2 Introduction **Authors** Susan Herrod Julius, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Jordan M. West, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Geoff Blate, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency NOTE: This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

SAP 4.4. Adaptation Options for Climate-Sensitive Ecosystems and Resources | **Introduction**

1	Chapter C	ontents	
2	_		
3	2.1 G	oal and Audience	2-4
4	2.2 S	takeholder Interactions	2-4
5	2.3 A	pproach for Reviewing Adaptation Options for Climate-Sensi	tive Ecosystems
6	and Reso	ources	2-5
7	2.4 C	limate Variability and Change	2-6
8	2.4.1	Increases in Surface Temperature	2-6
9	2.4.2	Changes in Precipitation	
10	2.4.3	Warming of the Oceans	2-7
11	2.4.4	Sea Level Rise and Storm Intensity	2-8
12	2.4.5	Changes in Ocean pH	
13	2.4.6	Warming in the Arctic	2-9
14	2.4.7	Changes in Extreme Events	
15	2.4.8	Changes in Hydrology	
16	2.4.9	Observed Ecological Responses	
17	2.4.10		
18		reatment of Uncertainty	
19		he Adaptation Challenge: The Purpose of This Report	
20		eferences	
21		oxes	
22	2.9 F	igures	2-19
23			

Strategies for protecting climate-sensitive ecosystems will be increasingly important for management because changes in the climate system are likely to persist into the future regardless of emissions mitigation. Climate is a dominant factor influencing the distributions, structures, functions, and services of ecosystems. Changes in climate can interact with other environmental changes to affect biodiversity and the future condition of ecosystems (e.g., McCarty, 2001; McCarthy et al., 2001; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003). The extent to which ecosystem condition may be affected will depend on the degree of sensitivity of the ecosystem to changes in climate and the availability of adaptation options for effective ecosystem management. This SAP is charged with reviewing adaptation options for ecosystems that are likely to be sensitive to continuing changes in climate. It is one of 21 Synthesis and Assessment Products (SAP) commissioned by the U.S. government's Climate Change Science Program, seven of which examine the sensitivity and adaptability of different natural and managed ecosystems and human systems to climate and related global changes.

Adaptation is defined as an adjustment in ecological, social, or economic systems in response to climate stimuli and their effects (McCarthy *et al.*, 2001). In biological disciplines, adaptation refers to the process of genetic change within a population due to natural selection, whereby the average state of a character becomes better suited to some feature of the environment (Groom, Meffe, and Carroll, 2006). This type of adaptation, also referred to as autonomous adaptation (McCarthy *et al.*, 2001), is a reactive biological response to climate stimuli and does not involve intervention by society. Planned adaptation, on the other hand, refers to strategies adopted by society to manage systems based on an awareness that conditions are about to change or have changed, such that action is required to meet management goals (adapted from McCarthy *et al.*, 2001). This report focuses on the latter form of adaptation with all subsequent uses of the term referring to strategies for management of ecosystems in the context of climate variability and change.

The purpose of adaptation strategies is to reduce the risk of adverse outcomes through activities that increase the resilience of ecological systems to climate change stressors (Scheffer *et al.*, 2001; Turner, II *et al.*, 2003; Tompkins and Adger, 2004). A stressor is defined as any physical, chemical, or biological entity that can induce an adverse response (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000). Resilience refers to the amount of change or disturbance that can be absorbed by a system before the system is redefined by a different set of processes and structures (Holling, 1973; Gunderson, 2000; Bennett, Cumming, and Peterson, 2005). Potential adverse outcomes of climate change may vary for different ecosystems depending on their sensitivity to climate stressors and their intrinsic resilience to climate change. The "effectiveness" of an adaptation option that is designed to boost ecosystem resilience will thus be case-dependent and can only be measured against a desired ecosystem condition or natural resource management goal. This report evaluates the effectiveness of potential adaptation options for supporting natural resource management goals.

Adaptation options for enhancing ecosystem resilience include changes in management processes, practices, or structures to reduce anticipated damages or enhance beneficial responses associated with climate variability and change. In some cases, opportunities for adaptation offer stakeholders outcomes with multiple benefits, such as the addition of riparian buffer strips that (1) manage pollution loadings from agricultural land into rivers

designated as "wild and scenic" today *and* (2) establish a protective barrier to increases in both pollution and sediment loadings associated with future climate change. Where there are multiple benefits to implementing specific adaptation options, this report seeks to identify those benefits.

1 2

A range of adaptation options may be possible for many ecosystems, but a lack of information or resources may impede successful implementation. In some cases, managers may not have the knowledge or information available to address climate change impacts. In other instances, managers may understand the issues and have the relevant information but lack resources to implement adaptation options. Furthermore, even with improvement in the knowledge and communication of available and emerging adaptation strategies, the feasibility and effectiveness of adaptation will depend on the adaptive capacity of the ecological system or social entity. Adaptive capacity is defined as the potential or ability of a system, region, or community to counteract, adjust for, or take advantage of the effects of climate change (McCarthy *et al.*, 2001). Depending on the management goals, there may be biological, physical, economic, social, cultural, institutional, or technological conditions that enhance or hinder adaptation. To the extent possible, this report will address those factors that affect managers' ability to implement adaptation options.

2.1 Goal and Audience

The goal of this Synthesis and Assessment Product (SAP 4.4) is to provide useful information on the state of knowledge regarding adaptation options for key, representative ecosystems and resources that may be sensitive to climate variability and change. Specifically, this report supports the stated goal by providing information on (1) the combined effects on ecosystems of climate changes and non-climate stressors, and consequent implications for achieving specific management goals; (2) existing management options, or new adaptation approaches, that reduce the risk of negative outcomes; and (3) opportunities and barriers that affect successful implementation of management strategies to address climate change impacts. Through the provision of this information, the desired outcome of this report is an enhanced adaptive capacity to respond to future changes in climate.

The primary audience is resource and ecosystem managers at federal, state, and local levels, tribes, nongovernmental organizations, and others involved in protected area management decisions. Additional audiences include scientists, engineers, and other technical specialists that will be able to use the information provided to set priorities for future research and to identify decision-support needs and opportunities. This information also may support tribes and government agencies at federal, state, and local levels in the development of policy decisions that promote adaptation and increase society's adaptive capacity for management of ecosystems and species within protected areas.

2.2 Stakeholder Interactions

- 42 Stakeholder interactions play a key role in maximizing the relevance, usefulness, and
- 43 credibility of assessments and encouraging ownership of the results (National Research
- Council, 2007). This may be especially true in the adaptation arena, where managers are
- challenged by both the technical aspects of adaptation and the constraints imposed by

legal mandates and resource limitations. In these cases, participation by an appropriate array of stakeholders is important in order to ensure that proposed adaptation options are analyzed in light of both technical rigor and feasibility. Given this, the appropriate composition of stakeholders for SAP 4.4 includes: (1) those who wish to consider options for reducing the risk of negative ecological outcomes associated with climate variability and change; (2) researchers who study climate change impacts on ecosystems and topics relevant for adaptation to impacts of climate variability and change (*e.g.*, ecosystem restoration, sustainability); (3) science managers from the physical and social sciences who develop long-term research plans based on the information needs and decisions at hand; and (4) tribes and government agencies at federal, state, and local levels who develop and evaluate policies, guidelines, procedures, technologies, and other mechanisms to improve adaptive capacity.

The initial planning of SAP 4.4 involved engaging stakeholders to shape the substance of the report. Small groups of key leaders in the fields of adaptation science and management were asked to advise the authors of the report on its content through participation in a series of six workshops (one for each "management system" chapter; see below). Chapter lead and contributing authors presented draft information on their chapters and case studies, and incorporated stakeholder input into their revisions before the drafts were submitted for formal external review.

2.3 Approach for Reviewing Adaptation Options for Climate-Sensitive Ecosystems and Resources

This report examines federally protected and managed lands and waters as a context for reviewing adaptation options for climate-sensitive ecosystems and resources. The focus on federal holdings was chosen because their protected status reflects the value placed on these ecosystems and resources by the American public; the management goals for federal ecosystems are also representative of the range of goals and challenges faced by other ecosystem management organizations across the United States; and adaptation options for federal ecosystems will require a variety of responses (equally applicable to non-federal lands) to ensure achievement of management goals over a range of time scales.

Approximately one-third of the nation's land base is managed by the federal government and administered by different agencies through a variety of "management systems." Since a comprehensive treatment of all federal holdings is beyond the scope of this report, the focus is on representative management systems that have clear management goals for which adaptation options can be discussed. Therefore, adaptation options are reviewed for six management systems: national forests, national parks, national wildlife refuges, wild and scenic rivers, national estuaries, and marine protected areas (especially national marine sanctuaries). Other federally protected systems—such as wilderness preservation areas, biosphere reserves, research natural areas, natural estuarine research reserves, and public lands—were not selected because they are either a sub-category of the federal systems already selected, or because ecosystem management is not their primary purpose.

For each of the six management systems selected, this report reviews (1) the historical origins of the management system and the formative factors that shaped its mission and

goals, (2) key ecosystem components and processes upon which those goals depend, (3) stressors of concern for the key ecosystem characteristics, (4) management methods currently in use to address those stressors, (5) ways in which climate variability and change may affect attainment of management goals, and (6) options for adjusting current management strategies or developing new strategies in response to climate change. All of these elements vary considerably depending on the history and organizational structure of the management systems and the locations and types of ecosystems that they manage.

8

10

11

12

13

14

Specific management goals for the ecosystems in the different management systems vary based on the management principles or frameworks employed to reach targeted goals. Natural resource management goals are commonly expressed in terms of maintaining ecosystem integrity, achieving restoration, preserving ecosystem services, and protecting wildlife and other ecosystem characteristics. The achievement of management goals is thus dependant on our ability to protect, support, and restore the structure and functioning of ecosystems.

15 16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

Changes in climate may affect ecosystems such that management goals are not achieved. Thus the identified management goals from the literature review are analyzed for their sensitivity to climate variability and change, and to other stressors present in the system that may interact with climate change. Adaptive responses to climate variability and change are meant to reduce the risk of failing to achieve management goals. Therefore, each management system chapter discusses adaptation theories and frameworks, as well as options for modifying existing management actions and developing new approaches to address climate change impacts.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

38

For each chapter, the above analysis of climate sensitivities and management responses is then followed by one or more place-based case studies that explore the current state of knowledge regarding management options that could be used to adapt to the potential impacts of climate variability and change. The case studies—which were selected using a range of criteria (Box 2.1)—cover a variety of ecosystem types such as forests, rivers and streams, wetlands, estuaries, and coral reefs.

2.4 Climate Variability and Change

- 33 The motivation for developing responses to projected changes in the climate system
- 34 stems from observations of changes that have already occurred as well as projected
- 35 climate changes. The discussion below provides background information on observed
- 36 climatic and ecological changes that have implications for management of ecosystems in
- 37 the United States.

2.4.1 Increases in Surface Temperature

- 39 Climate is defined by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) as the
- 40 mean and variability of weather variables (temperature, precipitation, and wind) over a
- 41 period of time ranging from months to thousands or millions of years. Evidence from
- observations of the climate system has led to the conclusion that human activities are
- contributing to a warming of the earth's atmosphere. This evidence includes an increase
- of 0.74 ± 0.18 °C in global average surface temperature over the last century, with 11 of

the last 12 years experiencing the greatest warming since the instrumental record of global surface temperature was started in 1850 (IPCC, 2007b).

In the continental United States, temperatures rose linearly at a rate of 0.06°C per decade during the first half of the 20th century. That rate increased to 0.33°C per decade from 1976 to the present. The degree of warming has varied by region (Fig. 2.1) across the United States, with the West (climate region 8) and Alaska (climate region 10) experiencing the greatest degree of warming (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2007). These changes in temperature have led to an increase in the number of frost-free days. In the United States, the greatest increases have occurred in the West and Southwest (Tebaldi *et al.*, 2006).

Figure 2.1. Annual mean temperature anomalies 1901–2003. *Red shades indicate warming over the period and blue shades indicate cooling over the period. Data courtesy <u>NOAA's National Climatic Data Center.</u> Regions are: (1) Northeast, (2) Southeast, (3) Central, (4) South, (5) East North Central, (6) West North Central, (7) Southwest, (8) West, (9) Northwest, (10) Alaska, (11) Hawaii.*

2.4.2 Changes in Precipitation

Changes in climate have also been manifested in altered precipitation patterns. Over the last century, the amount of precipitation has increased significantly across eastern parts of North America and several other regions of the world (IPCC, 2007b). In the contiguous United States, this increase in total annual precipitation over the last century has been 6.1%. When looked at by region (Fig. 2.2), however, the direction and magnitude of precipitation changes vary, with increases of at least 10% observed in the East North Central (climate region 5) and South (climate region 4), and decreases in the Southwest (climate region 7) and Hawaii (climate region 11) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2007). The form of precipitation has also changed in some areas. For example, in the western United States, more precipitation has been falling as rain than snow over the last 50 years (Knowles, Dettinger, and Cayan, 2006).

Figure 2.2. Annual precipitation anomalies 1895–2003. *Green shades indicate a trend towards wetter conditions over the period, and brown shades indicate a trend towards dryer conditions. Data courtesy <u>NOAA's National Climatic Data Center.</u>
Regions are: (1) Northeast, (2) Southeast, (3) Central, (4) South, (5) East North Central, (6) West North Central, (7) Southwest, (8) West, (9) Northwest, (10) Alaska, (11) Hawaii.*

2.4.3 Warming of the Oceans

- Another manifestation of changes in the climate system is a warming in the world's oceans. The global ocean temperature rose by 0.10°C from the surface to 700 m depth from 1961–2003 (IPCC, 2007b). Observations of sea-surface temperatures, based on a
- 45 reconstruction of the long-term variability and change in global mean sea-surface

temperature for the period 1880 to 2005, show that they have reached their highest levels during the past three decades over all latitudes (Fig. 2.3). Warming has occurred through most of the 20th century and appears to be independent of measured inter-decadal and short-term variability (Smith and Reynolds, 2005).

5 6 7

8

9

10

1

3

4

Figure 2.3. Annual global sea surface temperature anomaly, 1880–2005, compared with 1961–1990 climate normal (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2007).

2.4.4 Sea Level Rise and Storm Intensity

- Warming causes seawater to expand and thus contributes to sea level rise. This factor,
- referred to as thermal expansion, has contributed 1.6 ± 0.5 mm per year to global average
- 13 sea level over the last decade. Other factors contributing to sea level rise over the last
- decade include a decline in mountain glaciers and ice caps $(0.77 \pm 0.22 \text{ mm per year})$,
- losses from the Greenland ice sheets (0.21 \pm 0.07 mm per year), and losses from the
- Antarctic ice sheets $(0.21 \pm 0.35 \text{ mm per year})$ (IPCC, 2007b).

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

In the United States, relative sea levels have been rising along most of the coasts at rates of 1.5–3 mm per year (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2007), which is consistent with the average rate globally for the 20th century (1.7±0.5 mm per year) (IPCC, 2007b). Relative sea level has risen 3–4 mm per year in the Mid-Atlantic states and 5–10 mm per year in the Gulf states because of subsidence combined with accelerated global sea level rise (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2007). On Florida's Gulf coast, relative sea level rise has led to a rate of conversion of about 2 meters of forest to salt marsh annually (Williams *et al.*, 1999).

2526

34

- Changes in North Atlantic tropical storm activity have also been correlated with the warming of tropical seas since 1970 (IPCC, 2007b), although the precise nature of this
- 29 relationship remains a topic of debate and investigation. While the total number of
- 30 tropical storms has not necessarily increased during this period, the intensity of storms
- 31 has increased threefold (Emanuel, 2005), and the number and proportion of intense
- 32 storms has nearly doubled. The storm surge associated with intense tropical storms
- 33 compounds the impact of sea level rise in coastal areas.

2.4.5 Changes in Ocean pH

- 35 Between 1750 and 1994, the oceans absorbed about 42% of all emitted carbon dioxide
- 36 (CO₂) (IPCC, 2007b). As a result, the total inorganic carbon content of the oceans
- 37 increased by 118 ± 19 gigatons of carbon (GtC) over this period and is continuing to
- increase. This increase in oceanic carbon content caused calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) to
- 39 dissolve at greater depths and led to a 0.1 unit decrease in surface ocean pH from 1750–
- 40 1994 (IPCC, 2007b). The rate of decrease in pH over the past 20 years accelerated to 0.02
- units per decade (IPCC, 2007b). This decline in pH, along with the concomitant
- 42 decreased depth at which calcium carbonate dissolves, have impaired the ability of
- 43 marine organisms to use carbonate ions to build their shells or other hard parts (The
- 44 Royal Society, 2005; Doney, 2006; Kleypas *et al.*, 2006).

2.4.6 Warming in the Arctic

1

10

17

- 2 Other observations at smaller geographic scales lend evidence that the climate system is
- 3 warming. For example, in the Arctic, average temperatures have increased and sea ice
- 4 extent has shrunk. Over the last 100 years, the rate of increase in average Arctic
- 5 temperatures has been almost twice that of the global average rate, and since 1978 the
- 6 annual average sea ice extent has shrunk by $2.7 \pm 0.6\%$ per decade. The permafrost layer
- 7 has also been affected in the Arctic, to the degree that the maximum area of ground
- 8 frozen seasonally has decreased by about 7% in the Northern Hemisphere since 1900,
- 9 with the spring realizing the largest decrease (up to 15%) (IPCC, 2007b).

2.4.7 Changes in Extreme Events

- Whether they have become drier or wetter, many land areas have likely experienced an
- increase in the number and intensity of heavy precipitation (5 cm of rain or more) events
- 13 (IPCC, 2007b). About half of the increase in total precipitation observed nationally has
- been attributed to the increase in intensity of storms (Karl and Knight, 1998). Heavy
- precipitation events are the principal cause of flooding in most of the United States
- 16 (Groisman et al., 2005).
- 18 The general warming trend observed in most of the United States was also accompanied
- by more frequent hot days, hot nights, and heat waves (IPCC, 2007b). Furthermore,
- 20 higher temperatures along with decreased precipitation have been associated with
- 21 observations of more intense and longer droughts over wider areas since the 1970s.
- Within the United States, the western region has experienced longer and more intense
- droughts, but these appear also to be related to diminishing snow pack and consequent
- 24 reductions in soil moisture. In addition to the factors above, changes in sea-surface
- 25 temperatures and wind patterns have been linked to droughts (IPCC, 2007b).

26 **2.4.8 Changes in Hydrology**

- 27 During the 20th century, the changes in temperature and precipitation described above
- 28 caused important changes in hydrology over the continental United States. One change
- was a decline in spring snow cover. This trend was observed throughout the Northern
- Hemisphere starting in the 1920s and accelerated in the late 1970s (IPCC, 2007b).
- 31 Declining snow cover is a concern in the United States because many western states rely
- on snowmelt for their water use (Mote et al., 2005). Less snow is equivalent to lower
- reservoir levels. The earlier onset of spring snowmelt exacerbates this problem.
- 34 Snowmelt started 2–3 weeks earlier in 2000 than it did in 1948 (Stewart, Cayan, and
- 35 Dettinger, 2004).
- 36
- 37 Another important change, described in the preceding section, was the increase in heavy
- precipitation events documented in the United States during the past few decades. These
- 39 changes have affected the timing and magnitude of streamflow. In the eastern United
- 40 States, high streamflow measurements were associated with heavy precipitation events
- 41 (Groisman, Knight, and Karl, 2001). Because of this association, there is a high
- 42 probability that high streamflow conditions have increased during the 20th century
- 43 (Groisman, Knight, and Karl, 2001). Increases in peak streamflow have not been
- observed in the West, most likely because of the reduction in snow cover (Groisman,
- 45 Knight, and Karl, 2001).

2.4.9 Observed Ecological Responses

- 2 A growing body of literature indicates that over the past three decades, the changes in the
- 3 climate system described above—including the anthropogenic component of warming—
- 4 have caused discernable physical and biological changes in a variety of ecosystems (Root
- 5 et al., 2005; Parmesan, 2006; IPCC, 2007a). These changes include shifts in genetics
- 6 (Bradshaw and Holzapfel, 2006; Franks, Sim, and Weis, 2007), species' ranges,
- 7 phenological patterns, and life cycles (reviewed in Parmesan, 2006). Most (85%) of these
- 8 ecological responses have been in the expected direction (e.g., poleward shifts in species
- 9 distributions), and it is very unlikely that the observed responses are due to natural
- variability alone (IPCC, 2007a). The asynchronous responses of different species to
- climate change may alter species' interactions (e.g., predator-prey relationships and
- competition) and have unforeseen consequences (Parmesan and Galbraith, 2004).

2.4.10 Future Anticipated Climate Change

- 14 Improvements in understanding of the anthropogenic influences on climate have led to
- very high confidence in some of the changes described in the previous section (e.g.,
- increased global average air and ocean temperatures and sea levels, and melting of
- 17 glaciers and sea ice). This improved understanding has also increased confidence in
- model projections of future climatic changes. The most recent models project future
- changes in the earth's climate system that are greater in magnitude and scope than those
- already observed. Based on annual average projections, surface temperature increases by
- 21 the end of the 21st century will range from 2°C near the coasts in the conterminous
- 22 United States to at least 5°C in northern Alaska. Nationally, summertime temperatures
- will likely increase from 3°C to 5°C. Winter temperatures will likely increase from 7°C–
- 24 10°C in Northern Alaska. In addition, more extreme hot events and fewer extreme cold
- events are projected to occur (IPCC, 2007b).

26

13

1

- On average, annual precipitation will likely increase in the northeastern United States and
- will likely decrease in the Southwest over the next 100 years (IPCC, 2007b). In the
- western United States, precipitation increases are projected during the winter whereas
- decreases are projected for the summer (IPCC, 2007b). More precipitation will likely fall
- 31 as rain rather than snow, and snow season length and snow depth are very likely to
- decrease in most of the country (IPCC, 2007b). More extreme precipitation events are
- also projected (Diffenbaugh et al., 2005; Diffenbaugh, 2005), which, coupled with an
- anticipated increase in rain-on-snow events, will likely contribute to more severe flooding
- due to increases in extreme runoff (IPCC, 2007b).

36

- 37 The interaction of climate change with other stressors, as well as direct stressors from
- 38 climate change itself, will likely cause more complicated responses than have so far been
- 39 observed. In general, during the next 100 years, it is likely that many ecosystems will not
- 40 be able to resist or recover from the combination of climate change, associated
- disturbances, and other global change drivers. Ecological responses to future climate
- change are expected with high confidence to negatively affect most ecosystem services.
- 43 Major changes in ecosystem structure, composition, and function, as well as interspecific
- 44 interactions, are very likely to occur where temperature increases exceed 1.5°C-2.5°C
- 45 (IPCC, 2007a).

2.5 Treatment of Uncertainty

Throughout this report, evaluations of uncertainty will be communicated for judgments, findings, and conclusions made in the text. Treatment of uncertainty involves characterization and communication of two distinct concepts: uncertainty in terms of *likelihood* or in terms of *level of confidence* of the science. Likelihood is relevant when assessing the chance of a specific future occurrence or outcome and is often expressed in a probabilistic way. However, in this report, judgments and conclusions about adaptation will be associated with levels of confidence rather than likelihood.

Level of confidence refers to the degree of belief in the scientific community that available understanding, models, and analyses are accurate. Confidence levels are expressed by the degree of consensus in the available evidence and its interpretation and are based on a scale of zero to 100% confidence (see Box 2.2 for confidence levels used in this report). When dealing with the level of confidence in scientific judgments about climate change and its impacts, it is important to consider two attributes: the amount of evidence available to support the judgment being made and the degree of consensus within the scientific community about that judgment. This involves asking such questions as, "Is there a lot of literature dealing with the issue, or only a little?" and, "For the literature that does exist, is there broad agreement or wide disagreement?" In this report, confidence statements are based on the authors' opinions about (1) how much evidence exists across the breadth of research studies to support a specific understanding of a particular issue, and (2) the extent of agreement or disagreement by experts on the interpretation of this evidence.

2.6 The Adaptation Challenge: The Purpose of This Report

Given that the climate system is changing and will continue to change, that those changes will affect attainment of management goals for ecosystems, and that there are varying levels of uncertainty associated with the magnitude of climatic changes and ecosystem responses, understanding how to incorporate adaptation into strategic planning activities becomes an important and complex challenge. This report addresses where, when and how adaptation strategies may be used to address climate change impacts on managed ecosystems, the obstacles or opportunities that may be encountered while trying to implement those strategies, and potential long-term strategic shifts in management approaches that may be made to broaden the scope of adaptation strategies available to resource managers.

Different approaches are discussed to address adaptation in the planning process. These approaches generally fall into three broad categories that may be distinguished by (1) timing of the management response: whether the response takes place prior to or after a climate event has occurred; and (2) intention of the managing agency: whether climate-induced changes are formally acknowledged and addressed in management plans (Box 2.3).

Given that resources are likely to become scarcer over time, a key to the planning process for managing agencies will be to determine an approach that maximizes attainment of established short- and long-term goals given the effect that climate change may have on those goals. This report provides a discussion of key questions, factors, and potential

SAP 4.4. Adaptation Options for Climate-Sensitive Ecosystems and Resources | Introduction

approaches to consider when setting priorities during the planning process, as well as examples of adaptation strategies that may be employed across different types of ecosystems and geographic regions of the country (see Box 2.4 for types of strategies).

1 2

Addressing future changes is an imprecise exercise, fraught with uncertainties and unanticipated changes. Managers have to anticipate the interaction of multiple stressors, the interdependencies of organisms within an ecosystem, and the potential intertwined, cascading effects. Thus the ability to measure effectiveness of management options, *i.e.*, ecological outcomes of specific actions on the ground, is essential in order to continuously refine and improve adaptation. This report will discuss factors to consider when measuring management effectiveness for increasing the resilience of ecosystems to climate variability and change.

Another requirement for management effectiveness is successful implementation. Challenges to implementation may be associated with different organizational scales, operational tradeoffs, cost/benefit considerations, social/cultural factors, and planning requirements. The information in this report provides an improved understanding of barriers and opportunities associated with these challenges, including priority information gaps and technical needs.

Finally, some challenges to implementation of adaptation options and their ultimate success may require fundamental shifts in management approaches. This report will seek to identify and discuss possible short- and long-term shifts in management structures, approaches, and policies that increase the likelihood of effectiveness and success in implementation, and that may open the door to a greater array of adaptation options in the future.

1	
2	2.7 References
3 4	Bennett , E.M., G.S. Cumming, and G.D. Peterson, 2005: A systems model approach to determining resilience surrogates for case studies. <i>Ecosystems</i> , 8 , 945-957.
5 6	Doney , S.C., 2006: The dangers of ocean acidification. <i>Scientific American</i> , 294 (3), 58-65.
7 8	Emanuel , K., 2005: Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years. <i>Nature</i> , 436 (7051), 686-688.
9 10 11	Groisman , P.Y., R.W. Knight, D.R. Easterling, T.R. Karl, G.C. Hegerl, and V.N. Razuvaev, 2005: Trends in intense precipitation in the climate record. <i>Journal of Climate</i> , 18(9) , 1326-1350.
12 13 14	Groisman , P.Y., R.W. Knight, and T.R. Karl, 2001: Heavy precipitation and high streamflow in the contiguous United States: trends in the twentieth century. <i>Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society</i> , 82(2) , 219-246.
15 16	Groom , M.J., G.K. Meffe, and C.R. Carroll, 2006: <i>Principles of Conservation Biology</i> . Sinauer Press, Sunderland, MA, pp. 1-701.
17 18	Gunderson , L.H., 2000: Ecological resilience-in theory and application. <i>Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics</i> , 31 , 425-439.
19 20	Holling , C.S., 1973: Resilience and stability of ecological systems. <i>Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics</i> , 4 , 1-23.
21 22 23 24	IPCC, 2007a: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
25 26 27 28	IPCC, 2007b: Climate Change 2007: the Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
29 30 31	Karl , T.R. and R.W. Knight, 1998: Secular trends of precipitation amount, frequency, and intensity in the United States. <i>Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society</i> , 79(2) , 231-241.

1 2 3 4 5	Kleypas , J.A., R.A. Feely, V.J. Fabry, C. Langdon, C.L. Sabine, and L.L. Robbins, 2006: <i>Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Coral Reefs and Other Marine Calcifiers: a Guide for Future Research</i> . Workshop Report, National Science Foundation, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the U.S. Geological Survey.
6 7	Knowles , N., M.D. Dettinger, and D.R. Cayan, 2006: Trends in snowfall versus rainfall in the Western United States. <i>Journal of Climate</i> , 19(18) , 4545-4559.
8	McCarthy, J., O. Canziani, N. Leary, D. Dokken, and K. White, 2001: Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. GRID-Arendal.
10 11	McCarty, J.P., 2001: Ecological consequences of recent climate change. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 15(2) , 320-331.
12 13 14	Mote , P.W., A.F. Hamlet, M.P. Clark, and D.P. Lettenmaier, 2005: Declining mountain snowpack in Western North America. <i>Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society</i> , 86(1) , 39-49.
15 16 17	National Research Council, 2007: Analysis of Global Change Assessments: Lessons Learned. Committee on Analysis of Global Change Assessments, National Research Council, National Academies Press, Washington, D.C
18 19	Parmesan , C., 2006: Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. <i>Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics</i> , 37 , 637-669.
20 21	Parmesan , C. and G. Yohe, 2003: A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. <i>Nature</i> , 421 , 37-42.
22 23 24 25	Root , T.L., D.P. MacMynowski, M.D. Mastrandrea, and S.H. Schneider, 2005: Human-modified temperatures induce species changes: joint attribution. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 102(21) , 7465-7469.
26 27	Scheffer , M., S. Carpenter, J.A. Foley, C. Folke, and B.H. Walker, 2001: Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. <i>Nature</i> , 413 , 591-596.
28 29 30	Smith, T.M. and R.W. Reynolds, 2005: A global merged land-air-sea surface temperature reconstruction based on historical observations (1880-1997). <i>Journal of Climate</i> , 18 (12), 2021-2036.
31 32 33	Stewart , I.T., D.R. Cayan, and M.D. Dettinger, 2004: Changes in snowmelt runoff timing in Western North America under a 'business as usual' climate change scenario. <i>Climatic Change</i> , 62 , 217-232.

SAP 4.4. Adaptation Options for Climate-Sensitive Ecosystems and Resources Introduc

1 2 3	Tebaldi , C., K. Hayhoe, J. Arblaster, and G. Meehl, 2006: Going to the extremes: an intercomparison of model-simulated historical and future changes in extreme events. <i>Climatic Change</i> , 79 (3-4), 185-211.
4 5	The Royal Society , 2005: Ocean Acidification Due to Increasing Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide. Policy document 12/05, Royal Society.
6 7	Tompkins , E.L. and N.W. Adger, 2004: Does adaptive management of natural resources enhance resilience to climate change? <i>Ecology and Society</i> , 19(2) .
8 9 10 11	Turner , B.L., II, R.E. Kasperson, P.A. Matsone, J.J. McCarthy, R.W. Corell, L. Christensene, N. Eckley, J.X. Kasperson, A. Luerse, M.L. Martello, C. Polsky, A. Pulsipher, and A. Schiller, 2003: A framework for vulnerability analysis in sustainability science. <i>PNAS Early Edition</i> , 100 (14).
12 13 14	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000: Stressor Identification Guidance Document. EPA-822-B-00-025, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water and Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, pp.1-208.
15 16 17	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency , 2007: <i>Proposed Indicators for the U.S. EPA's Report on the Environment (External Peer Review)</i> . U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
18 19 20 21 22	Williams, K., K.C. Ewel, R.P. Stumpf, F.E. Putz, and T.W. Workman, 1999: Sea-level rise and coastal forest retreat on the west coast of Florida, USA. <i>Ecology</i> , 80 (6), 2045-2063.

2.8 Boxes

Box 2.1. Case study selection criteria.

The authors of this report, in consultation with agency representatives and stakeholders, used the following criteria for evaluation and selection of candidate case studies:

 Contains one or more ecosystem services or features that are protected by management goals

Management goals are sensitive to climate variability and change, and the potential impacts of climate variability and change are significant relative to the impacts of other changes

• Adaptation options are available or possible for preserving a service or a physical or biological feature

Adaptation options have potential for application in other geographic regions or for other ecosystem types.

In order to ensure that the entire collection of case studies would include broad representation across geographic areas, ecosystem types, and management goals and methods, the following characteristics were required of the group as a whole:

> • Addresses a reasonable cross section of important, climatesensitive ecosystems and/or ecosystem services and features.

Addresses a range of adaptation responses (e.g., structural, policy, permitting).

• Distributed across the United States and valued by a national constituency.

• Attributes allow for comparison of adaptation approaches and their effectiveness across the case studies (e.g., lessons learned about research gaps and about factors that enhance or impede implementation).

Box 2.2. Confidence levels. Adapted from McCarthy et al. (2001)

The 5-point confidence scale below is used to assign confidence levels to selected conclusions. The confidence levels are stated as Bayesian probabilities, meaning that they represent the degree of belief among the authors of the report in the validity of a conclusion, based on their collective expert judgment of all observational evidence, modeling results, and theory currently available to them

5-Point Quantitative Scale for Confidence Levels

95% or greater	Very High Confidence
67–95%	High Confidence
33–67%	Medium Confidence
5-33%	Low Confidence
5% or less	Very Low Confidence

Box 2.3. Approaches to adaptation planning.

- 1. No adaptation: future climate change impacts are not planned for by the managing agency and are not acknowledged as likely to occur.
- 2. Reactive adaptation: climate change impacts are not planned for by the managing agency and adaptation takes place after the impacts of climate change have been observed.
- 3. Anticipatory adaptation
 - Responsive: future climate change impacts are acknowledged as likely to occur by the managing agency and responses to those changes are planned for when changes are observed.
 - Proactive: climate change impacts are acknowledged as likely to occur by the managing agency and adaptation responses are planned for before the changes are observed.

3 4 5

Box 2.4. Typology of adaptation strategies at ecosystem and planning levels.

11 12

Ecosystem level

13 14

Resilience

15 16

Resistance

17 18 RepresentationReplication

19 20 Replication

21 22 23

Planning level

24252627

Realignment
 (set management standards given current
 conditions rather than historic conditions)

27282930

Recognition
(adjust techniques, such as silviculture, with recognition of current condition rather than historic conditions)

31

2.9 Figures Figure 2.1. Annual mean temperature anomalies 1901–2003. Red shades indicate warming over the period and blue shades indicate cooling over the period. Data courtesy NOAA's National Climatic Data Center. Regions are: (1) Northeast, (2) Southeast, (3) Central, (4) South, (5) East North Central, (6) West North Central, (7) Southwest, (8) West, (9) Northwest, (10) Alaska,(11) Hawaii.

DRAFT: DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE

SAP 4.4. Adaptation Options for Climate-Sensitive Ecosystems and Resources | Introduction

Figure 2.2. Annual precipitation anomalies 1895–2003. Green shades indicate a trend towards wetter conditions over the period, and brown shades indicate a trend towards dryer conditions. Data courtesy NOAA's National Climatic Data Center. Regions are: (1) Northeast, (2) Southeast, (3) Central, (4) South, (5) East North Central, (6) West North Central, (7) Southwest, (8) West, (9) Northwest, (10) Alaska, (11) Hawaii.

6 7

8 9 10

- **Figure 2.3.** Annual global sea surface temperature anomaly, 1880–2005, compared with 1961–1990 climate normal (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2007).
- 1 2

3