

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

**AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM
(NPDES)**

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq., as amended by the Water Quality Act of 1987, P.L. 100-4, the "Act",

Usibelli Coal Mine, Inc.

is authorized to discharge from a facility to receiving waters named Sanderson Creek, Hoseanna (Lignite) Creek, and the West Tipple Gravel Ponds, at the following locations:

<u>Outfall Serial Number</u>	<u>Latitude</u>	<u>Longitude</u>
001 -- Gold Run Pond #2, Sanderson Creek	63° 55' 11" N	148° 40' 48" W
002 -- Gold Run Pond #4, Hoseanna Creek	63° 55' 11" N	148° 42' 16" W
003 -- Two Bull Ridge Pond #2, Hoseanna Creek	63° 54' 30" N	148° 54' 08" W
004 -- Two Bull Ridge Pond #4, Hoseanna Creek	63° 54' 29" N	148° 55' 24" W
005 -- Poker Flats Pond #2, Hoseanna Creek	63° 54' 28" N	148° 56' 16" W
006 -- Poker Flats Pond #4, Hoseanna Creek	63° 54' 23" N	148° 58' 14" W
007 -- West Tipple, South Ditch, Gravel Pond	63° 54' 14" N	149° 00' 16" W
008 -- West Tipple, North Ditch, Gravel Pond	63° 54' 21" N	149° 00' 23" W

in accordance with discharge point(s), effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth herein.

This permit shall become effective November 2, 1998.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, November 3, 2003.

Signed this 30th day of September, 1998.

Roger K. Mochnick for
Philip G. Milam
Director, Office of Water

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	3
A. Effluent Limitations	3
B. Water Quality Monitoring Program Requirements	4
C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing	7
D. Best Management Practices (BMP) Plan	10
E. Quality Assurance Project Plan	16
II. RECORDING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	21
A. Summary of Reports Required by this Permit	21
B. Representative Sampling (Routine and Non-Routine Discharges)	21
C. Reporting of Monitoring Results	22
D. Monitoring Procedures	22
E. Additional Monitoring by Permittee	22
F. Records Contents	22
G. Retention of Records	23
H. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting	23
I. Other Noncompliance Reporting	24
J. Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances	24
III. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES	25
A. Duty to Comply	25
B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions	25
C. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense	26
D. Duty to Mitigate	26
E. Proper Operation and Maintenance	26
F. Removed Substances	26
G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities	26
H. Upset Conditions	27
I. Toxic Pollutants	28
J. Planned Changes	28
K. Anticipated Noncompliance	28
IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS	29
A. Permit Actions	29
B. Duty to Reapply	29
C. Duty to Provide Information	29
D. Other Information	29
E. Signatory Requirements	29
F. Availability of Reports	30
G. Inspection and Entry	30
H. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability	31
I. Property Rights	31
J. Severability	31
K. Transfers	31
L. State Laws	32
M. Reopener Clause	32
V. DEFINITIONS	32
APPENDIX A -- Metals Testing and EPA-Approved Method Detection Limits	35
APPENDIX B -- Maps of Receiving Water Quality Sampling Locations	42

I. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

During the effective period of this permit, the Permittee is authorized to discharge from outfalls 1 through 8, subject to the restrictions set forth herein. This permit does not authorize the discharge of any wastestreams, including spills and other unintentional or non-routine discharges of pollutants, that are not part of the normal operation of the facility as disclosed in the permit application, or any pollutants that are not ordinarily present in such wastestreams. It is the responsibility of the permittee to provide a safe and accessible sampling point that is representative of the discharge.

A. Effluent Limitations

1. Sampling requiring monthly reporting. The Permittee shall limit discharges and conduct monitoring as specified in Tables 1a, 1b, and 1c, below. The permittee shall comply with the following effluent limits at all times unless otherwise indicated, regardless of the frequency of monitoring or reporting required by other provisions of this permit. The residues discharged may not, alone or in combination with other substances or wastes, make the water unfit or unsafe for any of the seven Alaska State Water Quality Uses, or cause acute or chronic problem levels as determined by bioassay or other appropriate methods. No discharge may alone, or in combination with other substances, cause a film, sheen, or discoloration on the surface of the water or adjoining shorelines; cause leaching of toxic or deleterious substances; or cause a sludge, solid or emulsion to be deposited beneath or upon the surface of the water, within the water column, on the bottom, or upon adjoining shorelines.

Table 1a - Effluent Limitations				
Dry Weather Periods				
Parameters	Limits on Daily Discharge		Monitoring Requirements	
	Daily Maximum	Average Monthly	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	N/A		Weekly	Grab
Iron, Total Recoverable	6.0 mg/L	3.0 mg/L	2/month	Grab
TSS	70 mg/L	35 mg/L	Weekly	Grab
pH	6.0 - 8.5 Std. Units		Weekly	Grab

Table 1b - Effluent Limitations			
Medium Precipitation (Under 2 inches Rainfall in 24 hrs) and Snowmelt Periods*			
<i>The rainfall amount may be determined by the tipping bucket measurement taken at the facility weather station.</i>			
Parameters	Limits on Daily Discharge	Monitoring Requirements	
	Daily Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	N/A	**	Grab
Settleable Solids	0.5 mL/L	**	Grab
pH	6.0 - 8.5 Std. Units	**	Grab

*No discharge should occur if the permittee is unable to monitor the effluent.
 **Monitoring of a discharge event occurring during a precipitation event is as follows: once within 24 hours of the beginning of the discharge event and weekly thereafter if the discharge event lasts longer than 7 days.

Table 1c - Effluent Limitations			
High Precipitation Periods (Over 2 inches Rainfall in 24 hrs.)*			
<i>The rainfall amount may be determined by the tipping bucket measurement taken at the facility weather station.</i>			
Parameters	Limits on Daily Discharge	Monitoring Requirements	
	Daily Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	N/A	**	Grab
pH	6.0 - 8.5 Std. Units	**	Grab

*No discharge should occur if the permittee is unable to monitor the effluent.
 **Monitoring of a discharge event occurring during a precipitation event is as follows: once within 24 hours of the beginning of the discharge event and weekly thereafter if the discharge event lasts longer than 7 days.

B. Water Quality Monitoring Program Requirements

1. Effluent and Receiving Water Quality Monitoring

The permittee shall conduct effluent (8 discharges) sampling and receiving water monitoring at four locations described below and shown on the maps in Appendix B for the parameters in Table 2:

- a. The first location shall be upstream of the discharge NPDES #1 into Sanderson Creek (above mining) -- Site "A."
- b. The second location shall be upstream of the discharge NPDES #2 into Hoseanna Creek (above mining) -- Site "B."
- c. The third location shall be at Bridge 1 on Hoseanna Creek -- Site "C."
- d. The fourth shall be 500 feet downstream of NPDES #8 (West Tipple, North Ditch) -- Site "D."

The pH for NPDES Site #1 into Sanderson Creek shall also be checked upstream as well as in the effluent with the same frequency as called for by the effluent limitations tables. If a difference greater than 0.5 unit exists, then the receiving water after the point of discharge shall be sampled to verify that Alaska Water Quality Standards are met.

2. Procedure

Ambient sampling shall be conducted as grab samples. Procedures developed in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), permit part I.E., shall be used in handling, transporting and analysis of the samples.

3. Sampling Frequency

The sampling shall occur twice per year on a rotating schedule in order to represent seasonal variation over the term of the permit, e.g. July and September in year 1, May and August in year 2. After year 2, the schedule will be revised in order to fill data gaps. The permittee should consult with ADEC for this revision.

4. Reporting

For purposes of reporting on the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR), if a value is less than the method detection limit, the permittee shall report "less than {numerical method detection level}" on the DMR. For example, if the laboratory reports, "not detected" for a sample and states that the MDL is "5 µg/L," then the permittee shall report "less than 5 µg/L" on the DMR. It is acknowledged that, in the case of settleable solids, the "practical lower limit of measurement depends on sample composition and is generally in the range of 0.1 - 1.0 ml/L."¹ Any data which may pose questions should be clarified in the Comments Section.

¹Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th Edition, 1992, p. 2-57.

Table 2
Monitoring Parameters and Method Detection Limits*

Note: The state of Alaska metals criteria is based on Total Recoverable (TR) analysis.

Discharges are listed in order from east to west within the watershed.

PARAMETER	SANDER-SON CREEK	HOSEANNA (LIGNITE) CREEK					WEST TIPPLE		METALS Method Detection Level Required
		#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	
'H' represents a hardness-based standard (see Appendix A).									ug/L
Aluminum, TR									8
Arsenic, TR									10
Barium, TR									1
Beryllium, TR									0.3
Cadmium, TR	H								1
Chromium III, TR	H								4
Chromium VI, Dissolved									8
Chloride**									--
Color, PCU									--
Copper, Total	H								3
Fluoride									--
Iron, TR									30
Lead, TR	H								10
Magnesium, TR									20.00
Nickel, TR	H								5
Nitrate									--
Nitrate-Nitrite as N									--
pH									--
Selenium, TR									2
Settleable Solids, mL/L									--
Sulfate									--
TDS									--
TSS									--
Turbidity,** NTU									--
Zinc, TR									2
Flow									--
Hardness									--
Temperature									--

*Method Detection Limit - the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero as determined by a specific laboratory method (40 CFR 136).

**Not part of the NPDES permit application, but part of the Alaska Water Quality Standards and part of UCM's routine testing program.

C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing

The permittee shall conduct annual toxicity tests on 24-hour composite effluent samples from outfall 006 (last discharge on Hoseanna Creek). In addition, the permittee shall conduct annual toxicity tests on a rainfall event for outfall 008 (West Tipple North Ditch) using a 24-hr composite effluent sample. This sampling should be initiated during a routine effluent sampling event and should encompass all three stages of discharge events described in Permit Part I.A. during the course of the permit.

1. Organisms and protocols

- a. The permittee shall conduct survival and reproduction tests, i.e. a bioassay, with the cladoceran, *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, unless EPA or the State of Alaska obtains data suggesting a more appropriate organism.
- b. The presence of chronic toxicity shall be estimated as specified in *Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms*, Third Edition, EPA-600-4-91-002, July 1994.
- c. Results shall be reported in TUC (chronic toxic units). $TUC = 100/NOEC$ (in percent effluent).

2. Definition of Toxicity and Trigger Level

Chronic toxicity measures a sublethal effect (e.g., reduced growth, reproduction) in an effluent or ambient waters compared to that of the control organisms. The no observed effect concentration (NOEC) is the highest concentration of toxicant to which organisms are exposed in a chronic test, that causes no observable adverse effect on the test organisms. Statistically, that is the highest concentration of toxicant to which the values for the observed responses are not significantly different from the controls.²

Since data do not exist to support the development of a WET limit at this time, a trigger level for chronic toxicity of 2 TUC shall apply.

3. Quality assurance

- a. A series of five dilutions and a control will be tested. The series shall be 6.25%, 12.5 %, 25%, 50% and 100% effluent.

²If in the calculation of a NOEC, two tested concentrations cause statistically adverse effects but an intermediate concentration did not cause statistically significant effects, the test should be repeated or the lowest concentration must be used. For example, say 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100% effluent concentrations are tested. The 12.5 and 50% concentrations are statistically significant but 25% is not significant. If the test is not repeated then the NOEC is 6.25%.

- b. Concurrent testing with reference toxicants shall also be conducted if organisms are not cultured in-house. Otherwise, monthly testing with reference toxicants is sufficient. The reference toxicant test shall be conducted using the same test conditions as the effluent toxicity test (i.e., same test duration, etc.).
- c. If either of the reference toxicant test or the effluent tests do not meet all test acceptability criteria as specified in the manual, then the permittee must re-sample and re-test as soon as possible.
- d. Control and dilution water should be lab water synthetic, moderately hard laboratory water, as described in the manual. If the dilution water used is different from the culture water a second control, using culture water, shall also be used. Receiving water may be used as control and dilution water upon notification of EPA and ADEC. In no case shall water that has not met test acceptability criteria be used as dilution water.

4. Evaluation of Results

- a. The permittee shall submit to EPA a copy of the permittee's generic initial investigation workplan within 90 days of the effective date of this permit. This plan shall describe the steps the permittee intends to follow in the event that toxicity is detected, and should include at a minimum:
 - (1) a description of the investigation and evaluation techniques that would be used to identify potential causes/sources of toxicity, effluent variability, treatment system efficiency;
 - (2) a description of the facility's method of maximizing the pond treatment efficiency, and
 - (3) if a toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) is necessary, who will conduct it (i.e. in-house or other).
- b. If the chronic toxicity trigger, as defined, is exceeded, then the permittee shall conduct tests of the next six discharges of that effluent. Testing shall commence with the first discharge after receipt of the sample results of the exceedance.
- c. If implementation of the generic initial investigation workplan indicates the source of toxicity (for instance, a temporary plant upset), then only one additional test is necessary. If toxicity is detected in this test, then Part 4b shall apply.
- d. If the chronic toxicity trigger, as defined, is detected in any of the six additional tests, then, the permittee shall begin a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE). At a minimum, it shall comply with the permittee's initial

investigation workplan and the EPA manual EPA/600/2-88/070 (Generalized Methodology for Conducting Industrial Toxicity Reduction Evaluation). The TRE shall be initiated within fifteen (15) days of the sample results of exceedence.

- e. If none of the six tests indicate toxicity, then the permittee may return to normal testing frequency.
- f. If the chronic toxicity trigger, as defined, is detected in any two of the six follow-up tests, then the permittee shall, in accordance with EPA acute and chronic manuals EPA/600/6-91/005F (Phase I), EPA/600/R-92/080 (Phase II), and EPA/600/R-92/081 (Phase III), initiate a TIE within 15 days.
- g. If a TIE is triggered prior to completion of the accelerated testing, these six tests may be terminated or used as necessary in performing the TIE.

5. Reporting

- a. The permittee shall submit the results of the toxicity tests in TU_c s, where $TU_c = 100/NOEC$, with the discharge monitoring reports (DMR) for the month in which the test results are available but no later than October 31 of each year.
- b. The full report shall be submitted by December 31 of each year and by the expiration date of the permit in the final year.
- c. Along with the results, the permittee shall include:
 - (1) the dates of sample collection and initiation of each toxicity test;
 - (2) the type of activity occurring;
 - (3) the flow rate at the time of sample collection; and
 - (4) the chemical parameter monitoring required for the outfall(s) as defined in the permit.
- d. Test results for chronic tests shall also be reported according to the chronic manual chapter on Report Preparation, and shall be attached to the DMR. If the laboratory conducting the toxicity testing uses the TOXIS database, then the permittee shall also submit the data on an electronic disk.

6. Reopener

This permit may be modified in accordance with the requirements set forth at 40 CFR Parts 122 and 124, to include appropriate conditions to address demonstrated effluent toxicity based on newly available information, or to implement any EPA-approved new State water quality standards applicable to effluent toxicity.

D. Best Management Practices (BMP) Plan

1. The Plan

The permittee shall develop a best management practices plan (the Plan) which prevents, or minimizes, the potential for the release of pollutants to waters of the United States through plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, or erosion for all sites. The Plan shall be consistent with the following objectives. For reference use the Guidance Manual for Developing Best Management Practices (U.S. EPA, 1993). The Plan shall be retained on site and made available to EPA and ADEC upon request.

- a. *Development* -- The Plan shall be developed *within 60 days of the effective date* of this permit, then submitted to EPA and ADEC for review.
- b. *Other Plans* -- The Plan may reference the existence of any Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) plans developed for the facility under Section 311 of the CWA in meeting the following requirements. Furthermore, if the specifications of the coal mining permit issued by the Alaska State Division of Natural Resources (DNR) under SMCRA (Surface Mining Control and Restoration Act) meet these requirements, then they may be used also. However, an analysis with references to correlate the SMCRA permit and the SPCC plan with the BMP requirements must be submitted to EPA and ADEC along with copies of the references.
- c. *Implementation* -- The Plan shall be implemented within *60 days of submission* unless comments are received from the ADEC or the EPA, in which case, the issues pending resolution will be implemented as soon as practicable after resolution. The Plan, or SMCRA permit with analysis, shall be retained on site and made available to EPA and ADEC upon request.
- d. *Amendments* -- The Plan must be amended whenever there is a change in the facility or in the operation of the facility which materially increases the potential for an increased discharge of pollutants. These amendments shall be dated with the effective date of the amendment. The permittee shall notify EPA and ADEC of the amendment to the plan and submit them with the monthly DMR.

2. Site Management Pollution Prevention Plan Requirements

The contents of the Plan shall include, at a minimum, the following items:

- a. *Pollution Prevention Team* -- The Plan shall identify a specific individual or individuals within the facility organization as members of a Pollution Prevention Team. The Pollution Prevention Team shall be responsible for developing the Plan and assisting the facility or plant manager in its

implementation, maintenance, and revision. The Plan shall clearly identify the responsibilities of each team member. The activities and responsibilities of the team shall address all aspects of the facility's Plan.

- b. *Description of Activities* -- The Plan shall provide a narrative description of the activities taking place at the site which affect or may affect storm water runoff or which may result in the discharge of pollutants to surface waters during dry weather. The narrative description shall report the total acreage within the site, an estimate of the number of acres of disturbed land and an estimate of the total amount of land proposed to be disturbed throughout the life of the project. A general description of the location of the mining site relative to major transportation routes and communities shall also be provided.
- c. *Description of Potential Pollutant Sources* -- The Plan shall identify all activities and significant materials which may potentially be significant storm water pollutant sources (including sediment) or may result in the discharge of pollutants during dry weather from the facility site. The Plan shall include, at a minimum:
 - (1) *Drainage* -- A site topographic map shall be included in the Plan. At a minimum, the map shall identify and label the following areas:
 - (a) Site boundaries and access and haul roads;
 - (b) Equipment storage, fueling and maintenance areas;
 - (c) Materials handling areas;
 - (d) Storage areas for chemicals and explosives;
 - (e) Areas used for storage of overburden, materials, soils or wastes;
 - (f) Location of drainage water (where dewatering is required);
 - (g) Springs, streams, wetlands and other surface waters; and
 - (2) *Inventory of Exposed Materials* -- For each storm water outfall the permittee shall develop an inventory of the types of materials handled at the site that potentially may be exposed to precipitation. The inventory shall include a narrative description of significant materials that have been handled, treated, stored or disposed in a manner to allow exposure to storm water between the time of 3 years prior to the date of the issuance of this permit and the present; method and location of onsite storage or disposal; materials management practices employed to minimize contact of materials with storm water runoff between the time of 3 years prior to the date of the issuance of this permit and the present; the location and a description of existing structural and nonstructural control measures to reduce pollutants in storm water runoff; and a description of any treatment the storm water receives.

- (3) *Spills and Leaks* -- The permittee shall develop a list of significant spills and significant leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants that occurred at areas that are exposed to precipitation, that drain to a storm water conveyance or drain to surface waters of the United States at the facility after the date of 3 years prior to the effective date of this permit. Such list shall be updated as appropriate during the term of the permit.
- d. *Measures and Controls* -- The permittee shall develop a description of pollution prevention controls appropriate for the facility, and implement such controls. The appropriateness and priorities of controls in the Plan shall reflect identified potential sources of pollutants at the facility. The description of management controls shall address the following minimum components:
- (1) *Good Housekeeping* -- Good housekeeping requires areas which may contribute pollutants to storm water discharges or areas which may result in the discharge of pollutants during dry weather, to be maintained in a clean, orderly manner.
 - (2) *Preventive Maintenance* -- A preventive maintenance program shall involve timely inspection and maintenance of storm water management devices (e.g., cleaning oil/water separators, catch basins) as well as inspecting and testing facility equipment and systems to uncover conditions that could cause breakdowns or failures resulting in discharges of pollutants to surface waters, and ensuring appropriate maintenance of such equipment and systems. Particular attention shall be given to erosion control and sediment control systems and devices.
 - (3) *Spill Prevention and Response Procedures* -- Areas where potential spills which can result in significant amounts of pollutants reaching surface waters or areas where potential spills can contribute pollutants to storm water discharges, and their accompanying drainage points shall be identified clearly in the Plan. Where appropriate, specifying material handling procedures, storage requirements, and use of equipment such as diversion valves in the Plan should be considered. Procedures for cleaning up spills shall be identified in the Plan and made available to the appropriate personnel. The equipment necessary to implement a clean up should be readily available to personnel.
 - (4) *Inspections* -- The Plan shall identify qualified personnel that shall inspect designated equipment and mine areas at least on a monthly basis; however, inspections are not required when adverse weather conditions (e.g., snow) make the site inaccessible. All material handling areas shall be inspected for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. Erosion control systems and

sediment control devices shall also be inspected to determine if they are working properly. A set of tracking or follow-up procedures shall be used to ensure that appropriate actions are taken in response to the inspections. Records of inspections shall be maintained. The use of a checklist developed by the facility is encouraged.

- (5) *Record keeping and Internal Reporting Procedures* -- A description of incidents (such as spills, or other discharges), along with other information describing the quality and quantity of storm water discharges or dry weather discharges shall be included in the Plan required under this part. Inspections and maintenance activities shall be documented and records of such activities shall be incorporated into the Plan.
- (6) *Employee Training* -- Employee training programs shall inform personnel of the components and goals of the Plan. Training should address topics such as spill response, good housekeeping, and material management practices. The Plan shall specify how often training shall take place, but in all cases training must be held at least semi-annually.
- (7) *Sediment and Erosion Control* -- The Plan shall identify areas which, due to topography, activities, or other factors, have a high potential for significant erosion of soil and/or other materials, and identify measures to be used to limit erosion and/or remove sediment from storm water runoff. The measures to consider include diversion of flow away from areas susceptible to erosion, stabilization methods to prevent or minimize erosion, and structural methods for controlling sediment. These can include the following:
 - (a) Diversion practices include the following -- interceptor dikes and swales; diversion dikes, curbs and berms; pipe slope drains; subsurface drains; and drainage/storm water conveyance systems (channels or gutters; open top box culverts, and waterbars; rolling dips and road sloping; roadway surface water deflector; and culverts).
 - (b) Stabilization practices include the following -- temporary or permanent seeding; vegetative buffer strips; protection of trees; topsoiling; soil conditioning; contouring; mulching; geotextiles (matting; netting; or blankets); riprap; gabions; and retaining walls.
 - (c) Structural practices include the use of the following -- check dams; rock outlet protection; level spreaders; gradient terraces; straw bale barriers; silt fences; gravel or stone filter berms; brush barriers; sediment traps; grass swales; pipe slope drains; earth dikes; and other controls such as entrance stabilization, waterway crossings or wind breaks.

- (8) *Specific Management Practices* -- The following specific requirements shall be incorporated into the Plan:
- (a) Ensure that berms, including any pond walls, ditches, dikes, dams and similar water retention structures shall be constructed in a manner such that they reject the passage of unwanted water.
 - (b) Ensure that measures are taken such that pollutant materials removed from the wastewater streams will be retained and not discharged to waters of the United States.
 - (c) Ensure that all water control devices, including but not limited to structures and berms, and all solids retention structures such as berms, dikes, and pond structures and dams, shall be maintained to continue their effectiveness and to protect from unexpected and catastrophic failure.
 - (d) Ensure proper management of solid and hazardous waste in accordance with regulations promulgated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Management practices required under RCRA regulations shall be referenced in the BMP Plan.
- (9) *Delivery Vehicles* -- The plan must describe measures that prevent or minimize spills and/or contamination of storm water runoff from delivery vehicles arriving on the plant site. At a minimum the facility must:
- (a) Develop procedures for the inspection of delivery vehicles arriving on the plant site, and ensure overall integrity of the body or container.
 - (b) Develop procedures to deal with leakage or spillage from vehicles or containers, and ensure that proper protective measures are available for personnel and environment.
- (10) *Fuel Oil Unloading Areas* -- The plan must describe measures that prevent or minimize spills and/or contamination of storm water runoff from fuel oil unloading areas. At a minimum the facility must use the following measures or their equivalent:
- (a) Use containment curbs in unloading areas.
 - (b) During deliveries station personnel familiar with spill prevention and response procedures must be present to ensure that any leaks or spills are immediately contained and cleaned up.
 - (c) Use spill and overflow protection (drip pans and other containment devices shall be placed beneath fuel oil connectors to contain any spillage that may occur during deliveries or due to leaks at the connectors).

- (11) *Miscellaneous Loading/Unloading Areas* -- The plan must describe measures that prevent or minimize spills and/or the contamination of storm water runoff from loading and unloading areas. The facility may consider covering the loading area, minimizing storm water run-on to the loading area by grading, berming, or curbing the area around the loading area to direct away from the area, or locate the loading/unloading equipment and vehicles so that leaks can be contained in existing containment and flow diversion systems.
- (12) *Liquid Storage Tanks* -- The plan must describe measures that prevent or minimize spill and/or contamination of storm water runoff from above ground liquid storage tanks. At a minimum the facility must employ the following measures or their equivalent:
- (a) Use protective guards around tanks.
 - (b) Use containment curbs.
 - (c) Use spill and overflow protection (drip pans and other containment devices shall be placed beneath chemical connectors to contain any spillage that may occur during deliveries or due to leaks at these connectors).
 - (d) Use dry cleanup methods.

The plan must describe measures to reduce the potential for an oil spill, or a chemical spill. At a minimum the structural integrity of all above ground tanks, pipelines, pumps and other related equipment shall be visually inspected on a weekly basis.

3. Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation. A member of the pollution prevention team shall conduct site compliance evaluations at appropriate intervals specified in the Plan, but in no case less than twice a year. Such evaluations shall provide:
- a. *Site Evaluation* -- Areas contributing to a storm water discharge associated with industrial activity or areas where a discharge of pollutants may occur during dry weather shall be visually inspected for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. Measures to reduce pollutant loadings shall be evaluated to determine whether they are adequate and properly implemented in accordance with the terms of the permit or whether additional control measures are needed. Structural storm water management measures, sediment and erosion control measures, and other structural pollution prevention measures identified in the Plan shall be observed to ensure that they are operating correctly. A visual inspection of equipment needed to implement the Plan, such as spill response equipment, shall be made.

- b. *Corrective Action* -- Based on the results of the inspection, the description of potential pollutant sources identified in the Plan in accordance with paragraph I.D.2.c. (Description of Potential Pollutant Sources) of this section and pollution prevention measures and controls identified in the Plan in accordance with paragraph I.D.2.d. (Measures and Controls) of this section shall be revised as appropriate within 2 weeks of such inspection and shall provide for implementation of any changes to the plan in a timely manner, but in no case more than 12 weeks after the inspection.
- c. *Record keeping and Reporting Requirements* -- A report summarizing the scope of the inspection, personnel making the inspection, the date(s) of the inspection, major observations relating to the implementation of the Plan, and actions taken in accordance with paragraph I.D.3.b (above) of the permit shall be made and retained as part of the Plan for at least 1 year after coverage under this permit terminates. The report shall identify any incidents of noncompliance. Where a report does not identify any incidents of noncompliance, the report shall contain a certification that the facility is in compliance with the Plan and this permit. The report shall be signed in accordance with Part IV.E. (Signatory Requirements) of this permit.

E. Quality Assurance Project Plan

1. The permittee shall develop a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) to assist in planning for the collection and analysis of environmental samples in support of the permit and in explaining data anomalies when they occur. Any existing approved plans may be modified for submittal and approval under this section.
 - a. Throughout all sample collection and analysis activities, the permittee shall use the EPA-approved quality assurance, quality control, and chain-of-custody procedures described in Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans, EPA QA/G-5, February 1998. The permittee's QAPP shall be prepared in the format which is specified in QA/G-5 guidance, which may be retrieved from the Internet at:
<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/offices/oea/qaindex.htm>

The following two references may also be helpful in preparing the QAPP for this permit:

You and Quality Assurance in Region 10, EPA, Regional 10, Quality and Data Management Program, March 1988.

Example Format and Critical Elements of Quality Assurance Plan, EPA, Region 10, Quality and Data Management Program.

- b. The QAPP shall include details on the number of samples, type of sample containers, preservation of samples, holding times, analytical detection and quantitation limits (or method detection level and minimum level for metals) for each target compound, analytical methods, type and number of quality assurance field samples, precision and accuracy requirements, sample preparation requirements, sample shipping methods, and laboratory data delivery requirements.
 - c. The QAPP shall specify the collection and analysis of quality assurance samples for each sampling event, such as (1) matrix spiked (MS) and duplicate samples on ten percent of samples; and (2) analysis of Field Transfer Blanks (sample blanks) to identify contamination of samples.
 - d. Duplicate samples are not required for the following parameters: temperature, turbidity. pH, TDS, TSS, SS, Hardness
 - e. Matrix spiked samples are not required for the following tests listed in Table 1 of 40 CFR Part 136: acidity, alkalinity, bacteriological tests, chlorine, dissolved oxygen, hardness, pH, residues (solids), temperature, turbidity.
 - f. The permittee shall amend the QAPP, whenever there is a modification in the sample collection, the sample analysis, or whenever conditions or requirements of the QAPP change.
 - g. Name(s), address(es) and telephone number(s) of the laboratories, used by or proposed to be used by the permittee, shall be specified in the QAPP.
 - h. Copies of QAPP shall be kept on site and shall be made available to EPA and ADEC upon request.
2. The permittee shall submit its QAPP to EPA and ADEC for review and approval within 120 days of the effective date of this permit.
 3. Upon QAPP's approval from EPA, the permittee shall implement the provisions of the QAPP required under this Part as a condition of this permit. *Non-compliance with the conditions and requirements of QAPP shall constitute non-compliance with this permit.*
 4. The permittee shall require the laboratory director of each laboratory providing measurement results in support of this permit to sign and submit to EPA the following statement on a monthly basis with the DMR:

I certify that this data is in compliance with requirements under 40 CFR Part 136 and other analytical requirements specified in this NPDES permit, No. AK-004038-0.

Signature:_____ Date:_____

5. Within 30 days of sample analysis, the permittee shall ensure that all data used in support of this permit are validated. Data validation results shall be kept on site and shall address the elements described in the following references:

Draft Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines For Evaluating Inorganic Analyses, July 1, 1988.

Draft National Functional Guidelines For Organic Data Review, Revised: June 1991.

Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines For Evaluating Organics Analyses, February 1, 1988.

Parameters not addressed in the above documents should also be validated using the same guidelines. Data validation records shall be kept on site and made available to EPA and ADEC upon request.

6. The permittee shall obtain and archive the following types of documents for sample collection, shipment, and analyses:
- a. All Sample Tracking Reports (i.e., the signed chain-of-custody forms and the signed packing lists)
 - b. Sample Log-In Forms
 - c. All of the Sample tags and Air or Freight Bills
 - d. Custody seals
 - e. Any telephone logs referring to the samples
 - f. Case Narrative signed by the laboratory manager or his/her designee certifying the accuracy and validity of all data reported and describing any changes or problems encountered during the analyses along with documenting their resolution(s)
 - g. Tabulated sample results, with units, percent solids, and sample weights or volumes clearly specified
 - h. Blank data with tabulated results. Specify which samples go with which blank
 - i. Surrogate spike analysis result summaries with calculated percent recovery values
 - j. Matrix Spike/Duplicate (MS/D) result summaries with calculated percent recovery and relative percent difference values
 - k. Sample data from laboratories including:
 - (1) All tabulated results
 - (2) All data system printouts

- (3) Manual worksheets (log books, logs of any preparation of samples)
 - (4) Extraction, dilution and cleanup logs and percent moisture for all samples, blanks
 - (5) Continuing Calibration Standard forms that include the laboratory name, laboratory code, Job Number, SDG number, calibration sources, concentration units, analytes, true values, found values and the calculated percent Recovery (%R)
 - (6) The Initial Calibration curve(s) labeled with date and time of preparation
 - (7) Bench sheets for sample preparation and analysis of samples and standards indicating dates, times, methods of sample digestion/preparation and analysis, and volumes/amounts/concentrations of standard and reagents added, instrument run time/date, dilutions made, etc.
 - (8) Preparation/weight logs for percent moisture determinations. All bench sheets and logs will be labeled with the date and shall bear the analyst's signature.
- I. Raw Quality Control data from laboratories including:
 - (1) Blank data in chronological order --
 - (a) Tabulated results
 - (b) All blank data system printouts --
 - (2) MS/D data in chronological order:
 - (a) Tabulated results
 - (b) All MS/D data system printouts
 - m. The above documentation shall be kept on site and made available to EPA and ADEC upon request.
7. The permittee shall archive sample data and project records for three years from the date of sample analysis.
 8. Each year, by December 31, the permittee shall provide written verification to EPA and ADEC that all laboratories or contract laboratories used by the permittee in that year participate in quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) programs which are equivalent to that required by EPA for EPA's contract laboratories (EPA Contract Laboratory Program).
 9. The permittee shall ensure that all laboratories' QA plans address all elements specified in the following EPA document:

Guidance on Preparation of Laboratory Quality Assurance Plans, U.S. EPA Region 10, EPA 910/9-92-032, February 14, 1991.

Permittee's copies of the laboratories' QA plan(s) shall be made available upon request and shall be available for inspection at the permittee's offices.

10. Each year, by December 31, the permittee shall provide written verification to EPA and ADEC that all laboratories used in that year for the purpose of measuring permit samples have facilities, equipment, staff, quality assurance programs, and quality control procedures to perform sample measurements in support of this permit. The permittee may conduct an on-site Technical Systems Audit of the laboratories to make this determination.
11. The permittee may obtain copies of all references cited in this part of the permit from the following address:

Quality and Data Management Program
Environmental Services Division
U.S. EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Avenue, OEA-095
Seattle, Washington 98101

II. RECORDING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

A. Summary of Reports Required by this Permit

1. Discharge Monitoring Reports: 8 discharge points @ 12/yr.
2. Receiving water quality reports: 4 sites @ 2/yr.
3. Whole effluent toxicity reports: 2 sites @ 1/yr.
4. Whole effluent toxicity investigation work plan, within 90 days of effective date of permit.
5. Best Management Practices Plan, within 60 days of effective date of permit.
 - a. Best Management Practices Plan Amendments.
6. Quality Assurance Project Plan, within 120 days of effective date of permit.
 - a. Quality Assurance Project Plan Amendments.
 - b. Annual Certification.

B. Representative Sampling (Routine and Non-Routine Discharges)

The Permittee shall collect all effluent samples from the effluent stream prior to discharge into the receiving waters. Samples and measurements shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge.

In order to ensure that the effluent limits set forth in this permit are not violated at times other than when routine samples are taken, the Permittee shall collect additional samples at the appropriate outfall(s) whenever any discharge occurs that may reasonably be expected to cause or contribute to a violation that is unlikely to be detected by a routine sample. The Permittee shall analyze the additional samples for those parameters limited in Part I of this permit that are likely to be affected by the discharge.

The Permittee shall collect such additional samples as soon as possible after the spill or discharge. The samples shall be analyzed in accordance with paragraph D., below. In the event of an anticipated bypass, as defined in Part III. of this permit, the Permittee shall collect and analyze additional samples as soon as the bypassed effluent reaches the outfall. The Permittee shall report all additional monitoring in accordance with paragraph E., below.

C. Reporting of Monitoring Results

The Permittee shall summarize monitoring results each month on the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form (EPA No. 3320-1). The Permittee shall submit reports monthly, postmarked by the 10th day of the following month. The Permittee shall sign and certify all DMRs, and all other reports, in accordance with the requirements of Part IV.E. of this permit ("Signatory Requirements"). The Permittee shall submit the legible originals of these documents to the Director, Water Division, with copies to ADEC at the following addresses:

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, OW-133
Seattle, Washington 98101

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
610 University Ave.
Fairbanks, Alaska 99709

D. Monitoring Procedures

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit.

E. Additional Monitoring by Permittee

If the Permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under 40 CFR 136 or as specified in this permit, the Permittee shall include the results of this monitoring in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR. The Permittee shall indicate on the DMR whenever it has performed additional monitoring, and shall explain why it performed such monitoring.

Upon request by the Director, the Permittee shall submit results of any other sampling, regardless of the test method used.

F. Records Contents

All effluent monitoring records shall bear the hand-written signature of the person who prepared them. In addition, all records of monitoring information shall include:

1. the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
2. the names of the individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
3. the date(s) analyses were performed;
4. the names of the individual(s) who performed the analyses;
5. the analytical techniques or methods used; and
6. the results of such analyses.

G. Retention of Records

The Permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including, but not limited to, all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, copies of DMRs, a copy of the NPDES permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least five years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application, or for the term of this permit, whichever is longer. This period may be extended by request of the Director or ADEC at any time.

H. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting

1. The Permittee shall report the following occurrences of noncompliance by telephone within 24 hours from the time the Permittee becomes aware of the circumstances:
 - a. any noncompliance that may endanger health or the environment;
 - b. any unanticipated bypass that results in or contributes to an exceedance of any effluent limitation in the permit (See Part III.G., "Bypass of Treatment Facilities");
 - c. any upset that results in or contributes to an exceedance of any effluent limitation in the permit (See Part III.H., "Upset Conditions"); or
 - d. any violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed in the permit.
2. The Permittee shall also provide a written submission within five days of the time that the Permittee becomes aware of any event required to be reported under subpart 1 above. The written submission shall contain:
 - a. a description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 - b. the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
 - c. the estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected; and
 - d. steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.
 - e. the results of any monitoring data required under Part II.A., above.
3. Non-compliance line The Director may, at his sole discretion, waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24

hours by the NPDES Compliance Unit in Seattle, Washington, by telephone, (206) 553-1846.

4. Reports shall be submitted to the addresses in Part II.B. ("Reporting of Monitoring Results").

I. Other Noncompliance Reporting

The Permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance, not required to be reported within 24 hours, at the time that monitoring reports for Part II.B. are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in Part II.G.2. of this permit.

J. Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances

The Permittee shall notify the Director and ADEC as soon as it knows, or has reason to believe:

1. That any activity has occurred or will occur that would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant that is not limited in the permit, if that discharge may reasonably be expected to exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - a. One hundred micrograms per liter (100 $\mu\text{g/L}$);
 - b. Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 $\mu\text{g/L}$) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 $\mu\text{g/L}$) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;
 - c. Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7); or
 - d. A level established by the Director in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f).
2. That any activity has occurred or will occur that would result in any discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of any toxic pollutant that is not limited in the permit, if that discharge may reasonably be expected to exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - a. Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 $\mu\text{g/L}$);
 - b. One milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;
 - c. Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7); or
 - d. A level established by the Director in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f).

III. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Duty to Comply

The Permittee shall comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Act and is grounds for enforcement action, for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification, or for denial of a permit renewal application. The Permittee shall give reasonable advance notice to the Director and ADEC of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.

B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

1. Civil Penalties

Sections 309(d) and 309(g) of the Act provide that any person who violates a permit condition implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act shall be subject to a civil or administrative penalty, not to exceed \$27,500 per day for each violation.

2. Criminal Penalties

- a. *Negligent Violations* -- Section 309(c)(1) of the Act provides that any person who negligently violates a permit condition implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act shall be punished by a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 1 year, or by both.
- b. *Knowing Violations* -- Section 309(c)(2) of the Act provides that any person who knowingly violates a permit condition implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act shall be punished by a fine of not less than \$5,000 nor more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 3 years, or by both.
- c. *Knowing Endangerment* -- Section 309(c)(3) of the Act provides that any person who knowingly violates a permit condition implementing Sections 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. A person that is an organization shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000.
- d. *False Statements* -- Section 309(c)(4) of the Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false material statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained under this Act or who knowingly falsifies, tampers with, or renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method

required to be maintained under this Act, shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than 2 years, or by both.

Except as provided in permit conditions in Part III.G., ("Bypass of Treatment Facilities") and Part III.H., ("Upset Conditions"), nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the Permittee of the civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.

C. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for the Permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with this permit.

D. Duty to Mitigate

The Permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

E. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The Permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the Permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

F. Removed Substances

Solids, sludges, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of water and wastewaters shall be disposed of in a manner such as to prevent any pollutant from such materials from entering navigable waters, except as specifically authorized in Part I.A.

G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities

1. Bypass not exceeding limitations

The Permittee may allow any bypass to occur that does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of this Part.

2. Prohibition of bypass

Bypass is prohibited, and the Director or ADEC may take enforcement action against the Permittee for a bypass, unless:

- (1) The bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
- (2) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment shall have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass that occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
- (3) The Permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 3 of this Part. The Director and ADEC may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director and ADEC determine that it will meet the three conditions listed above in paragraph 2 of this Part.

3. Notice

- a. *Anticipated bypass* -- If the Permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
- b. *Unanticipated bypass* -- The Permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required under Part II.G. ("Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting").

H. Upset Conditions

1. Effect of an upset

An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology-based permit effluent limitations if the Permittee meets the requirements of paragraph 2 of this Part. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.

2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset

To establish the affirmative defense of upset, the Permittee shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:

- a. An upset occurred and that the Permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
- b. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;

- c. The Permittee submitted notice of the upset as required under Part II.G., Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting; and
 - d. The Permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Part III.D., Duty to Mitigate.
3. Burden of proof
In any enforcement proceeding, the Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

I. Toxic Pollutants

The Permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

J. Planned Changes

The Permittee shall give notice to the Director and ADEC as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility whenever:

1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source as determined in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants that are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under Part II.I.

The Permittee shall give notice to the Director and ADEC as soon as possible of any planned changes in process or chemical use whenever such change could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged.

K. Anticipated Noncompliance

The Permittee shall also give advance notice to the Director and ADEC of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with this permit.

IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.

B. Duty to Reapply

If the Permittee intends to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the Permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The application shall be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit.

C. Duty to Provide Information

The Permittee shall furnish to the Director and ADEC, within the time specified in the request, any information that the Director or ADEC may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The Permittee shall also furnish to the Director or ADEC, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

D. Other Information

When the Permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or that it submitted incorrect information in a permit application or any report to the Director or ADEC, it shall promptly submit the omitted facts or corrected information.

E. Signatory Requirements

All applications, reports or information submitted to the Director and ADEC shall be signed and certified.

1. Signatory

All permit applications shall be signed as follows:

- a. For a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer.
- b. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- c. For a municipality, state, federal, or other public agency: by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.

2. Duly Authorized Representative

All reports required by the permit and other information requested by the Director or ADEC shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly

authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:

- a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and submitted to the Director and ADEC, and
- b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company.

3. Changes to authorization

If an authorization under Part IV.E.2. is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of paragraph IV.E.2. must be submitted to the Regional Administrator and ADEC prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.

4. Certification

Any person signing a document under this Part shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

F. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR 2, all reports prepared in accordance with this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the state water pollution control agency and the Director and ADEC. As required by the Act, permit applications, permits and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

G. Inspection and Entry

The Permittee shall allow the Director, ADEC, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Administrator),

upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

1. Enter upon the Permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
3. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
4. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purpose of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

H. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the Permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the Permittee is or may be subject under Section 311 of the Act.

I. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations.

J. Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable. If any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

K. Transfers

This permit may be automatically transferred to a new Permittee if:

1. The current Permittee notifies the Director at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer date;
2. The notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new Permittees containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between them; and
3. The Director does not notify the existing Permittee and the proposed new Permittee of his or her intent to modify, or revoke and reissue the permit.

If the notice described in paragraph 3 above is not received, the transfer is effective on the date specified in the agreement mentioned in paragraph 2 above.

L. State Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the Permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable state law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Act.

M. Reopener Clause

1. This permit shall be modified, or alternatively, revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the Act, as amended, if the effluent standard, limitation, or requirement so issued or approved:

- a. Contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any condition in the permit; or
- b. Controls any pollutant or disposal method not addressed in the permit.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Act then applicable.

2. This permit may be reopened to adjust any effluent limitations if future water quality studies, waste load allocation determinations, or changes in water quality standards show the need for different requirements.

V. DEFINITIONS

A. *Acute toxic unit* (TU_a) is a measure of acute toxicity. The number of acute toxic units in the effluent is calculated as $100/LC50$, where the LC50 is measured in percent effluent.

B. *Administrator* means the Administrator of the USEPA, or an authorized representative.

C. *Bypass* means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.

D. *Chronic toxic unit* (TU_c) is a measure of chronic toxicity. The number of chronic toxic units in the effluent is calculated as $100/NOEC$, where the NOEC is measured in percent effluent.

- E. **Daily discharge** means the discharge of a pollutant during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the daily discharge is the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in concentration, rates, or other units, the daily discharge is the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.
- F. **Daily maximum.** See Maximum daily discharge.
- G. **Director** means the Director, Office of Water, USEPA, Region 10, or an authorized representative.
- H. **DMR** means discharge monitoring report.
- I. **EC₅₀** is a point estimate of the effluent concentration that would cause an observable adverse effect (such as death, immobilization, or serious incapacitation) in 50 percent of the test organisms exposed.
- J. **Final effluent** means effluent at, or upstream from, the point where a permitted outfall enters navigable waters, and through which all waste streams pass that are discharged from the outfall.
- K. **Grab sample** is a single sample or measurement taken at a specific time or over as short a period of time as is feasible.
- L. **LC₅₀** means the concentration of effluent that is acutely toxic to 50 percent of the test organisms exposed.
- M. **Maximum daily discharge limitation** or daily maximum means the highest allowable daily discharge.
- N. **Method Detection Limit (MDL)** means the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero as determined by a specific laboratory method.
- O. **Minimum daily discharge limitation** means the lowest allowable daily discharge.
- P. **Minimum level (ML)** means the concentration at which the entire analytical system must give a recognizable signal and acceptable calibration point. An interim ML is calculated when a method-specified ML does not exist. It is equal to 3.18 times the method-specified Method Detection Limit (MDL), rounded to the nearest 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, etc.
- Q. **Monthly average** means the average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month. For fecal

coliform bacteria, the monthly average is calculated as the geometric mean of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month.

- R. **NOEC** means no observable effect concentration. The no observed effect concentration (NOEC) is the highest concentration of toxicant to which organisms are exposed in a chronic test, that causes no observable adverse effect on the test organisms (e.g., the highest concentration of toxicant to which the values for the observed responses are not statistically significant different from the controls).
- S. **QA/QC** means quality assurance/quality control.
- T. **Regional Administrator** means the EPA Region 10 Regional Administrator, or an authorized representative.
- U. **Severe property damage** means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities that causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources that can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
- V. **Sludge** means settled solids.
- W. **24-hour composite sample** shall mean a flow-proportioned mixture of not less than 8 discrete aliquots. Each aliquot shall be a grab sample of not less than 100 ml and shall be collected and stored in accordance with procedures prescribed in the most recent edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.
- X. **Upset** means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
- Y. **Wastestream** means any non-de minimus stream of pollutants within the Permittee's facility that enters any permitted outfall or navigable waters. This includes spills and other unintentional, non-routine or unanticipated discharges.

APPENDIX A -- Metals Testing and EPA-Approved Method Detection Limits
 (The *complete list*. Note that the metals monitored for this permit are listed in Table 2.)

USEPA Approved Methods and Levels for NPDES Program (All data is in ug/L unless otherwise specified.)					
CHEMICAL	Method Number	Detector	Method IDL or MDL	ML¹	Interim ML²
METAL					
Aluminum	200.7	ICP ¹⁰	8		
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.05		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA ¹¹	7.8		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES ¹ ² (UN)	1		
Antimony	200.7	ICP	8		25
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.08		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.8		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	1		
	204.1	FAA ¹³	200		636
	204.2	GFAA	3		10
Arsenic	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.9		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.5		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	3		
	206.2	GFAA	1		3
	206.3	AA/GH ¹⁴	2		6
	206.4	Colorimetric	10		32
	206.7	ICP	8		25
Barium	200.7	ICP	1		
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.5		

USEPA Approved Methods and Levels for NPDES Program (All data is in ug/L unless otherwise specified.)					
CHEMICAL	Method Number	Detector	Method IDL or MDL	ML ¹	Interim ML ²
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.2		
Beryllium	200.7	ICP	0.3		1.0
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.1		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.02		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.05		
	210.1	FAA	5		16
	210.2	GFAA	0.2		0.6
	309.B ⁵	Colorimetric	5		16
	AES0029	DCP ⁶	0.3		1.0
Boron	200.7	ICP	3		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	2		
Cadmium	200.7	ICP	1		3
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.1		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.05		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.2		
	213.1	FAA	5		16
	213.2	GFAA	0.1		0.3
	AES0029	DCP ⁶	5		16
	310.B ⁵	Colorimetric	20		64
Calcium	200.7	ICP	10		
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.1		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	1		
Cerium	200.7	IPC	20		

USEPA Approved Methods and Levels for NPDES Program (All data is in ug/L unless otherwise specified.)					
CHEMICAL	Method Number	Detector	Method IDL or MDL	ML ¹	Interim ML ²
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	20		
Chromium	200.7	ICP	4		13
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.07		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.1		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.9		
	218.1	FAA	50		159
	218.2	GFAA	1		3
	218.3	FAA/CE ¹⁵	1		3
	AES002 9	DCP ⁶	2		6
	312.B ⁵	Colorimetric	200		636
Chromium ⁺⁶ , dissolved	218.4	FAA/CE	8		25
	312.B ⁵	Colorimetric	200		636
Cobalt	200.7	ICP	2		
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.03		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.7		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.4		
Copper	200.7	ICP	3		10
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.03		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.7		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.3		
	220.1	FAA	20		64
	220.2	GFAA	1		3
	AES002 9	DCP ⁶	2		6
	313.B ⁵	Colorimetric	10		32

USEPA Approved Methods and Levels for NPDES Program (All data is in ug/L unless otherwise specified.)					
CHEMICAL	Method Number	Detector	Method IDL or MDL	ML¹	Interim ML²
Iron	200.7	ICP	30		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.3		
Lead	200.7	ICP	10		32
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.08		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.7		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	2		
	239.1	FAA	100		318
	239.2	GFAA	1		3
	AES0029	DCP ⁶	7		22
	316.B ⁵	Colorimetric	3		10
Lithium	200.7	ICP	1		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.4		
Magnesium	200.7	ICP	20		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	2		
Manganese	200.7	ICP	1		
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.1		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.3		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.2		
Mercury	200.7	ICP	7		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	3		
	245.1 or 245.2	Cold Vapor	0.2		0.6
Molybdenum	200.7	ICP	4		
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.1		

USEPA Approved Methods and Levels for NPDES Program (All data is in ug/L unless otherwise specified.)					
CHEMICAL	Method Number	Detector	Method IDL or MDL	ML ¹	Interim ML ²
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	1		
Nickel	200.7	ICP	5		16
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.2		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.6		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.8		
	249.1	FAA	40		127
	249.2	GFAA	1		3
	AES002 9	DCP ⁶	2		6
	321.B ⁵	Colorimetric	2000		6360
Phosphorus	200.7	ICP	60		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	40		
Potassium	200.7	ICP	300		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	40		
Selenium	200.7	ICP	20		64
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	5		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.6		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	8		
	270.2	GFAA	2		6
	270.3	FAA	2		6
Silver	200.7	ICP	2		6
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.05		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.5		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.3		
	272.1	FAA	10		32

USEPA Approved Methods and Levels for NPDES Program (All data is in ug/L unless otherwise specified.)					
CHEMICAL	Method Number	Detector	Method IDL or MDL	ML ¹	Interim ML ²
	272.2	GFAA	0.2		0.6
	AES0029	DCP ⁶	4		13
	319.B ⁷	Colorimetric	2		6
Sodium	200.7	ICP	30		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	3		
Strontium	200.7	ICP	0.3		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.1		
Thallium	200.7	ICP	20		64
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.09		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	0.7		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	5		
	279.1	FAA	100		318
	279.2	GFAA	1		3
Thorium	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.03		
Tin	200.7	ICP	7		
	200.9 ⁴	GFAA	1.7		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	4		
Titanium	200.7	ICP	1		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.1		
Uranium	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.02		
Vanadium	200.7	ICP	3		
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.02		
	200.15 ⁴	ICP-AES (UN)	0.6		
Zinc	200.7	ICP	2		6

USEPA Approved Methods and Levels for NPDES Program (All data is in ug/L unless otherwise specified.)					
CHEMICAL	Method Number	Detector	Method IDL or MDL	ML ¹	Interim ML ²
	200.8 ³	ICP-MS ⁹	0.2		
	289.1	FAA	5		16
	289.2	GFAA	0.05		0.2
	AES002 9	DCP ⁶	6		19
	328.C ⁵	Dithizone	100		318
	8009 ⁸	Zincon	20		64
Cyanide, total	335.2	Titrimetric	20		
	335.3	Spectrophotometric	5		

1. Minimum Level. Defined by EPA (40 CFR Part 136, AA. A, Meth. 1624, 1625) as “the minimum level at which the entire GC/MS system must give recognizable mass spectra (background corrected) and acceptable calibration points.”

2. Interim Minimum Level. In the absence of a promulgated Minimum Level (ML) an “Interim Minimum Level” is calculated. The interim ML can be derived most effectively as a multiple of the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The Interim ML is developed by multiplying 3.18 times the published MDL for the analyte from a specific analytical method approved under Section 304(h) or previously approved for use by the permitting authority (from “EPA Region 10 Guidance for WQBELs Below Analytical Detection/Quantification Level”, 1996). The interim ML for non-metals is rounded to the nearest multiple of 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50.....(EPA memo *Status of Detection Level Strategies*, 9/9/93).

3. Not approved method, but can be used on case-by-case basis.

4. Not approved method.

5. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 16th Edition.

6. Direct Current Plasma. “DCP Optical Emission Spectrometric Method for Trace Elemental analysis of Water and Wastes, Method AES0029.” 1986, Applied Research Laboratories, Inc., 24911 Avenue Stanford, Valencia, CA 91355.

7. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 14th Edition.

8. Zinc, Zincon Method, Hach Handbook of Water Analysis, 1979, pages 2-231 and 2-333, Hach Chemical Company, Loveland, CO 80537.

9. Mass spectrometry.

10. Inductively-coupled Plasma.

11. Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption.

12. Inductively coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry.

13. Flame Atomic Absorption.

14. Atomic Absorption Graphite Furnace Gas Hydride.

15. Chelation Extraction.





