities for each team member.

Test Team Title	Name	Employer	Role(s)
Certification Test Manager	Hal Link	NREL	Approves NREL test plan
Test Engineer	Jeroen van Dam	NREL	Manages and is responsible for test Serves as customer contact person Authorizes any deviations from planned test procedures Supervises performance test set-up, checkout, and conduct Periodically reviews test data Identifies problems based on data analysis results Analyzes test data Reports test results Serves as the primary point of contact between NWTC-CT and the test site manager
Test Technician	Mark Meadors	NREL	Selects instruments Installs and checks out test equipment Implements corrective actions for problems Downloads and stores test data
Turbine Maintenance Technician	Scott Wilde	NKEL	Maintains test turbine in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations Records all maintenance activities or observations in test log.

#### Table 6. Roles of Test Participants

### **Appendix A: Instrument Calibration Sheets**



DATE 9-13-00 It is hereby certified, that all articles in the quantities as called for on the above order are in conformance with all applicable requirements and specifications as outlined in that order and any negotiated changes related thereto.

Accuracy has been established by comparison with standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

EQUIPMENT USED:

1	MFG	MODEL	S/N	CAL. DATE	DUE DATE	
ROTEK HEWLETT P	ACKARD	800A 34401A	433 3146A10629	3-6-00	11-6-00	
ABOVE E	QUIPMENT IS TRA	ACEABLE TO: MODEL	SIN	CAL	DUE	REPORT
				DATE	DATE	NO.
ROTEK		800A 710	433 115	3-6-00 6-1-00	11-6-00	21129 21234
TEMP.	73°F		OHIO SEMITRON	ICS, INC.		
HUM.	69%		Company Quality Assurance	ff.	Rehme	4
Dwg. #A-	7003-02		( '			
		THE LEADER IN	POWER MEASURE	MENT		

Wind Turbine Generator System Power Performance Test Report for the Bergey Excel-S/60 Wind Turbine with BW03 Airfoil Blades Page 47 of 54

OHIO SEMITRONICS, INC.

4242 REYNOLDS DRIVE 
 HILLIARD, OHIO 43026
 Telephone (614) 777-1005
 FAX (614) 777-4511

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

MODEL GWV5-001EY24		COMPANY	N.R.E.L. NATION	AL WIND	TECH.	
SERIAL NO. 9101376	PO#	M MEADORS	OSI PO#	NA	RMA#	12322
	DATE	9-13-00				

It is hereby certified, that all articles in the quantities as called for on the above order are in conformance with all applicable requirements and specifications as outlined in that order and any negotiated changes related thereto.

Accuracy has been established by comparison with standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

EQUIPMENT USED:

	MFG	MODEL	S/N	CAL. DATE	DUE DATE	
ROTEK		800A	433	3-6-00	11-6-00	
HEWLETT P	PACKARD	34401A	3146A10629	6-21-00	12-12-00	
HEWLETT	PACKARD	34401A	3146A58150	7-27-00	12-27-00	
ABOVE E	EQUIPMENT IS T	RACEABLE TO:				
	MFG	MODEL	S/N	CAL. DATE	DUE DATE	REPORT NO.
ROTEK		800A	433	3-6-00	11-6-00	21120
ROTEK		710	115	6-1-00	12-1-00	21234
TEMP.	73°F		OHIO SEMITRON	ICS, INC.		
HUM.	69%		Company		0	/
Dwg. #A	-7003-02	THE 184050	Quality Assurance	11-	Kalami	4

Wind Turbine Generator System Power Performance Test Report for the Bergey Excel-S/60 Wind Turbine with BW03 Airfoil Blades Page 48 of 54

#### Deutsches Windenergie - Institut



GmbH Ebertstr. 96 D-26382 Wilhelmshaven Tel. 49 4421 48080 Fax. 49 4421 4808 43

Test laboratory according to DIN EN 45.001 accredited by the DAP Deutsches Akkreditierungssystem Prüfwesen GmbH



Member of MEASNET International Network for Harmonised and Recognised Measurements in Wind Energy



# **DEWI Anemometer Calibration**

Calibration No.	1103_00	
Object	Cup Anemometer	
Manufacturer	Met One Instruments USA	This calibration certificate documents that the measured physical values frequency, voltage, air pressure, air temperature and difference pressure in the airflow are traceable to national
Type	010C-1	standards.
Serial number Cup number	¥4397 ¥4397	The determination of the wind velocity follows to ISO 3966 1977 Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits [2] and MEASNET Cup Anemometer
Customer	NREL	Calibration Procedure [1].
Date	Golden, Colorado 12/14/00	The presented results are valid only for the described anemometer and the measuring conditions.
Remarks	no	

This calibration report includes 3 pages (plus appendix). It is not permitted to publish this document partly without permission of DEWI. The test result documented in this report relates only to the item tested. The user has to recalibrate the anemometer at appropriate intervals.

Wilhelmshaven, 14.12.2000	DEWI - Deutscher Mindenergie	J2 - Junia i.A. Dipl. Ing. K. Junior
DEWI Calibration No. 1103_00	,	

#### MET ONE INSTRUMENTS INC.

TEST CERTIFICATION

SENSOR MODEL # 010 BC	SERIAL NO. X 4233
SALES ORDER #	CUSTOMER <u>NREL</u>
TEST DATE	TESTED BY That Russell
po number	
Room Temperature Zo <sup>°C</sup>	Room Humidity 30%
TEST STANDARDS:	
DMM KEITHLEY 197A Ser 490833	CALIBRATED
FREQUENCY HP 5245L Ser 71616181	CALIBRATED 2/17/00
TEMPERATURE M.O.I. Model 062 Ser N8	1823 Calibrated 4/17/00
RELATIVE HUMIDITY Vaisala Model HME	235A Ser 460410 Calibrated <u>9/15/0</u> 0

TEST	AS FOUND	ERROR	AS LEFT	ERROR	SPEC.
Torque	50003	pass fail	6.003	pass/fail	<0.003 inoz
Output 300rpm	200.3 Hz	+0.3Hz	199.9	-0.1	200 +/- 1.7Hz

#### Wind Vane Calibration Report

Calibration Laboratory: National Wind Technology Center - Cert. Team National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Boulevard Golden, Colorado 80401			Customer: National Wind <sup>1</sup> National Renew 1617 Cole Bou Golden, Colora	Technology ( vable Energy levard do 80401	Center - Certifi Laboratory	cation Team
Calibration Location National Wind Tech Room 123, Industri	nnology Center al Users Facility		Calibration Date		14-Jun-00	
Report Number:	U1477-000614		Procedure: NWTC-CT: GI2	4-000613, V	/ind Vane Cali	bration
Page: 1 of 1			Deviations from	procedure:	None	
tem Calibrated:	Met One lestaur	ageta log	Derasens com			
Model	020C	nenta, Inc	Results:			
Serial Number	U1477		Slope:	0.1424	deg/mv	
Vane Material Condition	Aluminum Refurbished		Offset to boom: Max error:	89.7 0.2	deg deg	
stimated Uncertain	ity:		Traceability: Mf	ig & Model	Serial	Cal
Inclinome Uncertai (d	nter inty Unc eg)	Total certainty (deg)	Inclinometer L Voltmeter:	ucas DP45 CR10X	82860032 X08210	20-Jan-00 25-Feb-00
	Calibra	tion by:	Nark M.	eadors		6/14/00
360 -	Calibra	ation by: Mark	Mark My	eadors.	ī	6/14/00 Date 1.2
360	Calibra	ition by: Mark	Mark M.	eadors		6/14/00 Date 1.2
360 300	Calibra	ation by: Mark	Mark M.	eadou		1.2 0.8
360 300 - (6) 9) 240 -	Calibra	ition by: Mark I	Mark M.	·		1.2 0.8 0.4 §
360 (Bep) 240 elbuy	Calibra	ation by: Mark	Mark M.	eadors •		1.2 0.8 0.4 (Bep)
360 300 - (6ep) 240 - 180 -		ation by: <u>Mark</u>	Mark M.	eadors •		1.2 0.8 0.4 (6ap) stemp
360 (600) 240 180 120	Calibra	ation by: Mark I	Mark M.	eadors •		1.2 0.8 0.4 (66) 0.4 (66) 0.0 (66) -0.4 (66) -0.4 (66)
360 (6ep) 240 180 120 60	Calibra	ation by: Mark	Mark M.	eadors •		1.2 0.8 0.4 (69) 0.0 sempised -0.4 8 -0.8
360 300 240 180 120 60 60	Calibra	ation by: Mark	Mark M.	• •		(6/14/00 Date 1.2 0.8 0.4 (6ap) ■ 0.0 stenpisey ■ -0.4 ■ -0.8 ■ -0.8 ■ -0.8

	Calibration Certifi	cate
	INSTRUMENT REPAIR LABS	
Р	<b>2100A West 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue</b> Broomfield, CO 80020 H: 303/469-5375 or 800/345-6140, FX: 303/	/469-5378
Company Name: Certification#: Calibration Location: ustomer's P.O. Number: Received Status: Returned Status: Procedure Used: Calibration Date: Standards Used: Calibration Remarks: Description:	National Renewable Energy Lab 001128059 Mod Subcontractor Barco In Tolerance Cust In Tolerance Te MFGR Cal Procedure 12/19/2000 Rec. Cal. D Subcontracted - See attached Calibrated by CEESI, reference certi Absolute Pressure Transducer	UFG: Vaisala lel: PTB101B SN: T3330002 de: 17816 ID: mp: 72 F RH: 35 % ue: 12/19/2001 ficate
It is Instrument F or exceeds all man has been calibrate within the limitat accepted values o Requirements'' sa and ISO/IEC 170 <70% RH unless full, without the v	Repair Labs, Inc. opinion that the above list nufacturer's or agreed upon local specificat ed using standards whose accuracies are tra- ion of their calibration services, or have bee f natural physical constants. Our "Calibrat tisfy ANSI/NCSL Z-540, MIL-STD 45662A 25. The calibration environment was 70 De otherwise noted. This report is not to be re- vritten approval of Instrument Repair Labs	ed instrument meets ions. The instrument iceable to N.I.S.T. en derived from tion System , FDA GMP 820.61 g F, +/- 5 Deg F and produced, except in ' Quality Manager.
	CERTIFIED BY: SubcontCal QUALITY MANAGER: Bill Hedrick	
	5/2000	Instrument Renair Labe is certified

Wind Turbine Generator System Power Performance Test Report for the Bergey Excel-S/60 Wind Turbine with BW03 Airfoil Blades



Test Report

Test Instrument: RTD Probe

Calibration Date: 12/12/2000

Model # : N/A

	Nominal Values		Measured Values		
Nu	Nominal Resistance	Equivalent Temperature	Measured Resistance	Equivalent Temperature	Temperature Error(M-N)
1	94.12 Ω	-15 °C	<b>94.131</b> Ω	-14.97 °C	0.03 °C
2	100.00 <i>Ω</i>	0.0 °C	100.017 Q	0.04 °C	0.04 °C
3	105.85 Q	15.0 °C	<b>105.872</b> Ω	15.06 °C	0.06 °C
4	111. <b>67</b> Ω	30.0 °C	<b>111.704</b> Ω	30.09 °C	0.09 °C
5	117.47 Ω	45.0 °C	117.506 Q	<b>45.09 ℃</b>	0.09 °C

Notes:

1. Total Uncertainty of Nominal Values =  $\pm 0.03 \ C$ 

2. Calibration was performed at 23  $\,^{\circ}\!C$  and 30% RH

3. Resistance is measured using 3-wire technique

Checked By: Reda

Date : 12/12/2000

DOE #: 02683C S/N : 0653393

Due Date: 12/12/2001

sranch #: 5000

NREL METROLOGY LABORATORY

BH000. 1 01.





### Certificate of Calibration

#### **Customer:**

Company Name:	NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LAB
City/State/Strt:	18200 STATE HWY 128
	ARVADA, CO 80007 US
PO #:	
RMA #:	2742
Contract #:	
Log Option:	2

#### Model: CR23X-4M

### Serial Number: 1214

Test Panel Loc. 1 CSI Calibration Number: 12510 Calibration Procedures: PRC32A R6

TST10517B R1

TST10517C R17

#### **Instrument Calibration Condition**

Received Disposition:	in Tolerance	•
Returned Disposition:	In Tolerance	•

Out of Tolerance

**Operational Failure** 

#### **Recommended Calibration Schedule**

Based on past experience and assumed normal usage, it is recommended that this instrument be calibrated by due date stated below to insure sustained accuracy and reliable performance.

Calibration Date: 1/31/2001

Manufacturer's suggested recalibration date: 1/31/2002

### **Report of Calibration Standards Used**

Make/ Model	SN	Cal Due Date	NIST reference
DP 8200	A014824	9/15/2001	0269A10
CSI Oscillator	196319	5/18/2001	196319

Campbell Scientific., Inc. certifies the above instrument meets or exceeds published specifications and has been calibrated using standards and instruments whose accuracies are traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technologies, an accepted value of a natural physical constant, or ratio type of self-calibration techniques. The collective measurement uncertainty of the calibration process exceeds a 4:1 accuracy ratio.

Quality Control Manager responsible for content of certificate: Clint Howell

#### **Remarks:**

Based on Report option, some fields are intentionally left blank.

This document shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Campbell Scientific, Inc.

Page 1 of 2