

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2005 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2004 amount, the 2005 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2005 follow:

[In thousands of dollars]	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2004	\$46,141,907
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005	43,748,430
House bill, fiscal year 2005	43,540,159
Senate bill, fiscal year 2005	44,052,003
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2005	43,993,116
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2004	-2,148,791
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005	+244,686
House bill, fiscal year 2005	+452,957
Senate bill, fiscal year 2005	-58,887

DIVISION I—DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2005

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 108-674 and Senate Report 108-353 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not changed by the report of the Senate or the conference and Senate report language which is not changed by the conference is approved by the committee of the conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

OPERATING PLAN REPROGRAMMING PROCEDURES

The conferees continue to have a particular interest in being informed of reprogrammings which, although they may not change either the total amount available in an account or any of the purposes for which the appropriation is legally available, represent a significant departure from budget plans presented to the Committees in an agency's budget justifications, the basis of this appropriations Act.

Consequently, the conferees direct the departments, agencies, boards, commissions, corporations and offices funded at or in excess of \$100,000,000 in this Act, to consult with the Committee on Appropriations in both the House and Senate prior to each change from the approved budget levels in excess of \$500,000 between programs, activities, object classifications or elements unless otherwise provided for in the statement of the managers accompanying this Act. For agencies, boards, commissions, corporations and offices funded at less than \$100,000,000 in this Act, the reprogramming threshold shall be \$250,000 between programs, activities, object classifications or elements unless otherwise provided for in the statement of the managers accompanying this Act. Additionally,

the conferees expect the Committees on Appropriations to be promptly notified of all reprogramming actions which involve less than the above-mentioned amounts. If such actions would have the effect of significantly changing an agency's funding requirements in future years, or if programs or projects specifically cited in the statement of the managers or accompanying reports of the House and Senate are affected by the reprogramming, the reprogramming must be approved by the Committees on Appropriations regardless of the amount proposed to be moved. Furthermore, the conferees direct that the Committees on Appropriations be consulted regarding reorganizations of offices, programs, and activities prior to the planned implementation of such reorganizations.

The conferees also direct that the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, as well as the Corporation for National and Community Service, the Environmental Protection Agency, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Science Foundation, the Consumer Product Safety Commission, and the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board shall submit operating plans, signed by the respective secretary, administrator, or agency head, for review by the Committees on Appropriations of both the House and Senate within 60 days of enactment of this Act. Other agencies within this Act should continue to submit operating plans consistent with prior year policy, or as directed in this statement of the managers.

The conferees reiterate the Committees' longstanding position that while the Committees reserve the right to call upon all offices in the departments, agencies, boards, and commissions, access to the budget offices is essential and shall in no way be hindered.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

In addition to the directives above, the conferees direct that no changes may be made to any account or objective, except as approved by the Committees, if it is construed to be policy or change in policy. It is the intent of the conferees that all carryover funds in the various appropriations accounts are subject to the normal reprogramming requirements outlined above. The Department is directed to notify the Committees on Appropriations should the loan limitation of any program administered by the Department be met or exceeded.

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$32,607,688,000 for compensation and pensions as proposed by both the House and the Senate, of which not more than \$20,703,000 is to be transferred to general operating expenses and medical services for reimbursement of necessary expenses in implementing the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 and the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992.

READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

Appropriates \$2,556,232,000 for readjustment benefits as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

Appropriates \$44,380,000 for veterans insurance and indemnities as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates such sums as may be necessary for costs associated with direct and guaranteed loans from the veterans housing benefit program fund program account as proposed by both the House and the Senate, limits obligations for direct loans to not more than \$500,000 and provides that \$154,075,000 is to be transferred to and merged with general operating expenses.

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$47,000 for the costs of direct loans from the vocational rehabilitation loans program account as proposed by both the House and the Senate, plus \$311,000 to be transferred to and merged with general operating expenses. Provides for a direct loan limitation of \$4,108,000.

The conferees direct the Department to monitor carefully the program's loan activity and notify the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate during the year if it determines that it may exceed the loan level amount.

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$571,000 for administrative expenses of the Native American veteran housing loan program account to be transferred to and merged with general operating expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Provides a loan limitation of \$50,000,000 for the program as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

GUARANTEED TRANSITIONAL HOUSING LOANS FOR HOMELESS
VETERANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Provides up to \$750,000 of the funds available in medical administration and general operating expenses to carry out the guaranteed transitional housing loans for homeless veterans program as proposed by the House instead of \$600,000 as proposed by the Senate.

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

The conferees have agreed to provide total resources of \$30,330,370,000 to fund the various operating programs of the Veterans Health Administration (VHA), an increase of \$1,195,000,000

over the appropriation request level. None of the funds are contingent upon an emergency declaration as proposed by the Senate. Further, the conferees have agreed to fund VHA through the new account structure started in fiscal year 2004, comprised of four accounts: medical services, medical administration, medical facilities, and medical and prosthetic research. The conferees continue to believe this account structure will provide better oversight and achieve a more accurate accounting of funds.

MEDICAL SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Provides \$19,472,777,000 to finance medical services for all veterans and beneficiaries in VA, State, and contract medical facilities.

Retains bill language making \$1,100,000,000 available until September 30, 2006, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Retains bill language providing the Secretary with the authority to establish a priority system for veterans seeking medical care as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Retains bill language allowing the Secretary to give priority to medical services for priority 1–6 veterans, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Retains bill language allowing the transfer of up to \$400,000,000 to the construction, major projects account for the purposes of implementing Capital Asset Realignment for Enhanced Services (CARES) recommendations. The conferees direct the VA to notify the Committees on Appropriations prior to the transfer of funds for this purpose as stated in Senate Report 108–353.

Modifies bill language proposed by the House, allowing the transfer of up to \$125,000,000 to general operating expenses for Veterans Benefits Administration claims processing. The conferees direct the Secretary to notify the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate prior to any transfer.

Retains bill language proposed by both the House and the Senate, allowing the Secretary to fill privately written prescriptions from VA facilities for designated veterans. The conferees agree that such benefit should not result in additional cost to the VA.

Retains bill language proposed by both the House and Senate, providing \$15,000,000 for the DoD/VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund.

The conferees are in agreement that the Department shall submit a report on the steps, funds, and staff assigned to improving the transition from DoD to VA for service members returning from conflicts in the Middle East and other areas. The report is to be submitted no later than March 15, 2005.

The conferees direct the continuation of the Joslin Vision Network at no less than the current level and encourage the VA to establish new pilot sites for the Network.

The conferees agree with the Senate direction that the Department shall report on the number and location of training slots for psychologists for post-doctoral training. The report is to be sub-

mitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by no later than February 4, 2005.

Retains the Senate provision providing \$20,000,000 for a new Prosthetics and Integrative Health Care Initiative. This new initiative will ensure that returning war veterans with loss of limbs and other very severe and lasting injuries have access to the best of both modern medicine and integrative holistic therapies for rehabilitation, and will ensure continuity of care for veterans who transition from the DOD health system, including the Amputee Center at Walter Reed Army Medical Center. The Department is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate on the status of this new initiative by February 4, 2005.

Retains the Senate provision directing the VA to: (1) establish an advisory committee on complementary medicine; and (2) implement the recommendations of the White House Commission on Complementary and Alternative Medicine. The VA should report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate on the status of these issues by February 4, 2005.

The conferees direct the VA to comply with all recommendations of the August 11, 2004, report by the Inspector General regarding issues at the Bay Pines VA Medical Center in Florida, and to report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate detailing progress in this matter by February 4, 2005.

MEDICAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriates \$4,705,000,000, as proposed by both the House and Senate, for the expenses of the headquarters offices of the Veterans Health Administration as well as the costs of Veterans Integrated Service Network (VISN) offices and facility directors, all information technology hardware and software, legal services, billing and coding activities, procurement, and related activities.

Includes language allowing \$250,000,000 of the funds to be available until September 30, 2006.

The conferees direct the Secretary to look at integrated medical asset tracking programs and conduct a pilot program to test the feasibility of the implementation of such programs.

The conferees direct the Secretary to review the need for the development of a web portal and implement a pilot program of the system, consistent with existing emergency response systems.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

Appropriates \$3,745,000,000 for the operation, maintenance and security of VHA's capital infrastructure as proposed by both the House and Senate. Included under this heading are provisions for the costs associated with utilities, engineering, capital planning, leases, laundry and food services, grounds-keeping, garbage, house-keeping, facility repair, and property disposition and acquisition.

Retains language allowing \$250,000,000 of the funds to be available until September 30, 2006 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees reiterate the directive contained in the fiscal year 2004 Consolidated Appropriations Act that the Department of Veterans Affairs offer to transfer to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers one residential property in Fort Thomas, Kentucky, to be used as the residence for the Commander of the Great Lakes and

Ohio River Division, and appreciate the progress that has been made so far.

MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

Appropriates \$405,593,000 for medical and prosthetic research as proposed by the Senate instead of \$384,770,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees direct the Department to continue its technology transfer activities at the current level of effort through the West Virginia High Technology Consortium Foundation.

The conferees direct the Department to prioritize prosthetics in its research agenda and report to the Committee on Appropriations of the House and Senate by March 15, 2005 on its efforts.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriates \$1,324,753,000 for general operating expenses instead of \$1,319,753,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,399,753,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Provides not less than \$1,027,193,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration (VBA) as proposed by the House instead of \$1,102,193,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Provides two-year availability for \$66,000,000 as proposed by both the House and Senate.

The conferees direct VA to proceed with information technology initiatives supporting the Department's enterprise architecture and continuity of operations capabilities and direct that not less than \$25,000,000 be allocated for these activities Department-wide. Additionally, the conferees direct that all cyber-security and enterprise architecture activities continue to be centrally managed by the Chief Information Officer. Finally, the conferees reiterate the House position that the Department report quarterly on key information technology objectives and efforts to meet these objectives.

NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

Appropriates \$148,925,000 for the National Cemetery Administration as proposed by both the House and Senate. Provides two-year obligation authority for \$7,400,000 of the appropriated funds.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$69,711,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the House instead of \$64,711,000 as proposed by the Senate.

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

Appropriates \$458,800,000 for construction, major projects as proposed by both the House and Senate. The conferees have included bill language proposed by both the House and Senate which defines a major construction project as one where the estimated cost is more than the amount set forth in 38 U.S.C. 8104(a)(3)(A).

The conference agreement includes \$370,709,000 for construction projects supporting the fiscal year 2005 recommendations of the Secretary's National CARES plan as identified in the May 20,

2004 report entitled "CARES Major Construction Projects, Fiscal Year 2004–2010." The specific projects are also identified in both the House and Senate reports.

Additional recommendations of the conferees are as follows:

Description	House Report 108–674	Senate Report 108–353	Conference agreement
CARES	\$370,709,000	\$370,709,000	\$370,709,000
VHA Advance Planning Fund	14,000,000	14,000,000	14,000,000
VHA Asbestos	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000
VHA Claims	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
VHA Judgment	8,091,000	8,091,000	8,091,000
VHA Hazardous Waste	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000
Emergency Response Security Study	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000
NCA Phase I Development: Sacramento, CA	21,600,000	21,600,000	21,600,000
NCA Expansion: Barrancas, FL	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000
NCA Expansion: Rock Island, IL	10,200,000	10,200,000	10,200,000
NCA Design Funds	3,200,000	3,200,000	3,200,000
NCA Advance Planning Fund	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Staff Offices	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000

The conferees agree with the Senate direction that the Department update its 5-year strategic plan for capital asset management on a periodic basis.

The conferees direct the Department to review the financial status of all existing major construction projects and the major working reserve account. The Department shall provide information on any unobligated and unexpended funds that may be recaptured and spent on other CARES projects.

The conferees agree with the direction contained in the Senate report regarding the establishment of an independent CARES advisory body.

As part of the CARES initiative, the Department will, in some cases, depend on contracting with local providers to deliver health care services. The Department is to provide a business plan to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate that will address the issues raised in the Senate report. This plan should be submitted no later than March 15, 2005.

Finally, the conferees agree with the Senate direction that the Department submit a business plan for excess infrastructure that details disposition strategy and budgetary impacts.

CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

Appropriates \$230,779,000 for construction, minor projects as proposed by the Senate instead of \$230,799,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees have included bill language proposed by both the House and Senate which defines a minor construction project as one where the estimated cost is equal to or less than the amount set forth in 38 U.S.C. 8104(a)(3)(A).

The conference agreement provides \$182,100,000 for construction projects implementing CARES recommendations, as proposed by the Senate instead of \$162,100,000 as proposed by the House. Additional amounts may be used for CARES activities upon notification of and approval by the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

Appropriates \$105,163,000 for grants for construction of state extended care facilities as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

GRANTS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

Appropriates \$32,000,000 for grants for construction of state veterans cemeteries as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Retains the first eleven administrative provisions which were carried in both the House and Senate bills, and which have been carried in previous years.

Retains section 112 as proposed by the House allowing the Secretary to manage effectively the billing and collection process to third party insurers. The Senate had proposed similar language.

Retains section 113 as proposed by the House providing \$25,000,000 of VA's total information technology budget for enterprise architecture activities under the Office of the Chief Information Officer. The Senate did not include this provision.

Retains section 114 as proposed by the House regarding implementation of Public Law 107-287 by prohibiting funds for implementation of section 2 and section 5. The Senate had proposed similar language.

Retains the provision proposed by the Senate regarding the Secretary's authority in depositing receipts from various funds into the Medical Care Collections Fund. The House had proposed similar language.

Retains the provision proposed by both the House and Senate directing the Secretary to conduct a recovery audit program.

Retains the provision proposed by both the House and Senate allowing the Secretary to transfer enhanced-use lease revenue from the Medical Care Collections Fund to the construction accounts.

Retains the provision proposed by both the House and Senate allowing the Secretary to furnish recreation services and pay funeral expenses.

Retains the provision proposed by both the House and Senate transferring all balances in the Medical Care Collections Fund to medical services.

Retains the provision proposed by both the House and Senate allowing the transfer of funds among Veterans Health Administration accounts.

Retains the provision proposed by the House providing for the transfer of funds from general operating expenses to Veterans Housing Benefit Program Fund Program Account for a nationwide property management contract. The Senate had proposed a similar provision.

Retains the provision proposed by the House authorizing the Department to expend such sums as are available in the unobligated balances of the funds originally appropriated to medical care for emergency expenses resulting from the January 1994 earth-

quake in Southern California, for the same purposes of the medical services account until expended. The Senate had proposed a similar provision.

Deletes the provision proposed by the Senate which would have made funds transferred pursuant to Public Law 108-199 from medical services to construction, major projects, available until expended.

Modifies the provision proposed by the Senate which allows eligible veterans who reside in Alaska to obtain medical services from medical facilities supported by the Indian Health Service.

Adds a new administrative provision which allows the Secretary to transfer up to \$19,800,000 appropriated in Public Law 108-324 from construction, minor projects to medical facilities for non-recurring maintenance expenses related to hurricane and tropical storm damage. Neither House nor Senate bills had included this language.

TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

The conferees restate the reprogramming requirements with respect to amounts approved for each appropriations account within this title. The Department must limit the reprogramming of funds between the programs, projects, and activities within each account to not more than \$500,000 without prior approval of the Committees on Appropriations. Unless otherwise identified in this statement of managers or committee reports, the most detailed allocation of funds presented in the budget justifications shall be considered to be approved, with any deviation from such approved allocation subject to the normal reprogramming requirements outlined above. Further, it is the intent of the conferees that all carry-over funds in the various accounts, including recaptures and deobligations, are subject to the normal reprogramming requirements outlined above. Further, no changes may be made to any program, project, or activity if it is construed to be policy or a change in policy, without prior approval of the Committees. Finally, the conferees expect to be notified regarding reorganizations of offices, programs or activities prior to the planned implementation of such reorganizations, as well as be notified, on a monthly basis, of all ongoing litigation, including any negotiations or discussions, planned or ongoing, regarding a consent decree between the Department and any other entity.

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING

TENANT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement adopts the account structure proposed by the House to fund tenant-based section 8 activities and project-based section 8 activities in two separate accounts to provide better transparency and oversight of expenditures in these programs that now represent over fifty percent of the Department's budget. The Senate proposed to continue to fund both tenant-based

and project-based Section 8 activities in the Housing Certificate Fund.

The joint explanatory statement of the managers herein reflects the agreement of the conferees on tenant-based and project-based section 8 programs and activities. The language and direction included in this joint explanatory statement of the managers replaces any language included in the Senate report regarding these programs, including Senate language under the Housing Certificate Fund account, and replaces any language included in the House report regarding these programs, including House language under the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance, Project-Based Rental Assistance, and Housing Certificate Fund accounts.

The conference agreement appropriates \$14,885,000,000 for tenant-based section 8 (voucher) activities under the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance account instead of \$14,677,019,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed \$15,359,019,000 for these activities within the Housing Certificate Fund account. Language is included designating funds provided as follows:

<i>Activity</i>	<i>Conference agreement</i>
Voucher Renewals	\$13,462,989,000
Tenant Protection Vouchers	163,000,000
Administrative Costs	1,259,011,000
(Administrative Fees)	(1,210,107,000)
(Family Self-Sufficiency Coordinators)	(46,000,000)
(Working Capital Fund)	(2,904,000)
Total, Tenant Based Rental Assistance	14,885,000,000

Section 8 Voucher Renewals.—The conference agreement includes \$13,462,989,000 to fund tenant-based section 8 vouchers in calendar year 2005, instead of \$13,303,177,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed \$13,787,115,000 within the Housing Certificate Fund. New language is included setting forth the distribution of renewal funds as described herein.

The Department is provided \$13,462,989,000 to fund tenant-based vouchers in calendar year 2005. HUD shall not use recaptures from any source or any project-based carryover to augment total 2005 funding for this account. HUD shall provide all public housing agencies (PHAs) with a fixed, annual budget that each agency must manage their voucher programs within for 2005. HUD shall determine such annual budgets for public housing agencies using the average of the May, June and July 2004 Voucher Management System (VMS) data (for leasing and costs) as reported to, verified, and determined to be complete by HUD. Moving To Work (MTW) agencies shall be funded based on their agreements and are subject to the same adjustments made to all other PHA annual budgets based on funding availability. If an agency failed to report verifiable data into VMS during the May–July time period, HUD shall use the complete three-month submission of VMS data averaged for the period of February, March and April 2004. If an agency has not submitted VMS data for the previously referenced periods, HUD shall use the agency's year-end financial statement for fiscal years ending no later than March 31, 2004. HUD shall then adjust the VMS costs for each agency by applying HUD-published 2005 annual adjustment factors (AAFs) to determine an annual funding eligibility for each agency. HUD may make any necessary adjustments for the costs associated with the first-time renewals of

tenant protection and HOPE VI vouchers in 2005. The Department is to obligate the entire amount of funds provided for voucher renewals to the public housing authorities at the time annual budgets of the public housing authorities are established pursuant to the formula prescribed herein and in the Act. Finally, HUD will, to the extent necessary, pro rate each public housing agency's budget to stay within the amount appropriated.

The voucher program is strictly a dollar-based, or budget-based program in 2005. This new structure is designed to provide flexibility for PHAs to manage their voucher programs, so long as such flexibility meets current legal requirements and PHAs manage within their annual budgets. This could include lowering eligible rents in order to expand utilization to no greater than their authorized levels. PHAs are expected to manage utility costs, decreased tenant contributions and protect the most at-risk families within these budgets. HUD is not provided a central fund or any other funds to address increased leasing or costs in 2005. Furthermore, HUD is not provided any funding to replenish program reserves in 2005, nor can carryover or recaptures be used for this purpose. Agencies must manage within their annual budget as determined by HUD, pursuant to the formula prescribed herein and in this Act. HUD must issue a notice implementing the tenant-based provisions of this Act, including details on the eligibility for the \$25,000,000 in administrative fees set aside under this Act, within 30 days of enactment of this Act. HUD will also communicate all agencies' annual budget amounts directly to each agency within 45 days of enactment of this Act. This is intended to provide agencies with as much advanced notice as possible in order to manage their budgets successfully in 2005. HUD shall also provide agencies with flexibility to adjust payment standards and portability policies as necessary to manage within their 2005 budgets. Agencies shall ensure that current elderly and disabled voucher families be protected against significant impacts resulting from adjustments made by agencies to maintain their voucher programs within their 2005 budgets.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate to allow recaptures to be used to augment funds appropriated for section 8 vouchers. Instead the conference agreement includes language elsewhere in this title that prohibits the use of recaptures for this purpose, similar to language proposed by the House.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate to allow funds to be used to amend a public housing agency's voucher renewal amount. The House did not include similar language.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate to allow the Secretary to transfer funds among various activities. The House did not include similar language.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate to allocate renewal funds based upon information submitted to the public housing agency as of October 1, 2004, adjusted by an inflation factor established by the Secretary, and further adjusted based on certain other factors. The House did not include similar language.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate requiring that all units be subject to a rent reasonableness test. The House did not include similar language. The conferees note that public housing agencies are statutorily required to determine and ensure rent reasonableness and expect this requirement to be followed.

The conference agreement does not include \$100,000,000 for a Central Fund as proposed by the Senate. The House did not include similar language or funding.

Language is included, as proposed by the House, prohibiting funds from being used to fund a public housing agency for vouchers in excess of their authorized level. The Senate bill included similar language.

Tenant Protection.—The conference agreement includes \$163,000,000 for rental subsidies for tenant protection activities to replace project-based section 8 assistance with section 8 vouchers, for conversion of section 202 and section 23 projects to section 8 assistance, for the family reunification program and for the witness protection program, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement assumes that new vouchers under the Revitalization of Severely Distressed Housing Program (HOPE VI) will continue to be provided within that account as proposed by the House. The Senate did not address this matter.

Administrative Fees.—The conference agreement includes \$1,210,107,000 for public housing agencies' administrative costs and other expenses, instead of \$1,161,938,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,256,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Modified language is included, similar to language proposed by the House, designating \$1,185,107,000 to be allocated to public housing agencies for the calendar year 2005 funding cycle on a pro rata basis based on the amount the public housing agencies were eligible to receive in calendar year 2004. In addition, new language is included making up to \$25,000,000 available to the Secretary to allocate to public housing agencies that need additional funds to administer their programs. The conferees direct the Department to specify the activities eligible for this funding in the notice to be issued within thirty days of enactment of this Act. The Senate did not include similar language.

Language is included as proposed by the Senate to allow section 8 administrative fees to be used for section 8 rental assistance activities, including related development activities. The House limited the use to section 8 rental assistance activities.

Family Self Sufficiency Coordinators.—The conference agreement includes \$46,000,000 for public housing agencies family self-sufficiency coordinator staff as proposed by the House instead of \$48,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Working Capital Fund.—The conference agreement includes \$2,904,000 for transfer to the Working Capital Fund, instead of no less than \$2,904,000 as proposed by the House. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other departmental information technology needs.

Language proposed by the Senate is not included to require all public housing agencies to submit accounting data for funds provided under this account in this Act or any other Act by source of

funds and purpose of such funds. This requirement was made permanent in the fiscal year 2004 Act. The House did not include similar language.

Language is also included elsewhere in this Act rescinding funds provided in previous years under the Housing Certificate Fund, including funds previously made available for certain tenant-based rental assistance activities.

PROJECT-BASED RENTAL ASSISTANCE
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement appropriates \$5,341,000,000 for project-based rental assistance activities instead of \$5,340,745,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed \$5,348,785,000 for these activities within the Housing Certificate Fund account.

The conference agreement provides funds as follows:

<i>Activity</i>	<i>Conference agreement</i>
Project-Based Contract Renewals	\$4,990,100,000
Moderate Rehabilitation Renewals and Administrative Costs	227,000,000
Section 441 Renewals and Administrative Costs	20,000,000
Contract Administrators	101,900,000
Working Capital Fund	2,000,000
Total, Project-Based Rental Assistance	5,341,000,000

Language is included, similar to language proposed by the House, designating \$5,237,100,000 for renewals and amendment of section 8 project-based contracts, section 8 moderate rehabilitation contracts (including associated PHA administrative expenses), Emergency Low-Income Housing Preservation Reform Act (ELIHPRRA) and Low-Income Housing Preservation Reform Act (LIHPRA) contracts, and section 441 single room occupancy contracts (including associated PHA administrative expenses). The Senate included similar language under the Housing Certificate Fund.

Language is included, as proposed by the House, designating \$101,900,000 for performance-based contract administrators. The Senate included a similar provision under the Housing Certificate Fund.

Language is included designating \$2,000,000 for transfer to the Working Capital Fund. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other departmental information technology needs.

The conference agreement assumes that project-based section 8 contract amendment funding requirements for fiscal year 2005 will be met through the use of recaptures available in the Housing Certificate Fund as proposed in the budget request. Language is included elsewhere in this title making funds available for such purpose.

PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$2,600,000,000 for the public housing capital fund, instead of \$2,580,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,700,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Includes \$38,700,000 for technical assistance including up to \$12,500,000 for remediation services to certain troubled PHAs and for rent surveys. The House proposed \$37,850,000, including \$12,440,000 for remediation services and rent surveys and the Senate proposed \$50,000,000, including up to \$15,000,000 for such activities. The Department is directed to use no less than \$4,750,000 of these funds for activities related to fair market rent surveys as proposed by the House.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate making such funds available for lease adjustments to section 23 projects. The House did not include a similar provision.

Includes \$10,150,000 for information technology systems needs instead of not less than \$10,150,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other departmental information technology needs.

Includes up to \$30,000,000 for emergency capital needs resulting from unforeseen emergencies or natural disasters in fiscal year 2005, instead of \$38,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Language is included as proposed by the House to allow funds to be awarded non-competitively and only for unforeseen activities. The Senate proposed similar language.

Includes \$53,500,000 for the Resident Opportunity Self-Sufficiency (ROSS) program, instead of \$52,300,000 as proposed by the House and \$55,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Includes modified language to allow up to \$3,000,000 to support the costs of existing administrative and judicial receiverships in effect as of the date of enactment of this Act. The House proposed language allowing such funds to be used for current and future receiverships while the Senate did not include funds for such purpose. The Department is directed to submit a proposed spending plan for the use of these funds prior to expenditure.

Includes modified language designating \$15,000,000 for Neighborhood Networks grants similar to language proposed by the Senate. Language is also included allowing up to \$1,000,000 to be made available for technical assistance and to allow centers established under these grants to serve individuals receiving housing assistance under other programs funded in this Act. Language is included as proposed by the Senate, requiring such funds to be competitively awarded. The conferees remind HUD that these funds, and all other funds provided in this Act, are to be awarded on a competitive basis in accordance with the requirements set forth in section 205 under administrative provisions in this title, except where explicitly authorized. The House did not include similar language.

The conference agreement does not designate \$30,000,000 for demolition, relocation and site remediation for obsolete and distressed public housing units as proposed by the Senate. The House did not address this matter.

PUBLIC HOUSING OPERATING FUND

Appropriates \$2,458,000,000 for the public housing operating fund, instead of \$3,425,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,610,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Modified language is included, similar to language proposed by the Senate, to synchronize the funding cycles for all public housing authorities' operating subsidy payments to the same calendar year. The conferees believe that this conversion will simplify and improve administration and oversight of the program. This change results in a one-time savings to this account. The House did not include similar language.

Includes modified language designating \$8,000,000 for programs to assist in the investigation, prosecution and prevention of criminal activities in public housing to be administered through a cooperative agreement with the Department of Justice (DOJ) similar to language proposed by the House. The Senate did not propose a similar provision.

Includes language designating \$10,000,000 for a program to provide bonus funding for PHAs that assist families in moving away from dependency on housing assistance programs, instead of \$15,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House did not propose a similar provision. The conferees expect the Department to allocate these funds through a Notice of Funding Availability that provides clear eligibility criteria for this program.

Language proposed by the Senate to designate \$30,000,000 for transition costs associated with synchronization to a calendar year funding basis is not included. The House did not address this matter.

REVITALIZATION OF SEVERELY DISTRESSED PUBLIC HOUSING (HOPE VI)

Appropriates \$144,000,000 for the revitalization of severely distressed public housing program (HOPE VI), instead of \$150,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and \$143,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Language is included making funds available for obligation until September 30, 2006 as proposed by the House, instead of making funds available for one year as proposed by the Senate.

NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$627,000,000 instead of \$622,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$650,241,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Includes \$4,500,000 for inspections, training, and technical assistance and \$2,200,000 for the National American Indian Housing Council for technical assistance and capacity building as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed \$4,300,000 and \$2,100,000 respectively for these activities.

Includes \$2,000,000 for guaranteed loans to subsidize a total guaranteed loan principal of up to \$17,926,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$1,914,000 to subsidize a total loan volume of up to \$17,155,000 as proposed by the House.

Includes \$2,600,000 for information technology systems instead of no less than \$2,600,000 as proposed by the House and \$500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other departmental information technology needs.

The conference agreement also includes language elsewhere in this title rescinding \$21,000,000 from prior year unobligated balances remaining for title VI loan guarantees. Both the House and Senate proposed this rescission.

INDIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$5,000,000 to subsidize a total loan principal of up to \$145,345,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$1,000,000 to subsidize a total loan principal of \$29,069,767 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement also includes language elsewhere in this title rescinding \$33,000,000 from prior year unobligated balances remaining from this program. Both the House and Senate proposed this rescission.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE FUND PROGRAM
ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,000,000 for guaranteed loans for Native Hawaiian housing to subsidize a total guaranteed loan principal of up to \$37,403,000 as proposed by the House and Senate.

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS

Appropriates \$284,000,000 for housing opportunities for persons with AIDS (HOPWA) instead of \$282,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$294,800,000 as proposed by the Senate.

RURAL HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Appropriates \$24,000,000 for rural housing and economic development as proposed by the House instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Language is included requiring funds to be awarded competitively by September 1, 2005. The Senate had proposed that funds be awarded by June 1, 2005.

EMPOWERMENT ZONES/ENTERPRISE COMMUNITIES

Appropriates \$10,000,000 for grants to the second round of empowerment zones. The House had proposed \$14,250,000 for this account and the Senate did not include funding for this activity. The Conferees direct that funding in this account be distributed equally among the 15 Enterprise Zones and Enterprise Communities (EZ/ECs) designated in Round II.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$4,709,000,000 for various activities funded in this account as proposed by the House instead of \$4,950,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree to the following:

- \$4,150,035,000 for formula grants under the Community Development Block Grant program (CDBG), instead of \$4,304,900,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,547,700,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amount provided for CDBG includes grants to insular areas as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed funding insular areas as a Section 107 set-aside;
 - \$69,000,000 for grants to Indian tribes, including up to \$4,000,000 for emergencies as proposed by the House, instead of \$72,000,000 as proposed by the Senate;
 - \$3,300,000 for the Housing Assistance Council as proposed by the Senate instead of \$3,200,000 as proposed by the House;
 - \$2,400,000 for the National American Indian Housing Council as proposed by the House instead of \$2,500,000 as proposed by the Senate;
 - \$43,700,000 for section 107 grants, instead of \$36,700,000 as proposed by the House and \$51,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the amount provided for section 107 grants, the conference agreement provides the following:
 - \$10,000,000 for historically black colleges and universities, of which up to \$2,000,000 may be used for technical assistance;
 - \$2,900,000 for community development work study;
 - \$6,700,000 for Hispanic Serving Institutions;
 - \$6,700,000 for the Community Outreach Partnerships program;
 - \$3,000,000 for tribal colleges and universities;
 - \$4,000,000 for Alaska Native-Serving Institutions and Native Hawaiian-Serving Institutions;
 - \$9,000,000 for assistance under the Hawaiian Homelands Homeownership Act of 2000; and
 - \$1,400,000 for technical assistance.
- Does not provide \$7,000,000 for insular areas within section 107 activities. Instead, insular areas are funded within Community Development Block Grants.
- \$4,800,000 for the National Housing Development Corporation for continuation of its program of acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation of at-risk affordable housing, including \$2,000,000 for operating expenses as proposed by the House. The Senate did not propose funding for this program;
 - \$4,800,000 for the National Council of La Raza HOPE Fund, of which \$500,000 is for technical assistance and fund management and \$4,300,000 is for investments and financing as proposed by the House. The Senate did not propose funding for this program;

—\$25,000,000 for grants to eligible grantees under section 11 of the Self-Help Housing Opportunity Program (SHOP) as proposed by the Senate instead of \$26,000,000 as proposed by the House;

—\$34,500,000 for capacity building, of which \$30,000,000 is for the Community Development and Affordable Housing program for LISC and the Enterprise Foundation for activities as authorized by section 4 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Demonstration Act, as in effect before June 12, 1997, including \$5,000,000 for rural areas; and of which \$4,500,000 is for Habitat for Humanity International. The House proposed \$33,500,000 for capacity building including \$28,800,000 for LISC and the Enterprise Foundation and \$4,700,000 for Habitat for Humanity; and the Senate proposed \$33,500,000 for such activities including \$30,000,000 for LISC and the Enterprise Foundation and \$3,500,000 for Habitat for Humanity;

—\$62,000,000 for Youthbuild as proposed by the House instead of \$65,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within amounts available for Youthbuild, the agreement provides \$9,000,000 for underserved and rural areas;

—\$262,000,000 for economic development initiatives instead of \$136,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$126,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. This amount is 5% below the amounts appropriated for EDI grants in fiscal year 2004. Language is included prohibiting funds from being used for program operations as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conferees note that projects receiving funds must comply with the environmental review requirements set forth in section 305(c) of the Multifamily Housing Property Disposition Act of 1994 (42 U.S.C. 3547). The conferees will not entertain waivers of such requirements. In addition, funds provided for projects shall not be used for reimbursement of expenses incurred prior to the receipt of economic development initiative funding. Modified language is included, similar to language proposed by the House and Senate, to target funds made available under this program. Targeted grants shall be made as follows:

1. \$1,900,000 for the City of Tuscaloosa, Alabama for the Urban Renewal Project in Tuscaloosa, Alabama;
2. \$300,000 for the City of Livingston, Alabama for downtown revitalization in Livingston, Alabama;
3. \$500,000 to the Crenshaw County Economic/Industrial Development Authority for industrial site preparation in Crenshaw County, Alabama;
4. \$250,000 to the City of Fairhope, Alabama for development of the Fairhope Library;
5. \$400,000 to the University of South Alabama for the Mitchell College of Business Library in Mobile, Alabama;
6. \$500,000 for the Selma YMCA for facilities improvements in Selma, Alabama;

7. \$450,000 for the Town of Double Springs, Alabama for community development;
8. \$150,000 for Alaska Botanical Garden in Anchorage for expansions and renovations;
9. \$150,000 for Friends of Eagle River Nature Center, Inc. in Eagle River, Alaska for costs associated with the construction of a community/visitor center;
10. \$500,000 for the Kincaid Park for Training Center, Anchorage, Alaska for costs associated with construction;
11. \$950,000 for the Municipality of Anchorage, Alaska for costs associated with the construction of a recreational facility;
12. \$300,000 for the North Star Council on Aging in Fairbanks, Alaska for costs associated with the construction of the Fairbanks Senior Center;
13. \$175,000 for Love Social Services in Fairbanks, Alaska for expansion;
14. \$1,000,000 for the Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska for housing upgrades;
15. \$900,000 for the City of Ketchikan, Alaska for costs associated with the construction of the Tongass Coast Aquarium;
16. \$350,000 for Community Association of Hyder, Alaska for costs associated with the construction of a high speed water plant;
17. \$300,000 for the Juneau Family Birth Center, Alaska for the construction of a one-stop family resources center;
18. \$200,000 for Alzheimer Disease Resource Agency of Alaska in Anchorage, Alaska for capital improvements to its facility to provide in home care services, respite care, and training of personal care attendants;
19. \$525,000 for the Bering Straits Native Corporation in Nome, Alaska for Cape Nome Quarry Upgrade;
20. \$500,000 for Shishmaref, Alaska for the construction of barriers;
21. \$500,000 for the Special Olympics, Anchorage, Alaska for costs associated with the construction of a training center for disabled children;
22. \$275,000 for the National History Museum of the Adirondacks in Tupper Lake, New York for the design and construction of museum;
23. \$500,000 for the City of Conway, Arkansas for downtown revitalization;
24. \$250,000 for the Old Independence Regional Museum in Batesville, Arkansas for costs associated with expansion;
25. \$250,000 for Mountain Home, Arkansas for the construction of the Vada Sheid Community Development Center;
26. \$250,000 for the City of Malvern, Arkansas for the completion of the Ouachita River Millennium Park Pavilion;
27. \$500,000 for the Sacramento Housing and Development Agency, California for the construction of new low income housing;
28. \$750,000 for the City of Inglewood, California for the construction of a senior center;
29. \$250,000 for the City of Stockton, California for costs associated with construction of the Eldorado Teen Center;

30. \$250,000 for the City of San Francisco, California for the Old Mint Redevelopment Project;
31. \$350,000 for the City of Davis, California for the construction of a senior center;
32. \$300,000 for the Los Angeles Harbor/Watts Economic Development Corporation, California for the development of a park and recreation site;
33. \$200,000 for the City of Riverside, CA for costs associated with the completion of the Arlanza Neighborhood Center;
34. \$200,000 to California State University at Fresno for costs associated with the Fresno Regional Jobs Initiative;
35. \$250,000 for the Denver Art Museum, Center for American Indian Art, Denver, Colorado for expansion;
36. \$250,000 for the Weld Food Bank in Greeley, Colorado for expansion and renovation;
37. \$250,000 for the La Gente Youth Sports Recreation Center in Pueblo, Colorado for the expansion of facilities;
38. \$250,000 for the Town of Mountain Village, Colorado for construction of the Affordable Housing Initiative;
39. \$250,000 for the Town of Montrose, Colorado for the Montrose Pavilion/Senior Center renovation;
40. \$215,000 for the Town of Ignacio, Colorado for affordable housing development;
41. \$250,000 for Foodshare, Inc., in Hartford County, Connecticut for the construction of a new distribution center;
42. \$250,000 for the Main Street Development Corporation in Ansonia, Connecticut for the Lower Naugatuck Valley Economic Development Initiative;
43. \$500,000 for the Mark Twain House and Museum in Hartford, Connecticut for costs associated with restoration and development;
44. \$250,000 for the Town of Plainfield, Connecticut for the InterRoyal Facility Remediation Initiative;
45. \$250,000 for the Riverfront Development Corporation in Wilmington, Delaware for construction of a pedestrian bridge as part of the efforts to redevelop the Christina riverfront;
46. \$250,000 for Sacred Heart Village, Inc. in Wilmington, Delaware for costs associated with renovations;
47. \$250,000 for City of Ocilla, Georgia, for the renovations of the Old Ocilla School;
48. \$250,000 for Tubman African American Museum, Macon, Georgia for costs associated with renovations;
49. \$500,000 for the City of Coral Gables, Florida for the Biltmore Complex Restoration Project;
50. \$250,000 for the Washington County, Florida Chamber of Commerce Economic Development Council for outreach and technical assistance;
51. \$250,000 for the Boys and Girls Club of Hawaii in Honolulu for costs associated with construction of the Nanakuli site on Hawaiian Homelands;
52. \$250,000 for Poamoho Camp Community Association in Wahiawa, Hawaii for infrastructure improvements;

53. \$350,000 for Binhi At Ani in Wailuku, Hawaii for the construction of the Maui Filipino Community Center;
54. \$400,000 for Friends of Drug Court in Honolulu, Hawaii for the acquisition of a building;
55. \$250,000 for Kauai Economic Opportunity, Inc., in Kauai, Hawaii for improvements and renovations to a homeless shelter;
56. \$250,000 for the Hawaii Island Community Development Corporation in Hilo for the construction of low-income elderly housing;
57. \$1,000,000 for the Clearwater Economic Development Association, Idaho, for implementation of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial plan;
58. \$900,000 for Boise State University in Idaho, for planning, design, and construction for the Center for Environmental Science and Economic Development;
59. \$900,000 for the University of Idaho, at Moscow, Idaho, for planning and design for a science and new technologies laboratory;
60. \$350,000 for the Field Museum, Chicago, Illinois for improvements;
61. \$150,000 for the Chicago Botanic Garden, Glencoe, Illinois for the expansion of the School of the Botanic Garden;
62. \$750,000 for the City of Springfield, Illinois for the design and construction of a community center on the city's east side;
63. \$250,000 for the Campbell Center for Historic Preservation in Mount Carroll, Illinois to complete the planning, feasibility, and design phase of its program expansion and rehabilitation project;
64. \$200,000 for the Northwest Illinois Chapter of the American Red Cross in Freeport, Illinois for the acquisition of property and construction of a new chapter office;
65. \$200,000 for the Chicago Food Depository, Illinois for capacity expansion and related programs;
66. \$200,000 for the Chicago House and Social Service Agency in Illinois to develop and construct a social services community center and programs on the West Side of Chicago, in partnership with Vital Bridges/Open Hand;
67. \$250,000 for The Community Foundation of Muncie and Delaware County, Inc., Anderson, Indiana for expansion of its food bank facilities;
68. \$250,000 for the City of Anderson, Indiana for the completion of the Anderson Fiber Network;
69. \$250,000 for the City of Indianapolis, Indiana for the construction of the Holmes Court Housing Development;
70. \$250,000 for the City of Jefferson, Indiana for costs associated with the redevelopment of Spring Street;
71. \$250,000 for the City of Waterloo, Iowa for the acquisition of the Cedar Valley TechWorks Facility;
72. \$200,000 for the City of Fort Dodge, Iowa for the Lincoln Neighborhood Initiative;
73. \$250,000 for the City of Fort Dodge, Iowa for the Lincoln Neighborhood Redevelopment Project;

74. \$250,000 for the City of Storm Lake, Iowa for costs associated with the construction of the Destination Park Interpretative Center;
75. \$250,000 for the City of Bettendorf, Iowa for the River's Edge Redevelopment Project;
76. \$200,000 for the Mid America Housing Partnership in Cedar Rapids, Iowa for the housing trust fund;
77. \$200,000 for the Scott County Housing Council, Davenport, Iowa for the construction and rehabilitation of housing;
78. \$200,000 for the City of Waterloo, Iowa for the Rath Housing Initiative;
79. \$200,000 for Homeward Inc., in Iowa for construction of low income housing;
80. \$250,000 for the Kansas Chapter of National Korean War Veterans Association, Overland Park, Kansas for the construction of a Korean War Memorial;
81. \$500,000 for the City Vision Ministry, Kansas City, Kansas for Rosedale neighborhood affordable housing;
82. \$650,000 for the City of Great Bend, Kansas for construction of an environmental education center;
83. \$1,225,000 for Haskell Indian Nations University in Kansas for the construction of a science center;
84. \$500,000 for the City of Topeka, Kansas for infrastructure construction at the Center Point Commerce Park;
85. \$300,000 for the Veterans Memorial Park of Wichita, Kansas for renovation project;
86. \$200,000 for TLC for Children and Families, Inc. in Olathe, Kansas for the construction of residential, educational, and therapy facilities for homeless teens, foster care youth and parents, and teens in the Juvenile Justice System;
87. \$275,000 for Sedgwick County, Kansas for the construction of the Oaklawn Community Center;
88. \$1,500,000 for the City of Bowling Green, Kentucky, for purchasing equipment for the South Central Kentucky Training and Development Project;
89. \$800,000 for the City of Bowling Green, Kentucky for costs associated with the development of the Lost River Cave Improvement Project;
90. \$250,000 for Paducah Area Community Reuse Organization in Graves County, Kentucky for costs associated with the construction of the PACRO Industrial Park;
91. \$300,000 for the Owen County Industrial Authority, Kentucky for the Owen County Gas Line;
92. \$250,000 for the Edmonson County, Kentucky for costs associated with the construction of the Edmonson Technology and Economic Development Center;
93. \$500,000 for Catholic Charities, Archdiocese of New Orleans, Louisiana for costs associated with construction for the West Bank Senior Services Continuum;
94. \$250,000 for the City of Grand Isle, Louisiana for the construction of a community center;
95. \$250,000 for the City of Jean Lafitte, Louisiana for the construction of a community center and emergency shelter;

96. \$250,000 for Lafourche Parish, Louisiana for the construction of a Seniors Center and recreation development;
97. \$250,000 for the Audubon Nature Institute in New Orleans, Louisiana for facility improvements;
98. \$300,000 for the City of Baton Rouge, Louisiana Recreation Commission for downtown recreation development;
99. \$250,000 for the City of Dequincy, Louisiana for downtown revitalization;
100. \$250,000 for St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana for the construction of a maritime training center;
101. \$300,000 for the City of Baltimore, Maryland, for costs associated with the relocation of the Central Garage;
102. \$250,000 for Associated Catholic Charities, Inc., in Baltimore, Maryland to build a new facility and renovate an existing facility for Our Daily Bread Employment Center and My Sister's Place Women's Center;
103. \$300,000 for St. Ambrose Housing, for purchase and rehabilitation of houses in northeast Baltimore, Maryland;
104. \$400,000 for Baltimore County, Maryland, for the rehabilitation of the Dundalk Community Center;
105. \$400,000 for Baltimore County, Maryland, for the Randallstown Community Center;
106. \$250,000 for the Charles County Economic Development Commission in Maryland for the design of the Energetics Technology Center;
107. \$200,000 for Montgomery County, Maryland for pedestrian enhancements and safety improvements in Long Branch;
108. \$200,000 for Montgomery County, Maryland for Fenton Street Village pedestrian linkages;
109. \$200,000 for Easter Seals, in Silver Spring, Maryland for the construction of the Easter Seal Inter-Generational Center;
110. \$500,000 for Prince Georges' County, Maryland, for the renovation of the Employment and Training Center and the Multicultural Academy;
111. \$500,000 for St. Mary's County, Maryland, for the acquisition and redevelopment of Lexington Manor;
112. \$1,000,000 for the Mandel Center for Nonprofit Organizations in Cleveland, Ohio, to capitalize a scholarship endowment established in memory of Art Naparstek;
113. \$500,000 for the National Council of Negro Women, in Washington, DC, for the construction and renovation of 633 Pennsylvania Avenue, in Northwest, Washington, DC;
114. \$250,000 for the City of Brewer, Maine to acquire and redevelop eight parcels of land on the Penobscot River;
115. \$250,000 for the City of Caribou, Maine to improve and repair a gymnasium and related facilities in the Armory building;
116. \$250,000 for the City of Auburn, Maine to construct the Great Falls Parking Garage;
117. \$250,000 for the People's Regional Opportunity Program [PROP] for the construction of affordable housing units and a neighborhood center in Portland, Maine;

118. \$270,000 for the Attleboro Redevelopment Authority, Massachusetts for the Attleboro Redevelopment Authority Manufacturing Site Remediation and Redevelopment;
119. \$310,000 for the Greater Boston Food Bank, Massachusetts for expansion of its distribution center;
120. \$270,000 for the City of Lawrence, Massachusetts for the demolition and remediation of the Lawrence In-Town Mall building;
121. \$200,000 for the City of Northampton, Massachusetts for the redevelopment of blighted land;
122. \$200,000 for the City of North Adams, Massachusetts for the redevelopment and renovation of the Mohawk Theater;
123. \$300,000 for the A.E. Seaman Mineral Museum in Houghton, Michigan for costs associated with the relocation of the Museum;
124. \$300,000 for the Motown Center in Detroit, Michigan for costs associated with the relocation of the center;
125. \$300,000 for the City of Detroit, Michigan for costs associated with the restoration of the riverfront;
126. \$350,000 for the State Theatre of Bay City/Bay County, Michigan for the restoration of the State Theatre;
127. \$350,000 for the City of Port Huron, Michigan for revitalization;
128. \$250,000 to the Minnesota Housing Finance Agency for supportive housing for homelessness in St. Paul, Minnesota;
129. \$250,000 to the City of St. Paul, Minnesota for rehabilitation needs at the Ames Lake Neighborhood/Phalen Place Apartments;
130. \$700,000 for Neighborhood House in St. Paul, Minnesota for construction of the Paul and Sheila Wellstone Center for Community Building;
131. \$250,000 for the Organization of Liberians in Minnesota in Brooklyn Park for costs associated with the construction of The Liberian Cultural and Community Center;
132. \$1,000,000 for the Area Development Partnership in Hattiesburg, Mississippi for costs associated with the construction of the Hattiesburg Innovation Commercialization Center;
133. \$1,850,000 to Mississippi State University for renovation of the Lloyd-Ricks building in Starkville, Mississippi;
134. \$750,000 to Lafayette County for restoration of the Lafayette County Courthouse in Oxford, Mississippi;
135. \$300,000 to the City of Waynesboro for relocation of the Police Department in Waynesboro, Mississippi;
136. \$300,000 to the City of Brookhaven for renovation of the Fire House in Brookhaven, Mississippi;
137. \$300,000 to the City of Holly Springs for the North Memphis Street Redevelopment project in Holly Springs, Mississippi;
138. \$250,000 to Kemper County for infrastructure improvements in Kemper County, Mississippi;
139. \$200,000 for the City of Booneville, Mississippi for community development;

140. \$250,000 to the Martin Luther King Foundation for the rehabilitation of the community center in Pickens, Mississippi;
141. \$800,000 to the City of Jackson for the remediation and renovation of historic King Edward Hotel in Jackson, Mississippi;
142. \$250,000 to the City of Pascagoula for public library repairs in Pascagoula, Mississippi;
143. \$250,000 to the City of Ellisville for the renovation and construction of the public library in Ellisville, Mississippi;
144. \$250,000 for St. Patrick Center for the Homeless Partnership Center in St. Louis, Missouri for construction;
145. \$250,000 for the Green Hills Regional Planning Commission for construction of renewable energy and rural economic development projects in Putnam County, Missouri;
146. \$250,000 for Joplin Area Chamber of Commerce Foundation in Missouri for the Joseph Newman Business and Technology Innovation Center;
147. \$250,000 for Greene County, Missouri for developing a natural history museum in Springfield, Missouri;
148. \$1,000,000 to St. Charles County Association for Retarded Citizens for Family Support Center construction in St. Charles County, Missouri;
149. \$1,000,000 to the City of St. Joseph, Missouri for construction associated with the St. Joseph Community Riverfront Redevelopment Project;
150. \$1,000,000 to the St. Louis Science Center for visitor center construction in St. Louis, Missouri;
151. \$1,000,000 to the Ozarks Development Corporation to provide infrastructure improvements to a development park in West Plains/Pamona, Missouri;
152. \$1,000,000 to the City of St. Joseph, Missouri for demolition of the Heartland Regional Medical Center;
153. \$1,000,000 to the City of St. Louis, Missouri for construction of a truck entrance at Broadway and St. Louis Avenue, utility relocation, rail track relocation and perimeter fencing;
154. \$500,000 to the Bartley-Decatur Neighborhood Center, Inc. to restore/re-construct home for use as revitalized neighborhood center in Springfield, Missouri;
155. \$500,000 for the Northern Rockies Center for Senior Health, Billings, Montana, for construction of a senior citizens facility;
156. \$700,000 for the Big Sky Economic Development Authority, Billings, Montana, for economic development outreach;
157. \$300,000 for the Great Falls Development Authority, Great Falls, Montana, for economic development outreach;
158. \$350,000 for the Chippewa Cree Tribe, Box Elder, Montana, for a housing construction project;
159. \$300,000 for the Story Mansion, Bozeman, Montana for historical renovations and improvements;
160. \$300,000 for the Rocky Mountain Development Council/PenKay Eagle Manor Renovation, Helena, Montana, for renovations and improvements;

161. \$300,000 for the Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, Missoula, Montana for construction projects;
162. \$300,000 for the City of Billings, Montana West Side planning and development project;
163. \$250,000 for the Billings Child and Family Intervention Center, Billings, Montana for construction projects;
164. \$250,000 for the Montana Technology Enterprise Center in Missoula, Montana for a revolving loan fund;
165. \$250,000 for the Family Service, Inc. of Omaha, Nebraska, for construction of the Sarpy County Family Service Center;
166. \$250,000 for Metropolitan Community College of Omaha, Nebraska, for construction of a Health Careers Center;
167. \$250,000 for the Davey Area Community Center in Davey, Nebraska for costs associated with construction;
168. \$500,000 for the Penacook Tannery in Concord, New Hampshire for restoration;
169. \$500,000 for the Claremont Mill in Claremont, New Hampshire for redevelopment;
170. \$400,000 for the Tilton Riverfront Park in Tilton, New Hampshire for development;
171. \$250,000 for the Old New Hampshire State House Planning Project in Concord, New Hampshire for planning of reconstruction of the first New Hampshire State House;
172. \$450,000 for the New Hampshire Main Street Center in Concord, New Hampshire for the development of downtown areas;
173. \$350,000 for the Souhegan Boys and Girls Club in Milford, New Hampshire for the construction of a new center;
174. \$350,000 for the Manchester Historical Association in Manchester, New Hampshire for the renovation of the Center for Preserving Manchester's History;
175. \$250,000 for the Northern Community Investment Corporation, Colebrook, New Hampshire for rural broadband telecommunications project;
176. \$250,000 for the Tri-County Community Action Program/City of Berlin, New Hampshire, for elimination of blighted and unsafe buildings;
177. \$250,000 for the City of East Orange, New Jersey for construction of a senior center;
178. \$250,000 for the Town of Hammonton, New Jersey for the construction of a community center complex;
179. \$250,000 for La Casa de Don Pedro in Newark, New Jersey for renovations in relation to the Lower Broadway Improvement Zone project;
180. \$250,000 for the City of Woodbine, New Jersey for renovations in relation to the Woodbine Community Center Complex project;
181. \$250,000 for the Borough of Carteret, New Jersey for the construction of an International Trade and Logistics Center;
182. \$250,000 for the South Jersey Economic Development District for economic revitalization in Atlantic, Cape May, Cumberland, and Salem counties;

183. \$400,000 for the Office of the New Mexico State Fire Marshal, Santa Fe, New Mexico, to support improved fire service, training services, infrastructure, and/or information systems in the State of New Mexico and at the New Mexico State Fire Academy in Socorro, New Mexico;

184. \$500,000 for Goodwill Industries of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, for renovation of its headquarters and client training center;

185. \$275,000 for the Village of Tijeras, New Mexico, for purchase of a fire pumper truck to serve the community and Federal installations in the area;

186. \$1,175,000 for Presbyterian Medical Services, Santa Fe, New Mexico, for the construction of Santa Fe County Head Start and Early Head Start facilities;

187. \$400,000 for the City of Clovis Fire Department, New Mexico, for purchase of emergency medical vehicles to serve the community and Federal installations in the area;

188. \$750,000 for the City of Hobbs, New Mexico, for infrastructure associated with the development of the Hobbs Industrial Air Park;

189. \$500,000 for Eastern New Mexico University, Portales, New Mexico, for purchase of telecommunications equipment for its communications program and public radio station KENW;

190. \$250,000 for the Albuquerque Hispano Chamber of Commerce, New Mexico for the expansion of the Barelvas Job Opportunity Center;

191. \$250,000 for the Town of North Hempstead, New York for the New Cassel Revitalization and Redevelopment Project;

192. \$250,000 for the City of Buffalo, New York for the renovation of a building to create housing for the Buffalo Arts Homesteading Program;

193. \$250,000 for The Olana Partnership in Hudson, New York for costs associated with construction;

194. \$250,000 for the City of Poughkeepsie, New York for costs associated with replacing the roof on the Historic Luckey, Platt Building;

195. \$350,000 for Pucho's, Inc., in Buffalo, New York for the construction of a new recreational and educational resource room;

196. \$350,000 for the United Jewish Organizations of Williamsburg, Inc. in Brooklyn, New York for the construction of a new community services building;

197. \$300,000 for the Burchfield Penney Art Center in Buffalo, New York for construction of a new museum;

198. \$800,000 for the City of Las Vegas, Nevada for improvements to a historic building;

199. \$250,000 for the City of Reno, Nevada for the Reno Fourth Street Corridor Enhancements which include but are not limited to streetscape improvements, safety upgrades, and the installation of lighting;

200. \$250,000 for Nevada Partners, Home of the Culinary Training Institute in North Las Vegas, Nevada for the expan-

sion of the Southern Nevada Strategic Vocational Training Center;

201. \$250,000 for the Urban Chamber of Commerce in Las Vegas, Nevada for costs associated with the construction of a multi-use and instructional center;

202. \$250,000 for the North Las Vegas Library District, Nevada for costs associated with the construction of a full service library;

203. \$250,000 for East Las Vegas Community Development Corporation, Nevada for equipment;

204. \$200,000 for Ethel-Willia, Incorporated in Nevada for the Smart Start Child Care Center;

205. \$200,000 for the Town of Pahrump, Nevada for costs associated with the construction of the Pahrump/Nye County Fairground;

206. \$500,000 for the City of Reno/Good Shepherd Clothes Closet Project, Reno, Nevada;

207. \$200,000 for the National Whitewater Center in Charlotte, North Carolina for costs associated with construction;

208. \$200,000 for the Wake County Library Foundation in Raleigh, North Carolina for costs associated with construction;

209. \$200,000 for the Blowing Rock Performing Arts in Blowing Rock, North Carolina for construction;

210. \$250,000 for Ashe County, North Carolina to develop a Business Incubator in the Family Central Complex;

211. \$250,000 for Our Children's Place in Granville County, North Carolina to construct a facility;

212. \$350,000 for the Northwest Ventures Communities Inc., Minot, North Dakota for the construction of the Northwest Career and Technology Center;

213. \$350,000 for the Three Affiliated Tribes Tourism Department, New Town, North Dakota for a cultural interpretive center;

214. \$300,000 for the United Tribes Technical College in Bismarck, North Dakota for the construction of family housing;

215. \$300,000 for the NDSU Research and Technology Park Inc., in Fargo, North Dakota for the Advanced Technology Career Center;

216. \$250,000 for the Minot Area Community Foundation, North Dakota for the Prairie Community Development Center;

217. \$250,000 for the Franklin County Metro Parks, Franklin County, Ohio for the purchase of land in the Darby Creek Watershed;

218. \$250,000 for the Springfield Center City Association, Springfield, Ohio for the construction of a business incubator;

219. \$250,000 for Improved Solutions for Urban Systems, Inc., Dayton, Ohio to create a new model for economic, community and workforce development;

220. \$250,000 for the Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority for the Northwest Ohio Brownfield Restoration Initiative;

221. \$250,000 for the Youngstown Central Area Community Improvement Corporation, Youngstown, Ohio for construc-

tion of the Advanced Technology Incubator for Market Ready Applications;

222. \$250,000 for First Frontier, Inc., Xenia, Ohio for revitalization of the amphitheatre;

223. \$550,000 for Cleveland Playhouse Square, Cleveland, Ohio for IDEA Center;

224. \$450,000 for Development Projects, Inc., Dayton, Ohio for Downtown Dayton Northeast Quadrant;

225. \$300,000 for CAMP, Cleveland, Ohio for Cleveland Manufacturing Technology Complex;

226. \$500,000 for the Standing Bear Native American Foundation, Ponca City, Oklahoma for creation of the Standing Bear Museum and Education Center;

227. \$250,000 to Washington County, Oregon for costs associated with the construction of a homeless shelter;

228. \$450,000 to the Portland Development Commission, Oregon, for the North Macadam affordable housing project;

229. \$250,000 to the City of Gresham, Oregon for costs associated with the construction of a cultural arts center;

230. \$250,000 to the City of Brookings Harbor, Oregon for the redevelopment of the boardwalk;

231. \$500,000 for the City of Portland, Oregon for development of the Portland Streetcar;

232. \$200,000 for the Bean Foundation, Inc. in Bend, Oregon for costs associated with the construction of the Madras Center for Education and Workforce Training;

233. \$200,000 for Brookings Harbor, Oregon for costs associated with the construction of the Brookings Harbor Seafood Processing Plant;

234. \$300,000 for the Urban Redevelopment Authority of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, for the redevelopment of South Side Works;

235. \$300,000 for the City of Scranton, Pennsylvania, for the Cedar Avenue Revitalization;

236. \$300,000 for Bucknell University, Lewisburg, Pennsylvania for the Lewisburg Downtown Theater rehabilitation;

237. \$250,000 for the Allegheny West Foundation, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for the Budd Plant rehabilitation project;

238. \$250,000 for the Indiana County Development Corporation, Indiana, Pennsylvania, for the Indiana Springs development project;

239. \$250,000 for the City of Erie, Pennsylvania, for site preparation and redevelopment of the vacant and blighted Koehler Brewery Building;

240. \$250,000 for the City of Greensburg, Pennsylvania, for construction of a Center for the Arts;

241. \$250,000 for Our City Reading, in Reading, Pennsylvania, for the rehabilitation of abandoned houses and parks to provide quality home ownership opportunities to low-income families;

242. \$250,000 for the Greater Wilkes-Barre Chamber of Business and Industry, in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, for the acquisition and redevelopment of the historic Irem Temple;

243. \$250,000 for the City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, for the rehabilitation and renovation of the Lancaster Central Market;

244. \$250,000 for Eagles Mere Village, Inc., in Eagles Mere, Pennsylvania, for the acquisition and rehabilitation of downtown buildings;

245. \$250,000 for the Allegheny County Department of Community and Economic Development, in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, for the planning, design, and construction of Schenley Plaza;

246. \$250,000 for the Greene County Department of Planning and Development, in Franklin Township, Pennsylvania, for construction of a multi-tenant facility at EverGreene Technology Park;

247. \$200,000 for Universal Community Homes in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for the conversion of land into for-sale units to low- and moderate-income families;

248. \$200,000 for the Borough of Lewistown, Pennsylvania, for the rehabilitation and renovation of the Lewistown Municipal Building;

249. \$200,000 for the Darby Borough Community Development Corporation, in Darby, Pennsylvania, for a Main Street revitalization initiative including acquisition, renovation, and demolition of downtown buildings;

250. \$200,000 for the Chester County Industrial Development Authority, in East Whiteland and Tredyffrin Townships, Pennsylvania, for the redevelopment of the Atwater Brownfields site;

251. \$200,000 for the Inglis Foundation, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for the planning, design, and construction of housing for individuals with disabilities;

252. \$250,000 to the Pawtucket Armory Association in Pawtucket, Rhode Island for renovation of the armory into a performing arts and arts education center;

253. \$250,000 to Westbay Community Action in Warwick, Rhode Island for the purchase and renovation of a building for use as a child care center;

254. \$250,000 to the Providence Neighborhood Investment Program in Providence, Rhode Island for economic revitalization projects in distressed communities;

255. \$250,000 for the Meeting Street National Center of Excellence in Providence, Rhode Island for the construction of a new facility and recreation space;

256. \$250,000 for Rhode Island College in Providence, Rhode Island for the renovation of the former State Home and School;

257. \$250,000 to the Old Slater Mill Association in Pawtucket, Rhode Island for improvements to the exhibitry and the building;

258. \$400,000 for Meeting Street in Providence, Rhode Island for a recreational facility;

259. \$200,000 for the West Warwick Senior Center, Inc. in Rhode Island for the costs associated with construction of affordable housing and community center;

260. \$200,000 for Crossroads Rhode Island in Providence, Rhode Island for building renovations;
261. \$200,000 for the United Methodist Elder Care in East Providence, Rhode Island for fire, life safety, security and communications systems;
262. \$250,000 for City of Anderson, South Carolina for costs associated with the construction of the Murray/Franklin Street Project;
263. \$250,000 for American College of the Building Arts, Charleston, South Carolina for training and skills;
264. \$400,000 for EngenuitySC in Columbia, South Carolina for building renovations and purchasing of technology equipment;
265. \$1,400,000 for the Wakpa Sica Historical Society in Fort Pierre, South Dakota for the Wakpa Sica Reconciliation Center;
266. \$400,000 for the City of Mobridge, South Dakota for the Missouri River riverfront economic development project;
267. \$250,000 for the Sioux Empire Housing Partnership in Sioux Falls, South Dakota for development of low income housing;
268. \$250,000 for City of Sioux Falls, South Dakota for a day care center;
269. \$400,000 for the Sioux Falls Family YMCA, South Dakota for construction of a facility;
270. \$250,000 for Tea, South Dakota for costs associated with construction of a city hall;
271. \$250,000 for the Cheyenne River Youth Project, Eagle Butte, South Dakota for the construction of a teen center;
272. \$400,000 for the Oglala Sioux Tribe in Pine Ridge, South Dakota for the construction of a veterans center;
273. \$400,000 for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe in Eagle Butte, South Dakota for the construction of a veterans center;
274. \$250,000 for the Central States Fair Inc., in Rapid City, South Dakota for infrastructure improvements;
275. \$200,000 for the Cobscook Bay Resource Center in Eastport, Maine to develop a marketing co-operative;
276. \$500,000 for City of Brookings, South Dakota for Growth Partnership Research Park;
277. \$250,000 for Rapid City YMCA, South Dakota for the construction of a teen wellness center;
278. \$500,000 for the City of Sturgis, South Dakota, for the Sturgis Industrial Park;
279. \$250,000 for the Rapid City Arts Council, Rapid City, South Dakota, for the Dahl Arts Center;
280. \$1,600,000 for the Memphis Biotech Foundation in Memphis, Tennessee for planning, design, construction, and equipment associated with the Memphis Biotech Foundation;
281. \$500,000 for the City of Huntingdon, Tennessee for land acquisition;
282. \$500,000 for the Rolling Mill Hill Revitalization Project in Nashville, Tennessee for the revitalization of distressed urban areas;

283. \$500,000 for the Big South Fork Visitors Center, Scott County, Tennessee to develop new visitors facilities;

284. \$250,000 for the Chattanooga Public Housing Authority to support the Economic Self Sufficiency and 21st Century Work Skills program in Chattanooga, Tennessee;

285. \$250,000 for the Native American Indian Association of Tennessee, Nashville, Tennessee for construction of a cultural center;

286. \$250,000 for the Lauderdale County Industrial Park, Lauderdale County, Tennessee for industrial site development;

287. \$250,000 for the Country Music Hall of Fame and Museum, Nashville, Tennessee to support community programs;

288. \$250,000 for the Chattanooga African American Chamber of Commerce, Tennessee to construct the Martin Luther King Business Solutions Center;

289. \$250,000 for the Appalachian Service Project, Johnson City, Tennessee to support the Summer Home Repair Program;

290. \$500,000 for Covenant House Texas in Houston to evaluate the structural and mechanical systems of the current emergency shelter and upgrade the agency's infrastructure;

291. \$400,000 for the Acres Home Economic Development Initiative in Houston, Texas to redevelop the Acres home-community;

292. \$250,000 for the World Congress on Information Technology in Austin, Texas for renovations to the Austin Convention Center;

293. \$200,000 for the Beaumont Downtown Improvement Program in Beaumont, Texas for downtown redevelopment;

294. \$200,000 for the Texas Theater Renovations in Dallas, Texas for renovations to the building;

295. \$250,000 for Caritas of Austin, Texas for the Austin Basic Needs Collaboration Economic Development Initiative;

296. \$200,000 for the Fort Worth Urban Villages Revitalization initiative in Fort Worth, Texas for downtown improvements;

297. \$200,000 for the Houston Freedman's Town African American Archive in Houston, Texas for continued renovations to the Gregory School;

298. \$200,000 for the San Angelo Home Loan Program in San Angelo, Texas to continue helping low and moderate income families with housing needs;

299. \$200,000 for the East Austin Improvements project in Austin, Texas to provide improvements to the Central East Austin neighborhood;

300. \$200,000 for the Denton Downtown Redevelopment project in Denton, Texas for downtown square improvements;

301. \$200,000 for the Plaza Theater Renovations in Laredo, Texas to renovate the Plaza Theater;

302. \$200,000 for the Corpus Christi Downtown Redevelopment in Corpus Christi, Texas to provide streetscape improvements;

303. \$100,000 for the St. Phillips Neighborhood Redevelopment Initiative in Dallas, Texas to provide improvements to the community;

304. \$200,000 for the Vermont Institute of Natural Science, Woodstock, Vermont for the construction of a wildlife rehabilitation facility;

305. \$200,000 for Vermont Housing and Conservation Board for the development of affordable housing in Rutland, Vermont;

306. \$750,000 for the Vermont Center on Emerging Technologies, Burlington, Vermont for development of a technology incubator;

307. \$600,000 for the Preservation Trust of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont for the Village Revitalization Initiative;

308. \$450,000 for the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board, Montpelier, Vermont for development of affordable housing and downtown revitalization in Burlington, Vermont;

309. \$250,000 for the Art Museum of Western Virginia in Roanoke, Virginia for planning and construction of a new museum;

310. \$250,000 for the George C Marshall Foundation in Lexington, Virginia for renovation and repair;

311. \$700,000 for Christopher Newport University Real Estate Foundation, Newport News, Virginia for the Warwick Boulevard Commercial Corridor Redevelopment project;

312. \$500,000 for the Woodrow Wilson Presidential Library, Staunton, Virginia for planning, construction, and renovation of the facility;

313. \$300,000 for Virginia Economic Bridge, Inc., Radford, Virginia for development and operation of programs to address employment and economic development in Southwest Virginia;

314. \$650,000 for Wayne County, Utah for the Wayne County Community Center;

315. \$250,000 for West Jordan, Utah for the West Jordan Pioneer Hall Renovation;

316. \$900,000 for USF Elizabethan Theater, Cedar City, Utah for design and construction of an Elizabethan theater;

317. \$1,000,000 for Brigham City, Utah for the Academy Building Renovation;

318. \$500,000 for Salt Lake City, Utah for renovation of Historic Pioneer Park;

319. \$250,000 for the Boys and Girls Club of South Puget Sound in Tacoma, Washington for costs associated with construction of new community centers;

320. \$250,000 for the SWIFT Cyber Group in Richland, Washington for the SWIFT Initiative I—Elimination of Broadband Gaps;

321. \$200,000 for the Washington Technology Center in Seattle for the Washington Nanotechnology Initiative;

322. \$200,000 for the City of Burien, Washington for the acquisition and redevelopment of the Burien Highline Senior Center;

323. \$500,000 for the Delridge Development Association in Seattle, Washington for renovations of the Old Cooper School;

324. \$500,000 for Yakima Valley Farmworkers Clinic in Toppenish, Washington for costs associated with the construction of Science and Technology Partnership Center;

325. \$300,000 for the Edmonds Public Facilities District in Washington for costs associated with the construction of the Edmonds Center for the Arts;

326. \$300,000 for St. Anne's Children/Family Center in Spokane, Washington for costs associated with construction;

327. \$250,000 for the Northwest Maritime Center in Port Townsend, Washington for construction;

328. \$250,000 for the Washington Public Ports Association in Olympia, Washington for the WPAA Education Foundation;

329. \$1,250,000 for the Raleigh County Commission, West Virginia for further development at the Raleigh County Airport Industrial Park;

330. \$1,250,000 for West Virginia University for the development of a facility to house forensic science research and academic programs;

331. \$1,250,000 for the McDowell County Commission, West Virginia for infrastructure and site development at the Indian Ridge Industrial Park;

332. \$750,000 for the City of Beckley, West Virginia for downtown revitalization;

333. \$300,000 for the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin for the Riverwest Neighborhood Housing Initiative;

334. \$250,000 for the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin for the redevelopment of the Tower Automotive site;

335. \$300,000 for the City of Madison, Wisconsin for the South Madison Redevelopment Project;

336. \$300,000 for the Town of Madison, Wisconsin for the continued work on the Novation Technology Campus;

337. \$300,000 for the City of Kenosha, Wisconsin for the Brass Redevelopment Project;

338. \$250,000 for the Menomonee Valley Partners of Milwaukee, Wisconsin for the redevelopment of a former rail yard;

339. \$250,000 for the City of Manitowoc, Wisconsin for economic development activities;

340. \$300,000 for the West Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission in Eau Claire for technology start ups and expansions;

341. \$250,000 for Riverfront Inc., in La Crosse, Wisconsin for the construction of work centers for the disabled;

342. \$750,000 for the University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming for the construction of the Wyoming Technology Business Center;

343. \$250,000 for the Cottonwood Park Estates, Gillette, Wyoming for the removal of asbestos for senior housing construction;

344. \$100,000 to the City of Gadsden, Alabama for construction of the facility for the New Centurions Substance Abuse Program for Women;

345. \$200,000 to the City of Hanceville, Alabama for construction of the Wallace State Center for Automotive Manufacturing and Plastics;
346. \$200,000 to the City of Rainsville, Alabama for construction of the Rainsville Agricenter;
347. \$150,000 to the City of Guntersville, Alabama for renovation of the Old Rock School Whole Backstage Theater;
348. \$100,000 to the City of Hokes Bluff, Alabama for construction of a Senior Center;
349. \$60,000 to the City of Arab, Alabama for construction of the Lola Boyd Outdoor Education and Wildlife Area facility;
350. \$50,000 to the City of Gordo, Alabama for construction of a public library;
351. \$50,000 to the City of Fayette, Alabama for renovation of the historic old Post Office;
352. \$20,000 to Winston County, Alabama for facilities construction and renovation of the Historic Houston Jail;
353. \$20,000 to Winston County, Alabama for facilities construction and renovation of the Winston County Local Government Record Depository;
354. \$250,000 for the City of Birmingham, Alabama for renovations to the Birmingham Zoo;
355. \$200,000 to the City of Mobile, Alabama for renovations to the Saenger Theater;
356. \$200,000 to Wallace Community College for construction for the Southeast Alabama Nursing Initiative in Dothan, Alabama;
357. \$150,000 to the Chris Hammond Youth Foundation for construction of a youth sports complex in Wedowee, Alabama;
358. \$150,000 to the City of Tuskgee, Alabama for downtown revitalization;
359. \$590,000 for Covenant House in Anchorage, Alaska for capital improvement needs;
360. \$150,000 to North Arkansas College in Harrison, Arkansas for facilities construction of the North Arkansas College Health Sciences Education Center;
361. \$150,000 to Monticello-Drew County in Arkansas for Phase II of the Regional Sports Complex;
362. \$150,000 to the City of Phoenix, Arizona for construction of the Bob Stump Veteran's Museum;
363. \$150,000 for the Marc Center in Mesa, Arizona for construction of the Marc Day Treatment and Training Center;
364. \$250,000 to Patronato Sax Xavier for facilities renovation at Mission San Xavier del Bac in Tucson, Arizona;
365. \$430,000 to the Fox Tucson Theatre Foundation for the preservation of the Fox Tucson Theatre in Tucson, Arizona;
366. \$100,000 to the Town of Springerville, Arizona for renovations to the historic Old Springerville Elementary School;
367. \$250,000 to the Riverside Community College in Riverside, California for facilities construction and renovation improvements;

368. \$200,000 to the Riverside Community College for construction of the School of Nursing in Riverside, California;
369. \$330,000 to HomeAid America for the construction of HomeAid America Temporary homeless shelters in Costa Mesa, California;
370. \$250,000 to the San Diego Food Bank in San Diego, California for facilities improvements;
371. \$850,000 to the City of Lincoln, California for construction and renovation of a Cultural and Business Center;
372. \$100,000 to the Auburn Performing Arts Center for the purchase, demolition and reconstruction of the city's State Theater in adjoining properties in downtown Auburn, California;
373. \$575,000 to the City of Sierra Madre, California for the construction of the Sierra Madre Youth Activity Center;
374. \$100,000 to the Lompoc Boys & Girls Club for facilities renovation of the Lompoc Boys & Girls Clubhouse;
375. \$150,000 to the Thousand Oaks Boys & Girls Club for construction of a new clubhouse on the campus of Colina Middle School in Thousand Oaks, California;
376. \$200,000 to the City of Redding, California for industrial park development at the Stillwater Business Park;
377. \$355,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of East San Diego County for construction of a new clubhouse in Santee, California;
378. \$250,000 to the City of Oceanside, California for construction of a new Senior Center;
379. \$100,000 to the town of Yucca Valley, California for the Civic Center Park;
380. \$150,000 to the City of Twentynine Palms, California for facilities and land acquisition for the Joshua Tree National Park Visitors Center;
381. \$250,000 for the City of Desert Hot Springs, California for the development and construction of the Civic and Community Center;
382. \$250,000 to the City of Banning, California for construction and renovation of the city pool;
383. \$280,000 to the National Orange Show in San Bernardino, California for facilities construction and renovation of the stadium;
384. \$625,000 to the City of Apple Valley, California for construction of the Civic Center Park project;
385. \$250,000 to the City of Lancaster, California for land acquisition for the North Downtown Transit Village Project;
386. \$200,000 to the City of Whittier, California for the expansion and remodeling of the Whittwood Branch Library;
387. \$200,000 to the International Agri-Center in Tulare, California for facilities construction;
388. \$200,000 to the City of Citrus Heights, California for the Auburn Boulevard Commercial Corridor Enhancements;
389. \$225,000 to the City of Livermore, California for facilities construction and renovations for the Tri-Valley Homeownership Clearinghouse;

390. \$250,000 to the North Fork Community Development Council for industrial park development in North Fork, California;
391. \$200,000 to the City of Westminster, California for construction of the Community Cultural and Education Center;
392. \$200,000 to Kern County, California for infrastructure improvements of the Imperial Way Industrial Park;
393. \$280,000 to the City of Bakersfield, California for sidewalks, street furniture and façade improvements;
394. \$475,000 to the University of California for facilities construction and renovation to the Shafter Cotton Research and Extension Center in Shafter, California;
395. \$150,000 to the Bowers Museum of Cultural Art in Santa Ana California for facilities expansion and renovation;
396. \$100,000 to the City of Aurora, Colorado for facilities construction and renovation of the Fitzsimmons Redevelopment Authority;
397. \$100,000 to Jefferson County, Colorado for facilities and construction of an early childhood development center;
398. \$150,000 for the City of Arvada, Colorado for the design phase of the community's arts and humanities center;
399. \$100,000 to the Bent of the River Audubon Center for facilities renovation of the Visitor's Center in Connecticut;
400. \$150,000 for Trinity-On-Main in New Britain, Connecticut for the Trinity-On-Main Arts Education and Community Center for property acquisition and renovation;
401. \$100,000 to Progressive Training Associates in Bridgeport, Connecticut for facilities construction;
402. \$100,000 to the Stamford Center for the Arts for facilities construction of Little Theater & Arts Education Center;
403. \$200,000 to the Stamford Yerwood Center in Stamford, Connecticut for facilities renovation;
404. \$280,000 to the City of Bridgeport, Connecticut for facilities construction and renovation of the Music and Arts Center for Humanity;
405. \$100,000 to the Town of Willington, Connecticut for construction of the Willington Senior Center;
406. \$150,000 to the City of Norwich, Connecticut for the development of Harbor Park;
407. \$100,000 for the Beebe School of Nursing in Lewes, Delaware for facilities construction and renovation;
408. \$150,000 to the National Children's Museum in Washington, DC for facilities construction and relocation costs;
409. \$250,000 for the City of Clearwater, Florida for facilities construction and renovation improvements for the Clearwater Homeless Intervention Project;
410. \$475,000 for Volunteer Jacksonville for the construction of the Volunteer Jacksonville Facility in Jacksonville, Florida;
411. \$280,000 for the South Florida Goodwill for facility renovations in Miami, Florida;
412. \$475,000 to the Centro Mater Head Start Facilities for construction of a new facility in Hialeah, Florida;

413. \$100,000 to Orange County, Florida for expansion of the Marks Street Senior Center;
414. \$625,000 to the Office of Farmworker Ministries in Apopka, Florida for facilities construction;
415. \$150,000 to the Sebring Airport Authority for industrial park development in Sebring, Florida;
416. \$475,000 to the City of Fort Myers, Florida for the restoration of Edison & Ford Winter Estates;
417. \$200,000 to the City of Sarasota, Florida for the Fredd "Glossie" Atkins park expansion;
418. \$150,000 to the City of Ocoee, Florida for facilities construction for a senior citizen veterans services center;
419. \$200,000 to the City of Palatka, Florida for the Palatka Riverfront improvements;
420. \$100,000 to the City of Miami, Florida for the Elderly Assistance Program for facilities construction;
421. \$250,000 to the City of Boca Raton, Florida for sidewalks, street furniture, and façade improvements;
422. \$150,000 to the City of Gainesville, Florida for facilities improvements and upgrades of the Depot Regional Stormwater Park;
423. \$150,000 for Alachua County, Florida for streetscape improvements for the Partners for a Productive Community Enhancement Initiative;
424. \$610,000 to Hubbs/Sea World for facilities construction of a marine and coastal research center in Brevard County, Florida;
425. \$610,000 to Shands/Jacksonville for facilities construction and renovation of an emergency room/trauma center in Jacksonville, Florida;
426. \$610,000 to the Orlando Regional Medical Center for facilities construction and renovation of the Pediatric Trauma Center in Orlando, Florida;
427. \$50,000 to Crosswinds Youth Services for facilities construction of a youth center in Brevard County, Florida;
428. \$200,000 to the City of Largo, Florida for Central Park facilities improvements;
429. \$850,000 to the City of Clearwater, Florida for streetscape improvements for the Beachwalk project;
430. \$250,000 to Pinellas County, Florida for facilities construction and renovation of the Urban League Community Center;
431. \$850,000 to the Salvador Dali Museum in St. Petersburg, Florida for planning, design, and construction of facilities;
432. \$850,000 to the City of Dunedin, Florida for facilities construction and renovation of the city community center;
433. \$100,000 to the National Armed Services and Law Enforcement Memorial Museum, in Dunedin, Florida for facilities construction and renovation;
434. \$850,000 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida for facilities renovation and expansion of the Florida Museum of Fine Arts;

435. \$375,000 to the City of Palm Harbor, Florida for the downtown revitalization project;
436. \$375,000 to the City of Treasure Island, Florida for the community development project;
437. \$475,000 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida for restoration of the Jordan School;
438. \$575,000 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida for the Tangerine Avenue community development project;
439. \$275,000 for the City of Coral Gables, Florida for the Biltmore Complex Restoration Project;
440. \$280,000 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida for Dome Industrial Park facilities renovation and construction;
441. \$280,000 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida for facilities construction and improvements at Bartlett Park;
442. \$250,000 to the City of Tampa, Florida for facilities construction and renovation for the Bay History Center;
443. \$625,000 to Eckerd College in St. Petersburg, Florida for construction of the Youth Opportunity Center;
444. \$280,000 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida for facilities construction and renovation for the Mid-Pinellas Science Center;
445. \$375,000 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida for construction and renovation for the Catholic Charities Mercy House;
446. \$75,000 for Antioch Micro-Enterprise Network in August, Georgia for the Antioch Micro-Enterprise Network's Entrepreneur Training Program;
447. \$100,000 to Cobb County, Georgia for construction of the Marietta, Georgia Senior Center;
448. \$100,000 to the City of Marietta, Georgia for capitalization of the Marietta Growth Fund;
449. \$100,000 to the Local Housing Assistance Program in the city of Smyrna, Georgia for construction;
450. \$75,000 to the Joint Development Authority of Ben Hill and Irvin Counties, Georgia for industrial park development;
451. \$475,000 to the Coastal Heritage Society for construction of the Savannah Battlefield Interpretive Center in Savannah, Georgia;
452. \$250,000 to Cherokee County, Georgia for construction of the Cherokee County Emergency Children's Shelter in Canton;
453. \$100,00 to the Clearwater Economic Development Association, Idaho, for an economic planning study for the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Project;
454. \$475,000 to the City of Blackfoot, Idaho for land acquisition and improvements at the Jensen Grove City Park and Jensen Grove Lake;
455. \$150,000 to Franklin County, Idaho for the moving, renovation, restoration of the Oneida Stake Academy building in Preston, Idaho;
456. \$200,000 to Idaho State University for facilities construction for the L.E. and Thelma E. Stephens Performing Arts Center;

457. \$100,000 to Power County, Idaho for the Fort Hall Reservation/Power County Joint Economic Redevelopment Initiative;

458. \$200,000 to the City of Crest Hill, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of Our Children's Homestead Foster Home Development;

459. \$475,000 to the City of DeKalb, Illinois for industrial park infrastructure improvements;

460. \$330,000 to the Ray Graham Association for People With Disabilities in Downers Grove, Illinois, for capital improvements;

461. \$100,000 to the World War II Black Navy Veterans of Great Lakes Memorial Foundation for the North Chicago Veterans' Memorial in North Chicago, Illinois;

462. \$275,000 to the St. Francis Medical Center in Peoria, Illinois for improvements, including consolidation of ambulatory care;

463. \$275,000 to the Lakeview Regional Museum in Peoria, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of a new building;

464. \$275,000 for PeoriaNEXT in Peoria, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of the Innovation Center business incubator;

465. \$250,000 for Illinois College in Jacksonville, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of Whipple Hall;

466. \$275,000 for Glen Oak Zoo in Peoria, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of a new Africa exhibit;

467. \$100,000 Eureka College, Eureka, IL, for continued construction of Science and Technology Center;

468. \$100,000 Northfield Park District, IL, for facilities renovation and rehabilitation;

469. \$150,000 to the City of Havana, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of the Havana Rural Center;

470. \$100,000 to the Rockford Literary Council for facilities construction in Rockford, Illinois;

471. \$280,000 to the Burpee Museum-Discovery Center museum campus expansion project in Rockford, Illinois;

472. \$375,000 to the City of Joliet, Illinois for the continued restoration of the Rialto Square Theater;

473. \$330,000 to the City of Marion, Indiana for refurbishing the City of Marion Memorial Coliseum;

474. \$100,000 to Madison Township, Indiana for construction of the Madison Township Community Center;

475. \$250,000 to the City of South Bend, Indiana for industrial park development at the Studebaker Corridor;

476. \$250,000 to the South Bend Heritage Foundation in South Bend, Indiana for facilities construction and renovation;

477. \$150,000 to the City of Anderson, Indiana for industrial park development;

478. \$100,000 to the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana for facilities construction for the Hanna-Creighton Community Enhancement Initiative;

479. \$200,000 to the Tri-State University in Angola, Indiana, for facilities construction for the Center for Technology and Online Resources;
480. \$150,000 to the City of Storm Lake, Iowa for the construction of the Storm Lake Destination Park;
481. \$100,000 to the Housing Trust Fund of Johnson County in Iowa City, Iowa, for capitalization of loan funds;
482. \$200,000 to the City of Waterloo, Iowa for industrial park development;
483. \$125,000 to the City of Des Moines, Iowa for land acquisition for a technology park;
484. \$250,000 to Historic Abilene, Inc., in Kansas for the revitalization of New Old Abilene Town;
485. \$100,000 to the City of Ottawa, Kansas for improvements to the Municipal Swimming Pool;
486. \$200,000 to the City of Topeka, Kansas for industrial park development at the Center Point commerce park;
487. \$250,000 to the City of Wichita, Kansas for the renovations at the Veterans Memorial Park;
488. \$330,000 to the City of Wichita, Kansas for facilities construction for the development of the 21st Street Community Development Corporation;
489. \$100,000 to the City of Radcliff, Kentucky for streetscape improvements;
490. \$150,000 to the Trinity Family Life Center of Louisville, Kentucky for facilities construction of a multi-purpose center;
491. \$625,000 to the Louisville Metro Government in Kentucky for the Newburg neighborhood revitalization;
492. \$100,000 to Dream Foundation, Inc. in Louisville, Kentucky for playground construction;
493. \$250,000 to Breathitt County Fiscal Court for the construction of an intergenerational community entertainment center in Jackson, Kentucky;
494. \$250,000 to the Roy F. Collier Community Center for computer hardware, equipment, and furniture needs in Inez, Kentucky;
495. \$200,000 to Metcalfe County Fiscal Court for construction of the Metcalfe County Enrichment Center in Edmon-ton, Kentucky;
496. \$250,000 for the YMCA of Franklin County, Kentucky for facilities construction;
497. \$150,000 to the City of St. Francisville, Louisiana for facilities construction and renovation;
498. \$280,000 to the Biomedical Research Foundation of NW Louisiana for industrial park development in Shreveport, Louisiana;
499. \$250,000 to St. John the Baptist Parish, Louisiana for facilities renovations to the Louisiana War Veterans Home;
500. \$330,000 to Boysville of Michigan for facilities construction and renovations;
501. \$150,000 to Global Enterprises for Water Technology for building acquisition and renovation at Clearwater Plaza in Grand Rapids, Michigan;

502. \$250,000 to the Grand Valley State University for acquisition of a research facility, training and education space for the Annis Water Resource Institute in Muskegon, Michigan;

503. \$475,000 to the Michigan Jewish Institute for facilities construction and renovation at the College Academic Center in West Bloomfield, Michigan;

504. \$100,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of Troy, Michigan for facilities construction and renovation;

505. \$100,000 to the Oakland Livingston Human Service Agency for facilities construction and renovation in Pontiac, Michigan;

506. \$250,000 for Focus: Hope in Detroit, Michigan for the renovation of a new workforce development center;

507. \$200,000 to the City of Durand, Michigan for downtown streetscape improvements;

508. \$100,000 to the St. Cloud Housing & Redevelopment Authority in Minnesota for renovations to Germain Towers;

509. \$150,000 to Scott County, Minnesota for renovation of affordable housing at the Belle Haven Apartment Preservation;

510. \$100,000 to the Cornerstone Advocacy Service in Bloomington, Minnesota for facilities construction;

511. \$100,000 to Laderdale County, Mississippi for facilities construction for Mississippi Scrimber Wood Project;

512. \$100,000 to the LeFleur Lakes Development Foundation in Rankin and Hinds Counties, Mississippi for an economic planning study;

513. \$125,000 to Mississippi State University for Phase II expansion of its Research, Technology and Economic Development Park in Mississippi State, Mississippi;

514. \$330,000 to the City of Holly Springs, Mississippi for the North Memphis Street Redevelopment Revitalization Project;

515. \$150,000 to the Show-Me Aquatics for facilities construction in Saint Charles, Missouri;

516. \$80,000 to Greene County, Missouri for the development of an industrial park;

517. \$250,000 to the City of Springfield, Missouri for the construction of a community multipurpose center;

518. \$475,000 for the Gillioz-Ronald Reagan Theatre in Springfield, Missouri for facilities renovation;

519. \$200,000 for the Missouri Soybean Association for construction of the Missouri Soybean Association's Discovery Research Institute;

520. \$200,000 to the Southeast Missouri State University for construction of the Southeast Missouri State University River Campus in Cape Girardeau, Missouri;

521. \$150,000 to the Missouri Soybean Association for renovations to the New Generation Agribusiness Incubation Center in Kansas City, Missouri;

522. \$200,000 to the Brookfield Industrial Development Authority in Brookfield, Missouri, for industrial park development;

523. \$200,000 to the Montana State University-Northern for facilities equipment and technology upgrades in Havre, Montana;
524. \$375,000 to the Boys and Girls Home of Nebraska for Columbus Hospital renovations;
525. \$200,000 to Nye County, Nevada for facilities renovation of the Pahrump/Nye County Fairgrounds;
526. \$100,000 to the City of Henderson, Nevada for downtown revitalization;
527. \$200,000 to Boulder City, Nevada for the Historic Boulder City Hotel Rehabilitation;
528. \$100,000 to the City of Concord, New Hampshire for facilities restoration and improvements to the Bicentennial Square;
529. \$250,000 to the City of Nashua, New Hampshire for facilities restoration and improvements to Thoreau's Park;
530. \$150,000 to the Currier Art Museum for facilities construction and renovation of the Currier Museum Gallery in Manchester, New Hampshire;
531. \$250,000 for the Girls and Boys Town USA in Newark, New Jersey, Jersey City, New Jersey, Portsmouth, Rhode Island, Las Vegas, Nevada and New Orleans, Louisiana for construction at the national priority projects of Girls and Boys Town USA;
532. \$100,000 to the Children's Specialized Hospital for facility renovations in Mountainside, New Jersey;
533. \$100,000 to the City of Bernardsville, New Jersey for the downtown streetscape project;
534. \$100,000 to the Hunterdon County YMCA for construction of a child care facility in Hunterdon County, New Jersey;
535. \$100,000 to the Town of Dover, New Jersey for an economic development planning study;
536. \$100,000 to the Borough of Somerville in New Jersey for an economic development planning study;
537. \$100,000 to the Borough of Wanaque, New Jersey for improvements to the Haskell Business District Redevelopment;
538. \$175,000 to the Borough of Washington, New Jersey for sidewalks, street furniture and façade improvements;
539. \$250,000 to the City of Mount Holly, New Jersey for facilities construction and renovation to the Mt. Holly Workforce Development & Economic Revitalization Center;
540. \$250,000 to the City of Greater Trenton, New Jersey for the construction of the Greater Trenton YMCA;
541. \$150,000 for the Association de Comerciantes Latinos de Nuevo Mexico in Albuquerque, New Mexico, for facilities construction;
542. \$200,000 to the City of Albuquerque, New Mexico for construction of the Santa Barbara/Martineztown Learning Center;
543. \$25,000 to the Town of Mentz, New York for renovations to the Senior Center;
544. \$50,000 to the City of Auburn, New York for facilities construction and renovation of Willard Chapel;

545. \$50,000 to the United Cerebral Palsy in Utica, New York for development of children's campus in Rome, New York at the Griffiss Business and Technology Park;

546. \$75,000 to the City of Auburn, New York for renovations of the Merry Go Round Playhouse;

547. \$200,000 to the City of Geneva, New York for facilities construction and renovation of the Cornell Agriculture and Food Technology Park;

548. \$280,000 to the City of Utica, New York for facilities construction and renovation of the Science and Technology Center at Utica College;

549. \$280,000 to the City of Utica, New York for facilities construction and renovation of the Stanley Theater Expansion and Modernization Project;

550. \$50,000 to Brooklyn Remembers Inc. for construction of the Brooklyn Remembers Memorial in Brooklyn, New York;

551. \$150,000 to the Saint Vincent Catholic Medical Centers for facilities construction and renovation of a Primary Care Outpatient Center in Stapleton, New York;

552. \$150,000 to Yeled VYalda for construction of the Yeled VYalda Treatment Center for Children with Disabilities in Brooklyn, New York;

553. \$475,000 to the Roberts Wesleyan College in Rochester, New York for construction of a new Library and Information Resource Center;

554. \$250,000 to the Town of Monroe, New York for construction of the Monroe Free Library;

555. \$250,000 to the North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System for facilities construction and renovations to expand the Emergency Department in Bay Shore, New York;

556. \$280,000 to Paul Smith's College in Franklin County, New York for construction of the Joan Weil Student Center;

557. \$50,000 to the Village of Hamilton, New York for industrial park development at the Hamilton Industrial Park;

558. \$50,000 to the Cheektowaga Senior Center in Cheektowaga, New York for facilities improvements;

559. \$268,000 to the Genesee Country Village and Museum in Mumford, New York for facilities improvements;

560. \$250,000 to the Catskill Mountain Foundation for the renovation of the Orpheum Theatre and Sugar Maples Resort in Hunter, New York;

561. \$250,000 to the Warren County Economic Development Corp. in North Creek, New York for facilities construction of the North Creek Ski Bowl;

562. \$475,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for facilities construction and renovations of the Amos Block Redevelopment Project;

563. \$250,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for renovations and streetscape improvements to the ARC of Onondaga facility for developmentally disabled adults;

564. \$250,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for renovations to P.E.A.C.E. Inc. facilities in Central New York;

565. \$75,000 to Onondaga County, New York for the Greater Syracuse Sports Hall of Fame for facilities expansion and renovation;
566. \$375,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for facilities renovations to Syracuse Stage;
567. \$250,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for facilities expansion and renovation of Vera House;
568. \$100,000 to the City of Rochester, New York for planning and expansion of the High Falls Film Festival;
569. \$950,000 to St. John Fisher's College in Rochester, New York for construction of a new School of Pharmacy;
570. \$200,000 to the Town of Penfield, New York for renovations to the Camp Haccamo facilities for the disabled;
571. \$575,000 to the Metropolitan Development Association in Syracuse, New York for the Essential New York Initiative;
572. \$100,000 to the Town of Palmyra, New York for renovations to the Palmyra Community Center;
573. \$75,000 to the Wayne County, New York for planning and marketing of an alternative use strategy for the Savannah Elementary School Building;
574. \$375,000 to the Metropolitan Development Association in Syracuse, New York for construction and renovations of the Electronics Park complex;
575. \$150,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for building renovations and stabilization at the Mizpah Tower facility;
576. \$50,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for facilities construction and renovation to the North Area Athletic & Education Center, Inc.;
577. \$475,000 to the New York State Olympic Regional Development Authority for facilities construction;
578. \$250,000 to the Simon Wiesenthal New York Tolerance Center in New York City for facade restoration improvements;
579. \$475,000 to the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York City for facade restoration improvements;
580. \$500,000 to the National Center for Disabilities Services in Albertson, New York for facilities construction and renovation;
581. \$500,000 to Jazz at Lincoln Center in New York City for facilities construction;
582. \$150,000 to the Natural History Museum of the Adirondacks for construction of a new museum in New York State;
583. \$50,000 to the State University of New York (SUNY) Canton for facilities construction;
584. \$50,000 to the Lewis County General Hospital in Lewis County, New York for facilities construction and modernization;
585. \$475,000 to Brooklyn Public Library in New York for restoration of the central plaza;
586. \$250,000 to the Rivers and Estuaries Center on the Hudson in New York for facilities construction;
587. \$330,000 to Daemon College in Amherst, New York for facilities improvement;

588. \$250,000 to the State University of New York (SUNY) for the SUNY Delhi Center of Excellence in Watershed Applications and Technology-Based Economic Revitalization for facilities construction and equipment;

589. \$150,000 to the Southeastern Center for Contemporary Art for facilities construction and renovation in Winston-Salem, North Carolina;

590. \$200,000 to the Blowing Rock Community Arts Center Foundation in Blowing Rock, North Carolina for construction of the Blowing Rock Performing Arts Center;

591. \$100,000 to the City of Charlotte, North Carolina for facilities construction and renovation at Grier Heights;

592. \$150,000 to the City of Raeford, North Carolina for streetscape improvements;

593. \$150,000 to Scotland County, North Carolina for demolition of the Scotland County Hospital;

594. \$100,000 to the Graveyard of the Atlantic Museum in Hatteras, North Carolina for facilities construction;

595. \$100,000 to Gaston County, North Carolina, for industrial park development for the Gaston County Technology Park Expansion;

596. \$100,000 to Gaston County, North Carolina to establish a revolving loan for investment in downtown Gastonia;

597. \$50,000 for the City of Etowah, North Carolina for the Etowah community park for streetscape improvements;

598. \$475,000 for the Education and Research Consortium at Brevard College in Brevard, North Carolina for science building facilities construction and renovation;

599. \$475,000 for the Education and Research Consortium at Brevard College in Brevard, North Carolina for dormitory facilities construction and renovation;

600. \$475,000 to Brevard, North Carolina for the Brevard Public Library for facilities construction and renovation;

601. \$150,000 to the Victory Videos Ministry in the City of Forest Park, Ohio for the construction of a youth center;

602. \$250,000 to the Westcott House Foundation for facilities construction and renovations to the Westcott House in Springfield, Ohio;

603. \$275,000 to the Lancaster Campus of Ohio University for facilities construction of a Community Event and Conference Center in Lancaster, Ohio;

604. \$100,000 to Fairfield County, Ohio for facilities construction and renovations at the new location for Fairfield Industries;

605. \$50,000 to the Ohio Wesleyan University for facilities construction and renovations in Delaware, Ohio;

606. \$275,000 for the Springfield—Clark County Community Improvement Corporation for land acquisition to expand the Applied Research Technology Park (ARTP);

607. \$200,000 to the City of Willowick, Ohio for site preparation and construction of the Willowick Lakefront Development;

608. \$200,000 to Newbury Township, Ohio for sidewalks, street furniture and facade;

609. \$330,000 to the Hocking Athens Perry Community Action in Glouster, Ohio for renovations to the community center;
610. \$330,000 for the 14th Street Community Center in Portsmouth, Ohio for facilities construction and renovation;
611. \$1,430,000 for the Canton Regional Chamber of Commerce's Foundation in Canton, Ohio for industrial park development;
612. \$257,000 to the City of Columbus, Ohio for construction of the YWCA Family Center;
613. \$250,000 to Development Projects, Inc. for site preparation for the Downtown Dayton Northeast Quadrant in Dayton, Ohio;
614. \$250,000 to the St. Mary's Development Corporation for land acquisition for the Multi-Family Housing Project in Dayton, Ohio;
615. \$150,000 to the City of Moore, Oklahoma for the expansion of Buck Thomas Park;
616. \$250,000 to the Harrah Industrial and Economic Development Authority for industrial park infrastructure development in Harrah, Oklahoma;
617. \$200,000 to the City of Perkins, Oklahoma for development of the Oklahoma Territorial Plaza;
618. \$150,000 to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma for facilities construction and renovation of the Tulsa Hispanic Family Resource Center;
619. \$200,000 to the Southern Oregon Rehabilitation Center for facilities renovations in White City, Oregon;
620. \$150,000 to Gannon University, Erie, Pennsylvania for construction of the Erie Technology Incubator;
621. \$250,000 to the Montgomery County Community College for facilities construction of the Small Business Development & University Transfer Center in Pottstown, Pennsylvania;
622. \$250,000 to ARC of Montgomery County, Pennsylvania for facilities construction of a MARC building;
623. \$200,000 to the Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program of Western Pennsylvania for facilities expansion in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania;
624. \$100,000 for the Westmoreland County Industrial Development Authority for industrial park development in Hempfield Township, Pennsylvania;
625. \$150,000 to Allegheny County Department of Economic Development for site preparation and construction of Clinton Industrial Park in Findlay Township, Pennsylvania;
626. \$100,000 to the Punxsutawney Weather Museum for improvements in Punxsutawney, Pennsylvania;
627. \$100,000 to the Brookville YMCA in Brookville, Pennsylvania for facilities renovations;
628. \$150,000 to Tabor Community Services in Lancaster, Pennsylvania for property acquisition and renovation;
629. \$150,000 to the York Street Center and Stillmeadow Child Care Center in York, Pennsylvania for facilities renovations;

630. \$200,000 to Sayre Borough, Pennsylvania for renovation of the Enterprise Center;
631. \$200,000 to Trehab Center in Montrose, Pennsylvania for facilities construction;
632. \$70,000 for the Morrison's Cove Memorial Park Recreation Center in Blair County, Pennsylvania for facilities improvements;
633. \$100,000 for the Penn's Woods Council, Boy Scouts of America for camp upgrades in Tyrone, Pennsylvania;
634. \$105,000 for Indiana University of Pennsylvania for construction of a Regional Development Complex in Indiana, Pennsylvania;
635. \$25,000 for improvements to Nitterhouse Community Park in Chambersburg, Pennsylvania;
636. \$250,000 to the University Technology Park in Chester, Pennsylvania to develop parking facilities for its first and second phase buildings;
637. \$200,000 to the South Carolina School for the Deaf and Blind for renovations of a dormitory building in Spartanburg, South Carolina;
638. \$100,000 to the City of Columbia, South Carolina for capitalization of the Enterprise Revolving Loan Fund;
639. \$100,000 to the City of Columbia, South Carolina for industrial park development;
640. \$100,000 to the City of Williamson County, Tennessee for the planning and improvements for the Cool Springs Life Sciences Center;
641. \$250,000 to East Tennessee Historical Society for construction of the East Tennessee History Center in Knoxville, Tennessee;
642. \$475,000 to the East Tennessee Veterans Memorial Association for construction of an East Tennessee Veterans Memorial in Knoxville, Tennessee;
643. \$100,000 to the Second Harvest Food Bank of Northeast Tennessee for facilities renovations;
644. \$100,000 to the Oak Ridge Center for Entrepreneurial Growth in Knoxville, Tennessee to expand successful business counseling programs and to prepare new technology companies to qualify for financing at various stages of their development;
645. \$250,000 to Hamilton County, Tennessee for facilities construction for a Center for Entrepreneurial Growth Incubator;
646. \$250,000 to the City of Arlington, Texas for facilities construction and land acquisition and including up to \$100,000 for an economic development planning study;
647. \$250,000 to the City of Arlington, Texas for facilities construction and renovation of the Central Arlington Housing Development Corporation;
648. \$950,000 for Texas A&M International University for facility improvements in the City of Laredo, Texas;
649. \$200,000 to the City of Houston, Texas for the Super Block renovations;
650. \$725,000 to the City of Fort Worth, Texas for construction of the Trinity River Vision project;

651. \$150,000 to the City of Leonard, Texas for streetscape infrastructure including sidewalks projects;
652. \$200,000 to the Audie Murphy/American Cotton Museum in Greenville, Texas for facilities construction and renovation;
653. \$100,000 to Breedlove Dehydrated Foods in Lubbock, Texas for facilities expansion;
654. \$100,000 to the South Plains Food Bank in Lubbock, Texas for facilities upgrades;
655. \$100,000 to the Science Spectrum Museum in Lubbock, Texas for facilities upgrades;
656. \$250,000 to the City of Dallas, Texas for renovation to the Texas Theatre;
657. \$100,000 for the World Congress on Information Technology in Austin, Texas for facilities construction and renovation of the International Center;
658. \$150,000 to Brigham City, Utah for facilities construction and renovation of the Box Elder Dance Academy;
659. \$150,000 for the Virginia Holocaust Museum in Richmond, Virginia for facilities renovation;
660. \$475,000 to the Virginia Performing Arts Foundation for construction of the Virginia Performing Arts Foundation Education Center in Richmond, Virginia;
661. \$150,000 to the Mary Washington College Foundation for facilities construction and renovation of the Maury Center Project;
662. \$200,000 to the City of Fairfax, Virginia for the City of Fairfax Downtown Redevelopment Project;
663. \$250,000 to the Lutheran Housing Services, Inc. in Burke, Virginia for facilities construction;
664. \$100,000 to the Town of Smithfield, Virginia for the Smithfield Downtown Revitalization Project;
665. \$100,000 for the Franklin County Library in Rocky Mount, Virginia for facilities renovation and equipment replacement;
666. \$100,000 for Piedmont Arts Association for technology improvements in Martinsville, Virginia;
667. \$150,000 to the Town of Appomattox, Virginia for facilities construction of an African-American cultural and heritage museum at the Carver-Price building;
668. \$100,000 for the Town of South Boston, Virginia for renovations and creation of a community arts center at the Prizery;
669. \$125,000 for the City of Moneta, Virginia for facilities construction and renovation of an art, education and community outreach center;
670. \$150,000 to Kenbridge, Virginia for facilities and construction at the Kenbridge Town Center;
671. \$75,000 to the Town of Boydton, Virginia for revitalization efforts of the central business district;
672. \$99,000 for Patrick Henry Community College in Henry County, Virginia for equipment to train students in the motorsports industry;

673. \$51,000 for the Robert E. Lee Community Center in Chase City, Virginia to assist with renovations to the Robert E. Lee Auditorium;
674. \$75,000 to the City of Big Island, Virginia for the Sedalia Center restoration;
675. \$475,000 to the Total Action Against Poverty to restore and revitalize the Dumas Center for Artistic and Cultural Development in downtown Roanoke, Virginia;
676. \$75,000 to the Transitional Housing Program, Inc. in the city of Front Royal, Virginia for construction for a transitional housing program;
677. \$100,000 to Loudon Cares in Leesburg, Virginia for facilities construction and renovation;
678. \$250,000 for the Good Shephard Alliance in Leesburg, Virginia to build a homeless and poverty center;
679. \$250,000 to Dodona Manor in Leesburg, Virginia for construction and renovation;
680. \$475,000 to the Museum of Shenandoah Valley in Winchester, Virginia for facilities construction;
681. \$200,000 for facilities expansion and renovation of the Virginia Historical Society;
682. \$280,000 to the Walter Clore Wine and Culinary Center in Prosser, Washington for facilities construction;
683. \$100,000 for the Spokane Symphony for renovations to the Fox Theater in Spokane, Washington;
684. \$100,000 to the Business and Industrial Development Corporation for economic development planning study related to the DOW Technology Park;
685. \$50,000 to the Business and Industrial Development Corporation for laboratory and office renovations;
686. \$130,000 to the Fremont County Association of Governments for improvements to the Fremont County War Memorial;
687. \$70,000 to the Paper Industry International Hall of Fame in Appleton, Wisconsin for facilities construction and renovation;
688. \$100,000 to the City of Green Bay, Wisconsin for the National Railway Museum for exhibits;
689. \$250,000 to the City of Cedarburg, Wisconsin for the Cedarburg Site revitalization project;
690. \$48,500 to the City of Decatur, Alabama for improvements to Delano Park;
691. \$48,500 to the Muscle Shoals Regional Center at the University of North Alabama for a feasibility study;
692. \$48,500 to the Morgan County Child Advocacy Center in Decatur, Alabama for facilities construction and renovation;
693. \$48,500 to the Bankhead Educational Foundation, Inc. for facilities planning and construction in Lawrence County, Alabama;
694. \$72,750 to Parents and Children Together in Decatur, Alabama for facilities construction, renovation, and upgrades to its center;
695. \$72,750 to the Princess Theatre Center for Performing Arts in Decatur, Alabama for facilities renovations;

696. \$97,000 to the Madison County Commission in Alabama for countywide planning;
697. \$97,000 to Athens State University for facilities renovation of McCandless Hall;
698. \$97,000 to the 1856 Memphis and Charleston Railroad Freight Depot in Huntsville, Alabama, for repairs and renovations;
699. \$97,000 to the Huntsville Museum of Art in Alabama for facilities upgrades;
700. \$97,000 to the Northwest Alabama Children's Advocacy Center for facilities improvements, expansions, and upgrades;
701. \$121,250 to the Huntsville-Madison County Botanical Gardens in Alabama for improvements to facilities;
702. \$121,250 to the Helen Keller Birthplace Foundation for restoration of Ivy Green in Tuscumbia, Alabama;
703. \$72,750 to the City of Hueytown, Alabama for construction of the Hueytown Community Center;
704. \$97,000 to the Old Independence Regional Museum in Arkansas for facilities renovation;
705. \$194,000 to the Arkansas State University for facilities construction and renovation of the Vada Sheid Community Development Center in Mountain Home, Arkansas;
706. \$72,750 to the City of Prescott, Arkansas for construction of a public swimming pool;
707. \$121,250 to the City of Conway, Arkansas for sidewalks, street furniture, and facade improvements the Conway Redevelopment project;
708. \$72,750 to the Dunbar Coalition for the Dunbar Project in Tucson, Arizona for playground equipment, restoration of the school ramada, and renovation of the auditorium;
709. \$72,750 to the Buillion Plaza Museum Association in Miami, Arizona for renovation of the museum building;
710. \$97,000 to the Boys and Girls Clubs of Metro Phoenix for a new facility for the Glendale Boys & Girls Club in Phoenix, Arizona;
711. \$242,500 to Chicanos Por La Causa for land acquisition at the Buckeye Road Site Development in Phoenix, Arizona;
712. \$72,750 to the City of San Bernardino, California for expansion of its senior center;
713. \$48,500 to the Optimist Youth Homes and Family Services in Highland Park, Los Angeles, California for facilities construction;
714. \$121,250 to the City of Los Angeles, California for rehabilitation of the Echo Park Boathouse;
715. \$97,000 to the Sylmar Recreation and Park Center in Sylmar, California for facilities construction and renovation;
716. \$97,000 to the Valley Economic Development Center in Pacoima, California for facilities construction of the Pacoima Community Development Federal Credit Union;
717. \$121,250 to the City of Santa Barbara, California for construction and restoration associated with the Arroyo Burro Beach Park;

718. \$72,750 to the City of Stockton, California for renovation of the El Dorado Teen Center;

719. \$72,750 to the Vietnam Veterans of San Diego for the construction of a new homeless shelter in San Diego, California;

720. \$72,750 to the City of Fresno, California for improvements in the Southern Fresno Industrial Park;

721. \$169,750 to the City of Palo Alto, California for restoration of the Palo Alto Children's Library;

722. \$291,000 to the Second Harvest Food Bank in Santa Cruz and San Benito Counties, California for facilities construction and renovations;

723. \$97,000 to the County of Imperial, California for project planning of the Imperial County Eco Park;

724. \$72,750 to the City of Los Angeles, California for land acquisition and development of the East Wilmington Park;

725. \$72,750 to the City of San Jose, California for renovations and upgrades to a shopping district;

726. \$121,250 to the County of Alameda Public Works Agency for sidewalks improvements in Cherryland and Ashland, California;

727. \$97,000 to the City of San Jose, California for construction of a multipurpose community center;

728. \$291,000 to the Sacramento Area Regional Technology Alliance for an economic development planning study and facility construction and renovation;

729. \$121,250 to the City of Long Beach, California for renovation and expansion of the Museum of Latin American Art;

730. \$72,750 to the South Montebello Irrigation District in Montebello, California for construction of a community center;

731. \$291,000 to the International Museum of Women in San Francisco, California for rehabilitation and buildout;

732. \$388,000 to the Filipino Cultural Center in San Francisco, California for construction and buildout;

733. \$72,750 to the El Proyecto Pastoral for construction of a pre-school center in Los Angeles, California;

734. \$121,250 to the East Los Angeles Community Corporation for renovation of office space in Boyle Heights, Los Angeles, California;

735. \$121,250 to the El Pueblo de Los Angeles Historic Park for restoration of a mural in Los Angeles, California;

736. \$97,000 to the City of Anaheim, California for the reconstruction and lighting of the Magnolia High School athletic fields;

737. \$72,750 to the City of Burbank, California for construction of the Ovrom Recreation Center and Community Day School;

738. \$72,750 to the City of Porter Ranch, California for facility expansion of the North Valley YMCA;

739. \$97,000 to the City of Azusa, California for construction of a health care clinic;

740. \$97,000 to the City of Duarte, California for construction of a new library;

741. \$97,000 to the City of Fremont, California for facilities renovations to the Kidango Rix Child Care Center;
742. \$97,000 to the City of San Leandro, California for the construction of the San Leandro Senior Citizens Center;
743. \$121,250 to the City of Lafayette, California for the construction of a veterans memorial building;
744. \$72,750 to the City of American Canyon, California for construction of the Veterans Memorial Park;
745. \$72,750 to the City of Windsor, California for the rehabilitation of Keiser Park;
746. \$97,000 to the City of Lawndale, California for construction of the Lawndale Senior Center;
747. \$169,750 to the City of Inglewood, California for construction of the Inglewood Senior Center;
748. \$72,750 to the City of Los Angeles, California for renovation of the Barnsdall House and Park;
749. \$266,750 to the City of Santa Monica, California for facilities construction and renovation of the Santa Monica National Mountains Gateway Visitors Center;
750. \$97,000 to the Valley of the Moon Children's Home for construction in Santa Rosa, California;
751. \$97,000 to Center Point, Inc. in Marin County, California for renovation of a treatment facility for youth;
752. \$72,750 to the Denver Department of Human Services for renovations and buildout of a homeless shelter in Denver, Colorado;
753. \$121,250 to the Greater Dwight Development Corporation for construction of the Dwight Community Child Care Center in New Haven, Connecticut;
754. \$145,500 to the City of Derby, Connecticut for the Sterling Opera House renovation;
755. \$194,000 to the Main Street Development Corporation for land acquisition, planning and facilities construction associated with the Naugatuck Valley Economic Growth Initiative in Naugatuck Valley, Connecticut;
756. \$121,250 to the University of Hartford, Connecticut for renovations to the Hartt Performing Arts Center;
757. \$97,000 to Gonzaga High School in Washington, District of Columbia for facilities renovation and construction;
758. \$145,500 to the Tri-County Ag Complex in Altha, Florida for construction of a multipurpose center;
759. \$145,500 to the City of Carrabelle, Florida for construction of a recreation park;
760. \$194,000 to the City of Orlando, Florida for land acquisition in the Parramore Neighborhood;
761. \$72,750 to the City of St. Petersburg, Florida to rehabilitate the Jordan School;
762. \$72,750 to the Urban League of Broward County, Florida for construction of a community building resource center;
763. \$72,750 to Hendry County, Florida for sidewalks, street furniture, and façade improvements at Hendry LaBelle Community Civic Park;

764. \$72,750 to the Miami-Dade County Empowerment Zone Trust for facility construction of the Poinciana Biopharmaceutical Park;

765. \$145,500 to the Office of Farmworker Ministry in Apopka, Florida for facilities construction;

766. \$72,750 to the SOWEGA Council on Aging for construction of senior center in Albany, Georgia;

767. \$72,750 to America's Second Harvest of Georgia for facility buildout in centers;

768. \$72,750 to Lowndes Association Ministries to People (LAMP) for renovation of a multipurpose center in Valdosta, Georgia;

769. \$72,750 to the East Baker Historical Society/21st Century Community Corporation in Georgia for facility repairs;

770. \$97,000 to the City of Plains, Georgia for construction and facilities buildout of the Plains Rural History Resource Center;

771. \$97,000 to Phoebe Putney Memorial Hospital in Dougherty County, Georgia for building renovation;

772. \$97,000 to the National Infantry Foundation in Columbus, Georgia for construction of New National Infantry Museum and Heritage Park;

773. \$97,000 to Morris Brown College in Atlanta, Georgia for renovation of a building;

774. \$97,000 to the Flint River Auditorium Alliance for renovation of an auditorium in Flint River, Georgia;

775. \$97,000 to the Albany Theater in Albany, Georgia for facilities renovations;

776. \$194,000 to the Miller County Development Authority for construction of a sound stage in Colquitt, Georgia;

777. \$145,500 to the Covenant House of Atlanta, Georgia to purchase and construct a new crisis shelter for homeless youth;

778. \$145,500 to Spelman College in Atlanta, Georgia for renovations to Rockefeller Hall;

779. \$121,250 to the Tubman Museum in Macon, Georgia for construction;

780. \$97,000 to the City and County of Honolulu, Hawaii for expansion and renovation of the Makiki Library;

781. \$121,250 to the City of Des Moines, Iowa for land acquisition for a technology park;

782. \$169,750 to the City of Benton, Illinois for construction of a new library;

783. \$242,500 to the Night of Ministry in Chicago, Illinois for rehabilitation and construction of the Night of Ministry Homeless Youth Housing Shelter;

784. \$970,000 to the Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center in Chicago, Illinois for facilities construction;

785. \$72,750 to the Academy for Urban School Leadership for construction of a gymnasium and playing fields in Chicago, Illinois;

786. \$194,000 to the City of Grafton, Illinois for development of the marina and harbor, including construction of sidewalks;

787. \$194,000 to the Western Illinois University for construction of the Quad City Campus in Moline, Illinois;
788. \$194,000 to the Chicago Park District for the Davis Square Park reconstruction in Chicago, Illinois;
789. \$121,250 to The Inner Voice/A Little Bit of Heaven for facility upgrades to homeless shelters on the South Side of Chicago, Illinois;
790. \$169,750 to the Calumet Area Redevelopment Initiative for land acquisition and restoration of the Lake Calumet area;
791. \$339,500 to the Greater Chicago Food Depository for construction of a new foodbank and training facility
792. \$388,000 to the Village of Western Springs, Illinois for land acquisition and construction of a parking lot;
793. \$72,750 to the Chicago Board of Education for construction and renovations for a high school in Chicago, Illinois;
794. \$72,750 to the City of Des Plaines, Illinois for expansion of the Des Plaines Community Senior Center;
795. \$145,500 to the University of Indianapolis for facility expansion in Indianapolis, Indiana;
796. \$266,750 to the City of Whiting, Indiana for renovation of the Whiting Social Center Facility;
797. \$485,000 to the Town of Schererville, Indiana for construction of a recreational facility;
798. \$72,750 to El Centro, Inc. for facilities construction and renovation in a business park;
799. \$121,250 to the Kansas Chapter, National Korean War Veterans' Association for construction of the Korean War Memorial of Overland Park, Kansas;
800. \$121,250 to Morehead State University for construction and expansion of classrooms in Mt. Sterling, Kentucky;
801. \$121,250 to the St. Mary's Women and Infants Center for renovations to its facilities for homeless women and children in Boston, Massachusetts;
802. \$97,000 to the Town of Barnstable, Massachusetts for site preparation, design, sidewalks, street furniture, and façade improvements;
803. \$72,750 to the Town of Dedham, Massachusetts for parks improvements;
804. \$220,500 to the Mystic Valley Development Corporation in Medford, Massachusetts for the development of a technology and research center;
805. \$145,500 to the Malden Immigrant Center in Malden, Massachusetts for facilities construction, upgrades and build-out;
806. \$97,000 to the City of Worcester, Massachusetts for facilities construction and renovation of the Worcester Center for the Performing Arts;
807. \$121,250 to the Lowell, Massachusetts Boys and Girls Club for facility improvements;
808. \$145,500 to the City of Springfield, Massachusetts for construction of the Springfield Public Market;

809. \$169,750 to the American International College in Springfield, Massachusetts for increased classroom space at the Reed Mansion and Breck Hall;

810. \$194,000 to the New England Log Homes Redevelopment for demolition in Great Barrington, Massachusetts;

811. \$485,000 to the Food Bank of Western Massachusetts in Hatfield, Massachusetts for facilities expansion;

812. \$145,500 to Salem State College in Salem, Massachusetts for construction and renovation of its Art Glass Works Facility;

813. \$72,750 to the Enterprise Foundation for a feasibility study in Annapolis, Maryland;

814. \$145,500 to the Baltimore School for the Arts for building upgrades in Baltimore, Maryland;

815. \$60,000 to the Ministers Alliance of Charles County and Vicinity in Waldorf, Maryland for facilities renovation and buildout for a minority business center;

816. \$194,000 to the Town of North Beach, Maryland for construction of a gym and multipurpose room at the Bayside Boys and Girls Club;

817. \$194,000 to the Girl Scout Council of the Nation's Capital for the Girl Scout Camp construction, in Charles and Prince Georges Counties, Maryland;

818. \$242,500 to St. Mary's County for land acquisition and demolishment at the Lexington Manor Northern Parcel in Leonardtown, Maryland;

819. \$72,750 to the Cal Ripken Senior Foundation for construction of a stadium in Aberdeen, Maryland;

820. \$72,750 to Prince Georges County, Maryland for facilities construction and renovation of the Permanent Employment & Training Center and Multicultural Academy;

821. \$121,250 to the Anacostia Watershed Society for facilities renovation of the George Washington House in Prince George's County;

822. \$121,250 to the Gulf of Maine Research Institute for facilities construction and renovation in Portland, Maine;

823. \$97,000 to the City of Lewiston, Maine for renovation of a public theatre;

824. 97,000 to the City of Lewiston, Maine for renovation of the Franco-American Heritage Center;

825. \$121,250 to the City of Greenville, Maine for rehabilitation of the Junction Wharf;

826. \$121,250 to the City of Detroit, Michigan for demolition;

827. \$169,750 to the Arab Community Center for Economic and Social Services in Dearborn, Michigan for construction of a museum;

828. \$72,750 to Genesee County, Michigan for demolition, rehabilitation, and site preparation;

829. \$72,750 to the Tuscola Human Development Commission for construction of an intergenerational day care facility in Caro, Michigan;

830. \$315,250 to the City of Detroit, Michigan for sidewalks, street furniture, and façade improvements to the Detroit RiverWalk, East River Front;

831. \$48,500 to the Cities of Manistique and Charlevoix, Michigan for the Northern Michigan Senior Centers Renovation Project;

832. \$145,500 to Marquette General Hospital in Marquette, Michigan for construction of a trauma and emergency center;

833. \$72,750 to the City of South St. Paul, Minnesota for site preparation at Port Crosby Park;

834. \$97,000 to Leech Lake Tribal College for facilities construction and renovation in Cass Lake, Minnesota;

835. \$145,500 to the City of Royalton, Minnesota for the renovation of a multi-purpose community facility;

836. \$145,500 to the City of Park Rapids, Minnesota for Teamworks for a new industrial park;

837. \$97,000 to the City of Willmar, Minnesota for redevelopment of a closed airport into the City of Willmar Industrial Park;

838. \$97,000 to the City of Canby, Minnesota for construction of the Prairie Farm Preservation Education and Exhibit Center;

839. \$218,250 to the Neighborhood Involvement Program in Minneapolis, Minnesota for rehabilitation of a multipurpose community center;

840. \$339,500 to the Ritz Theater Foundation in Minneapolis, Minnesota for renovations to the Ritz Theater;

841. \$72,750 to the City of St. Louis, Missouri for construction of the Northside Recreation Center;

842. \$97,000 to the City of St. Louis, Missouri for streetscape improvements, façade improvements, and street furniture in the commercial district;

843. \$97,000 to the Lemay Development Corporation in St. Louis, Missouri for land and site acquisition, demolition, streetscape improvements and renovation of St. Louis neighborhoods;

844. \$194,000 to the Missouri Sheriff's Association for construction of an indoor firing range in Jefferson City, Missouri;

845. \$97,000 to Clarke County, Mississippi for development of an industrial park;

846. \$121,250 to Wayne County, Mississippi for development of an industrial park;

847. \$72,750 to the Mississippi Valley State University for a feasibility study of the recreation areas at the Boys and Girls Club facilities in Itta Benna, Mississippi;

848. \$97,000 to the Mississippi Valley State University in Itta Benna, Mississippi for the renovation and expansion of current facilities for the Center for Rural and Small Town Development;

849. \$72,750 to the City of Henderson, North Carolina for facilities construction associated with downtown revitalization;

850. \$97,000 to the Raleigh Area Development Authority in Raleigh, North Carolina for capitalization of a loan fund;

851. \$145,500 to Duplin County, North Carolina for retrofitting and upgrades to the West Park Business Technology Center;

852. \$72,750 to the Wake County Library Foundation for construction of a downtown library in Raleigh, North Carolina;

853. \$72,750 to the Music Maker Relief Foundation Inc. for acquisition, renovation, and buildout of a facility in Orange County, North Carolina.;

854. \$97,000 to the North Carolina Community Development Initiative, Inc. for construction and buildout of a community center in Apex, North Carolina;

855. \$97,000 to Durham County, North Carolina for renovation and buildout of a community health center;

856. \$97,000 to the Center for Community Self-Help in Durham, North Carolina for construction and buildout of a farmer's market facility;

857. \$97,000 to the Summit House, Inc. for construction and buildout of a residential facility for incarcerated mothers and their children in North Carolina;

858. \$145,500 to Triangle Residential Options for Substance Abusers, Inc. for expansion and buildout of substance abuse treatment facilities in Durham, North Carolina;

859. \$145,500 to the Town of Holly Springs, North Carolina for construction and buildout of a performing and cultural arts center;

860. \$145,500 to the Town of Fuquay-Varina, North Carolina for renovation, expansion, and buildout of a community center;

861. \$145,500 to the Town of Apex, North Carolina for renovation, expansion, and buildout of a performing and cultural arts center;

862. \$97,000 to the East Market Street Development Corporation for facility renovations to the old post office site in Greensboro, North Carolina;

863. \$121,250 to the Bennett College Science Center for facilities construction and renovation in Greensboro, North Carolina;

864. \$121,250 to the Three Affiliated Tribes at Fort Berthold, North Dakota for construction of a cultural interpretive center;

865. \$194,000 to the LEAP Academy University Charter High School for facilities construction and renovation in Camden City, New Jersey;

866. \$121,250 to the Township of Franklin in Somerset County, New Jersey for acquisition of a building to be renovated into a museum;

867. \$97,000 to Hudson County Community College for construction of Union City Campus in Union City, New Jersey;

868. \$97,000 to the Jersey City Medical Center in New Jersey for facilities construction and expansion of a heart institute;

869. \$169,750 to the City of Perth Amboy, New Jersey for rehabilitation and construction of the Jewish Renaissance Medical Center;

870. \$145,500 to Monmouth University in West Long Beach, New Jersey for renovation of the Guggenheim Memorial Library;

871. \$97,000 to the County of Essex, New Jersey for expansion of the Essex County Environmental Center in Roseland, New Jersey;

872. \$145,500 to the City of Newark, New Jersey for land acquisition for the University Heights Science Park;

873. \$145,500 to the Newark Museum in Newark, New Jersey for renovation and expansion of an existing facility;

874. \$72,750 to the Fort Lee Senior Center in Fort Lee, New Jersey for expansion;

875. \$72,750 to the Town of Hackensack, New Jersey for streetscape renovation;

876. \$72,750 to Rowan University in Mantua, New Jersey for construction of a technology park;

877. \$97,000 to the Saint Peter's College Campus Community Center in Jersey City, New Jersey for a feasibility study;

878. \$72,750 to Mora County, New Mexico for construction of the David Cargo Public Library;

879. \$97,000 to the Nevada Partners, Inc. for facilities construction and expansion of a training facility in North Las Vegas, Nevada;

880. \$72,750 to the North Shore Child and Family Guidance Center for expansion of a building in Long Island, New York;

881. \$121,250 to the City of Holtsville, New York for facilities construction of the Brookhaven/Patchogue Family YMCA;

882. \$48,500 to Truman High School in the Bronx, New York for facilities renovation;

883. \$72,750 to Elmcors Youth and Adult Activities for construction of an economic development center in Queens, New York;

884. \$145,500 to the City of Mt. Vernon, New York for restoration of an abandoned building into a job training and cultural center;

885. \$72,750 to Johnson City, New York for facilities construction and renovations to the Goodwill Theater;

886. \$121,250 to the City of Kingston, New York for the Ulster Performing Arts Center for renovations, upgrades, and repairs;

887. \$121,250 to the Rural Ulster Preservation Company in Kingston, New York for renovations to the Kirkland Hotel;

888. \$72,750 to the City of Northport, New York for construction of the Northport American Legion facility;

889. \$72,750 to the Village of Dobbs Ferry, New York for streetscape improvements;

890. \$97,000 to the Volunteer Counseling Services of Rockland County, New York for renovations to its building;

891. \$97,000 to the City of Greenburgh, New York for upgrades and renovations to make facilities in Webb Park;

892. \$97,000 to the Village of West Haverstraw, New York for sidewalk improvements;

893. \$145,500 to the Village of Port Chester, New York for construction of a senior center;
894. \$72,750 to the Queens Borough Public Library System for construction of a library in Queens, New York;
895. \$121,250 to the Lower East Side Tenement Museum for facilities construction, renovation and buildout;
896. \$121,250 to the Town of North Hempstead, New York for renovation of blighted properties in New Castle, New York;
897. \$97,000 to the City of Albany, New York for the South End Demolition Project;
898. \$97,000 to the City of Albany, New York for expansion of the Palace Theater stage;
899. \$97,000 to the City of Albany, New York for the Corning Preserve Albany Waterfront Development;
900. \$121,250 to Jamaica Hospital in New York, New York for land acquisition;
901. \$72,750 to the Federation of Italian-American Organizations for expansion and renovation of its community center in Brooklyn, New York;
902. \$97,000 to the City of Brooklyn, New York for construction of a computer lab;
903. \$121,250 to the Brooklyn Public Library for renovation and development of the library's Central Plaza in Brooklyn, New York;
904. \$97,000 to the Aaron Davis Hall, Inc. for restoration and renovation of the hall into a performing arts building in Harlem, New York;
905. \$145,500 to The Armory Foundation for facilities renovation in New York;
906. \$145,500 to the Amigos del Museo del Barrio, Inc. in New York, New York for capital improvements to the Heckscher Building;
907. \$121,250 to the Pregones Theater in Bronx, New York for interior structural renovation work;
908. \$344,000 to the City of New York Department of Parks & Recreation for renovations to the Bath House at Crotona Park;
909. \$585,000 to the Mary Mitchell Family & Youth Center for construction of a multipurpose center in Bronx, New York;
910. \$72,750 to the Town of Tonawanda, New York for repairs to a training facility;
911. \$72,750 to Group 14621 Community Association for renovations to the Pulaski Library in Rochester, New York;
912. \$97,000 to the City of Buffalo, New York for renovations to the Broadway Market;
913. \$194,000 to the Brooklyn Academy of Music Local Development Corporation for design, construction, and streetscape improvements to the District's South Site in Brooklyn, New York;
914. \$169,750 to the Brooklyn Economic Development Corporation to rehabilitate a building for business and economic development activities in Brooklyn, New York;

915. \$72,750 to the Education Center for Russian Jewry in Rego Park, Queens, New York for facilities construction;
916. \$121,250 to the City of Broadview Heights, Ohio for demolition;
917. \$72,750 to Stella Maris, Inc. in Cleveland, Ohio for construction of a community recovery center;
918. \$97,000 to the City of Toledo, Ohio for economic development planning for the Reynolds Road Green Corridor project;
919. \$97,000 to the Lagrange Development Corporation in Toledo, Ohio for construction of a community center;
920. \$242,500 to the City of Toledo, Ohio for building construction and streetscape improvements along Detroit Avenue;
921. \$630,500 to the City of Toledo, Ohio for the Erie Street Market for Facilities reconstruction;
922. \$72,750 to the Board of County Commissioners in Portage County, Ohio for construction of a veteran memorial in Ravenna, Ohio;
923. \$97,000 to Washington State Community College in Marietta, Ohio for construction of a conference center;
924. \$72,750 to the City of Portland, Oregon for the Central City Eastside Streetcar project;
925. \$72,750 to the Oregon Museum of Science and Industry for land acquisition in East Portland, Oregon;
926. \$218,250 to the Port of Brookings Harbor, Oregon for construction of a seafood processing plant;
927. \$72,750 to the City of Salem, Oregon for facility improvements to the Salem Conference Center;
928. \$121,250 to the City of Salem, Oregon for industrial park development at the Mill Creek Industrial and Employment Center;
929. \$121,250 to the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for renovations to the Rock School;
930. \$72,750 to the Bloomfield Preservation and Heritage Society for construction of its Education, Research, and Development Center in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania;
931. \$72,750 to the Breachmenders Mentoring Grants Program in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania for facility renovations and upgrades;
932. \$72,750 to the Neighborhood Centers Association's Childcare/Early Childhood Education Center for facilities construction of a childhood education center in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania;
933. \$72,750 to the Homeless Children Education Fund/Learning Centers' homeless shelters for facility revitalization and renovations in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania;
934. \$72,750 to North Hills Community Outreach in the Borough of Millvale, Pennsylvania for facilities construction and renovation;
935. \$97,000 to the C.C. Mellor Memorial Library in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania for infrastructure repairs;
936. \$48,500 to the American Theater Arts for Youth, Inc. in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for facility enhancements;

937. \$48,500 to the Potters House Mission in West Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for land acquisition;
938. \$48,500 to The Inglis Foundation for facility upgrades in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for enhanced services;
939. \$97,000 to the Pinn Business Development Center in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for building renovations;
940. \$97,000 to the Mann Center for Performing Arts in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for rehabilitation and expansion of the performance hall;
941. \$97,000 to the Greater St. Matthew Community Development Center in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for construction of child development center;
942. \$97,000 to the United Way of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for facility upgrades of the People's Emergency Center West Philadelphia Digital Community Inclusion Project;
943. \$194,000 to the Center in the Park in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for facility enhancements for a senior housing facility;
944. \$416,750 to the Educational Advancement Alliance in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for acquisition or facilities construction of a multipurpose facility;
945. \$97,000 to the Borough of Tremont, Pennsylvania for sidewalks and streetscape lighting;
946. \$218,250 to the City of Harrisburg for capital costs associated with the CorridorOne Regional Rail Program of the Modern Transit Partnership in downtown Harrisburg, Pennsylvania;
947. \$72,750 to the City of Scranton, Pennsylvania for land acquisition, facilities renovation, and demolition;
948. \$145,500 to the City of Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania for land acquisition, facilities renovation, and demolition;
949. \$97,000 to Washington County, Pennsylvania for engineering and design of improvements at the Alta Vista Business Park;
950. \$97,000 to Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania for design, engineering and construction of the Rostraver Veterans Memorial Park;
951. \$121,250 to Armstrong County, Pennsylvania for planning and renovation of buildings for reuse associated with the IUP Kittening Campus Reuse Project;
952. \$121,250 to Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania for acquisition and reuse of a facility in the Lenox Building Rehabilitation project;
953. \$145,500 to the Cambria County, Pennsylvania War Memorial Authority for construction of a stage and sports floor;
954. \$194,000 to the Greene County Community Center for construction of a new community center in Greene County, Pennsylvania;
955. \$242,500 to Armstrong County, Pennsylvania for construction of replacement facilities at the Belmont Complex;
956. \$242,500 to the Waynesburg College Center for Economic Development in Greene County, Pennsylvania for facilities construction and renovations;

957. \$291,000 to Fayette County, Pennsylvania for development of a business park;

958. \$388,000 to Cambria County, Pennsylvania for facility construction and improvements to the Johnstown Regional Technology Complex;

959. \$485,000 to the Winnie Palmer Nature Reserve in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania for facilities construction and development;

960. \$485,000 to Fayette County, Pennsylvania for renovation, revitalization, and improvement associated with the Downtown Uniontown Revitalization Project;

961. \$72,750 to the Please Touch Museum in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for facilities construction;

962. \$48,500 to the World War II Memorial Commission of Rhode Island for the construction of the Rhode Island World War II Memorial;

963. \$121,250 to the Cornerstone Adult Services/Bristol Center for renovation of a mill building in Bristol, Rhode Island;

964. \$145,500 to the City of East Providence, Rhode Island for facilities construction and renovation of the East Providence Senior Center;

965. \$72,750 to the City of West Warwick, Rhode Island for construction of the West Warwick Senior Center;

966. \$242,500 to Salve Regina University in Newport, Rhode Island for facilities renovations;

967. \$145,500 to the Five Rivers Community Development Corporation for the acquisition of land for a community training site in Georgetown County, South Carolina;

968. \$145,500 to the South Sumter Resource Center for facilities construction and renovation in Sumter, South Carolina;

969. \$97,000 to the Lee County Public Library in Lee County, South Carolina for facilities expansion and relocation;

970. \$291,000 to Clinton Junior College in Rock Hill, South Carolina for construction of a new library/classroom facility;

971. \$121,250 to the Cheyenne River Youth Project for construction of a teen center in Eagle Butte, South Dakota;

972. \$72,750 to Fisk University in Nashville, Tennessee for development of a physical facilities master plan;

973. \$121,250 to the Arts Center of Cannon County in Woodbury, Tennessee for construction and renovation of the Cannon County Cultural Tourism Complex;

974. \$72,750 to the Southwest Tennessee Community College for expansion of a biotechnology building in Memphis, Tennessee;

975. \$169,750 to the Arts Center of Cannon County for expansion and construction of the Cannon County Cultural Tourism Complex in Woodbury, Tennessee;

976. \$145,500 to the Lauderdale County Economic Development Board in Ripley, Tennessee for industrial park development at the North Industrial Park;

977. \$97,000 to the NABA International Park for the construction and renovations of its visitor center;

978. \$97,000 to Southwest Key in Austin, Texas for facilities construction;
979. \$97,000 to the City of Killeen, Texas for construction of a senior citizens center;
980. \$436,500 to Quinn Campus, Inc. for construction, renovation and upgrades at Paul Quinn College in Waco, Texas;
981. \$145,500 to Harris County, Texas Precinct 2 for the Harris County Unincorporated Area Revitalization Program in Harris County, Texas to enhance economic development in the area;
982. \$145,500 to the City of Houston, Texas for construction and buildout of the Mason Park Family Center;
983. \$72,750 to Texas A&M University in Kingsville, Texas for facility expansion of the Center for Young Children;
984. \$72,750 to the City of Houston, Texas for the renovation of a school building to house an African-American archive and cultural center;
985. \$121,250 to the City of Houston, Texas for capital improvements to the Guadalupe Plaza Park;
986. \$72,750 to the City of Dallas, Texas for facilities construction and buildout of the Joppa Rodeo;
987. \$121,250 to the City of Dallas, Texas for rehabilitation of the Black Dance Theater;
988. \$169,750 to the Corpus Christi Regional Transportation Authority for the sidewalk improvements in Corpus Christi, Texas;
989. \$121,250 to the Canutillo, Texas Independent School District for construction of a Science and research center;
990. \$97,000 to Salt Lake County, Utah for construction of the East Side Senior Center;
991. \$121,250 to the Utah Shakespearean Festival for architectural and engineering design and construction of a performance facility;
992. \$194,000 to the Dabney S. Lancaster Community College for construction of the Virginia Packaging Applications Center;
993. \$97,000 to the Boys and Girls Club of Alexandria, Virginia for renovation and expansion of its facility;
994. \$97,000 to the Shirlington Incubation Center in Arlington, Virginia for construction of an incubator;
995. \$97,000 to the Arlington Housing Corporation in Arlington County, Virginia for property acquisition, building demolition, and facilities renovation;
996. \$169,750 to the Northern Virginia Urban League for rehabilitation of the Freedom House in Alexandria, Virginia;
997. \$145,500 to the National Park Foundation for site preparation and construction of a New Market Heights memorial and visitor's center in Henrico County, Virginia;
998. \$291,000 to Edgehill Recovery Retreat Center in Winchester, Virginia for facilities construction;
999. \$72,750 to the Central Vermont Council on Aging in Barre, Vermont for construction and rehabilitation of senior centers;

1000. \$72,750 to the Vermont Network Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault in Montpelier, Vermont for construction and rehabilitation of domestic violence shelters;

1001. \$97,000 to the Vermont Employee Ownership Center in Burlington, Vermont for capitalization of a loan fund;

1002. \$72,750 to the Fire Mountain Arts Council for renovation of the Morton Theater in Morton, Washington;

1003. \$72,750 to the City of Hoquiam, Washington for renovation of the Senior Nutrition Center;

1004. \$194,000 to the Port of Bremerton, Washington for expansion of a marina;

1005. \$388,000 to the Town of Port Townsend, Washington for construction on the Northwest Maritime Center;

1006. \$72,750 to the Edmonds Public Facilities District for renovations at the Edmonds Center for the Arts in Edmonds, Washington;

1007. \$121,250 to the City of Bellingham, Washington for renovations to the Mount Baker Theater;

1008. \$72,750 to the Boys and Girls Club of King County, Washington for renovation of the Greenbridge Community Center in White Center;

1009. \$72,750 to the Asian Counseling and Referral Service for construction of a new building in Seattle, Washington;

1010. \$72,750 to the City of Federal Way, Washington for the West Hylebos Wetlands Boardwalk Replacement;

1011. \$169,750 to the City of Beloit, Wisconsin for sidewalks, street furniture, and façade improvements;

1012. \$97,000 to Dakota County Technical College and Chippewa Valley Technical College for construction of the Center of Technology Innovation and Learn Lab in Eau Claire, Wisconsin and Rosemount, Minnesota;

1013. \$242,500 to the Wisconsin Rapids Heart of Wisconsin Chamber of Commerce for a loan fund;

1014. \$940,000 to the Ashwabay Outdoor Education Foundation in Washburn, Wisconsin for acquisition of land;

1015. \$1,000,000 to the Marshfield Clinic for construction of the Melvin R. Laird Center for Applied Sciences;

1016. \$97,000 to Forward Southern West Virginia in Beckley, West Virginia for land acquisition, planning, design and construction;

1017. \$657,000 to the Greenbrier Valley Economic Development Corporation in Lewisburg, West Virginia for facilities construction and buildout;

1018. \$970,000 to the 4-County Economic Development Corporation in Oak Hill, West Virginia for facilities construction;

1019. \$72,750 to the Mountaineer Area Council in Fairmont, West Virginia for facilities construction;

1020. \$72,750 to the Wetzel County 4-H Camp in New Martinsville, West Virginia for facilities renovation and buildout;

1021. \$72,750 to the Grant County Library Commission in Grant County, West Virginia for facilities construction and renovation;

1022. \$97,000 to Alderson-Broaddus College in Philippi, West Virginia for facilities construction, upgrades and build-out;

1023. \$97,000 to the Tyler County Commission in Tyler County, West Virginia for facilities construction and renovations;

1024. \$97,000 to the Monongalia County Schools Foundation, Inc. in West Virginia for construction of recreational facilities;

1025. \$97,000 to the Marion County Commission in Marion County, West Virginia for planning, renovation and construction;

1026. \$97,000 to West Liberty State College in West Liberty, West Virginia for planning and construction;

1027. \$97,000 to Glenville State College in Glenville, West Virginia for planning and design of a science center;

1028. \$97,000 to the Strand Theatre Preservation Society in Moundsville, West Virginia for theatre renovations;

1029. \$242,500 to Wheeling Hospital in Wheeling, West Virginia for facilities upgrades and buildout;

1030. \$1,386,600 to Vandalia Heritage Foundation, Inc. for land acquisition;

1031. \$1,090,900 to the West Virginia High Technology Consortium Foundation, Inc. for facilities construction; and

1032. \$1,940,000 to Glenville State College in Glenville, West Virginia for the construction of a new campus community education center.

—\$42,000,000 for the Neighborhood Initiatives program instead of \$21,735,000 as proposed by the House and \$22,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Modified language is included, similar to language proposed by the House and Senate, to target funds made available under this program. Targeted grants shall be provided as follows:

1. \$250,000 for the reconstruction of Newell Hall at the University of Florida;

2. \$300,000 for Lokahi Pacific in Wailuku, Hawaii for costs associated with the construction of the Blue Hawaii Building Projects and the Wailuku Small Business Center;

3. \$200,000 for the Patriot's Gateway Center in Rockford, Illinois for continuation of programs and neighborhood revitalization in Rockford;

4. \$500,000 for the City of Terre Haute, Indiana for the Terre Haute Business Incubator;

5. \$500,000 for the Iowa Department of Economic Development for the enhancement of regional economic development capabilities;

6. \$300,000 for the City of Council Bluffs, Iowa for downtown revitalization;

7. \$500,000 for Catholic Housing of Wyandotte County, Kansas, Inc. for construction of low-cost housing and economic development activities as part of the Bethany Redevelopment Project in Wyandotte County, Kansas;

8. \$1,000,000 for the Casey County Fiscal Court, Kentucky for the Central Kentucky Agriculture and Exposition Center in Casey County, Kentucky;
9. \$1,000,000 for East Baltimore Development Inc., in Baltimore, Maryland for redevelopment activities in East Baltimore;
10. \$1,300,000 for the Denali Commission for economic development in remote Native and rural villages in Alaska;
11. \$300,000 for the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority, Massachusetts for the Kendall Square Renewal Area Project;
12. \$300,000 for the Detroit Riverfront Conservancy, Michigan for costs associated with the restoration of Detroit riverfront west;
13. \$1,000,000 to the B.B. King Museum Foundation for the B.B King Museum in Indianola, Mississippi;
14. \$300,000 to Mississippi State University for the Capacity Development Initiative in Starkville, Mississippi;
15. \$250,000 to Jackson State University for the Lynch Street Development Corridor Redevelopment in Jackson, Mississippi;
16. \$250,000 to the City of Grenada for the Taylor Hall renovation in Grenada, Mississippi;
17. \$350,000 for the LeFleur Lakes Development Foundation for an Economic Development Plan in Rankin and Hinds County, Mississippi;
18. \$350,000 to Lincoln County for the restoration of the Boys & Girls Club in Lincoln County, Mississippi;
19. \$5,000,000 for the Grace Hill Neighborhood Health Centers, Inc. shall be spent on primary prevention activities with no less than \$4,000,000 spent on remediation and abatement activities of housing in St. Louis, Missouri;
20. \$500,000 to the Urban League of Kansas City, Missouri for programs to support at-risk youth in the urban core of Kansas City;
21. \$260,000 to the Central Missouri Food Bank in Columbia, Missouri for capital campaign project;
22. \$90,000 to the Special Learning Center in Jefferson City, Missouri for staffing, training, equipment, supplies and renovations;
23. \$50,000 to the Children's Therapy and Early Education School in Mexico, Missouri for an indoor exercise and gym area and to provide location for occupational and physical therapy for children with developmental delays and special needs;
24. \$250,000 for the Urban Institute in Washington, DC for HOPE VI related research activities;
25. \$1,000,000 for the Georgia Museum of Art in Athens, Georgia for completion of phase II;
26. \$250,000 for the City of North Las Vegas, Nevada for a neighborhood beautification project;
27. \$500,000 for the City of Rugby, North Dakota to continue work on information technology and energy projects;
28. \$400,000 for Charleston Housing Trust Incorporated in South Carolina for the development of affordable housing;

29. \$300,000 for Mercy Housing, Inc. for improvements to rural housing in Yakima, Washington; and
30. \$1,000,000 for the Girl Scouts of the USA for youth development initiatives in public housing;
31. \$1,000,000 for Shepherd University in Shepherdstown, West Virginia for construction, related activities, and programs at the Scarborough Library;
32. \$500,000 for the Boys and Girls Clubs of America to establish programs for youth living in Public and Indian housing communities;
33. \$800,000 to Swope Community Builders in Kansas City, Missouri for the redevelopment of the Brush Creek Neighborhood;
34. \$100,000 to Tri-State University in Angola, Indiana for facilities construction and renovation of the Center for Technology and Online Resources;
35. \$250,000 to Bradley University in Peoria, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of Bradley Hall;
36. \$250,000 to Pathway Services in Jacksonville, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of a respite care facility;
37. \$100,000 to Teen Challenge in Decatur, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation;
38. \$100,000 to Quincy University in Quincy, Illinois for design and construction of a science building;
39. \$100,000 to Tazewell/Woodford Head Start in East Peoria, Illinois for facilities construction and renovation of a new facility;
40. \$100,000 to the City of Peoria, Illinois for Southern Gateway revitalization project;
41. \$275,000 to the First Gethsemane Center for Family Development in Louisville, Kentucky for the purchase of a multi-purpose facility;
42. \$600,000 to Maryhurst, Inc. in Louisville, Kentucky for facilities construction and renovation of a multi-purpose youth activities center;
43. \$675,000 to the YMCA of Greater Louisville, Kentucky for renovations to the Chestnut Street facility;
44. \$200,000 to the Visually Impaired Preschool Services in Louisville, Kentucky for facilities renovation;
45. \$735,000 to the Monroe County Heritage Christian Home for costs associated with construction of the Springdale Farm Demonstration Project located in Ogden, Monroe County, New York;
46. \$500,000 to NYSERNET for optical networking infrastructure;
47. \$550,000 to the Central New York Regional Planning and Development Board for Finger Lakes Open Lands Conservation Project;
48. \$475,000 to the Genesee/Finger Lakes Regional Planning Council for the Finger Lakes Open Lands Conservation Project;
49. \$5,540,000 to the City of Syracuse, New York for the Neighborhood Initiative Program;

50. \$1,000,000 for The Ohio State University in Columbus, Ohio for facilities construction and renovation in the Community Properties of Ohio Initiative.

51. \$2,910,000 to the Institute for Scientific Research for construction related to a high-technology diversification initiative

52. \$2,660,000 to the West Virginia High Technology Consortium Foundation, Inc. for mission purposes and economic development initiatives;

53. \$2,910,000 to the Vandalia Heritage Foundation, Inc. for community and neighborhood revitalization and economic diversification initiatives; and

54. \$970,000 to the City and County of San Francisco for capital; and improvements, upgrades and buildout for a senior homeless facility.

Includes modified language making technical corrections to certain targeted economic development initiative grants funded under this heading in prior appropriations Acts, similar to language proposed by the House and the Senate.

Includes language proposed by the House transferring up to \$3,465,000 to the Working Capital Fund for development of and modifications to information technology systems. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other Departmental information technology needs. The Senate had proposed \$500,000 for this transfer.

Includes language limiting the use of funds provided under this heading for planning, management and administration to not more than 20 percent of the funds provided except for amounts provided for certain activities as proposed by the House and the Senate.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LOAN GUARANTEES PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$7,000,000 for costs associated with section 108 loan guarantees, including administrative costs, to subsidize a total loan principal of up to \$275,000,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed \$7,325,000 for this account.

BROWNFIELDS REDEVELOPMENT

Appropriates \$24,000,000 for brownfields redevelopment as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed \$25,000,000 for this account.

HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates a total of \$1,915,000,000 for this account, instead of \$1,920,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,050,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$1,865,000,000 for the HOME Investment Partnerships program, instead of \$1,835,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,000,000,000 as

proposed by the Senate. Within this account, funds are allocated as follows:

\$42,000,000 is for housing counseling. The House had proposed \$38,000,000 for housing counseling and the Senate had proposed \$45,000,000;

\$18,000,000 is for technical assistance as proposed by the Senate. The House had proposed \$17,400,000 for technical assistance. Of amounts made available for technical assistance, \$7,500,000 is for qualified non-profit intermediaries to provide technical assistance to Community Housing and Development Organizations (CHDOs) instead of \$7,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$8,000,000 as proposed by the Senate; and

\$2,000,000 as a transfer to the Working Capital Fund as proposed by the House instead of \$500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other Departmental information technology needs.

In addition, the conference agreement includes \$50,000,000 to provide down-payment assistance to low-income families to help them achieve homeownership as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$85,000,000 as proposed by the House.

HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,250,515,000 for homeless assistance grants, instead of \$1,206,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,260,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Language is included designating up to \$11,500,000 for the national homeless data analysis project and for technical assistance as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed \$12,000,000 for these activities. Includes modified language proposed by the House transferring \$2,500,000 to the Working Capital Fund. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other Departmental information technology needs. The Senate had proposed \$500,000 for this transfer.

The conferees reiterate language proposed by the Senate directing HUD to provide flexibility in the types of activities that qualify in meeting the match requirement under the Supportive Housing Program. The conferees also reiterate the direction in the Senate report that HUD is to include 5-year projections for the cost of renewing the permanent housing component of the Supportive Housing Program and Shelter Plus Care grants in its fiscal year 2006 budget justification.

HOUSING PROGRAMS

HOUSING FOR THE ELDERLY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$747,000,000 for this account instead of \$741,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$773,800,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference allocates funds as follows:

—\$650,550,000 for new capital and PRAC contracts;

—\$3,000,000 for one-year renewals of expiring PRAC payments;

—\$50,000,000 for service coordinators and the continuation of congregate services grants. The House had proposed \$48,000,000 for service coordinators and congregate services and the Senate had proposed \$53,000,000;

—up to \$25,000,000 for assisted living conversion grants and emergency capital repairs. The House proposed \$20,000,000 for assisted living conversion grants and emergency repairs, and the Senate proposed \$30,000,000 for assisted living conversion grants, emergency capital repairs, and substantial rehabilitation;

—\$18,000,000 for competitive grants for planning, design and development activities for section 202 projects. The House had proposed \$15,000,000 for these activities and the Senate had proposed \$20,000,000. These funds are to be allocated for project planning, preliminary design, site control activities and other development costs, including gap financing if appropriate, directly related to section 202 projects in order to facilitate timely completion of such projects. The conferees do not intend for these funds to be used for technical assistance but instead expect such funds to be used for start-up costs associated with such projects; and

—\$450,000 for transfer to the Working Capital Fund for information technology activities as proposed by the House instead of \$75,000 as proposed by the Senate. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other Departmental information technology needs.

Bill language is included to clarify language included in the fiscal year 2004 bill which provided for the transfer and merger of all unexpended balances previously appropriated for the section 202 program into the new Housing for the Elderly account.

The conferees reiterate language proposed by the Senate directing the Department to work with the Department of Health and Human Services' Office of Aging to coordinate expertise and resources to strengthen naturally occurring retirement communities, known as "NORCs."

The conferees direct the Department to submit the report required in the statement of managers accompanying H.R. 2673 on the long-term conditions and needs of the section 202 and 236 stock by December 15, 2004. This report was due on August 15, 2004, but to date has not been submitted. The conferees are very concerned about the state of this housing stock and are disappointed that the Department has not only failed to prioritize those repair needs, but has also failed to meet the reporting requirement.

HOUSING FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement recommends a total program level of \$240,000,000 for the section 811 program instead of \$238,000,000 proposed by the House and \$250,000,000 proposed by the Senate.

Includes bill language proposed by the Senate to ensure that housing assistance made available under this account remain available to persons with disabilities upon turnover.

The conference agreement allocates funds as follows:

- \$148,311,000 for new capital grants and PRAC;
- \$50,000,000 for one-year renewal costs of section 811 rental assistance;
- \$2,349,000 for PRAC renewals;
- \$10,000,000 for incremental tenant-based assistance as proposed by the House. The Senate had provided up to 25% of amounts provided, other than amounts for renewal of expiring project-based assistance, for tenant-based rental assistance;
- \$28,890,000 for amendments to tenant-based contracts entered into prior to fiscal year 2004, as proposed by the House; and
- \$450,000 for transfer to the Working Capital Fund as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed \$75,000 for transfer to the Working Capital Fund. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other Departmental information technology needs.

Bill language proposed by the House is included to clarify authority provided in fiscal year 2004 transferring and merging all unexpended balances previously appropriated for the section 811 program to this account.

The conferees reiterate language included in the House report directing HUD to issue program guidance for the Section 811 mainstream program by March 15, 2005, including guidance on (1) targeting rental assistance eligibility criteria; (2) maintaining vouchers exclusively for eligible persons; and (3) retaining a meaningful role for non-profit disability organizations. The Senate report had also included language to ensure that all tenant-based assistance made available under this account is to remain available to persons with disabilities upon turnover.

FLEXIBLE SUBSIDY FUND

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Includes language permanently transferring excess rental charges to this fund as proposed by the Senate. The House included similar language.

MANUFACTURED HOUSING FEES TRUST FUND

Appropriates up to \$13,000,000 for authorized activities from fees collected in the Fund as proposed by the House and Senate.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION

MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Establishes an \$185,000,000,000 limitation on commitments to guarantee single-family loans during fiscal year 2005 as proposed

by the House and the Senate. Retains language applying this limitation to commitments to guarantee loans as proposed by the House. The Senate applied this limitation to loan guarantees.

Establishes a \$50,000,000 limitation on direct loans to non-profits and governmental entities in connection with the sale of HUD-owned single-family properties as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Appropriates \$356,906,000 for administrative expenses, of which \$352,906,000 is for transfer to the salaries and expenses account and not to exceed \$4,000,000 is for transfer to the Office of Inspector General. The House proposed \$356,882,000 including transfers of \$352,906,000 and \$3,976,000, and the Senate proposed \$366,000,000 including transfers of \$362,000,000 and \$4,000,000.

Appropriates \$78,000,000 for administrative contract expenses, of which \$15,000,000 is for information technology systems. The House proposed \$78,000,000 including no less than \$15,000,000 for information technology systems and the Senate proposed \$70,002,000 including no less than \$7,002,000 for such systems. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other departmental information technology needs.

Language is also included allowing up to an additional \$30,000,000 to be made available for such expenses in certain circumstances as proposed by both the House and Senate.

GENERAL AND SPECIAL RISK PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Establishes a \$35,000,000,000 limitation on multifamily and specialized loan guarantees during fiscal year 2005 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Appropriates \$10,000,000 for subsidy costs to support certain multifamily and special purpose loan guarantee programs as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Appropriates \$227,767,000 for administrative expenses, of which \$207,767,000 is for transfer to the salaries and expenses account and not to exceed \$20,000,000 is for transfer to the Office of Inspector General. The House proposed \$227,649,000 including transfers of \$207,767,000 and \$19,882,000, and the Senate proposed \$234,000,000 including transfers of \$214,000,000 and \$20,000,000.

Appropriates \$86,000,000 for administrative contract expenses, of which \$9,600,000 is for information technology systems. The House proposed \$86,000,000 including no less than \$9,600,000 for information technology systems and the Senate proposed \$81,600,000 including no less than \$5,200,000 for such systems. Modified language is included to broaden the uses of these funds to include other departmental information technology needs.

Language is also included allowing up to an additional \$14,400,000 to be made available for such expenses in certain circumstances as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Language is also included elsewhere in this title rescinding \$30,000,000 from prior year unobligated balances of credit subsidy appropriations. Both the House and Senate proposed this rescission.

GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION
 GUARANTEES OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES LOAN GUARANTEE
 PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$10,695,000 for administrative expenses to be transferred to the salaries and expenses account as proposed by the House instead of \$10,986,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

Appropriates \$45,500,000 for research and technology instead of \$45,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$46,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The agreement includes \$7,000,000 for the Partnership for Advancing Technology in Housing (PATH) initiative as proposed by the House, instead of \$7,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have included language which exempts \$3,500,000 of the funds available in the PATH program from the competitive requirements included in the Administrative Provisions under this title. The Senate had proposed to exempt the entire PATH program from this requirement. The conferees expect HUD to undertake an evaluation of the PATH program, including an assessment of the benefits or drawbacks of competitive requirements in this program.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the Senate report denying demonstration authority without prior congressional approval.

FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

FAIR HOUSING ACTIVITIES

Appropriates \$46,500,000 for this account instead of \$46,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$47,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Of this amount, \$26,500,000 is for the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP) and \$20,000,000 is for the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP). The House proposed \$26,500,000 for FHAP and \$19,500,000 for FHIP. The Senate proposed \$27,000,000 for FHAP and \$20,700,000 for FHIP.

OFFICE OF LEAD HAZARD CONTROL

LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION

Appropriates \$168,000,000 for the lead hazard reduction program instead of \$167,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$175,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree to allocate funds as follows:

- \$92,600,000 for the lead-based paint hazard control grant program to provide assistance to State and local governments and Native American tribes for lead-based paint abatement in private low-income housing;
- \$8,000,000 for Operation LEAP;

- \$9,500,000 for technical assistance and support to State and local agencies and private property owners;
- \$9,900,000 for the Healthy Homes Initiative for competitive grants for research, standards development, and education and outreach activities to address lead-based paint poisoning and other housing-related diseases and hazards; and
- \$47,000,000 for an initiative to target lead abatement funds to areas with the highest lead paint abatement needs.

The agreement includes bill language proposed by the House delegating authority and responsibility for performing environmental reviews for lead programs to governmental entities familiar with local environmental conditions.

The agreement includes modified language proposed by the Senate making available \$47,000,000 on a competitive basis to those areas with the highest lead paint abatement needs. The conferees reiterate concerns noted by the Senate regarding the problem of lead risks in housing and direct the Department to carry out the lead demonstration program according to terms specified in the Senate report and in the same manner as fiscal year 2004.

The conferees agree with Senate direction to the Department to continue mold and moisture initiatives within the Healthy Homes program and direct the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations by March 1, 2005 on lessons learned and strategies for disseminating best practices on controlling and preventing household mold and moisture. The report should include a discussion of the unique needs in Native American housing.

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes language requiring funds provided under this heading to be allocated in the manner specified in the joint explanatory statement of the managers accompanying this Act unless the Committees on Appropriations are notified and approve of any changes in the operating plan or through a reprogramming. The object classification distribution, which shall also serve as the basis for operating plan and reprogramming changes, is as follows:

Personal Services	\$912,409,000
Travel and Transportation of Persons	17,031,000
Transportation of Things	548,000
Rent, Communications and Utilities	131,791,000
Printing and Reproduction	2,740,000
Other Services	48,058,000
Supplies and Materials	4,687,000
Furniture and Equipment	2,511,000
Indemnities	225,000

The conference agreement does not include a specific allocation of funding and staffing among offices as was proposed in the House report. The conferees understand that adjustments to these allocations will be necessary to remain within the funds appropriated in

the account and to reflect the latest Resource Allocation and Estimation Process (REAP) studies. The conferees direct the Department to include in its operating plan a proposal to distribute the funds and FTE among offices based on these adjustments. Until the operating plan is approved by the Committees, the Department is to manage its staffing ceilings in accordance with the Corrective Action Plan completed in September, 2004.

Public and Indian Housing Division of Quality Assurance.—The conferees direct that the Department provide no less than 75 FTE to the Section 8 Quality Assurance Division within the Office of Public and Indian Housing.

Operating Plans/Reprogramming Requirements.—The conferees appreciate the need for management flexibility to allocate management and administrative resources or reorganize offices and programs to address changing requirements at the departments and agencies funded in the bill, including HUD. To provide such flexibility, while ensuring appropriate consultation and oversight, all Departments within the Subcommittee's jurisdiction are required to submit operating plans and reprogramming letters and reorganization proposals for Committee approval. The conferees reiterate that HUD is directed to follow the Committees' requirements regarding operating plans, reprogrammings and reorganizations as outlined in the House report. Unless otherwise specified in this Act or the accompanying report, the approved level for any program, project, or activity is that amount detailed for that program, project, or activity in the Department's annual detailed budget justification document. These requirements apply to all funds provided to the Department.

Includes language as proposed by the Senate placing a limitation on the number of GS-14 and GS-15 positions at the Department. The House did not propose similar language.

In lieu of the direction included in the Senate report requiring the Department to submit quarterly travel reports, the conferees direct HUD to report on all travel by Senate-confirmed Presidential Appointees (PAS) and non-career senior executive service personnel located at HUD headquarters beginning from October 1, 2004 through September 1, 2005, including all names, locations and costs. This report shall be submitted to the Senate and House Committees on Appropriations no later than September 15, 2005.

The conferees appreciate the Department's cooperation to make its fiscal year 2005 budget justification submission more useful and informative. The conferees reiterate the direction included in the House report regarding the fiscal year 2006 submission. Language is included again this year under Administrative Provisions requiring the fiscal year 2006 justification materials to be submitted in the traditional structure with sufficient detailed information to satisfy the Committees' needs.

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

Appropriates \$270,000,000 for the Working Capital Fund instead of \$234,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and \$100,000,000 as proposed by the House.

In addition, the conference agreement includes \$51,725,000 in transfers from the following accounts to the Working Capital Fund

as proposed by the House instead of \$23,762,000 as proposed by the Senate:

FHA, Mutual mortgage insurance fund	\$15,000,000
FHA, General and special risk insurance fund	9,600,000
Community development fund	3,465,000
HOME investment partnerships program	2,000,000
Homeless assistance	2,500,000
Public housing capital fund	10,150,000
Native American Indian block grants	\$2,600,000
Tenant-based rental assistance	2,904,000
Project-based rental assistance	2,000,000
Housing for the elderly	450,000
Housing for the disabled	450,000
Interagency Services	306,000
Office of Inspector General	300,000

Modified language is included elsewhere in the Act to broaden the uses of these transferred funds to include departmental information technology needs as well as program specific needs. Language is also included, similar to language proposed by the Senate, to broaden the uses of previously appropriated program transfers to meet departmental information technology requirements. The House did not include similar language. Language is also included under the salaries and expenses account to allow the transfer of up to \$20,000,000 to the Working Capital Fund.

The conferees are concerned that delays in the successful award of a new contract for HUD's department-wide information technology infrastructure has resulted in the Department significantly overspending for outdated technology in fiscal year 2004, has created a potentially significant funding shortfall for fiscal year 2005, and has prohibited the Department from needed modernization to its infrastructure. The conference agreement includes additional funds above the levels proposed in the House and Senate and the budget request to cover a portion of the potential shortfall. The conferees expect the Department to use the funds provided to address its need for a modernized information technology infrastructure in a manner that is fiscally responsible and in the best interest of the Department, the Federal government and the taxpayer. The conferees expect the Department and the Office of Management and Budget to request sufficient funds in their fiscal year 2006 budget request to meet their information technology requirements.

The conferees reiterate the direction included in the House report on continued development and definition of a five-year information technology plan consistent with the format previously provided to the Department and direct such updated plan be submitted no later than February 1, 2005.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$104,000,000 for the Office of Inspector General instead of \$100,858,000 as proposed by the House and \$107,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this amount, \$24,000,000 is provided by transfer from the various funds of the Federal Housing Administration as proposed by the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF FEDERAL HOUSING ENTERPRISE OVERSIGHT
SALARIES AND EXPENSES
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$59,209,000 for the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight (OFHEO) to be derived from collections available in the Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Language proposed by the Senate is included requiring not less than 80 percent of the total amount made available under this heading shall be used only for examination, supervision and capital oversight to ensure that the enterprises are operating in a financially sound manner and comply with statutory capital requirements.

The conferees have not included a Senate provision that holds back \$10,000,000 until the Director is replaced through Senate confirmation. This deletion is not a vote of support; instead, the conferees believe that hiring decisions at this level belong to the President. Nevertheless, the conferees urge the President to take swift action in replacing the Director and his Deputy, both in consideration of the very poor decision making of these individuals over the last few years as well the serious issues raised by the HUD IG's Report of Investigation (Case# SID-04-0034-I).

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING
HOUSING CERTIFICATE FUND
(RESCISSION)

Includes a rescission of \$1,557,000,000 from unobligated balances and recaptures from prior-year appropriations provided under this account or any other account in this title to be effected no later than September 30, 2005 as proposed by the House and in the budget request. The Senate proposed a rescission of \$2,588,172,000 to be effected no later than June 30, 2005.

Includes language proposed by the House making any balances governed by statutory reallocation provisions available for this rescission. The Senate did not include similar language.

Modified language is included, similar to language proposed by the House, to prohibit any recaptures from tenant-based and project-based rental assistance and any project-based rental assistance carryover from being used to augment calendar year 2005 funding for Section 8 vouchers. The Senate proposed language to allow recaptures and carryover to be used to augment 2005 tenant-based rental assistance funding.

Modified language is included, similar to language proposed in the House, allowing recaptures from amounts previously provided for project-based rental assistance to be used for amendments to project-based rental assistance contracts and for contract administrators. The Senate did not include similar language.

The conferees direct that a portion of this rescission be met by reducing public housing agencies' program reserves to no more than one week as assumed in the budget request.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate to require that, to the extent funds are not available from unobligated balances and recaptures in the Housing Certificate Fund, all other accounts, programs, projects and activities in this Act are to be reduced on a proportionate basis except the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Services account. The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the Senate to require that the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to audit of the availability of funds for recapture. The House did not include similar language.

DRUG ELIMINATION GRANTS FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSING

(RESCISSION)

Includes a rescission of \$5,000,000 from prior year unobligated balances remaining in this account as proposed by both the House and Senate.

NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING BLOCK GRANTS

(RESCISSION)

Includes a rescission of \$21,000,000 from prior year balances of credit subsidy appropriations for the title VI loan guarantee program as proposed by both the House and Senate.

INDIAN HOUSING LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(RESCISSION)

Includes a rescission of \$33,000,000 from prior year balances of credit subsidy appropriations for the section 184 loan guarantee program as proposed by both the House and Senate.

HOUSING PROGRAMS

RENTAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE

(RESCISSION)

Includes a rescission of up to \$675,000,000 from unobligated balances previously provided to fund amendment requirements for current State-aided, non-insured rental housing contracts as proposed by the House and Senate and included in the budget request.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION

GENERAL AND SPECIAL RISK PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(RESCISSION)

Includes a rescission of \$30,000,000 from unobligated balances of prior year credit subsidy appropriations for the FHA multifamily and specialized programs as proposed by the House and Senate.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Includes modified language regarding the distribution of certain HOPWA funds, similar to language proposed by the House and the Senate.

Includes language requiring all funds to be awarded competitively except as explicitly provided for otherwise in statute as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed similar language.

Includes language proposed by the House to require the Secretary to continue to provide project-based rental assistance for units in properties that are managed, owned, or disposed of by the Department if such properties are primarily occupied by elderly or disabled families, or contract for new project-based rental assistance with other owners if such properties are not preserved. The Senate proposed language to expand this to require that project-based rental assistance be continued for units in all properties or contract for new project-based rental assistance with other owners if such properties are not preserved.

Includes language as proposed by the Senate to permanently allow the Secretary to maintain and dispose of certain elderly and disabled projects upon foreclosure. The House included similar language.

Includes language proposed by the House setting forth requirements regarding the fiscal year 2006 budget justification submission to the Committees on Appropriations. The Senate did not include similar language.

Includes language proposed by the House requiring that, to the extent practicable, incremental section 8 vouchers previously provided for non-elderly disabled families should continue to be provided to other non-elderly disabled families upon turnover. The Senate included similar language elsewhere in this title.

Includes language as proposed by the House clarifying an equitable title issue in the section 202 program. The Senate did not include similar language.

Includes language proposed by the Senate requiring the Secretary to submit an annual report to the Committees on Appropriations regarding the number of Federally-assisted units under lease and the per unit costs to the Federal government of such units. The House did not include similar language.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate to expand the FHA hospital insurance program to include the purchase of existing facilities. The House did not include similar language. The Government Accountability Office (GAO) is directed to conduct a review of the HUD FHA Hospital and Nursing Home Mortgage Insurance programs by August 15, 2005. The review is to be designed to assess the financial risk of the program to the insurance fund as well as to review the management and design of the program, including the nature of the relationship between HUD and HHS in approving insurance for hospital mortgages.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate to amend section 683(2) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1972 to authorize service coordinators in section 811 projects. The House did not include similar language.

Includes language proposed by the Senate to amend the National Housing Act to make certain changes related to submission of mortgagors financial statements and the criteria and penalties for violations of certain requirements. The House did not include similar language.

Includes language proposed by the Senate to amend the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987 to expand the applicability of certain damages and remedies to include FHA-insured health care facilities. The House did not include similar language.

Includes language as proposed by the Senate to amend the National Housing Act to make certain changes to the Asset Control Area program. The House did not include similar language.

Includes language proposed by the Senate to amend the National Housing Act to make a technical correction to premium requirements for rehabilitation loans. The House did not include similar language.

Includes language proposed by the Senate to limit repayment of certain upfront premiums. The House did not include similar language.

Does not include language under Administrative Provisions as proposed by the Senate related to allocation of funds under the Partnership for Advancing Technology in Housing (PATH) but instead has addressed this matter under the Policy Development and Research account elsewhere in this title. The House did not include similar language.

Does not include language under Administrative Provisions as proposed by the Senate making technical corrections regarding the transfer of funds from the old Housing for Special Populations account, but instead has addressed this matter within the Housing for the Elderly and Housing for Persons with Disabilities accounts as proposed by the House.

Does not include bill language proposed by the Senate to limit the expenditure of housing funds on partisan election activities. The House did not include similar language. The conferees agree that no funds provided to the Department can be used by public housing authorities for partisan political activities.

Includes modified language, similar to language proposed by the Senate, regarding the treatment of athletic scholarships for purposes of determining eligibility for college students to receive subsidized rental assistance. The House did not include similar language.

Includes language as proposed by the Senate regarding the allocation of Native American Housing Block Grant funding among Native Alaskan recipients. The House did not include similar language.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate to allow public housing authorities to convert up to 50 percent of their section 8 voucher funding to project-based assistance. The House did not include similar language.

Does not include language proposed by the Senate to authorize the Secretary to transfer project-based assistance, debt, and income restrictions, notwithstanding current statutory prohibitions on such transfers. The House did not include similar language.

TITLE III—INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$41,100,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$46,100,000 as proposed by the Senate.

In addition, the conference agreement includes a separate appropriation of \$12,000,000 for the Commission's foreign currency fluctuations account to address declines in exchange rates instead of \$9,000,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed to address these changes within the salaries and expenses account.

The conferees concur with the concerns expressed in the Senate report regarding the failure of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to address adequately foreign currency rate fluctuations for the Commission in its original budget submission or through a budget amendment. The conferees are concerned that the current OMB methodology for addressing fluctuations does not adequately address the impact of the volatility of such changes to smaller agencies such as the Commission. Therefore the conferees request that the Government Accountability Office review the past and current methodology used by OMB and the Commission and recommend changes if warranted to improve such estimates.

While the conference agreement has not included bill language proposed by the Senate, the conference agreement includes \$9,100,000 for the Normandy Interpretive Center. The conferees understand that exchange rate fluctuations may impact construction costs for the Center and expect the Commission's fiscal year 2006 budget request to include the necessary funds to accommodate such changes.

Language is included as proposed by the House allowing not to exceed \$7,500 for official reception and representation expenses. The Senate did not include similar language.

The conferees reiterate the direction in the House report regarding an annual report on the financial position of the World War II Memorial Fund.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS ACCOUNT

Appropriates \$12,000,000 to re-capitalize the Commission's foreign currency fluctuations account, instead of \$9,000,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate addressed this matter under the salaries and expenses account. The conference agreement reflects the latest estimate necessary to maintain Commission operations and activities based on current exchange rates.

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$9,100,000 instead of \$9,451,000 as proposed by the House and \$9,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amount proposed by the Senate had included \$400,000 for emergency funds. The conference agreement provides emergency funds as a

separate account as proposed by the House, which is consistent with the fiscal year 2004 bill.

EMERGENCY FUND

Provides \$400,000 for an Emergency Fund as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed a set-aside of \$400,000 as emergency funds in the salaries and expenses account.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS FUND PROGRAM
ACCOUNT

Appropriates \$55,522,000 for the community development financial institutions fund program account, instead of \$55,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and \$60,640,000 as proposed by the House.

Includes \$4,000,000 for technical assistance designed to benefit Native American communities as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Provides that up to \$14,900,000 to be used for administrative expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$15,321,000 as proposed by the House.

Provides for a cost limitation on direct loans of \$6,000,000 with \$250,000 for administrative expenses as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Provides for a limitation on the amount of direct loans of \$11,000,000 as proposed by both the House and Senate.

The conferees are in agreement that the Bank Enterprise Award program should be continued at not less than \$10,000,000 in fiscal year 2005.

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$62,650,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees urge the CPSC to expand its relationship with the Home Safety Council and its Great Safety Adventure Program.

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

The conference agreement appropriates \$577,884,000 for the Corporation for National and Community Service. The House had proposed \$572,000,000 and the Senate had proposed \$590,061,000.

NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS OPERATING EXPENSES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$545,884,000 for the Corporation for National and Community Service operating expenses, including the Trust. The House proposed \$541,000,000 for operating expenses and the Senate proposed \$558,311,000. The agreement provides \$290,000,000 for AmeriCorps State and National grants (author-

ized under subtitle C) and education awards only grants (authorized under subtitle H), plus an additional \$144,000,000 for the Trust, as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed \$291,933,000 for AmeriCorps grants and \$150,500,000 for the Trust. Within amounts provided for the Trust, \$3,900,000 is for President's Freedom Scholarships and \$13,000,000 is to be held in reserve. Within the amount provided for AmeriCorps State and National grants, the conferees have provided up to \$55,000,000 for national direct grants, as proposed by the Senate. The total funding level for AmeriCorps grants and the Trust will support 70,000 new volunteers.

The agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which prohibits funds provided for quality and innovation activities to be used for salaries and related expenses attributable to Corporation employees. The conferees include bill language proposed by the House allowing up to one percent of grant funds to be used to defray the cost of conducting grant reviews. The conferees direct the Corporation to provide an estimate of the funds that would be used for this purpose in its fiscal year 2005 operating plan. The agreement also includes language proposed by the Senate regarding matching funds and in-kind contributions.

The conference agreement includes the following program levels:

	House	Senate	Conference
Learn and Serve	\$40,000,000	\$43,000,000	\$43,000,000
National Civilian Community Corps	25,500,000	26,000,000	25,500,000
Innovation and Demonstration	12,000,000	16,328,000	13,334,000
Evaluation	3,000,000	3,550,000	3,550,000
State Commissions	12,000,000	12,000,000	12,000,000
Points of Light Foundation	9,700,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
America's Promise	4,800,000	5,000,000	4,500,000

The conferees direct that the increase provided for National Civilian Community Corps (NCCC) be used to address its most critical capital improvement needs at NCCC facilities.

The agreement reiterates the direction by the Senate that the Corporation report to the Committees on the impact of the two-term limitation on service. This report is to be submitted to the Committees no later than February 4, 2005.

The conferees provide \$13,334,000 for subtitle H grants, innovation, demonstration and assistance activities, with the funding distribution as follows: \$4,000,000 for challenge grants; \$1,500,000 for next generation grants; \$600,000 for MLK day grants; \$725,000 for the Service Learning Clearinghouse and Exchange; \$2,000,000 for TA; and \$4,509,000 for disability programs. The House proposed \$12,000,000 for subtitle H activities and the Senate proposed \$16,328,000.

The agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate directing the Corporation to comply with challenge grant requirements in the FY 2003 conference report by directing the Corporation also to allow past grant recipients to compete for challenge grants in FY 2005.

The conference agreement provides \$3,550,000 for audits and evaluations, as proposed by the Senate. The House had proposed

\$3,000,000 for these activities. Audit and evaluation funds are to be distributed as follows (identical to the distribution proposed by the Senate): \$1,200,000 for performance measures; \$1,000,000 for a longitudinal study of volunteers; \$100,000 for national partners; \$150,000 for data archives; \$150,000 for indicator archives; \$450,000 for a capacity study; and \$500,000 to continue a National Academy of Public Administration study on the Corporation's leadership, operations and management.

The conferees have included bill language, identical to language that has been included in previous conference reports and proposed by the Senate, regarding Federal costs per participant and certain requirements for funds provided under subtitle C.

The agreement includes Senate language directing the Corporation to list in its budget justification recipients that have received more than \$500,000 and the amount and source of other Federal and non-federal funds received by each recipient.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$26,000,000 for salaries and expenses associated with the administrative activities of the Corporation. The House had proposed \$25,000,000 for this account, and the Senate had proposed \$25,500,000. The funding increase provided in this account is to be directed to ongoing personnel compensation and benefits needs and should not augment the current level of marketing and outreach activities.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$6,000,000 for Office of Inspector General, as proposed by the House instead of \$6,250,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees direct the Inspector General to continue to review the Corporation's management of the National Service Trust.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The conference agreement continues a number of administrative provisions carried in the fiscal year 2004 appropriations Act and proposed by both the House and the Senate, including: (1) language regarding qualified student loans eligible for education awards; (2) language regarding the availability of funds for the placement of volunteers with disabilities; and (3) language directing the Inspector General to levy sanctions in accordance with standard Inspector General audit resolution procedures, which include, but are not limited to, debarment of any grantee found to be in violation of AmeriCorps' program requirements, including using grant or program funds to lobby the Congress. In addition, the conference agreement continues an administrative provision proposed by the Senate and carried in the fiscal year 2004 appropriations Act, which requires the Corporation to ensure that significant changes to program requirements or policy are made only through public notice and comment rulemaking. The agreement also includes a provision carried in the fiscal year 2004 appropriations Act and proposed by the Senate prohibiting an officer or employee of the Corporation from disclosing any grant selection information to any person not authorized to receive such information.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$17,250,000 for salaries and expenses instead of \$17,623,000 as proposed by the Senate and \$16,725,000 as proposed by the House. Both the House and the Senate provided \$1,100,000 for the pro bono program.

The conferees are in agreement that the Court shall work with the General Services Administration (GSA) on a feasibility study to evaluate the Court's space needs and the options to meet those needs. The results of this feasibility study shall be provided to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate. The Court is authorized only to enter into agreement with GSA for a feasibility study and is specifically prohibited from undertaking a Program Development Study or any other action beyond the feasibility study at this time. The Committees on Appropriations will address the need for follow-on studies and possible construction milestones in future legislation and reports.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$29,600,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by the House and Senate. The conferees reiterate the direction included in the House report regarding the Cemetery's automation project with the report due no later than December 15, 2004, and request that such plan be updated in the fiscal year 2006 budget submission.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES

Appropriates \$80,486,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

Appropriates \$76,654,000 for toxic substances and environmental public health as proposed by both the House and Senate.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

The conference agreement includes \$8,088,189,000 for programs administered by the Environmental Protection Agency. This is an increase of \$335,120,000 above the amount provided in the House bill and \$412,219,000 below the level in the Senate bill.

The conferees direct EPA to round all programs to the nearest thousand dollar in the budget submission for fiscal year 2006.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$750,061,000 for science and technology instead of \$729,029,000 as proposed by the House and \$758,179,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional resources of \$36,097,000 are transferred to this account from the Hazardous Substance Superfund for a total resource level of \$786,158,000 for Science and Technology.

The conferees have agreed to specific Agency program levels as follows:

	House	Senate	Conference
Arsenic Removal Research	\$4,274,000	\$10,000,000	\$8,274,000
Building Decon. Research	4,000,000	0	0
Clean Air Allowance Trading Prog.	4,750,000	9,000,000	9,000,000
Fed. Vehicle and Fuels Standards	58,000,000	63,000,000	58,000,000
Indoor Air: Radon Program	399,000	0	399,000
Indoor Air: Schools and Workplace	906,000	0	850,000
Pesticides: Registration of New Pest.	2,403,000	2,265,000	2,403,000
Pesticides: Review/Reregistration	2,417,000	2,370,000	2,417,000
Research: Air Toxics	17,639,000	17,000,000	17,000,000
Research: Computational Toxicology	13,029,000	11,805,000	11,805,000
Research: Drinking Water	44,500,000	46,118,000	44,500,000
Research: Endocrine Disruptor	10,887,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Research: Global Change	20,690,000	20,000,000	20,000,000
Research: Human Health and Eco.	177,408,000	170,000,000	177,408,000
Research: Land Protection and Restoration	8,842,000	9,000,000	9,000,000
Research: Particulate Matter	59,000,000	62,000,000	61,000,000
Research: Troposphere Ozone	4,901,000	4,000,000	4,000,000
Research: Water Quality	45,000,000	46,810,000	45,000,000

The conferees have agreed to the following increases above the budget request:

1. \$2,450,000 for EPSCoR;
2. \$3,900,000 for the Water Environmental Research Foundation;
3. \$4,900,000 for the American Water Works Association Research Foundation;
4. \$1,950,000 for the National Decentralized Water Resource Capacity Development Project, in coordination with EPA, for continued training and research and development program;
5. \$1,000,000 to the Florida Department of Citrus to provide for the manufacture of an adequate amount of abscission chemical compound for testing and to provide for any comprehensive environmental and toxicological studies and other relevant research required by the Federal Government in order to register this product for use as an abscission chemical agent for citrus;
6. \$1,500,000 for the Mickey Leland National Urban Air Toxics Research Center in Houston, Texas;
7. \$1,500,000 for the clean automotive technology program for advanced diesel, hybrid, and high efficiency, low emission vehicle development;
8. \$500,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research;
9. \$500,000 for the New England Green Chemistry Consortium;

10. \$200,000 to the Arkansas State University in Jonesboro, Arkansas for the Delta Center for water quality;
11. \$150,000 to the University of Arkansas for environmental resource management to develop watershed technologies and management tools;
12. \$350,000 to the University of California Riverside for the Center for Environmental Research and Technology in Riverside, California.
13. \$200,000 to Florida International University for Research activities in the greater Everglades in Miami, Florida;
14. \$250,000 for the Florida Gulf Coast University for the Novel Early Detection and Detoxification Technologies for Toxic Red Tide in Fort Myers, Florida;
15. \$200,000 for New College, Florida for ecotoxicology training;
16. \$750,000 for the University of South Florida Study, Protection and Amelioration of Coastal Environments;
17. \$200,000 for the management of waste from navigating vessels in U.S. tidal waters;
18. \$250,000 for the Iowa Foundation for Education Administration for the Bus Emissions Education Program;
19. \$100,000 to the Metropolitan Mayors Caucus for the Clean Air Counts Campaign in Chicago land Metropolitan Area, Illinois;
20. \$1,000,000 for the Karmanos Cancer Institute to create a National Center for Vermiculite-Related Cancers in the Detroit metropolitan area;
21. \$400,000 to the Lawrence Technology University for sustainable alternative energy technologies Green Building in Southfield, Michigan;
22. \$750,000 to the National Center for Manufacturing Sciences for Life Cycle Analysis in Ann Arbor, Michigan;
23. \$1,250,000 to the National Center for Manufacturing Sciences for the sustainable produce initiative in Ann Arbor, Michigan;
24. \$200,000 to Green Hills Regional Planning in Princeton, Missouri for the Biomass Processing System;
25. \$150,000 for the University of Nebraska for the Nebraska Water Resources Model in Lincoln, Nebraska;
26. \$250,000 to Ramapo College in Mahwah, New Jersey for a new Sustainability Education Center;
27. \$150,000 to the State University of New York at Brockport for the Center of Excellence for Great Lakes Research;
28. \$450,000 to the State University of New York Environmental School of Forestry for research and demonstration of contaminant mitigation strategies for rural/suburban run-off affecting water quality along the rural-urban interface in Central New York watersheds;
29. \$500,000 to the Center for Environmental Information in Rochester, New York for continued research, planning and environmental remediation for the Lake Ontario Coastal Initiative;

30. \$7,000,000 for the Environmental Systems Center of Excellence at Syracuse University for research and technology transfer in the fields of indoor environmental quality and urban ecosystems sustainability;
31. \$750,000 to the Syracuse Research Corporation in Syracuse, New York for a Microbial Risk Assessment Center;
32. \$1,500,000 to Onondaga County's Metropolitan Water Board for a demonstration project to determine the feasibility of bringing naturally chilled water from Lake Ontario to Onondaga and Oswego County;
33. \$300,000 to the State University of New York Environmental School of Forestry for training, education and research related to the Summer Eco-Science Camp Initiative;
34. \$500,000 to Alfred University, New York for the Center for Environmental and Energy Research;
35. \$575,000 to Orbital Research Inc., Fuel Efficient Diesel Sensor for Advanced Vehicle Emission Reduction (FEDSAVER), for research that may reduce fuel consumption and will help diesel engines meet EPA standards, Ohio;
36. \$600,000 to the Ohio Air Quality Development Authority/Ohio Coal Development Office for research and development of the Jupiter Oxy-Fuel Technology, Ohio;
37. \$650,000 to the University of Toledo for the Lake Erie Center in Toledo, Ohio;
38. \$250,000 to the University of Tulsa, University of Oklahoma, University of Arkansas, and Oklahoma State University for the Integrated Petroleum Environmental Consortium;
39. \$100,000 for the Oregon Department of Human Services for the View Master Water Contamination Study in Washington County, Oregon;
40. \$225,000 for California University of Pennsylvania for the Monongahela Valley River Research Project in California, Pennsylvania;
41. \$200,000 to the Middle Tennessee State University for research in Development and Transmission of Emerging Diseases;
42. \$500,000 for the University of Houston, Texas for the GulfStar Grid Program in Houston, Texas;
43. \$1,700,000 for the Canaan Valley Institute to continue to develop a regional sustainability support center and coordinated information system in the Mid-Atlantic Highlands;
44. \$1,000,000 for the Canaan Valley Institute in close coordination with the ORD Restoration Plus program to demonstrate, validate and report on critical ecological hubs and corridors within the Mid-Atlantic Highlands and approaches to Highlands ecological prioritization, restoration and conservation Research and educational tools are to be developed using integrative technologies to predict future environmental risks and support informed, proactive decision-making to be undertaken in conjunction with the Highlands Action Program;
45. \$650,000 to the Polymer Alliance Zone's MARCEE Initiative with oversight provided by the Office of Solid Waste;

46. \$250,000 for Carnegie Mellon University's Sustainable Oxidation Chemistry Clean Water Project;
47. \$500,000 for Utah State University to continue monitoring and assessment activities related to freshwater ecosystems;
48. \$2,100,000 for the Mine Waste Technology program at the National Environmental Waste Technology, Testing, and Evaluation Center;
49. \$400,000 to enhance and improve EPA's Tribal Portal program, and to implement this program on a nationwide basis;
50. \$750,000 for the Environmental Lung Disease Center at the National Jewish Medical Center;
51. \$500,000 for the University of Maine-Orono to develop Source Water Warning and Analysis Technology;
52. \$1,500,000 for Boise State University to continue research on multi-purpose sensors to detect and analyze contaminants and time-lapse imaging of shallow subsurface fluid flow;
53. \$500,000 for the North Carolina State University Turfgrass Research Center;
54. \$2,000,000 for the National Environmental Respiratory Center at the Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute in New Mexico;
55. \$1,000,000 for the Desert Research Institute for western Nevada regionally-based clean water activities;
56. \$1,000,000 for the University of Tennessee at Knoxville Natural Resources Policy Center;
57. \$1,000,000 for the University of Louisville/Illinois Waste Management and Research Center;
58. \$750,000 for the Integrated Petroleum Environmental Consortium [IPEC];
59. \$1,000,000 for the water and wastewater training program at the Alabama Department of Environmental Management;
60. \$1,000,000 for the Center for Estuarine Research at the University of South Alabama;
61. \$425,000 for the Connecticut River Airshed-Watershed Consortium;
62. \$425,000 for the Center for the Study of Metals in the Environment;
63. \$900,000 for the Center for Air Toxic Metals at the Energy and Environmental Research Center;
64. \$700,000 for Clean Air Counts of Northeastern Illinois to develop an innovative and cost effective method to reduce smog-causing emissions in the Chicago metropolitan region—the funding will provide support for an ongoing partnership involving EPA, the Metropolitan Mayors Caucus, Illinois EPA, and the Delta Institute;
65. \$200,000 for acid rain research at the University of Vermont;
66. \$200,000 for the University of Vermont's Proctor Maple Research Center to continue mercury deposition monitoring effects;

- 67. \$500,000 for the University of Vermont's Aiken Center Greening Initiative;
- 68. \$700,000 for Families in Search of the Truth to investigate the incidence of cancer in Fallon, Nevada;
- 69. \$500,000 for the demonstration of an integrated approach to perchlorate remediation and treatment in the City of Rialto, California;
- 70. \$200,000 for the Central California Ozone Study;
- 71. \$700,000 for Southeastern Louisiana University for the Turtle Cove research station;
- 72. \$200,000 for the State of New Jersey's Smart Growth Initiative;
- 73. \$200,000 for ecology research at Fordham University;
- 74. \$200,000 for expansion of the Roots and Shoots program headquartered at Western Connecticut State University;
- 75. \$200,000 for water resource modeling at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln;
- 76. \$1,500,000 for the Healy Zero Air Emission Technology;
- 77. \$1,000,000 for the Donald Danforth Plant Science Center in St. Louis, Missouri for a Parasitic Nematodes Controls research project designed to reduce pesticide use; and
- 78. \$1,000,000 to the Missouri Pork Producers Federation for development of technology and creation of Innoventor process to decrease environmental impacts of animal waste by conversion into energy sources.

The conferees have included bill language to authorize \$1,000,000 to be transferred from EPA to the Council on Environmental Quality for an environmental study.

The conferees direct EPA to fund the fellowship programs including the STAR fellowships at as close as possible to the fiscal year 2004 level.

The conferees direct EPA to continue its technology transfer activities initially funded in fiscal year 2000 at not less than the current level of support and that those activities be carried out through the West Virginia High Technology Consortium Foundation.

The conferees agree that funding provided under this heading in H. Report 108-401 for project #57 should be redirected to the Montana Physical Sciences Foundation to research pilot scale enzyme catalyzed processes.

There is no general reduction to this account.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

Appropriates \$2,313,409,000 for environmental programs and management instead of \$2,241,476,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,310,263,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement does not include the language proposed by the House to make funds available for Public Law 108-199 to carry out paragraph (c)(12) of section 118 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, to remain available until September 30, 2007.

The conferees have agreed to specific Agency program levels as follows:

	House	Senate	Conference
Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$1,015,000	\$937,000	\$937,000
Brownfields	23,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000
Climate Protection Program	91,961,000	90,849,000	90,849,000
Commission for Environmental Coop	3,949,000	3,881,000	3,881,000
Compliance Assistance and Centers	27,759,000	37,000,000	27,759,000
Compliance Incentives	9,195,000	9,035,000	9,035,000
Congressional, Intergovernmental	48,366,000	46,415,000	46,415,000
Criminal Enforcement	31,370,000	54,450,000	39,370,000
Drinking Water Programs	94,000,000	95,000,000	94,000,000
Enforcement Training	3,302,000	6,000,000	3,302,000
Environment and Trade	1,723,000	1,616,000	1,616,000
Environmental Education	9,200,000	5,000,000	9,000,000
Environmental Justice	5,900,000	4,231,000	5,900,000
Exchange Network	22,000,000	23,000,000	22,000,000
Facilities Infrastructure and Ops.	308,000,000	309,000,000	317,955,000
Fed. Support for Air Quality Manage.	87,000,000	90,000,000	87,000,000
Federal Stationary Source Regs.	24,302,000	21,944,000	21,944,000
Financial Assistance Grants/IAG	20,000,000	20,329,000	20,000,000
Geographic Program: Chesapeake B.	20,817,000	22,817,000	22,817,000
Geographic Program: Great Lakes	19,000,000	19,500,000	21,195,000
Geographic Program: Long Island S.	2,300,000	2,300,000	2,300,000
Geographic Program: Other	6,790,000	6,069,000	6,790,000
Great Lakes Legacy Act	10,000,000	25,000,000	22,500,000
Human Resources Management	40,000,000	39,109,000	39,109,000
Indoor Air: Asthma Program	11,197,000	9,999,000	11,197,000
Indoor Air: Environment Tobacco	3,695,000	3,030,000	3,030,000
Indoor Air: Radon Program	5,667,000	5,073,000	5,073,000
Indoor Air: Schools and Workplace	10,352,000	9,425,000	9,425,000
International Capacity Building	6,854,000	5,500,000	5,500,000
IT/Data Management	103,000,000	105,000,000	105,000,000
Legal Advice: Environmental Program	34,679,000	34,404,000	34,404,000
Legal Advice: Support Program	12,522,000	12,370,000	12,370,000
Marine Pollution	12,296,000	11,779,000	11,779,000
National Estuary Program/Coastal	25,000,000	20,000,000	25,000,000
NEPA Implementation	12,654,000	12,136,000	12,136,000
Pesticides: Field Programs	27,186,000	25,217,000	25,217,000
Pesticides: Registration for New Pesticides	42,907,000	40,773,000	40,773,000
Pesticides: Review/Reregistration	52,000,000	51,714,000	51,714,000
Pollution Prevention Program	17,000,000	16,822,000	16,822,000
POPS Implementation	2,235,000	2,147,000	2,235,000
Radiation: Protection	11,812,000	11,285,000	11,812,000
Radiation: Response Preparedness	2,611,000	2,188,000	2,611,000
RCRA: Corrective Action	40,976,000	40,000,000	39,100,000
RCRA: Waste Management	67,422,000	67,000,000	67,000,000
RCRA: Waste Minimization and Rec.	11,000,000	12,000,000	11,000,000
Regional Geographic Initiatives	8,800,000	7,500,000	7,500,000
Regional Science and Technology	3,626,000	3,368,000	3,368,000
Regulatory Innovation	18,000,000	17,338,000	17,338,000
Regulatory/Economic-Management	18,552,000	17,934,000	17,934,000
Science Advisory Board	4,757,000	4,396,000	4,396,000
Science Policy and Biotechnology	1,707,000	1,651,000	1,651,000
Small Business Ombudsman	3,839,000	3,742,000	3,742,000
Stratospheric Ozone: Domestic Prog.	5,840,000	5,000,000	5,000,000
Stratospheric Ozone: Multilateral	11,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Surface Water Protection	188,000,000	185,000,000	188,000,000
Toxic Substances: Chemical Risk Management	9,514,000	9,252,000	9,252,000
Toxic Substances: Chemical Risk Reduction	45,879,000	44,454,000	44,454,000
Toxic Substances: Lead Risk Reduc.	14,800,000	11,083,000	11,083,000
TRI/Right to Know	15,941,000	14,670,000	14,670,000

There is no general reduction to this account.

The conferees have agreed to the following increases to the budget request:

1. 8,000,000 for the criminal enforcement;

2. 2,000,000 for EPA Region 10 for environmental compliance;
3. \$18,375,000 for rural water technical assistance activities and groundwater protection with distribution as follows: \$9,800,000 for the National Rural Water Association; \$3,900,000 for Rural Community Assistance program, to be divided equally between assistance for water programs and assistance for wastewater programs; \$735,000 for Ground Water Protection Council; \$1,960,000 for Small Flows Clearinghouse; \$980,000 for the National Environmental Training Center; and \$1,000,000 for the Water Systems Council Wellcare Program.
4. \$980,000 for the National Biosolids Partnership Program;
5. \$2,000,000 for source water protection programs;
6. \$2,940,000 for EPA's National Computing Center to provide for the remote mirroring of all critical information and related systems to achieve a Continuity of Operations (COOP)/Disaster Recovery capability.
7. \$5,000,000 for America's Clean Water Foundation for implementation of on-farm environmental assessments for livestock operations;
8. \$5,000,000 to support a demonstration project for deployment of idle reduction technology including advanced truck stop electrification, as part of the Agency's Smartway Transport Program.
9. \$1,000,000 for the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Restoration Program for a total of \$2,000,000;
10. \$200,000 for the Northeast States for Coordinated Air Use Management [NESCAUM];
11. \$200,000 for the Northeast Waste Management Officials Association [NEWMOA];
12. \$1,540,000 for the Lake Champlain Basin program, for a total of \$2,500,000;
13. \$1,823,000 for the Long Island Sound program, for a total of \$2,300,000;
14. \$2,000,000 for Chesapeake Bay small watershed grants. The Committee expects that the funds provided for this program, managed by the Fish and Wildlife Foundation, shall be used for community-based projects including those that design and implement on the ground and in the water environmental restoration or protection activities to help meet Chesapeake Bay program goals and objectives. This will result in a total of \$22,817,000 available in fiscal year 2005 for the Chesapeake Bay program.
15. \$1,000,000 to the Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program within the State of Alaska;
16. \$100,000 to the Salton Sea Authority in Salton Sea, California for air quality mitigation projects;
17. \$250,000 to Calleguas Municipal Water for the Calleguas Creek Watershed Management Plan Implementation in Ventura County, California;
18. \$100,000 to the University of Redlands in California for the Salton Sea Database;

19. \$300,000 for the City of Highland, California for developing and implementing displays and exhibits for the City of Highland Environmental Learning Center;
20. \$200,000 for the Operation Clean Air Advocates, Inc. in San Joaquin Valley, California for Operation Clean Air;
21. \$100,000 for the California State University-Fullerton, California for the National Center for Water Hazard Mitigation;
22. \$175,000 to the Central California Ozone Study;
23. \$100,000 to the University of Connecticut Health Center to implement a model asthma intervention program for the State of Connecticut;
24. \$250,000 to the University of North Florida for the Real-Time Regional Environmental Modeling in Jacksonville, Florida;
25. \$900,000 to Osceola County, Florida for abatement and prevention of hydrilla and hygophila;
26. \$150,000 to the Spokane Region Chamber of Commerce for the Rathdrum Prairie/Spokane Valley Aquifer Study in Spokane County, Idaho;
27. \$1,700,000 to Boise State University for research projects aimed at developing and demonstrating multi-purpose sensors to detect and analyze contaminants and time-lapse imaging of shallow subsurface fluid flow;
28. \$300,000 for the Selenium Information System Project at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory;
29. \$100,000 to the City of Rexburg, Idaho for the Teton River Mill Site Redevelopment and Learning Project;
30. \$150,000 to the City of Chicago, Illinois for the Beach Contamination Identification/Elimination Study;
31. \$100,000 for PRIDE in the 2nd District of Kentucky for PRIDE in the Heartland of Kentucky;
32. \$500,000 to the Olmsted Parks Conservancy in Louisville, Kentucky to remove invasive species and correct erosion in Cherokee and Seneca Parks;
33. \$1,000,000 to the Olmsted Parks Conservancy in Louisville, Kentucky to correct riverbank erosion in Chickasaw Park;
34. \$550,000 to the Olmsted Parks Conservancy in Louisville, Kentucky to correct erosion in Iroquois Park;
35. \$850,000 to the Louisville Waterfront Development Corporation, Kentucky for anti-erosion strategies;
36. \$200,000 to the Louisiana State University in Shreveport, Louisiana for the Red River Watershed Management Institute;
37. \$100,000 to Prince George's County, Maryland for the Low Impact Development demonstration project in the Anacostia River Watershed;
38. \$100,000 to Wayne County, Michigan for the Lead Prevention Initiative;
39. \$100,000 to Wayne County, Michigan for the lead prevention initiative;

40. \$200,000 for the Michigan Biotechnology Institute in East Lansing, Michigan for the Michigan Biotechnology Institute International's Nanocomposite Surfaces;

41. \$100,000 to the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services to develop a statewide water resources management plan;

42. \$250,000 to the Ten Towns Great Swamp Watershed Management Committee in New Jersey for a water quality monitoring program in the Great Swamp National Refuge;

43. \$100,000 to Monmouth University for the Coastal Watershed Program in West Long Branch, New Jersey;

44. \$150,000 for Monmouth University for the Center for Coastal Watershed Management in West Long Beach, New Jersey;

45. \$200,000 to Madison County, New York for the Land-fill Gas to Electricity Project;

46. \$250,000 for the New York University in Bronx, New York for health disparity studies;

47. \$1,500,000 for continued work on water management plans for the Central New York Watersheds in Onondaga and Cayuga counties;

48. \$750,000 to Cortland County, New York for continued work on the aquifer protection plan, of which \$350,000 is for continued implementation of the comprehensive water quality management program in the Upper Susquehanna Watershed;

49. \$250,000 to Wayne County, New York for continued work on a county-wide lakeshore embankments resource preservation and watershed enhancement plan;

50. \$300,000 for the NADO (National Association of Development Organizations) Research Foundation for environmental training and information dissemination related to rural brownfields, air quality standards and water infrastructure;

51. \$200,000 to the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission for the Ohio River Watershed Pollutant Reduction Program;

52. \$250,000 to Lake Erie Coastal Ohio for planning, research, and analysis of coastal Lake Erie community, environmental, and educational efforts;

53. \$200,000 to the Oklahoma State University, the University of Oklahoma, the University of Tulsa, and the University of Arkansas for the Integrated Petroleum Environmental Consortium in Tulsa, Oklahoma;

54. \$1,500,000 to the American Cities Foundation (ACF) for the Neighborhood Environmental Action Team program and other community environmental efforts;

55. \$700,000 to Caribbean American Mission for Education Research and Action, Inc. (CAMERA), to support a youth environmental stewardship program in Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania;

56. \$700,000 to the Environment and Sports Inc., of Philadelphia to continue support of an environmental awareness program in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania;

57. \$350,000 for the Concurrent Technologies Corp for the Small Partner Environmental Information Exchange Network;

58. \$100,000 to Cabrini College in Radnor, Pennsylvania for the Center for Science Education and Technology;
59. \$100,000 to the University of Memphis for Environmental Programs Hazard Management in Memphis, Tennessee;
60. \$250,000 to the Tarrant County Watershed District in Tarrant County, Texas to develop and implement an integrated watershed protection plan;
61. \$750,000 to the University of Texas at Austin for environmental resource management and technical assistance activities for the Rio Bravo-Rio Grande Physical Assessment Program;
62. \$250,000 to the University of North Texas for the Texas Institute for Environmental Assessment and Management;
63. \$200,000 to the City of Lubbock, Texas for a comprehensive study to address regional water and wastewater concerns;
64. \$75,000 to the Brazos River Authority for the Brazos/Navasota Watershed Management Project in Texas;
65. \$200,000 to the Puget Sound Action Team of Hood Canal, Washington for the Hood Canal Depleted Oxygen Study;
66. \$100,000 for the Spokane Regional Chamber of Commerce for the Spokane Valley/Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer Study;
67. \$200,000 to the Upper Kanawha Valley Enterprise Community for the Shrewsbury Riverbank Erosion Project in Shewsbury, West Virginia;
68. \$2,000,000 for on-going activities at the Canaan Valley Institute, including activities relating to community sustainability;
69. \$1,500,000 to support and implement the Highlands Action Program (HAP) of the Agency, including, but not limited to, federal personnel and related costs;
70. \$150,000 for Marshall University, Center for Environmental, Geotechnical and Applied Sciences for Environmental Management Incubator in Huntington, West Virginia;
71. \$200,000 to the City of Cedarburg, Wisconsin for ongoing surface water treatment and general environmental remediation of Cedar Creek;
72. \$4,000,000 for the Small Public Water System Technology Centers at Western Kentucky University, the University of New Hampshire, the University of Alaska-Sitka, Pennsylvania State University, the University of Missouri-Columbia, Montana State University, the University of Illinois, and Mississippi State University;
73. \$500,000 for the City of Boulder's Sustainability Center;
74. \$300,000 for the State Review of Oil and Natural Gas Environmental Regulations [STRONGER] program;
75. \$200,000 for the Utah Watershed Coordinator's Council;
76. \$250,000 for the City of Covington Riverfront Planning Project in Covington, Kentucky;

77. \$600,000 for the University of Southern Mississippi's Gulf of Mexico program to evaluate bacterial source tracking in three Gulf Coast watersheds;

78. \$350,000 for the Greater Houston Partnership/Houston Advanced Research Center for an air quality study;

79. \$400,000 to the Baylor University for a Lake Whitney comprehensive assessment;

80. \$1,500,000 for the Rathdrum Prairie/Spokane Valley Aquifer study with matching funds to be provided by the State of Idaho and Washington;

81. \$300,000 for the Selenium Information System project at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory;

82. \$2,500,000 for the Southwest Center for Environmental Research and Policy;

83. \$500,000 for the Lake Tahoe Environmental Improvement program;

84. \$1,000,000 for the City of Maryville, Tennessee to implement an environmental protection and education project;

85. \$250,000 for the Center for Environmental Citizenship at Luther College in Decorah, Iowa;

86. \$250,000 for a comprehensive storm and irrigation-water management initiative for Orem, Utah;

87. \$5,000,000 for the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality for ongoing surface water treatment and general environmental remediation in collaboration with other involved state and Federal entities of the effects of mine-waste tailings in the Tar Creek and Spring Creek watersheds and area in Ottawa County, Oklahoma;

88. \$500,000 for the U.S.-Mexico Border Environmental Protection program at the University of Arizona;

89. \$600,000 for the Western Kentucky University Center for Wastewater Research;

90. \$400,000 for the Green River Biological Diversity Monitoring project at Western Kentucky University;

91. \$300,000 for Auburn University to develop a Mobile Delta Initiative;

92. \$750,000 for the City of Wilsonville, Oregon to develop an innovative rainwater management system;

93. \$500,000 for the Ozarks Environmental and Water Resources Institute at Southwest Missouri State University;

94. \$750,000 for the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Foundation for Lake Pontchartrain water quality improvement;

95. \$250,000 for the Maryland Bureau of Mines for an acid mine drainage remediation project;

96. \$1,000,000 for projects demonstrating the benefits of Low Impact Development along the Anacostia Watershed in Prince Georges County, Maryland, including

97. \$500,000 for storm drains and trash traps;

98. \$750,000 for the City of Waukesha, Wisconsin, for a radium removal research and study project;

99. \$250,000 for the Northwest Straits Commission for Washington State University's beach watchers program;

100. \$500,000 for the Columbia Basin Groundwater Management Area;
101. \$300,000 for the Walker Lake Working Group in Nevada for scientific, analytical, and other technical assistance to evaluate solutions for the restoration of Walker Lake;
102. \$250,000 for the Friends of Old Maui School and Community Work Day in Hawaii for environmental assessments;
103. \$350,000 for the County of Hawaii for the Honomolino Irrigation Cooperative surface and ground water project;
104. \$250,000 for the Hawaii Nature Center East Kauai watershed improvement initiative;
105. \$500,000 for the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California for a study of the effectiveness of biological treatment for the removal of perchlorate from groundwater;
106. \$250,000 for the Fresno County Council of Governments in California for a non-point source water quality management program;
107. \$500,000 for the Storm Lake, Iowa, water quality project;
108. \$250,000 for the Iowa Stormwater Runoff Council for the development and implementation of improved urban stormwater control practices;
109. \$300,000 for the Vermont Department of Agriculture Steven's Brook watershed project;
110. \$250,000 for the City of Warwick, Rhode Island, for design and engineering of the Potowomut wastewater collection system;
111. \$400,000 for the City of Las Vegas, New Mexico, for a mechanical biological treatment initiative;
112. \$750,000 for the University of West Florida's PERCH program;
113. \$400,000 for the County of Ventura, California, Calleguas Creek Watershed Management Plan;
114. \$400,000 for a storm water research initiative at the University of Vermont;
115. \$700,000 for Plimoth Plantation in Plymouth, Massachusetts, for environmental education initiatives;
116. \$400,000 for the City of Norwalk, Connecticut, for the FILTER project to prevent runoff into the Long Island Sound;
117. \$500,000 for the State of Nevada to replace or retrofit school buses to lower emissions;
118. \$250,000 for Chautauqua County, New York, for a sewerage mapping project;
119. \$400,000 for the Right Place in Grand Rapids, Michigan, for the West Michigan Regional Sustainable Manufacturing Initiative;
120. \$400,000 for Deschutes County, Oregon, for the Upper Deschutes River water quality and monitoring program;
121. \$200,000 for pollution prevention of Wreck Pond and nearby beaches in Spring Lake, New Jersey;
122. \$200,000 for the City of Vineland, New Jersey, for the demonstration of an environmentally sound disabled vehicle removal pilot project;

123. \$400,000 for the King County, Washington, molten fuel cell demonstration project;

124. \$200,000 for the North Carolina Rural Economic Development Center for a statewide water and wastewater assessment;

125. \$750,000 for continued research and watershed activities at the Kenai River Center in Kenai, Alaska;

126. \$375,000 for regional haze monitoring in the State of Alaska;

127. \$1,500,000 to the Environmental Resources Coalition for the Southwest Missouri Water Resources Assessment Project; and

128. \$1,000,000 for the Missouri Department of Natural Resources for the Low Sulfur Coal Emissions Reduction Pilot Project.

The Conference has included \$8,000,000 more than the budget request for EPA Criminal Enforcement for a total of \$39,370,000 for FY05, an increase of a little more than \$8,000,000 above the 2004 level. The Conference believes that a strong criminal enforcement program is essential to reducing pollution and protecting public health. The Conference believes that the EPA does not devote adequate resources to the program, which has led to staffing declines and case backlogs. The Conference directs EPA to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a plan to reduce case backlogs and ensure adequate resources and staffing levels by March 15, 2005. In addition to this increase, the conference agreement includes sufficient funds to maintain the level of staffing at other enforcement activities throughout the agency at not less than the fiscal year 2004 level.

The Conferees consider that a strong relationship between EPA and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is critical if the Nation is going to have a comprehensive and effective plan for protecting our homeland. The conferees understand that the EPA has negotiated two memorandums of understandings (MOUs) with DHS. The first, between DHS and EPA's Office of Research and Development, provides for joint research projects. The second, between DHS and EPA's Office of Air and Radiation, is designed to provide coordination and funding for field operations of the biowatch monitoring network. Nevertheless, the Conferees remain concerned that the Agency's responsibilities as to homeland security are not well articulated. Therefore, the Conferees direct EPA to enter into a comprehensive MOU with DHS no later than August 1, 2005 that will define the relationship and responsibilities of these entities with regard to the protection and security of our Nation. The Conferees expect the MOU to specifically identify areas of responsibilities and the potential costs (including which entity pays, in whole or part) for fully meeting such responsibilities. EPA shall submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a plan no later than September 15, 2005 that details how the agency will meet its responsibilities under the MOU, including a staffing plan and budget.

The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$2,000,000 for the Water Information Sharing and Analysis Center (Water ISAC) to gather, analyze, and disseminate sensitive security

information to water and wastewater systems. The conferees direct that the Water ISAC shall be implemented through a grant to the Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies.

The conferees have, within available funds, provided \$2,000,000 for nine Environmental Finance Centers, the same as for fiscal year 2004.

The conferees have provided the full budget request for the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program. The High Production Volume (HPV) Chemical Challenge Program and the Voluntary Children's Chemical Evaluation Program have been funded at the fiscal year 2004 level and the conferees urge that no reductions be proposed in the operating plan submission for these important programs.

The conferees support EPA's promotion of environmental management systems (EMSs), codes established by a variety of industry sectors to ensure superior environmental performance, and urge the agency to examine additional regulatory incentives to be provided to organizations that have implemented an EMS.

The conferees have also provided the fiscal year 2004 appropriation level for activities and programs of the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP).

The conferees direct EPA to provide for the Pesticide Applicator Training program the same amount as available in fiscal year 2004.

The conferees support the Agency's electronics recycling initiative, and encourage the Agency to support pilot projects through the Polymer Alliance Zone's MARCEE Initiative to develop a market-based sustainable electronics recycling infrastructure.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$38,000,000 for the Office of Inspector General, an increase of \$1,000,000 over the amount proposed by the House, and the same amount proposed by the Senate. In addition to amounts appropriated directly to the OIG, \$13,000,000 is also available by transfer from funds appropriated for Hazardous Substance Superfund. Of the total funding, \$750,000 shall be used to carry out the duties of Inspector General for the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Appropriates \$39,000,000 for buildings and facilities, instead of \$40,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and the same as proposed by the House.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$1,257,537,000 for Hazardous Substance Superfund as proposed by the House instead of \$1,381,416,000 as proposed by the Senate. Bill language provides that such sums as are available from the Superfund trust fund upon the date of enactment are available for this activity, with the remainder to be derived from general revenues of the Treasury. Additional language provides for the transfer of \$13,000,000 to the Office of Inspector

General, and for the transfer of \$36,097,000 to the Science and Technology account.

The conferees direct EPA to develop a standard test method for naturally occurring asbestos that will provide reproducible results and provide a risk analysis using the existing EPA Airborne Asbestos Health Assessment Update.

The conferees remain concerned about the effective implementation of the Superfund program. The EPA IG is conducting an ongoing evaluation of Superfund expenditures at the request of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. It is clear, however, that there is little coordination of best practices at Superfund sites and the conferees urge EPA to develop a best practices approach which will ensure that there will be better coordination in managing sites and that those Superfund procedures that work best for the least cost will be implemented.

The conferees have agreed to the following fiscal year 2005 funding levels:

1. \$879,100,000 for Superfund response and cleanup activities;
2. \$146,514,000 for enforcement activities;
3. \$145,000,000 for management and support;
4. \$13,000,000 for transfer to the Office of Inspector General;
5. \$36,097,000 for research and development activities, to be transferred to the Science and Technology account; and
6. \$37,826,000 for reimbursable interagency activities, including \$27,150,000 for the Department of Justice and \$10,676,000 for OSHA, FEMA, NOAA, the United States Coast Guard, and for the Department of the Interior.

LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PROGRAM

Appropriates \$70,000,000 for the leaking underground storage tank program as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$74,000,000 as proposed by the House.

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

Appropriates \$16,000,000 for oil spill response as proposed by the House and the Senate.

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

Appropriates \$3,604,182,000 for state and tribal assistance grants instead of \$3,359,027,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,886,550,000 as proposed by the Senate. Bill language specifically provides \$1,100,000,000 for Clean Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) capitalization grants, of which up to \$50,000,000 is to be made available for use by States that choose to make loans, including interest-free loans, that increase non-point and non-structural, decentralized alternatives, expanding the choices available to communities in their fight for clean water. The conferees again strongly encourage States that can do so to pursue innovative technologies in this regard, but emphasize that this program is voluntary and that States not participating in the program will nevertheless continue to receive their normal level of funding through the established SRF formulas.

Additional bill language provides \$850,000,000 for Safe Drinking Water SRF capitalization grants; \$50,000,000 for the United States-Mexico Border program; \$45,000,000 for grants to address drinking water and wastewater infrastructure needs in rural and native Alaska communities; \$4,000,000 for remediation of above ground leaking fuel tanks in Alaska pursuant to Public Law 106-554; \$90,000,000 for Brownfields infrastructure grants; \$1,145,757,000 for categorical grants to the states and tribes, including \$50,000,000 for Brownfields categorical grants and \$19,500,000 for the Environmental Information Exchange program; \$7,500,000 for Clean School Bus grants; and \$309,925,000 for cost-shared grants for construction of water and wastewater treatment facilities and infrastructure and for groundwater protection infrastructure.

The conferees have included bill language which: (1) for fiscal year 2005, authorizes the Administrator of the EPA to use funds appropriated pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA) to make grants to Indian tribes pursuant to section 319(h) and 518(e) of FWPCA; (2) will permit the states to include as principal amounts considered to be the cost of administering SRF loans to eligible borrowers, with certain limitations; (3) for fiscal year 2005, authorizes the states to transfer funds between the Clean Water and Safe Drinking Water SRF programs; and (4) stipulates that no funds provided in the Act to address water infrastructure needs of colonias within the United States along the United States-Mexico border shall be made available to a county or municipal government unless that governmental entity has established an enforceable ordinance or rule which prevents the development or construction of any additional colonia areas, or the development within an existing colonia of any new home, business, or other structure which lacks water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure.

As in previous years, the conferees have included bill language that stipulates that none of the funds provided in this or any previous years' Act for the Safe Drinking Water SRF may be reserved by the Administrator for health effects studies on drinking water contaminants. The conferees have instead provided significant resources for such studies within EPA's Science and Technology account.

The conferees have included, as proposed by the Senate, bill language which sets certain requirements for Alaska Native Village grants, including: (1) a 25% cost share from the State of Alaska; (2) a limitation on administrative expenses; and (3) the establishment of a statewide priority list and a set-aside for regional hub communities.

The conferees have not included language proposed in the Senate bill that created a new \$3,000,000 construction program that was designed to begin building the needed infrastructure to reduce the risk of arsenic in drinking water as required by the arsenic in drinking water rule (66 FR 6979). In 2006, both community water systems and non-transient, non-community water systems are expected to be in compliance with these new arsenic in drinking water requirements. The conferees are concerned that many communities, especially rural communities in the West, will be unable

to meet these new requirements which could become a huge financial hardship on these communities. This may mean that clean water systems could be abandoned in favor of untreated well water or that communities may be forced to spend very tight resources on new water infrastructure while abandoning other critical priorities such as maintaining local school systems. The conferees direct EPA to submit a study no later than August 15, 2005 on the extent to which the communities will be impacted by the arsenic in drinking water rule, the likely cost to these communities for meeting the requirements of the rule, alternatives to meeting the requirements of the rule and recommendations for, but not limited to, ways to minimize the cost.

The conferees have included bill language that makes technical corrections and changes to grants approved in previous fiscal years.

Of the funds provided for the United States-Mexico Border program, \$5,000,000 is for continuation of the El Paso, Texas desalination and water supply project, and \$2,000,000 is for the Brownsville, Texas water supply project.

The conferees provide \$18,000,000 for making competitive Targeted Watershed grants; within these funds, \$8,000,000 is for a regional pilot program for the Chesapeake Bay that shall demonstrate effective non-point source nutrient reduction approaches that target small watersheds and accelerate nutrient reduction in innovative, sustainable, and cost-effective ways. Partners in the effort to protect the Bay include Maryland; Pennsylvania; Virginia; the District of Columbia; the Chesapeake Bay Commission, a tri-state legislative body; EPA, which represents the Federal Government; and, participating citizen advisory groups.

The conferees direct EPA under the "school bus" program to treat all school districts equally, regardless of whether the buses are owned by the district or owned by a contractor. In either case, the grantee is a school district. In cases where the school district contracts with an outside entity for the provision of school buses, the school district is expected to contract with its contractor to ensure the buses are as environmentally sound as possible at the least possible cost. Any use of funds must be consistent with the program requirements. School districts can apply jointly for a grant where the contractor provides student busing services to more than one district.

Within the State and Tribal Categorical Grant program, the conference agreement includes:

	House	Senate	Conference
State and Local Air Quality Assistance	225,000,000	228,550,000	225,000,000
Tribal Air Quality Assistance	10,830,000	11,000,000	10,830,000
Radon	8,000,000	7,000,000	7,000,000
Pollution Control (Section 106)	200,000,000	210,000,000	210,000,000
Beaches Protection	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Nonpoint Source (Section 319)	235,250,000	215,000,000	209,000,000
Wetlands Program Development	14,500,000	15,000,000	15,000,000
Wastewater Operator Training	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000
Water Quality Cooperative Agreements (Sec. 104(3)(b))	18,620,000	17,000,000	17,000,000
Targeted Watersheds	14,500,000	20,000,000	18,000,000
Public Water System Supervision (PWSS)	100,550,000	102,500,000	100,550,000
Underground Injection Control (UIC)	10,780,000	9,000,000	10,780,000
Drinking Water Homeland Security	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000
RCRA Financial Assistance	104,300,000	106,400,000	104,300,000

	House	Senate	Conference
Brownfields	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000
Underground Storage Tanks	12,000,000	13,000,000	12,000,000
Pesticides Program Implementation	13,000,000	13,100,000	13,000,000
Lead Risk Reduction	13,500,000	13,700,000	13,500,000
Toxic Substances Compliance	5,047,000	5,150,000	5,047,000
Pesticides Enforcement	19,500,000	19,900,000	19,500,000
Environmental Information	19,500,000	20,000,000	19,500,000
Pollution Prevention	6,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000
Sector Program (Enforcement & Comp Assurance)	2,250,000	2,250,000	2,250,000
Tribal General Assistance Program	62,000,000	62,500,000	62,000,000

The conferees have not included language that directed EPA to deduct from grants to state associations for a state that does not wish to participate in the association, as proposed by the Senate. The conferees believe that current recipients of such grants have administratively addressed this issue.

The conferees have provided \$309,925,000 for a targeted program making grants to communities for the construction of drinking water, wastewater and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection. As in past years, these grants shall be accompanied by a cost-share requirement whereby 45 percent of a project's cost is the responsibility of the community or entity receiving the grant. In those few cases where such cost-share requirement poses a particular financial burden on the recipient community or entity, the conferees support the Agency's use of its long-standing guidance for financial capability assessments to determine reductions or waivers from this match requirement.

With the exception of the limited instances in which an applicant meets the criteria for a waiver, the conferees have provided no more than 55% of an individual project's cost, regardless of the amount appropriated below. The phrase 'terms and conditions' referenced in the bill language includes the maximum 55% federal share, as well as the intended recipients and the specific project descriptions, as listed below. The distribution of funds under this program is as follows:

1. \$400,000 to the City of Falkville, Alabama for sewer infrastructure improvements;
2. \$750,000 to the City of Albertville, Alabama for sewer infrastructure improvements;
3. \$180,000 to the City of Boldo, Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
4. \$200,000 to the City of Addison, Alabama for sewer infrastructure improvements;
5. \$220,000 to Lamar County, Alabama for infrastructure improvements to the Lamar County Reservoir;
6. \$350,000 to the City of Arley, Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
7. \$200,000 to the City of Eva, Alabama for sewer infrastructure improvements;
8. \$200,000 to the City of Guin, Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
9. \$250,000 to the City of Phil Campbell, Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
10. \$500,000 to Blount County, Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;

11. \$500,000 to the DeKalb-Jackson Water Supply District in Ider, Alabama for construction of a water treatment plant;
12. \$150,000 to Fort Payne, Alabama for a pump station at Wills Valley Industrial Park;
13. \$250,000 to the Helena Utility Board in Helena, Alabama for sewer infrastructure improvements;
14. \$250,000 to the City of Jackson, Alabama for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
15. \$200,000 to the City of Athens, Alabama for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
16. \$500,000 to Lawrence County, Alabama for the Bankhead Forest Water Project;
17. \$250,000 to the City of Huntsville, Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
18. \$400,000 to Hartselle Utilities for wastewater infrastructure improvements in Hartselle, Alabama;
19. \$100,000 to Harvest-Monrovia Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection in Alabama for a master plan to accomplish the establishment of a sewer system within the service area;
20. \$300,000 to the Limestone County Water and Sewer Authority in Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
21. \$400,000 to the Waterworks Boards of the Towns of Section and Dutton, Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
22. \$500,000 to the Scottsboro Waterworks, Sewer, and Gas Board in Scottsboro, Alabama for construction and rehabilitation of a sanitary sewer collection system;
23. \$600,000 to the City of Sheffield, Alabama for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
24. \$200,000 to the West Morgan-East Lawrence Water and Sewer Authority for water and wastewater system infrastructure improvements;
25. \$50,000 to Jackson County, Alabama for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
26. \$400,000 to the City of Muscle Shoals, Alabama for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
27. \$100,000 to the community of Overlook Hills in Dallas County, Alabama for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
28. \$100,000 to the Town of Fulton, Alabama to construct a wastewater treatment facility;
29. \$150,000 to the Town of Red Level, Alabama for Phase II water infrastructure improvements;
30. \$150,000 to the City of Valley, Alabama to purchase Langdale Mill and Fairfax Utilization Plant;
31. \$100,000 for the Millerville Water Authority (Clay County Commission) for water infrastructure improvements in Millerville, Alabama;
32. \$200,000 for the Smiths Station Water Authority in Alabama for water infrastructure improvements;
33. \$30,000 for City of Piedmont Water and Utilities Board to extend water lines to the Terrapin Cove/Borden Springs area in Cleburne County, Alabama;
34. \$250,000 to the City of Fayetteville, Arkansas for water infrastructure improvements;

35. \$250,000 for the Faulkner County Public Facilities Board for Lake Conway Sewer Improvements in Faulkner County, Arkansas;
36. \$200,000 for the City of Goodyear, Arizona for water infrastructure improvements;
37. \$250,000 to the City of Avondale, Arizona for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
38. \$150,000 to the City of Chandler, Arizona for the Chandler Arsenic Mitigation Program;
39. \$1,000,000 to the University of Arizona, College of Pharmacy for the US-Mexico Border Environmental Protection Program;
40. \$250,000 to the City of Stafford, Arizona for construction of a wastewater treatment plant;
41. \$500,000 to the City of St. Johns, Arizona for new water transmission pipeline construction;
42. \$150,000 to the City of Rialto, California for water infrastructure improvements;
43. \$250,000 to the Box Springs Mutual Water Company of the City of Moreno Valley, California for installation of a sewer system;
44. \$200,000 to the City of Oxnard, California for the Headworks Expansion Project and Redwood Trunk Project;
45. \$150,000 to the City of Modesto, California for the neighborhood storm water, sewer, and water infrastructure project (Ninth Street Corridor Storm Drain Project);
46. \$600,000 to the Orange County Sanitation District for wastewater infrastructure improvements in Fountain Valley, California;
47. \$500,000 to the City of Laguna Beach, California for emergency sewer repairs;
48. \$1,000,000 to the City of Solana Beach, California for wastewater treatment improvements in the municipal sewer system;
49. \$250,000 to the City of Roseville, California for water infrastructure improvements;
50. \$400,000 to the City of Monrovia, California for water and wastewater infrastructure improvement;
51. \$1,000,000 to the Cities of Arcadia and Sierra Madre, California for the Joint Water Infrastructure Restoration Program;
52. \$200,000 to the City of East Palo Alto, California for the storm water infrastructure improvements;
53. \$350,000 to the Monterey County Water Resource Agency for the Salinas Valley Water Project in Monterey County, California;
54. \$100,000 to the Sweetwater Authority for the water quality monitoring in Chula Vista, California;
55. \$250,000 to the City of El Segundo, California for wastewater infrastructure improvements for Smoky Hollow;
56. \$350,000 for the City of Redding, California for water infrastructure improvements;

57. \$750,000 to the San Diego County Water Authority for the San Diego County Water Authority Regional Seawater Desalination Initiative in San Diego, California;
58. \$350,000 to the City of Brisbane, California for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
59. \$100,000 for the Bighorn Desert Water Agency for water infrastructure improvements in Yucca Valley, California;
60. \$450,000 to the City of San Bernardino, California for Lakes and Stream Project;
61. \$250,000 to the City of Hesperia, California for water infrastructure improvements;
62. \$200,000 to the City of Lake Arrowhead, California for the Community Services District;
63. \$500,000 for Mission Springs Water District for the Groundwater Protection, Supply Enhancement/Reuse Program in Desert Hot Springs, California;
64. \$450,000 to the City of Banning, California for the Brinton Reservoir;
65. \$300,000 for the Hi-Desert Water District in Yucca Valley, California for the Warren Valley Recharge Facility;
66. \$300,000 for the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority in California for the Santa Ana Regional Interceptor (SARI) Enhancement;
67. \$200,000 for the City of San Jose, California for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
68. \$500,000 to the City of Sacramento, California for combined sewer system improvement rehabilitation project;
69. \$250,000 for the Castaic Lake Water Agency in California for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
70. \$250,000 to the City of Barstow, California for a sewer master plan implementation project;
71. \$250,000 to the City of Victorville, California for water infrastructure improvements;
72. \$200,000 for the California State University, Dominguez Hills for the Center for Urban Environmental Research in Carson, California;
73. \$200,000 to the City of Brea, California for sewer infrastructure improvements;
74. \$200,000 to the City of Mission Viejo, California for the Oso Creek Barrier Project;
75. \$300,000 to the City of Vallejo, California for the Mare Island Sanitary Sewer and Storm Drain Improvement Project;
76. \$250,000 to the City of Norwalk, California for the Balancing Facility Project;
77. \$150,000 to the Strathmore Public Utility District for a wastewater treatment plant;
78. \$250,000 to the City of Folsom, California for the sewer rehabilitation project;
79. \$1,000,000 to the City of San Francisco, California for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
80. \$800,000 for the Santa Clara Valley Water District in Santa Clara County, California for Perchlorate Cleanup;
81. \$200,000 to the City of Westminster, California for the Westminster Water Quality Pilot Project;

82. \$300,000 to the City of Huntington Beach, California for the Wintersberg Channel Urban Run-Off Treatment;
83. \$250,000 to the City of Downey, California for storm water infrastructure improvements;
84. \$150,000 for the Municipal Water District of Orange County, California for an Orange County water reliability study;
85. \$200,000 for the Orange County Sanitation District for a new secondary treatment facility in Fountain Valley, California;
86. \$250,000 to the City of Eurka, California for the Martin Slough Interceptor;
87. \$250,000 to the City of Gardena, California for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
88. \$250,000 to the City of Santa Monica, California for water infrastructure improvements;
89. \$200,000 for Sonoma County, California for the Monte Rio sanitation project in Monte Rio, California;
90. \$250,000 to Jefferson County, Colorado to implement a new storm water improvement program;
91. \$250,000 to the City of Ouray, Colorado for water infrastructure improvements;
92. \$150,000 to the City of Meriden, Connecticut for the City Center Initiative Flood Control and Demolition;
93. \$300,000 to the City of New Britain, Connecticut for water infrastructure improvements;
94. \$500,000 to the City of Southington, Connecticut for the Southington Water Supply Improvement Project;
95. \$200,000 to the City of Stamford, Connecticut for storm water infrastructure improvements;
96. \$350,000 to the City of Groton, Connecticut for water and sewer line extension;
97. \$500,000 to the District of Columbia Government for drinking water infrastructure improvements to address lead problems;
98. \$400,000 for the City of Wilmington, Delaware for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
99. \$250,000 to the City of Tarpon Springs, Florida for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
100. \$200,000 to the City of Gainesville, Florida for the depot regional storm water park;
101. \$250,000 to Citrus County, Florida for the Chassahowitzka Area Wastewater Collection and Drinking Water Distribution System;
102. \$200,000 to Hillsborough County, Florida for the Hillsborough County Alternative Water Supplies—Phase III;
103. \$750,000 to the City of Miami Beach, Florida for storm water infrastructure improvements;
104. \$250,000 to the City of Key West, Florida for storm water infrastructure improvements;
105. \$200,000 to the City of Pembroke Pines, Florida for water treatment expansion;
106. \$250,000 to the City of Homestead, Florida for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

107. \$150,000 for the South Seminole & North Orange County Wastewater Transmission Authority for the replacement of wastewater pipes and mechanical equipment;
108. \$200,000 to the Southwest Florida Water Management District for the Peace River & Myakka River Water Initiative in Polk County, Florida;
109. \$300,000 to the Village of Wellington, Florida for the reconfiguration of storm water system project;
110. \$350,000 for the County of Sarasota, Florida for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
111. \$200,000 to the City of Rivera Beach, Florida for the storm water management plan;
112. \$200,000 to the Town of Windermere, Florida for storm water management improvements;
113. \$250,000 to the City of Miami Gardens, Florida for water, wastewater, storm water, and sewer infrastructure improvements;
114. \$200,000 to the City of Bunnell, Florida for the Wastewater Collection, Treatment and Disposal System Rehabilitation Project;
115. \$500,000 for St. Johns County, Florida for the College Park Drainage Improvement Project in West Augustine, Florida;
116. \$250,000 for the Escambia County Utility Authority for Wastewater Treatment/water Reclamation Partnership in Escambia County, Florida;
117. \$350,000 to the City of Davenport, Florida for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
118. \$200,000 to the City of Lakeworth, Florida for water infrastructure improvements;
119. \$200,000 to the City of Davie, Florida for water main replacement;
120. \$300,000 for the South Central Regional Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Board for the 100% Wastewater Reuse Project in the Cities of Delray Beach and Boynton Beach, Florida;
121. \$300,000 to the City of Starke, Florida for the Water Quality Improvement Program;
122. \$500,000 to Osceola County, Florida for drainage basin improvements;
123. \$2,500,000 to the St. Johns River Water Management District for water infrastructure improvements in Central and East Florida;
124. \$4,000,000 to the Southwest Florida Water Management District for continuation of the Tampa Bay Reservoir Project;
125. \$1,200,000 to the Southwest Florida Water Management District for Tampa Bay Reclaimed Water and Downstream Augmentation Project;
126. \$300,000 to the Southwest Florida Water Management District for the Peace River and Myakka River Watershed Restoration Initiative;
127. \$500,000 to the City of Clearwater, Florida for the Wastewater and Reclaimed Water Infrastructure Project;

128. \$1,300,000 to the City of Tampa, Florida for sediment removal from estuaries of the headwaters at the canals;
129. \$500,000 to the City of Treasure Island, Florida for wastewater and sewer system upgrades;
130. \$900,000 to the City of Albany, Georgia storm water infrastructure improvements;
131. \$400,000 to the City of Americus, Georgia for sewer service expansion;
132. \$1,000,000 to the City of Atlanta, Georgia for the McDaniel Basin Combined Sewer Overflow Separation project;
133. \$1,000,000 for Columbus Water Works, Columbus, Georgia for its Biosolids Flow-Through Thermophilic Treatment Demonstration Project;
134. \$250,000 to the City of Plains, Georgia for water infrastructure improvements;
135. \$100,000 to the City of Social Circle, Georgia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
136. \$100,000 to the City of Thomasville, Georgia for extension of sewer lines;
137. \$150,000 to the City of Moultrie, Georgia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
138. \$150,000 to the City of Summerville, Georgia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
139. \$200,000 to Polk County, Georgia for the Polk County Wastewater Collection System;
140. \$250,000 to the City of Roswell, Georgia for the Big Creek Watershed Project;
141. \$750,000 to the City of Atlanta, Georgia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
142. \$750,000 to the City of Moultrie, Georgia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
143. \$700,000 for the Metropolitan North Georgia Planning District for water infrastructure improvements in North Atlanta Metropolitan Area, Georgia;
144. \$150,000 to the City of Byron, Georgia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
145. \$250,000 to the City of Social Circle, Georgia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
146. \$250,000 to the Guam Waterworks Authority for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements in the Territory of Guam;
147. \$150,000 to the Maui County Department of Water Supply for the lead reduction in Upcountry Maui in Upcountry Maui, Hawaii;
148. \$200,000 to the City of Castleford, Idaho for water infrastructure improvements;
149. \$450,000 to the City of Castleford, Idaho for water infrastructure improvements;
150. \$600,000 to the City of Twin Falls, Idaho for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
151. \$750,000 to the City of Pocatello, Idaho for water infrastructure improvements;
152. \$150,000 to the City of Lockport, Illinois for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

153. \$450,000 to the Village of Johnsborg, Illinois for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
154. \$300,000 to the Lake County Storm water Management Community for the Lake County Watershed Plan in Lake County, Illinois;
155. \$200,000 to the City of Silvis, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
156. \$200,000 to the Village of Newark, Illinois for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
157. \$200,000 to the Village of Paw Paw, Illinois for construction of an elevated water storage tower;
158. \$200,000 to the Village of Annawan, Illinois for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
159. \$650,000 to the Salt Creek Sanitary District in Villa Park, Illinois for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
160. \$300,000 to the Village of East Hazel Crest, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
161. \$200,000 to the City of Lexington, Illinois for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
162. \$400,000 to Lake County, Illinois for wastewater infrastructure improvements on the Des Plaines River;
163. \$500,000 to the City of Peoria, Illinois for stormwater management;
164. \$542,500 to the Village of Bartonville, Illinois for storm sewer improvements in Broadmoor Heights;
165. \$500,000 to the Village of Arenzville, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
166. \$500,000 to the Village of Argenta, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
167. \$500,000 to the Village of North Pekin, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
168. \$357,500 to the City of Spring Valley, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
169. \$250,000 to the City of Virginia, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
170. \$500,000 to the City of Pekin, Illinois wastewater infrastructure improvements;
171. \$250,000 to the City of Lincoln, Illinois to repair and slip line Pulaski Street sewer line;
172. \$350,000 to the Village of La Grange, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
173. \$550,000 to the Village of Fox River Grove, Illinois for Phase II sewer plant infrastructure improvements;
174. \$250,000 to the City of Shelbyville, Illinois for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
175. \$250,000 to the City of Breese, Illinois for construction of the Breese Water Plant;
176. \$100,000 to the Village of Mazon, Illinois for water infrastructure improvements;
177. \$200,000 for Will County, Illinois for the feasibility study for sanitary district expansion;

178. \$300,000 to the City of Marion, Indiana for water infrastructure improvements associated with the Water Loop Project in Grant County, Indiana;

179. \$200,000 to the City of Crawford, Indiana for the design and construction phases of the Crawfordsville Eastside Sanitary Sewer Project;

180. \$500,000 to the City of Frankfort, Indiana for construction of the Eastside Drainage/Detention Facility;

181. \$150,000 to the City of Indianapolis, Indiana for sewer rehabilitation in northeast Indianapolis;

182. \$300,000 to the City of Evansville, Indiana for the Pigeon Creek Enhancement Project;

183. \$200,000 to the City of New Castle, Indiana for the sanitary sewer and sanitary forcemain project;

184. \$330,000 to the City of Lowell, Indiana for construction of additional water lines;

185. \$400,000 to the City of Hebron, Indiana for water infrastructure improvements;

186. \$150,000 to the City of Des Moines, Iowa for storm water infrastructure improvements to the Closes Creek Watershed;

187. \$250,000 to the City of Storm Lake, Iowa for water infrastructure improvements;

188. \$250,000 to the City of Postville, Iowa for the completion of the Postville wastewater facility;

189. \$500,000 to the City of Mason City, Iowa for completion of the Mason City water treatment plant;

190. \$450,000 to the City of Ft. Madison, Iowa for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

191. \$450,000 to the City of Ottumwa, Iowa for the South Ottumwa Sewer Separation project;

192. \$500,000 to the City of Davenport, Iowa for the Westside Diversion Tunnel;

193. \$250,000 to the City of Mission, Kansas for construction and expansion of a storm water flow management system;

194. \$350,000 to the City of Harper, Kansas for water infrastructure improvements;

195. \$150,000 to the Town of North Middletown, Kentucky for North Middletown water and sewer improvements;

196. \$100,000 to the City of Shepherdsville, Kentucky for storm water compliance;

197. \$100,000 to the City of Hillview, Kentucky for the Hillview Storm water Compliance;

198. \$550,000 to the Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District, Kentucky to construct a gravity interceptor sewer in Shively;

199. \$225,000 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District, Kentucky for wastewater infrastructure improvements in Beechwood Village;

200. \$225,000 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District, Kentucky for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Canoe Lane;

201. \$700,000 to the City of Whitesburg, Kentucky for construction of a wastewater treatment plant;

202. \$1,200,000 for the Perry County Fiscal Court in Hazard, Kentucky for the construction of a wastewater treatment plant;
203. \$100,000 to the City of Morehead, Kentucky for the renovation and expansion of a wastewater treatment plant;
204. \$150,000 to the City of Jamestown, Kentucky for the water treatment plant;
205. \$150,000 to the City of Monroe for the Monroe Wastewater Improvement Program in Monroe, Louisiana;
206. \$200,000 to the Village of Slaughter, Louisiana for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
207. \$200,000 to the West Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
208. \$250,000 to the City of Shreveport, Louisiana for the Municipal Water Distribution System—Backflow Prevention;
209. \$200,000 to the City of Shreveport, Louisiana for watershed protection;
210. \$500,000 for the South Central Planning & Development Commission for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements in New Iberia, St. Charles, Morgan City, St. Bernard and St. James, Louisiana;
211. \$250,000 to the City of Slidell, Louisiana for storm water infrastructure improvements;
212. \$200,000 to the Town of Windham, Maine for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
213. \$500,000 to the City of Brewer, Maine for the sewer improvements project;
214. \$250,000 to the City of Salisbury, Maryland for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
215. \$250,000 to the City of Cambridge, Maryland for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
216. \$250,000 to the City of Elkton, Maryland for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
217. \$100,000 to Prince George's County, Maryland for the Livable Community Initiative in Brentwood, North Brentwood, Edmonston and Cottage City, Maryland;
218. \$250,000 for Prince George's County, Maryland for the Anacostia Trash Reduction Program and Removal of Floatable Trash for the Cities of Brentwood and Edmonston, Maryland;
219. \$500,000 to the YMCA Camp Letts in Edgewater, Maryland for water infrastructure improvements;
220. \$200,000 to the City of Boston, Massachusetts to continue efforts to address deteriorating groundwater levels in the Greater Boston area;
221. \$200,000 for the Towns of Braintree, Holbrook and Randolph in Massachusetts for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
222. \$950,000 to the Cities of Fall River and New Bedford, Massachusetts for combined sewer overflow projects;
223. \$200,000 to the City of Lawrence, Massachusetts for combined sewer overflow mitigation;

224. \$400,000 to the City of Leomister, Massachusetts for the Rockwell Village revitalization initiative for water infrastructure improvements;

225. \$250,000 for wastewater projects for communities in Essex County, Massachusetts

226. \$500,000 to the Pioneer Valley Planning Commission in West Springfield, Massachusetts for the Connecticut River combined sewer overflow;

227. \$900,000 to Wayne County, Michigan for the Rouge River National Wet Weather Demonstration Project;

228. \$500,000 to the City of Grand Rapids, Michigan for combined sewer overflows;

229. \$250,000 to the Genesee County Drain Commission for the Northeast Relief Sewer/Kearsley Creek Interceptor project in Genesee County, Michigan;

230. \$350,000 to the City of Detroit, Michigan for the Woodmere Sewage Pump Station Rehabilitation;

231. \$1,000,000 to the Oakland County Drain Commission for Evergreen-Farmington Sanitary Sewer Overflow control project in Farmington Hills, Michigan;

232. \$500,000 to the Oakland County Drain Commission for Footing Drain/Sewer Lead Excess Flow Prevention demonstration project in Waterford, Michigan;

233. \$200,000 for Oakland County, Michigan to identify and eliminate sewage contributions from older urban areas in the Clinton River;

234. \$200,000 to the City of Westland, Michigan for water infrastructure improvements;

235. \$650,000 for Macomb County and St. Clair County, Michigan to implement a comprehensive water quality monitoring program;

236. \$300,000 to Brighton Township, Michigan for a water-line construction;

237. \$300,000 for the Livingston County Drain Commission for drain construction in Livingston County, Michigan;

238. \$250,000 to L'Anse Township, Michigan for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;

239. \$250,000 to the City of Roseau, Minnesota for storm water infrastructure improvements;

240. \$600,000 to the City of Minneapolis, Minnesota for the combined sewer overflow;

241. \$200,000 to the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians for an Academic Wetlands and Wetlands Mitigation Project in Neshoba County, Mississippi;

242. \$300,000 for Lamar County, Mississippi for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;

243. \$500,000 to the City of Belmont, Mississippi for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

244. \$500,000 to the City of Pontotoc, Mississippi for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

245. \$350,000 to the City of Joplin, Missouri for the Crossroads Parallel Sewer Phase 4 upgrades;

246. \$200,000 to the City of St. Louis, Department of Public Utilities for the Columbia Bottoms Wellfield Development water project in St. Louis, Missouri;

247. \$250,000 to the Clarence Cannon Wholesale Water Commission for water infrastructure improvements in Monroe County, Missouri;

248. \$250,000 to the Duckett Creek Sanitary District in Missouri for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

249. \$150,000 for the Rosodyn Corporation in Butte, Montana for a waste recovery from municipal waste treatment plant;

250. \$300,000 to the City of Lincoln, Nebraska for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

251. \$550,000 to the City of Omaha, Nebraska for the Combined Sewerage Overflow Project;

252. \$400,000 to the City of Fallon, Nevada for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

253. \$400,000 to the City of Henderson, Nevada for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

254. \$150,000 to the City of Nashua, New Hampshire for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

255. \$200,000 to the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services for sewer system expansion in Franklin, New Hampshire;

256. \$200,000 to the City of Somerworth, New Hampshire for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

257. \$1,000,000 to the Township of Parsippany, New Jersey for water infrastructure improvements;

258. \$250,000 to the City of Wildwood, New Jersey for storm sewer outflow reconstruction;

259. \$250,000 to the New Jersey Municipal Utilities Authority for the Peninsula at Bayonne Harbor Water Infrastructure Improvement Project in Bayonne, New Jersey;

260. \$400,000 for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission in New Jersey for the Combined Sewage Overflow Program;

261. \$100,000 for the Bergen County Utilities Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements in Englewood, New Jersey;

262. \$300,000 for the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission for the Hackensack Meadowlands Ecosystem Restoration;

263. \$100,000 to the City of Lordsburg, New Mexico for water infrastructure improvements;

264. \$100,000 to the City of Bayard, New Mexico for the Ft. Bayard Effluent Reuse System;

265. \$150,000 to the City of Ruidoso Downs, New Mexico for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

266. \$150,000 to the City of Elephant Butte, New Mexico for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

267. \$150,000 to the City of Los Lunas, New Mexico to build a sewer interceptor line;

268. \$150,000 to the City of Espanola, New Mexico for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

269. \$200,000 to the City of Tijeras, New Mexico for water infrastructure improvements;

270. \$200,000 for Bernalillo County, New Mexico for the South and North water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

271. \$200,000 to the City of Brookhaven, New York for storm water infrastructure improvements;

272. \$100,000 to the Chenango County Agricultural Society of Chenango County, New York for upgrades to the water and septic systems at the Chenango County Fair Grounds and for a study;

273. \$125,000 to the Town of Schulyer, New York for water system improvements;

274. \$200,000 to the Village of Bridgewater, New York for water infrastructure improvements;

275. \$200,000 to the Towns of Springport and Fleming, New York for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

276. \$300,000 to Rockland County, New York for the Western Ramapo sewer extension and water reuse project;

277. \$250,000 to the Village of Deposit, New York for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

278. \$250,000 to the Town of Blooming Grove, New York for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

279. \$300,000 to the Village of Sea Cliff, New York for the Sanitary Sewer System Infrastructure Development and Management project;

280. \$110,000 for the Village of Mamaroneck, New York for sewer system improvements;

281. \$150,000 to the Town of New Castle, New York for the Phase II Storm Water Compliance Program;

282. \$250,000 to the City of Oswego, New York for sewer overflow system improvements;

283. \$275,000 for the Warnerville Water District in Warnerville, New York for a water and sewer project;

284. \$250,000 to the Town of Cheektowaga, New York for the Plant No. 3 overflow retention facility;

285. \$650,000 to the Erie Water Authority for water infrastructure improvements for the Town of Newstead and Village of Williamsville, New York;

286. \$200,000 to the Town/Village of East Rochester, New York for sewer infrastructure improvements;

287. \$1,000,000 for Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority in Hyde Park, New York for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

288. \$12,000,000 for continued clean water improvements for Onondaga Lake, New York;

289. \$4,000,000 to Monroe County Water Authority in New York State for the Eastside Water Treatment Project;

290. \$900,000 to Wayne County, New York for construction of a waterline along North Geneva Road;

291. \$600,000 to the Wayne County Water and Sewer Authority for water infrastructure improvements in the Town of Huron, New York;

292. \$4,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure needs in the New York City Watershed;

293. \$4,000,000 for water quality infrastructure improvements for Long Island Sound, New York;
294. \$1,000,000 for water quality infrastructure improvements for the Jamesville, New York sewer project;
295. \$350,000 to the Town of Elbridge, New York for the construction of a waterline;
296. \$500,000 to the County of Onondaga, Department of Community Development in New York for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
297. \$500,000 to Cayuga County in Victory, New York for water infrastructure improvements;
298. \$250,000 to the Town of Landis, North Carolina for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
299. \$200,000 to Harnett County, North Carolina to install pump stations and a forcemain as part of a central wastewater treatment rehabilitation project;
300. \$200,000 to the Towns of Biscoe, Star, and Troy, North Carolina for the Montgomery County, North Carolina Sewer Project;
301. \$200,000 to the Towns of Hamlet-Rockingham, North Carolina for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
302. \$200,000 to the Town of Farmville, North Carolina for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
303. \$150,000 to the Cities of East Arcadia, Bolton and Sandyfield, North Carolina for a regional water system;
304. \$200,000 to the Town of Wendell, North Carolina for the Buffalo Creek Interceptor project;
305. \$250,000 to the City of Charlotte, North Carolina for the wastewater plant expansion;
306. \$200,000 to the Town of Apex, North Carolina for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
307. \$1,500,000 to Wake County, North Carolina for water infrastructure improvements in cooperation with the Town of Cary, North Carolina and Durham County, North Carolina;
308. \$500,000 to Orange County, North Carolina for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
309. \$650,000 to the Orange Water and Sewer Authority (OWASA) in North Carolina for a water reuse project;
310. \$200,000 to the Town of Hillsborough, North Carolina for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
311. \$880,000 for the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians for water infrastructure improvements in Cherokee, North Carolina;
312. \$1,000,000 for McDowell County, North Carolina for water infrastructure improvements;
313. \$100,000 to the Town of East Spencer, North Carolina for water and sewer rehabilitation project;
314. \$150,000 to the City of Devils Lake, North Dakota for the Devils Lake water line;
315. \$150,000 to the City of Lorain, Ohio for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
316. \$150,000 to Butler County, Ohio for the Butler County Waterline;

317. \$300,000 to the Village of North Baltimore, Ohio for the Water Street Combined Sewer Separation Project;
318. \$300,000 to the Village of Hicksville, Ohio for the Hicksville Wastewater Treatment Plant Project;
319. \$300,000 to the City of Defiance, Ohio for the Sewer Separation Project;
320. \$750,000 to the City of Circleville, Ohio for sewer infrastructure improvements;
321. \$1,000,000 to the Burr Oak Regional Water District for water infrastructure improvements in Perry County, Ohio;
322. \$550,000 to Greene County, Ohio for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
323. \$50,000 to the Logan Elm School District for water infrastructure improvements in Circleville, Ohio;
324. \$220,000 to the Lancaster Campus of Ohio University for water infrastructure improvements in Lancaster, Ohio;
325. \$155,000 to Fairfield County, Ohio for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
326. \$350,000 to the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for the Easterly/Doan Brook Watershed Pollution Abatement Project;
327. \$1,000,000 to the City of Toledo, Ohio for wet weather flow and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
328. \$1,000,000 to Ottawa County, Ohio for water infrastructure improvements;
329. \$1,000,000 to the City of Sandusky, Ohio for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
330. \$350,000 to Ashtabula County, Ohio for the Rock Creek Village Waterline Extension;
331. \$50,000 to Jackson County, Ohio for water infrastructure improvements;
332. \$550,000 to Guernsey County, Ohio for a water line extension;
333. \$500,000 for the St. Mary's Municipal Government for wastewater infrastructure improvements in St. Mary's, Ohio;
334. \$625,000 for Urbana University in Urbana, Ohio for storm drainage and water and sewer line construction;
335. \$500,000 for the Delphos Municipal Government for the Tri-County regional water system in Delphos, Ohio;
336. \$550,000 to the Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati for the sanitary sewer overflow demonstration project in Cincinnati, Ohio;
337. \$500,000 to the City of Wooster, Ohio for storm water infrastructure improvements along Beall Ave;
338. \$500,000 to the Village of Hayesville, Ohio for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
339. \$500,000 to the City of Canton, Ohio for water infrastructure improvements;
340. \$150,000 for the Trumbull County Sanitary Engineer for installation of the Maplewood Park sewer system in Hubbard Township, Ohio;
341. \$250,000 for Columbiana County, Ohio for water infrastructure improvements to the Buckeye Water District;

342. \$100,000 to the City of Marlow, Oklahoma for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
343. \$200,000 to the City of Sulpher, Oklahoma for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
344. \$1,000,000 to the City of Seminole, Oklahoma for water infrastructure improvements;
345. \$80,000 to the City of Meeker, Oklahoma to refurbish the water tower;
346. \$100,000 to Skiatook, Oklahoma for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;
347. \$150,000 to the City of Portland, Oregon for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
348. \$150,000 to the City of Sweet Home, Oregon for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
349. \$150,000 to the City of Salem, Oregon for the Peak Excess Flow Treatment Facility for Sanitary Sewer Overflows;
350. \$200,000 to the City of Klamath Falls, Oregon for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
351. \$150,000 to the City of Rainier, Oregon for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
352. \$1,000,000 to Allegheny County, Pennsylvania for the 3 Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration Project;
353. \$100,000 to the City of Sharon, Pennsylvania for the Budd Street sewer line replacement;
354. \$500,000 to the City of Philadelphia to continue the planning, design, and construction of innovative storm-water management solutions in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania;
355. \$500,000 to Cheltenham Township, Pennsylvania to continue the planning, design, and construction of innovative storm-water management solutions;
356. \$250,000 to Beaver Falls Municipal Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements to the Big Beaver Treatment Facility in Big Beaver, Pennsylvania;
357. \$250,000 to the City of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania for the Harrisburg Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility;
358. \$350,000 to the Wyoming Valley Sanitary Authority in Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania for the Wyoming Valley Combined Sewer Overflow Project;
359. \$200,000 to Ligonier Township, Pennsylvania for the Ligonier Township sewage project;
360. \$250,000 for the South Hills Area Council of Governments for the South Hills Area Storm Sewer Project in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania;
361. \$250,000 for the Clarion Area Authority for the Fifth Avenue sewer line replacement project in Clarion, Pennsylvania;
362. \$500,000 to the Nelson Township Authority for water infrastructure improvements in Nelson, Pennsylvania;
363. \$250,000 to the City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania for the water treatment membrane project;
364. \$200,000 for York City Sewer Authority for the Clean Water Demonstration Project in York, Pennsylvania;

365. \$500,000 for the Kulpmont-Marion Heights Joint Municipal Authority in Kulpmont, Pennsylvania for sewer infrastructure improvements;

366. \$4,000,000 for a grant to Puerto Rico for drinking water infrastructure improvements to the Metropolitano community water system in San Juan;

367. \$200,000 to the Town of North Smithfield, Rhode Island for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

368. \$200,000 to the City of Newport, Rhode Island for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

369. \$200,000 to the Narragansett Bay Commission in Providence, Rhode Island for combined sewer overflow control and wastewater improvement project;

370. \$250,000 to the City of Lake Greenwood, South Carolina for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

371. \$150,000 to Mount Pleasant Waterworks for the Mount Pleasant Waterworks Rural Roads Gravity Wastewater Extension Project in Mount Pleasant, South Carolina;

372. \$500,000 to the Myrtle Beach Downtown Redevelopment Corporation for a new storm water drainage system in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina;

373. \$750,000 to the Towns of Olar and Govan, South Carolina for water infrastructure improvements;

374. \$300,000 to the City of Wellford, South Carolina for sewer/wastewater infrastructure improvements;

375. \$400,000 for the Chester County Sewer District for wastewater infrastructure improvements in Lando, South Carolina;

376. \$200,000 to the Town of Ridgeland, South Carolina for the Wagon Branch Water Project;

377. \$125,000 to the City of Franklin, Tennessee for water system improvements to the Watson Branch Watershed;

378. \$150,000 to the City of Pikeville, Tennessee for the Pikeville/Bledsoe County Water Improvements Project;

379. \$125,000 to the Hampton Utility District in Little Milligan/Fish Springs Community, Carter County, Tennessee for water infrastructure improvements;

380. \$125,000 to the City of Tusculum, Tennessee for first construction phase of a wastewater treatment plant;

381. \$50,000 to the City of Bean Station, Tennessee for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

382. \$100,000 for Roane County, Tennessee for water infrastructure improvements;

383. \$200,000 to the Spring City, Tennessee for water and sewer line replacement;

384. \$250,000 for Anderson County, Tennessee for water infrastructure improvements;

385. \$400,000 to the City of Dayton, Tennessee for flocculation and settling basins;

386. \$150,000 for the City of Houston, Texas for water infrastructure improvements;

387. \$250,000 to the City of Liberty Hill, Texas for the Liberty Hill Central City Sewer System Project;

388. \$75,000 to the Brazos River Authority for the Brazos/Navasota Watershed Management Project in Fort Bend County, Texas;

389. \$100,000 for the Brazos River Authority for the West Fort Bend County Regional Water Treatment Facility in Fort Bend County, Texas;

390. \$500,000 for the Fort Bend County, Texas for water infrastructure improvements;

391. \$350,000 to Bosque County, Texas for water infrastructure improvements;

392. \$250,000 to the City of Weatherford, Texas for water infrastructure improvements;

393. \$250,000 to the City of Pharr, Texas for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

394. \$150,000 to the City of Alvin, Texas for water infrastructure improvements;

395. \$250,000 for the El Paso Water Utilities for water infrastructure expansion in El Paso, Texas;

396. \$150,000 to the San Antonio Water System for the Espada Road Sewer Project in San Antonio, Texas;

397. \$500,000 to the City of Austin, Texas for the non-structural sanitary sewer overflow prevention project;

398. \$150,000 to Logan City, Utah for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements for Phase I and II of the Northwest Park Project;

399. \$250,000 to Smyth County, Virginia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

400. \$300,000 to Hanover County, Virginia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

401. \$150,000 to Fauquier County, Virginia for a sewage treatment plant in the Catlett/Calverton area;

402. \$750,000 to Dale Service Corporation in Dale City, Virginia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

403. \$100,000 to the Isle of Wight County, Virginia for water infrastructure improvements;

404. \$500,000 to the Town of Halifax, Virginia for water infrastructure improvements;

405. \$1,000,000 to Franklin County, Virginia for water infrastructure improvements;

406. \$500,000 to Fluvanna County, Virginia for water infrastructure improvements;

407. \$1,000,000 to the Town of Brookneal, Virginia for water infrastructure improvements;

408. \$218,000 to Nelson County, Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

409. \$682,000 to Pittsylvania County, Virginia for water infrastructure improvements;

410. \$200,000 to the Eastern Shore of Virginia Public Service Authority in Northhampton County, Virginia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

411. \$250,000 to the Government of the Virgin Islands for wastewater infrastructure system improvements in St. Croix, Virgin Islands;

412. \$1,000,000 to the City of Alexandria, Virginia and Arlington County for water infrastructure improvements in the Four Mile Run watershed;

413. \$150,000 to the City of Chehalis, Washington for water infrastructure improvements;

414. \$1,000,000 to the City of Tacoma, Washington for an integrated storm water system for Salishan housing development;

415. \$200,000 to the City of Carson, Washington for water infrastructure improvements;

416. \$200,000 to the City of Oak Harbor, Washington for water infrastructure improvements;

417. \$150,000 to the Town of Uniontown, Washington for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

418. \$250,000 to the Town of Ione, Washington for water infrastructure improvements;

419. \$150,000 to the City of Lakewood, Washington for the American Lake Gardens Industrial Sewer Extension;

420. \$150,000 to the City of Sun Prairie, Wisconsin for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

421. \$1,850,000 to the City of Antigo, Wisconsin for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

422. \$862,000 to the City of Vesper, Wisconsin for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

423. \$1,500,000 to the City of Boyd, Wisconsin for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

424. \$100,000 to the Town of Scott, Wisconsin for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

425. \$200,000 to the City of Racine, Wisconsin for water infrastructure improvements;

426. \$500,000 to the City of Waukesha, Wisconsin for systems planning and water infrastructure improvements;

427. \$200,000 to the Kanawha County Commission in Kanawha County, West Virginia for the Upper Fishers Branch/Guthrie Water Project;

428. \$200,000 to the Braxton County Development Authority for the Curry Ridge Water Line Extension in Curry Ridge, West Virginia;

429. \$1,000,000 to the Marshall County Public Service District #4 in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

430. \$100,000 to the Jane Lew Public Service District in Harrison County, West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

431. \$1,500,000 to the Pleasants County Public Service District in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

432. \$480,000 to the Grant County Commission in West Virginia to extend water service to the Deep Spring area;

433. \$900,000 to the City of Shinnston in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

434. \$750,000 to the Town of Pine Grove in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;

435. \$1,000,000 to City of Fairmont Sanitary Sewer Board in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
436. \$2,374,000 to the City of Petersburg in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
437. \$101,000 to the River Road Public Service District in West Virginia to extend water service on National Church Hollow Road;
438. \$935,000 to the Taylor County Public Service District in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
439. \$833,000 to the Taylor County Commission in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
440. \$1,000,000 to the City of Cameron in West Virginia for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
441. \$55,000 to the Hammond Public Service District in West Virginia for the Lazear's Lane water project;
442. \$1,840,000 to the Canaan Valley Institute to work in conjunction with the Highlands Action Program for an innovative wastewater demonstration program in Canaan Valley in Tucker County, West Virginia;
443. \$350,000 to the City of Cheyenne, Wyoming for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
444. \$800,000 to the Coosa Valley Water Supply District for development of a surface water supply in St. Clair County, Alabama;
445. \$750,000 to the Utilities Board of the City of Helena for water and sewer upgrades and construction in Helena, Alabama;
446. \$600,000 to the Cleburne County Commission in Heflin, Alabama for county water expansion in Cleburne, County, Alabama;
447. \$600,000 to the Randolph County Commission in Wedowee, Alabama for county water expansion in Randolph County, Alabama;
448. \$450,000 to the Blount County Water Authority in Oneonta, Alabama for development of a county water supply line;
449. \$750,000 to the City of Fort Payne for water and sewer improvements in Fort Payne, Alabama;
450. \$250,000 to the West Morgan/East Lawrence Water and Sewer Authority in Decatur, Alabama for water and sewer improvements;
451. \$300,000 to the Lamar County Commission in Vernon, Alabama for the Lamar County Water Supply Project;
452. \$1,000,000 to Girdwood, Inc. for water and sewer expansion in Girdwood, Alaska;
453. \$1,300,000 to the Municipality of Anchorage, Alaska for Sand Lake Water Extension;
454. \$300,000 for Matanuska-Susitna Borough, Alaska for water wells for Gorsuch Lake;
455. \$1,100,000 for the City of Wasilla, Alaska for sewer expansion;

456. \$750,000 for the City of Valdez, Alaska to replace septic systems with sewers and wells with city water;
457. \$400,000 for the City of Ketchikan, Alaska for Mountain Point Sewer System;
458. \$250,000 for the City of Skagway, Alaska for water system upgrades;
459. \$425,000 for the City of Wrangell, Alaska for water and sewer upgrades;
460. \$800,000 for the City of Nome, Alaska for water and sewer upgrades for Old Federal Building;
461. \$600,000 for the City of Seldovia, Alaska for water and sewer upgrades;
462. \$600,000 for the Fort Chafee Redevelopment Authority in Barling/Fort Smith, Arkansas for water infrastructure improvements;
463. \$250,000 for City of Fayetteville, Arkansas for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
464. \$300,000 for the Santa Clara Valley Water District, California for perchlorate groundwater clean-up;
465. \$300,000 for the Inland Empire Perchlorate Task Force in California for the Wellhead Treatment of Perchlorate Contaminated Wells;
466. \$400,000 for the City of Santa Ana, California for East and West Reservoir Upgrades;
467. \$500,000 for the City of San Jose, California for North San Pedro water and sewer infrastructure improvements;
468. \$500,000 for the City of Eureka, California for the Martin Slough Interceptor Project;
469. \$200,000 for the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California for the City of Ontario Final Design for Wellhead Treatment for Perchlorate and Nitrate;
470. \$400,000 for the City of Laguna Beach, California for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
471. \$300,000 for the City of Trinidad, Colorado for the Trinidad Wastewater Improvement Project;
472. \$250,000 for the Town of Bayfield, Colorado for the construction of a water storage tank;
473. \$250,000 for the Mancos Water Conservancy District, Mancos, Colorado for water supply facility renovation;
474. \$250,000 for the Town of Idaho Springs, Colorado for water distribution facility renovation;
475. \$250,000 for the Town of Eldorado Springs, Colorado for improving wastewater treatment;
476. \$950,000 for Ouray, Colorado for water infrastructure improvements;
477. \$250,000 for Jefferson County, Colorado for stormwater collection system improvements;
478. \$300,000 for the City of Bristol, Connecticut for water infrastructure improvements;
479. \$300,000 for the Town of East Hampton, Connecticut for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
480. \$250,000 for Stamford, Connecticut for a waste-to-energy project;

481. \$250,000 for the City of Wilmington, Delaware for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
482. \$250,000 for the Town of Ocean View, Delaware for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
483. \$300,000 for Key West, Florida for stormwater infrastructure improvements;
484. \$300,000 for the South Florida Water Management District Lake Region Water Treatment Plant for water infrastructure improvements;
485. \$250,000 for the Southwest Florida Water Management District in Tampa, Florida for the Tampa Bay Regional Reclaimed Water project;
486. \$250,000 to City of Atlanta, Georgia for the west area combined sewer project;
487. \$250,000 to City of Eatonton, Georgia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
488. \$250,000 to City of Forsyth, Georgia for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
489. \$250,000 for the State of Hawaii for upgrade and expansion of the Sand Island Wastewater Treatment Plant;
490. \$1,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure improvements in Hawaii, to be distributed: \$500,000 to the County of Hawaii and \$500,000 to the Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii;
491. \$2,000,000 for the City of Burley, Idaho, to continue work on a Wastewater Treatment System Project;
492. \$1,000,000 for the City of Pocatello, Idaho, for Day Street Division Water System Improvements;
493. \$500,000 for the City of Effingham, Illinois for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
494. \$500,000 for the City of Monmouth, Illinois for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
495. \$500,000 for the Village of Olympia Fields, Illinois for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
496. \$500,000 for the Village of Franklin Park, Illinois for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
497. \$1,000,000 for the City of Marion, Indiana for the Marion Water Loop and Deer Creek Project;
498. \$100,000 for the City of Southport, Southport/Marion County, Indiana for downtown infrastructure and drainage improvements;
499. \$500,000 for the City of Fort Madison, Iowa for the Water Treatment Plant Improvements;
500. \$500,000 for the City of West Burlington for the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant Improvements;
501. \$1,500,000 for the City of Ottumwa, Iowa for the separation of combined sewers;
502. \$500,000 for the City of Davenport, Iowa for water infrastructure improvements;
503. \$1,000,000 for the City of Abilene, Kansas for construction of a wastewater treatment plant;
504. \$1,500,000 for the City of Hutchinson, Kansas for groundwater remediation and treatment projects;

505. \$2,000,000 for the City of Bowling Green, Kentucky, for the South Central Kentucky Water Infrastructure Project;
506. \$750,000 for the Hardin County Water District No. 2 in Hardin County, Kentucky for a Water Quality Assurance Plan and System Improvements Projects;
507. \$500,000 for the City of Elkton, Kentucky, for the City of Elkton Sewer Plant Expansion and Sewer Line Extension Project;
508. \$250,000 for Breckinridge County, Kentucky for water infrastructure improvements;
509. \$250,000 for Bullitt County, Kentucky for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
510. \$250,000 for Calloway County, Kentucky for the City of Hazel Wastewater System;
511. \$250,000 for Cadiz-Trigg County, Kentucky for water infrastructure improvements;
512. \$250,000 for Marshall County, Kentucky for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
513. \$600,000 for Rapides Parish, Louisiana for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
514. \$400,000 for St. Charles Parish, Louisiana for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
515. \$400,000 for Jefferson Parish, Louisiana for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
516. \$400,000 for the City of Bastrop, Louisiana for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
517. \$400,000 for the City of Hammond, Louisiana for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
518. \$400,000 for the City of Grand Isle, Louisiana for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
519. \$450,000 for the Greater Limestone Wastewater Treatment Facilities in Maine to consolidate and replace antiquated wastewater collection and treatment facilities at the Loring Development Authority [LDA] and Caribou Utilities District [CUD];
520. \$250,000 for the Indian Township Tribal Government in Maine for the first phase for expansion of current lagoon system to provide adequate capacity;
521. \$300,000 for the Town of Machias, Maine for replacement of sewers and completion of deficiencies at existing aging wastewater treatment plant;
522. \$250,000 for Chesapeake Beach, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
523. \$250,000 for Indian Head, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
524. \$500,000 for Elkton, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
525. \$250,000 for Hurlock, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
526. \$750,000 for Kent Island, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
527. \$250,000 for Easton, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

528. \$750,000 for Cumberland, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
529. \$500,000 for Frostburg, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
530. \$250,000 for Brunswick, Maryland, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
531. \$250,000 for Bristol County, Massachusetts for the Bristol County Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement Project;
532. \$250,000 for the Pioneer Valley Planning Commission in Massachusetts for combined sewer overflow abatement in the Connecticut River;
533. \$1,000,000 for the City of Benton Harbor, Michigan for water infrastructure improvements;
534. \$500,000 for Seney Township, Michigan for sewer infrastructure improvements;
535. \$500,000 for the City of Saginaw, Michigan for sewer infrastructure improvements;
536. \$1,000,000 for the Macomb County Department of Public Works, Michigan for sewer infrastructure improvements;
537. \$150,000 to Minnesota State University in Moorhead for water infrastructure improvements;
538. \$300,000 to the City of Duluth, Minnesota for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
539. \$300,000 to the City of Minneapolis, Minnesota for combined sewer overflow improvements;
540. \$250,000 for the City of Duluth and Western Lake Superior Sanitary District in Duluth, Minnesota for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
541. \$500,000 for Tchula, Mississippi for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;
542. \$500,000 for the City of Brookhaven, Mississippi for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
543. \$500,000 to the City of Sherman, Mississippi for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;
544. \$1,300,000 to the City of Oxford, Mississippi for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;
545. \$750,000 to City of Forest, Mississippi for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;
546. \$250,000 to the Town of French Camp, Mississippi for water and sewer infrastructure improvements;
547. \$1,500,000 to Kansas City, Missouri for water and wastewater infrastructure;
548. \$687,500 to the City of Joplin, Missouri for the final phase of the Crossroads Parallel Sewer project;
549. \$1,312,500 to the City of Milan, Missouri for the Milan Water Quality Treatment Project;
550. \$1,000,000 to the Clarence Cannon Wholesale Water Commission to expand the existing water treatment capacity from 5 million gallons to 7.5 million gallons per day and to include connecting the Macon County PWSD #1 and the City of Wellsville, Missouri to the CCWWC transmission system;

551. \$1,000,000 to the Environmental Resources Coalition in Missouri to mitigate point source pollution issues in distressed communities that border Table Rock Lake;

552. \$1,000,000 to the City of Springfield, Missouri for wastewater treatment plant improvements including the design and construction of infrastructure for removal of nitrogen from the treated wastewater effluent and improved anaerobic digester facilities that treat solids from the wastewater;

553. \$1,000,000 for the City of Bozeman, Montana, for water infrastructure improvements;

554. \$1,000,000 for the Missouri River Water Project, Helena, Montana for a water treatment project;

555. \$500,000 for the City of Glasgow, Montana for water infrastructure improvements;

556. \$750,000 for the Seeley Lake Sewer District, Montana for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

557. \$900,000 for the City of Omaha, Nebraska for the construction of combined sewer separation systems;

558. \$350,000 for the City of Lincoln, Nebraska to upgrade the Theresa Street and Northeast Wastewater Treatment plants;

559. \$400,000 for Las Vegas Valley Water District/Searchlight, Nevada for water infrastructure improvements;

560. \$400,000 for Clark County Reclamation District/Searchlight, Nevada for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

561. \$250,000 for the City of Reno, Nevada for sewer infrastructure improvements;

562. \$300,000 for the Spanish Springs Nitrate Removal Project in Nevada;

563. \$200,000 for the North Valley Lemmon Artificial Recharge Project in North Lemmon Valley, Nevada for water infrastructure improvements;

564. \$250,000 for the Virgin Valley Water District, Nevada for water infrastructure improvements;

565. \$200,000 for Carson City, Nevada for reservoir lining;

566. \$600,000 for the Berlin Waterworks in Berlin, New Hampshire for drinking water distribution system improvements;

567. \$400,000 for the Nashua Combined Sewer Overflow project in Nashua, New Hampshire for CSO treatment and abatement;

568. \$400,000 for the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services to develop a septage treatment facility based at the wastewater treatment facility in Franklin, New Hampshire;

569. \$200,000 for Troy, New Hampshire for a wastewater and water improvement program;

570. \$400,000 for the Manchester Combined Sewer Overflow project in Manchester, New Hampshire;

571. \$200,000 for the Rochester, New Hampshire Route 108 sewer line extension;

572. \$150,000 for Somersworth, New Hampshire for the sewerage improvement program to provide upgrades to the wastewater treatment plant;
573. \$200,000 for Bristol, New Hampshire for wastewater system improvements;
574. \$150,000 for Milton, New Hampshire for a water storage tank replacement project;
575. \$600,000 for Town of Exeter, New Hampshire for water treatment plant replacement;
576. \$500,000 for the Township of Parsippany-Troy Hills in New Jersey for water infrastructure improvements;
577. \$1,250,000 for the City of Bayonne, New Jersey for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
578. \$1,600,000 for the City of Albuquerque and County of Bernalillo, New Mexico, for the Valley Utilities Project;
579. \$1,000,000 for the City of Espanola, New Mexico, for water and wastewater treatment infrastructure;
580. \$900,000 for the City of Kirtland, New Mexico, for Phase 1 of a sewer system project;
581. \$500,000 for the Village of Los Lunas, New Mexico, for the interceptor sewer line project;
582. \$250,000 for the City of Clovis, New Mexico for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
583. \$400,000 for the Town of Babylon, New York for the Oak Beach Park Stormwater Management Project;
584. \$300,000 for Orange County Water Authority, Goshen, New York for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
585. \$300,000 for the Town of Plattsburg, New York for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
586. \$500,000 for Washington County, North Carolina sewer improvements;
587. \$600,000 for the City of Mooresville, North Carolina for water infrastructure improvements;
588. \$1,000,000 for the City of Grafton, North Dakota for the Grafton Water Treatment Plant;
589. \$500,000 for the City of Devils Lake, North Dakota for water infrastructure improvements;
590. \$250,000 for the City of Riverdale, North Dakota for the Riverdale Regional Water Treatment Facility;
591. \$250,000 for Dickey Rural Water Users Association in Southeast, North Dakota for the Southeast Regional Expansion Project;
592. \$250,000 for the City of Mandan, North Dakota for drinking water infrastructure improvements;
593. \$300,000 for the Muskingum Watershed Conservancy District, Carroll County, Ohio for the Atwood Conference Center Water Treatment Plant Improvements;
594. \$500,000 for the Village of Racine, Meigs County, Ohio for water treatment plant improvements;
595. \$750,000 for the City of Celina, Ohio for the Water Treatment Plant Project;
596. \$400,000 for City of Akron, Ohio for Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements Project;

597. \$300,000 for City of Parma, Ohio for City Sewer Replacement Project;

598. \$200,000 for Defiance County Commissioners, Defiance and Paulding Counties, Ohio for Auglaize River Sewer Project;

599. \$175,000 for Jefferson County Water and Sewer District, Jefferson County, Ohio for Crestview/Belvedere Sewer Project;

600. \$175,000 for Tri-County Rural Water and Sewer District, Washington, Morgan and Noble Counties, Ohio for Tri-County/Noble County Water Interconnect Project;

601. \$100,000 for City of Delphos, Allen, Putnam and Van Wert Counties, Ohio for Tri-County Regional Water System Project;

602. \$100,000 for Village of Corning, Ohio for Wastewater System Improvements Project;

603. \$250,000 for City of Warrenton, Oregon for continued work on the municipal water outfall;

604. \$250,000 for City of Rainier, Oregon for a wastewater treatment plant;

605. \$250,000 for City of Coquille, Oregon for a wastewater treatment plant;

606. \$250,000 for Klamath Falls, Oregon for preliminary work on wastewater treatment improvements;

607. \$300,000 for the City of Coburg, Oregon for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

608. \$300,000 for the City of Rainier, Oregon for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

609. \$200,000 for the Municipality of Penn Hills, Pennsylvania, for the Madison Avenue Storm Sewer Project;

610. \$200,000 for the Nesquehoning Borough Authority, Carbon County, Pennsylvania, for a water main replacement;

611. \$200,000 for the Mercer County Regional Council of Governments, Pennsylvania, for the Shenango Valley Sewer/Water Improvement Project;

612. \$200,000 for the Berwick Industrial Development Association, Berwick, Pennsylvania, for the sanitary storm water system;

613. \$200,000 for the City of Johnstown, Pennsylvania for water and sewer improvements at the Point Stadium multi-use facility;

614. \$1,500,000 for the Three Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration program in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania to develop innovative, cost-effective solutions to assist municipalities to eliminate sewer overflows;

615. \$250,000 for the Derry Township Municipal Authority in Hershey, Pennsylvania for wastewater treatment plant upgrades;

616. \$250,000 for the Mercer County Sanitary Sewer and Water Treatment project in the City of Hermitage, City of Sharon, and Borough of Sharpsville, Pennsylvania;

617. \$250,000 for the City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania for water infrastructure improvements;

618. \$250,000 for the Newport Borough Sewer Authority in Newport, Pennsylvania for storm and sewer water separation;
619. \$250,000 for the York City Sewer Authority in York, Pennsylvania for wastewater collection system improvements;
620. \$250,000 for Pocono Township in Tannersville, Pennsylvania for the Route 611 Corridor sewer line construction;
621. \$250,000 to the Shannock Water District, Rhode Island for water infrastructure improvements;
622. \$250,000 to the Lincoln Water Commission, Rhode Island for water infrastructure improvements;
623. \$250,000 to the Pawtucket Water Supply Board, Rhode Island for water infrastructure improvements;
624. \$250,000 to the Town of North Kingstown, Rhode Island for water infrastructure improvements;
625. \$1,000,000 for the Narragansett Bay Commission, Rhode Island for combined sewer overflow infrastructure improvements;
626. \$500,000 for the City of Newport, Rhode Island for water infrastructure improvements;
627. \$500,000 for the Town of Warren, Rhode Island for sewer infrastructure improvements;
628. \$250,000 for Charleston CPW, Charleston, South Carolina for a Wastewater Tunnel Replacement Project;
629. \$250,000 for Kershaw County, Kershaw, South Carolina for the I-20 Corridor Infrastructure Project-Waste Water Treatment Plant Expansion;
630. \$800,000 for the Chester Sewer District, South Carolina for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
631. \$1,000,000 for Kershaw County, South Carolina for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
632. \$1,500,000 for the City of Huron, South Dakota for water infrastructure improvements;
633. \$600,000 for the Green Valley Sanitary District, South Dakota for water infrastructure improvements;
634. \$400,000 for the City of Tyndal, South Dakota for water infrastructure improvements;
635. \$300,000 for Milbank, South Dakota, for wastewater infrastructure improvements;
636. \$300,000 for Sisseton, South Dakota, for stormwater improvements;
637. \$750,000 for the City of Pikeville and Bledsoe County, Pikeville, Tennessee for water infrastructure improvements;
638. \$500,000 for the Watauga River Regional Water Authority, Carter County, Tennessee for planning and construction of regional water infrastructure facilities;
639. \$750,000 for the Walden's Ridge Water System, Hamilton County, Tennessee for water infrastructure improvements;
640. \$500,000 for the San Antonio Water System, Texas for water infrastructure improvements at KellyUSA;
641. \$650,000 for the Lower Rio Grande Morillo Drain Rehabilitation project in the Lower Rio Grande Valley of Texas;

642. \$800,000 for the Canyon Lakes Water Reuse Project in Lubbock, Texas for construction related costs to the water system infrastructure;

643. \$350,000 for the Abilene Brekenridge Reservoir project in Abilene, Texas for drinking water infrastructure;

644. \$400,000 for the Pharr Wastewater Collection System in Pharr, Texas to update the wastewater system infrastructure;

645. \$300,000 for the City of Brekenridge, Texas wastewater and sewer infrastructure project;

646. \$500,000 for the City of Hillsboro, Texas wastewater and sewer infrastructure project;

647. \$1,250,000 for the Town of Colchester, Vermont for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

648. \$1,000,000 for the Town of Waitsfield, Vermont for wastewater infrastructure improvements;

649. \$400,000 for the Fairfax County Water Authority, Virginia for the drinking water infrastructure improvements associated with the Electric Reliability project;

650. \$300,000 for Caroline County, Virginia for the Dawn Wastewater Treatment project;

651. \$400,000 for the City of Norfolk, Virginia for the Norfolk Sewer and Water Infrastructure Replacement;

652. \$300,000 for the City of Holladay, Utah, for water infrastructure improvements associated with the Wayman Storm Drain Project;

653. \$500,000 for the Magna Water Company an Improvement District, Magna, Utah, for water infrastructure improvements associated with the perchlorate & arsenic treatment plant;

654. \$400,000 for the City of Logan, Utah for water infrastructure improvements;

655. \$400,000 for Park City, Utah for water infrastructure improvements associated with the Judge and Spiro Tunnel treatment plant;

656. \$400,000 for the City of Riverton, Utah for water infrastructure improvements;

657. \$400,000 for the City of Orem, Utah for water infrastructure improvements;

658. \$100,000 for the Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District, Utah for the Groundwater Extraction and Treatment Remedial Project;

659. \$1,000,000 for Sandy City, Utah for drinking water and storm water infrastructure improvements;

660. \$400,000 for the City of Battle Ground, Washington for sewer infrastructure improvements;

661. \$750,000 for the Port of Walla Walla, Washington for the Burbank Water System improvements;

662. \$500,000 for the City of Kennewick, Washington for drinking water infrastructure improvements;

663. \$500,000 for Skamania County Public Utilities District in Carson, Washington for water infrastructure improvements;

664. \$250,000 for Squaxin Island Tribe in Shelton, Washington for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements;
665. \$1,000,000 for the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District in Wisconsin for sewer infrastructure improvements;
666. \$1,000,000 for the City of Racine, Wisconsin for water infrastructure improvements; and
667. \$600,000 for the City of Sun Prairie, Wisconsin for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The conferees have again this year included an administrative provision giving the Administrator specific authority to, in the absence of an acceptable tribal program, award cooperative agreements to federally recognized Indian Tribes or Intertribal consortia so as to properly carry out EPA's environmental programs.

The conference agreement includes a provision that allows EPA to collect certain pesticides fees authorized last year. The conferees have rejected the President's proposal to reinstate fees prohibited by the same Act and are concerned that EPA is needlessly spending time proposing fees and promulgating rules when other more productive pesticide work could be completed.

The conferees have again this year, included a provision that for fiscal year 2005 extends the eligibility of brownfield grant recipients to those who purchased properties prior to the enactment of the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfield Revitalization Act of 2001. The conferees have not included a provision that would permit the use of certain brownfield grant funds for administrative costs, as the Senate had proposed.

In addition the conferees included a provision, as proposed by the House, which authorizes the agency to collect and use the non-federal share of the cost of the Great Lakes Legacy Act projects.

The conferees did not include a provision proposed by the Senate to provide special personnel authority for the Office of Research and Development.

Finally, the conference agreement does not include the provision proposed by the Senate to require EPA to reformat its budget justification; however, the conferees urge EPA to continue its current budget justification reformatting process.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

Appropriates \$6,379,000, which is \$702,000 below the House and the Senate. The reduction reflects a cost decrease, at OSTP's request, for security related expenses that are no longer necessary.

The conferees direct OSTP to assess the cost and manner in which all federally funded agencies and entities award and pay science grants and stipends. The conferees understand that OSTP is currently conducting a similar review. To the extent there are differences between OSTP's review and the requirements in this report language, the conferees direct OSTP to incorporate the additional requirements as part of OSTP's review. OSTP is directed to consult with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on this assessment.

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Appropriates \$3,284,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conference agreement also includes a transfer of \$1,000,000 to the Office of Environmental Quality Management Fund, with which the conferees direct CEQ, in coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and other agencies as appropriate, to contract with the National Academy of Sciences within 60 days of enactment to conduct a study of the environmental, including landscape/viewshed, impacts of wind energy projects in the Mid-Atlantic Highlands. This study is to conclude with appropriate recommendations, such as viewshed and other criteria, regarding the siting of wind turbines in the Mid-Atlantic Highlands and should be submitted to the Committees no later than December 2005.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$30,125,000 for the Office of Inspector General, as proposed by the House instead of \$30,625,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funds for this account are derived from the Bank Insurance Fund, the Savings and Loan Insurance Fund, and the FSLIC Resolution Fund and are therefore not reflected in either the budget authority or budget outlay totals.

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
FEDERAL CITIZEN INFORMATION CENTER FUND

Appropriates \$14,907,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

UNITED STATES INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS
OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriates \$1,500,000 for the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness as proposed by the House and the Senate.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

The joint explanatory statement of the managers herein reflects the agreement of the conferees on NASA programs and activities. The operative funding levels for programs and activities are those funding levels specified in this joint explanatory statement of the managers. Where no funding level is specified, NASA is directed to make a final determination of funding level for fiscal year 2005 and is required to notify the Congress under the established operating plan procedures of the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate. The conferees direct NASA not to charge any administrative expenses to congressionally directed spending on specific projects. These costs should be absorbed within the funding provided.

The conferees note that the House had requested a report from NASA documenting the reconciliation and correction of discrep-

ancies between NASA's fund balance and the U.S. Treasury's reported balances as of September 30, 2003. NASA has not yet satisfied the reporting requirement specified in the House report, even though the report was due on September 30, 2004, over 45 days ago. NASA is directed to expeditiously complete the requested report and formally submit it to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate. Included in the report should be an explanation of any outstanding discrepancies and potential remedies.

As part of the proposed exploration vision, NASA will begin to phase-out existing programs in order to accommodate the vision. These plans must be clearly identified in order for NASA to smoothly transition older programs to make way for missions associated with the vision. As part of this process, the conferees direct NASA to include in all future budget justifications the phase-out schedules and any out-year termination dates of its programs.

The conferees direct the National Academy's Space Studies Board to conduct a thorough review of the science that NASA is proposing to undertake under the space exploration initiative and to develop a strategy by which all of NASA's science disciplines, including Earth science, space science, and life and microgravity science, as well as the science conducted aboard the International Space Station, can make adequate progress towards their established goals, as well as providing balanced scientific research in addition to support of the new initiative. This study should be completed no later than March 15th, 2005.

The conferees have included substantial funding for the space exploration initiative, but to date there has been no substantive Congressional action endorsing the initiative. The conferees note that the initiative is a very long-term endeavor and will require tens of billions of dollars over the next two decades. As such, the initiative deserves and requires the deliberative benefit of the Congress. To this end, the conferees call upon the appropriate Committees of jurisdiction of the House and Senate for action to specifically endorse the initiative and provide authorization and guidance. NASA is directed to forward a comprehensive package of authorization legislation for consideration by the 109th Congress.

The conferees are concerned that the current implementation plans for the new vision do not properly address the requirements for the heavy lift capability that may be necessary to carry out the space exploration initiative. A complete review of such plans must be conducted prior to embarking fully upon the implementation of the initiative. In order to assess heavy lift capability needs, NASA shall report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate, no later than 180 days from the date of enactment of this Act, regarding NASA's heavy lift capability needs and plans to meet those needs immediately and in the future. NASA is encouraged to look at concepts currently being developed in the Falcon program with DARPA that could have an impact on future heavy lift program development.

The conferees are concerned about the implications of full cost accounting procedures on the operation of NASA's wind tunnels. Rates charged to U.S. airframe, engine and component manufacturers are significantly higher than facilities in Europe. The conferees are concerned that the impact of such high fees will drive U.S. com-

panies and jobs overseas and result in the closing of NASA's wind tunnels. Therefore, the conferees direct NASA to restructure the fees charged for use of the agency's wind tunnels to make them competitive with rates charged overseas and report back to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate by March 1, 2005 on their plan to restructure the fee system. Furthermore, the conferees prohibit NASA from closing any wind tunnels during fiscal year 2005.

The conferees agree with the direction in the Senate report that NASA is to include the out-year budget impacts on all operating plan proposals. The operating plan and all resubmissions also shall include a separate accounting of all program/mission reserves.

The conferees agree with the Senate direction that NASA shall provide appropriate funds for the completion of the current NAPA review of NASA's organizational, programmatic, and personnel structures, including funds to review the recently announced NASA organization transformation and the recommendation contained in the Aldridge report for NASA to consider conversion of some NASA centers to Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

The conferees are concerned that that sole source contracting can stifle competition and discourage new investment in space-related activities and should be avoided as much as practicable. The conferees direct NASA to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate, each intention by NASA to enter into a sole source contract no later than 10 days before a contract is awarded; this requirement shall apply to all new contracts and contract modifications of more than \$500,000 where a new contractor is involved or a new activity is added to an existing contract.

The conferees agree that from within the funding provided, \$291,000,000 is to be used for a servicing mission to the Hubble Space Telescope. The conferees believe a successful servicing mission to Hubble should be one of NASA's highest priorities and have provided a substantial increase in funding to accomplish this goal. The conferees direct NASA to report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate on the status of their plan to service Hubble and the recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

Finally, the conferees note that NASA has requested and the conferees have provided unrestrained transfer authority between the Exploration Capabilities account and the Science, Aeronautics, and Exploration account. The conferees have taken this action because NASA needs flexibility as it completes its transition to full cost accounting. While this transfer authority can be used for purposes other than addressing full cost accounting issues, NASA is cautioned to do so with restraint.

SCIENCE, AERONAUTICS AND EXPLORATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$7,742,550,000 for science, aeronautics and exploration, instead of \$7,621,169,000 as proposed by the House and \$7,936,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Senate proposal included \$200,000,000 in emergency funding.

The Federal investments in aeronautics research and development have delivered countless economic and societal benefits to the nation over the years. Challenges in dealing with the projected growth in air traffic as well as the need to reduce significantly the adverse environmental impacts of future aircraft will require that NASA remain deeply engaged in aeronautics research and development. The conferees direct NASA to develop a prioritized set of aeronautics goals through 2020, along with the annual funding requirements associated with achieving each goal. The plan should be provided to the Committees within 120 days. As part of NASA's investments in this area, the conferees direct NASA to provide \$25,000,000 for Intelligent Propulsion System Foundation Technologies (Propulsion 21) to continue research by the existing coalition of NASA, state government, industry, and academia.

The conferees have included \$28,200,000 for the National Space Grant College and Fellowship program. This amount is an increase of \$9,100,000 to the fiscal year 2005 budget request. The amount provided will fund 40 states at \$575,000 each and 12 states at \$350,000 each as well as \$1,000,000 for administrative expenses.

The conferees have included \$12,000,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR). The amount provided is \$7,400,000 above the budget request of \$4,600,000 and will fund the fourth year of current five-year research grants.

The conferees note the overall success of the Science, Engineering, Mathematics and Aerospace Academies program and direct NASA to fund the core program at no less than \$4,800,000 in fiscal year 2005.

The conferees have provided \$10,000,000 for the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter [LRO], a reduction of \$60,000,000 from the budget request. NASA should continue with its announcement of opportunity for scientific instruments with these funds. However, in establishing the criteria for instrument selection, not less than 25 percent of the LRO's scientific instrumentation funding should be explicitly dedicated to building instruments focused solely on answering basic science questions. The conferees are concerned that the lunar measurement investigations to be carried out by the LRO mission, intended to characterize future robotic and human lunar landing sites, will forgo the opportunity for research and focus only on applied engineering assessments. The current proposed announcement of opportunity focuses solely on the human exploration objectives of the potential mission. Since the LRO is allocated against NASA's space science budget, the conferees believe that fundamental lunar science questions should be addressed in a significant fashion through instruments on this spacecraft. The conferees encourage NASA, as part of the LRO development, to consider the research instrumentation opportunities as well as technology qualification, navigation and communications capabilities, and resource identification technologies to maximize the opportunities of this first lunar mission.

The conferees share the concern of the Senate with regard to the proposed reductions to the Living With a Star [LWS] program and provide \$681,100,000 for the program. To meet the original goals of the LWS program, which the Congress has endorsed, the

conferees are providing an additional \$35,000,000 for the LWS theme in 2005 to be allocated as follows: \$5,000,000 for the solar probe mission; \$15,000,000 to begin implementation of Geospace and \$5,000,000 for preliminary studies of solar sentinels; and \$10,000,000 for Solar Terrestrial Probes for continued development of the Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) mission. Furthermore, the conferees agree with the Senate direction that NASA is to develop a plan to guarantee launch of Geospace and Solar Dynamic Observatory within one year of each other with solar sentinels to follow in a reasonable time thereafter.

The conferees agree to provide \$2,000,000 for establishment of a NASA program office at the Applied Physics Laboratory [APL] for the purpose of administering all existing contracts between NASA and APL, including those under the LWS Program. The APL program office will report directly to the Associate Administrator for Science.

The conferees agree with the Senate direction that NASA is directed to undertake a detailed study of the feasibility for a New Horizons II mission, to be launched within the near-term, if the study results can justify the scientific return for such a follow-on mission, at a price considerably less than the original New Horizons mission. Such a study should have its results submitted to the Committee on Appropriations by April 15, 2005.

The conferees do not agree with the direction in the Senate report calling for the transfer of 10 FTE from the Office of the Associate Administrator for Science to the NASA field centers responsible for management of the Discovery and New Frontiers programs. NASA is directed to expeditiously address the concerns raised in the Senate report.

The conferees direct NASA to select competitively some of the scientific instruments for the Terrestrial Planet Finder mission as directed by the Senate. The conferees provide \$15,000,000 for this effort.

The conferees agree to an increase of \$15,000,000 above the President's request for fiscal year 2005 for the NASA Earth Science Applications Program. This funding increase will be used to support competitively-selected applications projects. These projects will integrate the results of NASA's earth observing systems and earth system models (using observations and predictions) into decision support tools to serve applications of national priority including, but not limited to, Homeland Security, Coastal Management, Agriculture Efficiency, Water Management and Disaster Management.

The conferees are supportive of continuation of the ECS/EMD Synergy Program, reflecting the success of NASA's EOS Data Information System [EOSDIS] and its core system [ECS]. The conferees are providing \$15,000,000 for Synergy in fiscal year 2005 with \$1,500,000 for the Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory's Infomart; not more than \$1,500,000 to support the transition of Synergy Infomart activities to the ESE Application Division to be administered through a Cooperative Agreement [CAN] that will focus these funds toward meeting the needs of State, local and tribal governments; and \$12,000,000 through the EOSDIS Maintenance and Development Contract to support an extension of the Synergy Data Pools to improve data distribution to climate change

models, expansion of data distribution to the user community and development of a pilot project using grid computing technology.

The conferees remain supportive of NASA's Columbia Project to upgrade its supercomputing capacity, but insists that NASA's total supercomputing capability should not reside at one location to protect the Agency from a potential single point of failure for mission critical and safety of flight analyses. Therefore, the conferees have provided \$5,000,000 from within funds projected for the Columbia project to upgrade the Goddard Space Flight Center's Center for Computational Science [NCCS] to guarantee that it serves as NASA's backup supercomputing center with tier 1 system backup and disaster recovery functions, including full transfer capability in the event of a failure of the principal supercomputer facility.

Based on the success of the X-43 program, the conferees are providing \$25,000,000 to continue design work being conducted for the X-43c as a follow-on to the X-43a program. The conferees encourage joint NASA and Air Force cooperation and collaboration in advancement of aeronautics technologies in the National interest.

The conferees agree to the following specific funding increases in addition to any increases mentioned above:

Space Science

An increase of \$250,000 for the Detroit Science Center;

An increase of \$150,000 for the Coca-Cola Space Science Center in Columbus, Georgia to support the Space Science Center;

An increase of \$2,100,000 for continued development of a light-weight carrier pallet to increase NASA's payload capacity for space shuttle servicing missions;

An increase of \$500,000 for the Sacramento Space Science Center at California State University;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for telescope construction at the Pisgah Astronomical Research Center;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for University of Idaho for RTULP Electronics for Space Applications;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for Utah State University in Logan, Utah for the Calibration Center;

An increase of \$300,000 to the University of Missouri at Rolla for the Advanced Millimeter Wave Inspection System program;

An increase of \$3,000,000 to New Mexico State University for the ultra-long balloon program to augment planned flights and technology development;

An increase of \$1,500,000 to Montana State University to purchase clean room systems and basic process equipment related to the microdevice fabrication facility;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for Texas Tech University Experimental Sciences Initiative, Lubbock, Texas to promote advanced and interdisciplinary research;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the Southern Methodist University Multifab Facility in Dallas, Texas to develop multifabrication manufacturing technology;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas for the Arkansas-Oklahoma Center for Space and Planetary Sciences;

An increase of \$1,500,000 to Montana State University-Bozeman for the Center for Studying Life in Extreme Environments;

An increase of \$2,500,000 to Marshall University in Bridgeport, West Virginia for the continuation of NASA related composites workforce development training at the Composites Technology Institute; and

An increase of \$1,750,000 to the University of Maryland, Baltimore County for photonics research.

Earth Science

An increase of \$500,000 to the Friends of the Museum of Natural Sciences in Raleigh, North Carolina for NASA Earth Science integration planning;

An increase of \$500,000 for continuation of emerging research that applies remote sensing technologies to forest management practices at the State University of New York, College of Environmental Sciences and Forestry;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the Advanced Interactive Discovery Environment engineering research program at Syracuse University;

An increase of \$3,000,000 for the Regional Application Center for the Northeast;

An increase of \$15,900,000 for the Institute for Scientific Research, Inc. for development and construction of research facilities;

An increase of \$1,500,000 for on-going activities of the Goddard Institute for Systems, Software, and Technology Research, including mission design tools, Earth Science analysis, and remote sensing instrumentation development;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the Goddard Space Flight Center's Clustering and Advanced Visual Environments Initiative;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the University of San Francisco Center for Science and the Environment;

An increase of \$500,000 for hyper spectral remote sensing research and development at the Desert Research Institute;

An increase of \$400,000 for Space Place;

An increase of \$4,500,000 for the implementation of a remote data storage capability at the NASA IV&V Facility. Appropriated funds are for augmenting available data storage capacities; expanding remote data storage capabilities to the Goddard Space Flight Center and a second DAAC; and communications, facility and integration services at the IV&V Facility to support data backup, recovery, and on-line access capabilities for the Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) ECS program;

An increase of \$3,000,000 to be transferred to the Air Force Research Laboratory to begin development of miniature synthetic radar technology;

An increase of \$1,500,000 for Integrated Sensing Systems at the Rochester Institute of Technology;

An increase of \$3,500,000 for Little River Canyon Field School;

An increase of \$390,000 for Pearl River Community College in Mississippi for remote sensing, geographic information system and GPS training;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for Idaho State University for the Temporal Landscape Change Research program;

An increase of \$3,000,000 for the University of Alaska for weather and ocean research;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to Utah State University in Logan, Utah for the Intermountain region Digital Image Archive and Processing Center;

An increase of \$750,000 for the University of Northern Iowa for the GeoTREE project;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the University of Texas Mid-American Geospatial Information Center at the UT Center for Space Research in Austin, Texas to continue information collection through satellite imaging;

An increase of \$500,000 to the Liberty Science Center, Jersey City, New Jersey for the Hudson Harbor and Estuary Ecological Learning Center;

An increase of \$750,000 to the University of Connecticut for the Center for Land Use and Education Research;

An increase of \$750,000 to the University of Vermont, Burlington for the Center for Advanced Computing;

An increase of \$5,400,000 for the Wallops Island Flight Facility to be used for developing a standard small launch vehicle, universal FTS, doppler radar and launch modeling laboratory;

An increase of \$2,000,000 to the University of North Dakota in Grand Forks for the Northern Great Plains Space Sciences and Technology Center; and

An increase of \$2,000,000 to upgrade the High End Production Capability at the Goddard Space Flight Center to improve climate and weather research capabilities.

Biological and Physical Research

An increase of \$3,000,000 for space radiation research at the Loma Linda University Medical Center;

An increase of \$500,000 for the Northwestern University Institute for Proteomics and Nanobiotechnology;

An increase of \$400,000 for Musculoskeletal Simulator for Injuries at the Cleveland Clinic;

An increase of \$1,250,000 for the Michigan Research Institute;

An increase of \$600,000 to the MCNC-Research and Development Institute (RDI) for continued funding for a Laboratory for Distributed Chemical and Biological Sensors;

An increase of \$500,000 for gravitational space biology research at North Carolina State University;

An increase of \$3,000,000 for the National Center of Excellence in Bioinformatics in Buffalo, New York;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the Central New York Biotechnology Research Center in Syracuse, New York;

An increase of \$900,000 for the State University of New York Downtown Medical Center in Brooklyn, New York for the Advanced Biotechnology Incubator project;

An increase of \$1,500,000 to the University of Missouri at Columbia for the National Center for Gender Physiology studies on basic biomedical knowledge for the improvement of life on earth and solution of problems in human space flight;

An increase of \$5,000,000 to the Marshall Space Flight Center for propulsion materials microgravity research;

An increase of \$2,000,000 for the Alliance for Nanohealth, Houston, Texas to purchase equipment and conduct research on Nanotechnology and medicine;

An increase of \$2,000,000 for the University of Louisville Space Flight Exploration: The Impact on Perception, Cognition, Sleep and Brain Physiology Project at the University of Louisville in Louisville, Kentucky;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the National Technology Transfer Center at Wheeling Jesuit University to transfer and adapt the Walter Reed Army Medical Center's HealthForces program, into medically underserved rural areas;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the State University of Buffalo Center for Bioinformatics, Erie, New York;

An increase of \$3,000,000 to the Inland Northwest Space Alliance in Montana for the FreeFlyer program; and

An increase of \$750,000 to the University of Montana in Missoula, Montana for the National Space Privatization Program.

Aeronautics

An increase of \$350,000 for Validated Probabilistic Lifting Tools;

An increase of \$500,000 for the Michigan Small Aircraft Transportation System;

An increase of \$3,000,000 for the Virginia Institute for Performing Engineering and Research;

An increase of \$700,000 to the Virtual Systems Laboratory of the National Aviation Technology Center, School of Aviation, Dowling College, New York;

An increase of \$1,700,000 for the University of Toledo Turbine Institute;

An increase of \$600,000 to the Research Triangle Institute, International for Synthetic Vision Systems/Combined Vision Systems;

An increase of \$2,100,000 for Research on Advanced Wireless Communications for Airport Applications;

An increase of \$2,700,000 to research Secure Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) Surveillance data link technology for enhanced aviation security and general aviation airspace access;

An increase of \$5,000,000 for Project SOCRATES;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the National Aviation Technology Center at Dowling College, New York;

An increase of \$500,000 for the development of an Aircraft Radio Guidance System (ARGUS) utilizing a new radio frequency interferometer that will provide two or three dimensional navigation guidance for airborne, space or surface vehicles;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the development of a Research Flight Computing System in support of the NASA Dryden Flight Research Center's Altair/Predator B UAV Technology Demonstrator Project;

An increase of \$7,500,000 for the Hydrogen Research Initiative;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the Applied Polymer Technology Extension Consortium for research on polymers;

An increase of \$850,000 for the Florida Institute of Technology in Melbourne, Florida for its Hydrogen, Fuel Cell & Sensor Technology Initiative;

An increase of \$2,300,000 to the University of Missouri at Rolla for Aerospace Propulsion Particulate Emissions Reduction Program;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the National Institute of Aviation Research in Kansas for icing research;

An increase of \$2,000,000 to Wichita State University in Wichita, Kansas for the National Center for Advanced Materials Performance for composite materials research;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the Glenn Research Center for the National Center for Communications, Navigation and Surveillance;

An increase of \$4,000,000 for the Glenn Research Center for the commercial technology program;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to Iowa State University for the Center for Nondestructive Evaluation; and

An increase of \$3,000,000 to Chesapeake Information Based Aeronautics Consortium.

Education

An increase of \$500,000 to the State of Alabama for the Alabama Math, Science, and Technology Initiative;

An increase of \$250,000 for the Education Training Center at the U.S. Space and Rocket Center;

An increase of \$2,000,000 to the Educational Advancement Alliance, to support the Alliance's K-12 math, science, and technology education enrichment program;

An increase of \$400,000 for Albany State University/Darton College in Albany, Georgia for the Science, Engineering, Math and Aerospace Academy program;

An increase of \$250,000 for South Georgia Technical College in Americus, Georgia for the Science, Engineering, Math and Aerospace Academy program;

An increase of \$250,000 for Albany State University in Albany, Georgia for project 'JumpStart' for a Math, Science Education Enhancement program for pre-college students;

An increase of \$250,000 for the Georgia Project/ABAC College, Tifton, Georgia to implement a K-12 program for Hispanic students in science, engineering, math and aerospace in SW Georgia who struggle with English as a Second Language;

An increase of \$400,000 for the University System of Georgia—Board of Regents, Atlanta, Georgia for purchase and implementation of a pre-testing software for math and science educational and career-related standardized test;

An increase of \$100,000 for Georgia Southwestern College in Americus, Georgia for grants and scholarships in math and science for students implemented through the Multicultural Affairs Program;

An increase of \$4,000,000 for a new Science Center at St. Bonaventure's University in New York State;

An increase of \$2,000,000 for the JASON Foundation;

An increase of \$300,000 for a Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Center at Tennessee Tech University, Cookeville, Tennessee;

An increase of \$250,000 for Hollins University for upgrades to its science infrastructure;

An increase of \$250,000 for the University of New England Marine Science Center;

An increase of \$500,000 for the Liberty Science Center;

An increase of \$350,000 for Aerospace Education Center in Cleveland, Ohio;

An increase of \$200,000 for Morehouse College in Atlanta, Georgia to support the technology center;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for National Center for Air and Space Law at the University of Mississippi;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for Tennessee Technological Institute for the development of a Challenger Learning Center;

An increase of \$500,000 for the Christa McAuliffe Planetarium in New Hampshire for the construction of the Alan Shepard Discovery Center;

An increase of \$500,000 to Southeast Missouri State University for the NASA-ERC Initiative;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the Texas A&M Space Engineering Institute in College Station, Texas to continue minority engineering outreach in conjunction with NASA;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to Northern Kentucky University/University of Louisville for the Taking Astronomy to the Schools Project at Northern Kentucky University in Campbell County, Kentucky;

An increase of \$750,000 for the US Space and Rocket Center in Huntsville, Alabama for education training equipment and the museum exhibit improvement program.

An increase of \$250,000 for Sci-Quest, Northern Alabama Science Center for the interactive immersive-reality science laboratory;

An increase of \$750,000 to the Delaware Aerospace Education Foundation in Kent County, Delaware;

An increase of \$500,000 to the Chabot Space and Science Center in Oakland, California for The Future for Humans in Space Education Program;

An increase of \$250,000 for Dominican University, San Rafael, California for the Center for Science and Technology for science teacher training and education;

An increase of \$250,000 to Rowan University, Pomona, New Jersey for the Engineering and Technology Satellite Campus;

An increase of \$250,000 to the Museum of Science and Industry in Chicago, Illinois for the Henry Crown Space Center;

An increase of \$250,000 to Glendale Community College, California for the Cimmarusti Science Center's Teacher Training and Science Education Outreach Program;

An increase of \$500,000 to the Science Center of Iowa in Des Moines, Iowa;

An increase of \$2,000,000 for improvements to the Cooper Library at the University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina;

An increase of \$2,000,000 to the College of Charleston, South Carolina for the School of Science and Mathematics;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the Boston Museum of Science, Massachusetts for the National Center for Technology Literacy;

An increase of \$750,000 to Space Education Initiative, Wisconsin for the Wisconsin Aerospace Education Initiative;

An increase of \$1,750,000 to the Mitchell Institute, Portland, Maine for educational purposes;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the Virginia Air and Space Museum, Norfolk, Virginia;

An increase of \$750,000 for the Griffith Observatory, Los Angeles, California; and

An increase of \$4,000,000 to the University of Hawaii, Hilo for the Mauna Kea Astronomy Education Center;

EXPLORATION CAPABILITIES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriates \$8,425,850,000 for exploration capabilities, instead of \$7,496,800,000 as proposed by the House and \$8,411,100,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Senate proposal included \$600,000,000 in emergency funding.

The conferees agree that the space shuttle remains the cornerstone of our Nation's heavy launch capability and is critical to the future of the International Space Station and scientific research. Therefore, the conferees have provided \$4,319,200,000 for the space shuttle program, the same as the level within the request of the administration. Should additional resource needs associated with return-to-flight activities arise during this fiscal year, the regular order of the budget process allows for the Administration to submit a supplemental request for funding, which would be given full and fair consideration by Congress. Alternatively, NASA has flexibility under established operating plan procedures with the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate to propose funding adjustments to augment the budget for the space shuttle as necessary, contingent on Congressional approval of the proposed changes. The conferees believe that returning the shuttle fleet to flight, the first step in the Space Exploration Initiative, should be NASA's highest priority.

Within the funds provided, the conferees direct \$10,000,000 for the Propulsion Research Laboratory at Marshall Space Flight Center to perform non-nuclear research on spacecraft engine systems that support nuclear thermal propulsion development. The conferees direct NASA to ensure that NASA facilities are utilized to the greatest extent possible by the Department of Energy in its role as a contractor for NASA under the Project Prometheus program.

The conferees do not agree with the termination of the commercial programs within the Innovative Technology Transfer Partnerships (ITTP) program as proposed in the budget submission, and have therefore provided an increase of \$30,000,000 to this appropriation for the express purpose of continuing the commercial programs, including the activities of both NASA and associated personnel, as they existed in fiscal year 2003 and prior fiscal years. The conferees notes that the National Academy of Public Adminis-

tration (NAPA) has completed the first phase of an analysis of the ITTP program, which highlights a number of weaknesses that reduce the program's effectiveness at spin-in and spin-out of technology. The conferees direct NASA to fully address the recommendations of phases I and II of the NAPA study in the context of future budget submissions. The conferees support maintaining a vigorous ITTP program at NASA and strongly support maintaining the spin-out of NASA technology to the commercial world as an integral part of the program.

The conferees agree with direction contained in the Senate report that as soon as the Shuttle is available to provide access to the ISS, NASA is to provide the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate with a plan detailing the steps necessary to complete construction of the ISS. This plan may include completion of the ISS by only using the shuttle, or a combination of shuttle and unmanned flights for delivering components to the ISS. The cost implications associated with the revised schedule must be included in the plan that is submitted to the Committees within 30 days after the successful return-to-flight of the shuttle program. The report should also contain a timeline, in conjunction with the construction timetable for the ISS, for the eventual transition to a new manned launch vehicle.

The conferees are prepared to commit funds for development of a Crew Exploration Vehicle [CEV], but remain concerned that there has not been enough initial planning to determine what specific capabilities the CEV should have. The determination of the right capabilities should naturally come from a carefully thought-out plan and goals, which have yet to emerge from the implementation of the space exploration initiative. The current plan offered by NASA resembles a work-in-progress, rather than a firm definition of what is necessary to accomplish missions to the ISS, as well as future manned missions. The conferees expect NASA to provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate that details the criteria and developmental goals the CEV must meet to accomplish the missions envisioned by NASA within 60 days of enactment of this Act. The report shall also include the internal and independent procedures that will be in place to ensure that the CEV will stay within its budget throughout its development.

As NASA begins to consider another manned vehicle program, the conferees do not want a repeat of the mistakes of the International Space Station, where poor management and lack of independent oversight resulted in major cost overruns. At this early stage in the development of the CEV, it is essential that these mistakes be avoided. Therefore, the conferees direct the Administrator of NASA to identify an independent oversight committee capable of examining the design, technology readiness, and most importantly the cost estimates for the CEV. The Administrator shall use available funds within the Exploration Capabilities account to provide sufficient resources for this independent committee. The chosen oversight committee shall report to the Administrator and the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate annually on their findings and recommendations.

The conferees have agreed to provide \$10,000,000 for the Centennial Challenges program, subject to passage of authorizing legislation. NASA is directed to submit a detailed implementation plan for this program to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate as soon as practicable.

The conferees recognize that modeling and simulation will have an important role in assessing the overall system development and performance in the Space Exploration Initiative and provide \$3,000,000 for this purpose. The conferees believe that simulated integrated systems, including testing and evaluation, will substantially reduce the total development costs of future space transportation systems by formulating and validating program requirements and by identifying and mitigating program risks as early as possible in the development process. The conferees direct the Office of Exploration Systems to develop and implement an integrated system simulation strategy to take full advantage of modeling, simulation, and evaluation tools.

The conferees direct NASA to keep the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate informed, in writing, of any movement of funds related to the shuttle program, as well as including the out-year impacts on all activities involved in the funding shifts. Finally, the conferees expect regular consultations by NASA on all proposed changes to investments in the Shuttle program. These consultations should occur before program decisions are finalized.

The conferees agree to the following specific funding increases in addition to any increases mentioned above:

An increase of \$400,000 for the Glennan Microsystems Commercialization Initiative;

An increase of \$300,000 for Garrett Morgan Commercial;

An increase of \$900,000 for Simulation based acquisition for manned space flight vehicle, design and testing, MSFC;

An increase of \$150,000 to the Technology Research & Development Authority of Central Florida for continuing investment in IT, and security technologies;

An increase of \$2,000,000 for the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory for development of performance, safety, and mission success tools for NASA programs;

An increase of \$250,000 to the Alabama A&M University for Advanced Propulsion Materials Research;

An increase of \$500,000 for the Nano and Micro Devices Laboratory at the University of Alabama in Huntsville;

An increase of \$6,000,000 for the continuation of the Space Alliance Technology Outreach Program for business incubators in Florida and New York;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the National Center of Excellence in Wireless and Information Technology Programs at Stony Brook University, New York;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for the National Center of Excellence in Small Scale Systems Packaging at the State University of New York at Binghamton;

An increase of \$2,500,000 for NASA's Independent Verification and Validation Facility, of which \$800,000 is available for continuation of the Code Level Metrics Data Program; \$400,000 is avail-

able for continuation of IV & V of Neural Nets; and \$400,000 is available for Software Legacy Research;

An increase of \$600,000 to the MCNC-Research and Development Institute (RDI) for continued funding for a Laboratory for Distributed Chemical and Biological Sensors;

An increase of \$1,000,000 for Cryogenic Power Electronics Development at the State University of New York at Albany;

An increase of \$200,000 for the National Center for Communication Navigation, and Surveillance at Glenn Research Center;

An increase of \$400,000 for COM Simulation Architecture;

An increase of \$300,000 for the Bowling Green State University Hybrid Engine project;

An increase of \$500,000 to the University of Alabama in Huntsville for a Space Flight Guidance, Navigation, and Control Test Bed;

An increase of \$3,000,000 for the National Center of Excellence in Infotonics in Rochester, New York;

An increase of \$3,000,000 for the Computing, Information and Communications Technology Program (CICT) for High Information Density Approaches to Mobile Broadband Internet Communications;

An increase of \$3,000,000 to the Mobile Broadband Network project, a joint effort between NASA and the Air Force Research Laboratory;

An increase of \$3,000,000 to be transferred to the Air Force Research Laboratory to continue joint research between NASA and the Air Force on emerging areas of computing including grid computing, quantum and biomolecular information processing technology;

An increase of \$4,000,000 for the Stennis Space Center for the commercial technology program;

An increase of \$4,000,000 for the Marshall Space Flight Center for the commercial technology program;

An increase of \$750,000 to Purdue University in West Lafayette, Indiana for the Advanced Manufacturing Institute;

An increase of \$2,000,000 to Wheeling Jesuit University, West Virginia for continued operation of the National Technology Transfer Center;

An increase of \$1,000,000 to the University of New Orleans, Louisiana for the Composites Research Center of Excellence and for the development of advanced metallic joining technologies at Michoud Space Center;

An increase of \$1,750,000 to the University of Maryland, College Park for the nanotechnology institute; and

An increase of \$2,000,000 to the SSME program office at Marshall for development of a knowledge management integrated data environment.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$31,600,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$31,400,000 as proposed by the House. The amount provided includes \$3,800,000 to conduct NASA's annual audit of NASA's financial statements.

The conferees remain concerned that NASA needs to reform its contracting process to ensure timely delivery of both services and hardware. The conferees direct the NASA Inspector General to issue a list of contracting ‘trouble’ areas with recommendations to address these areas. The conferees understand that this is no easy project, but expects NASA and the NASA IG to respond to these concerns with a package of proposed contracting reforms that can begin to be implemented in fiscal year 2005.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The conferees have included three administrative provisions included in both the House and Senate bills. In addition, the conferees agree to language proposed by the Senate allowing the use of funds for prizes and language allowing for the transfer of funds between the exploration capabilities account and the science, aeronautics, and exploration account subject to established operating plan procedure. The conferees further agree to language proposed by the Senate as a General Provision, with some modifications, which will have the effect of incorporating projects and activities into the text of the bill by reference.

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

CENTRAL LIQUIDITY FACILITY

Provides limitation of \$1,500,000,000 on CLF lending activities from borrowed funds as proposed by the House and Senate.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REVOLVING LOAN FUND

Appropriates \$1,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. Within this amount, \$800,000 is provided for technical assistance to low-income and community development credit unions. Funds are available for two years as proposed by the House. The Senate had proposed that funds be available until expended. The conferees reiterate language proposed by the Senate encouraging NCUA to continue to develop technical assistance in rural areas.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

The conferees agree that the language included in House Report 108-674 and Senate Report 108-353 is to be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in this conference report and the statement of the managers.

The conferees agree that the National Science Foundation is to abide by the reprogramming requirements set forth in the House report requiring prior Committee approval for any transfers in excess of \$500,000 or any change that could be construed as policy or a change in policy, instead of \$250,000 as proposed in the Senate report.

The conferees agree that the National Science Foundation is to present its fiscal year 2006 budget justification submission in the traditional appropriations account structure with detailed information on program, project and activity funding levels and all proposed changes. The Foundation is directed not to format or integrate its strategic themes of “People,” “Tools,” and “Ideas” into the

detailed appropriations justifications but instead may provide them as supplementary information. The conferees direct the Foundation to submit a template for its fiscal year 2006 budget justification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate that complies with this direction no later than December 15, 2004.

RESEARCH AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

Appropriates \$4,254,593,000 for research and related activities instead of \$4,151,745,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,402,320,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree to continue funding for the costs of Foundation employees hired under the Intergovernmental Personnel Agreements (IPA) Act under this account instead of consolidating funding for such costs under the Salaries and Expenses account as proposed by the House. New language is included to limit the amount available for such purposes to the amount requested in the Foundation's budget for fiscal year 2005.

The conferees have included bill language that provides up to \$350,000,000 for polar research and operations support, as proposed by the House and \$95,000,000 for a comprehensive research initiative on plant genomes for economically significant crops, as proposed by the Senate. Language is also included as proposed by the Senate and carried in previous years regarding distribution of funding against statutory minimum and maximum levels. The House did not include similar language. Language proposed by the Senate is not included authorizing the payment of travel expenses from this account. The House did not include similar language as requested in the budget. The conferees understand that deletion of this language will not impact travel costs associated with Foundation staff hired under the IPA Act since such costs are eligible to be funded under this account.

Notwithstanding the language in the House and Senate reports designating the allocation of funds, the conferees have agreed that the allocation among programs and directorates is to be determined by the Director of the Foundation, unless specifically noted herein. The Foundation is to submit its proposed operating plan to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate within sixty days of enactment of this Act that addresses the Foundation's highest research priorities. In developing this plan, the Foundation is urged to maintain the proper balance between interdisciplinary research and single-issue research in core disciplines.

The conference agreement provides \$95,000,000 for plant genome research on economically significant crops as proposed by the Senate. The House did not address this matter.

The conferees do not object to the allocation of not to exceed \$6,000,000 for continued planning and design for the National Ecological Observatory Network if the Director of the Foundation determines such funding is warranted. The conferees reiterate the language in the House report regarding the National Research Council's recommendations regarding this project. The conferees concur that funding provided may be used for a new class of Science and Technology Centers if the Director of the Foundation determines such funding is warranted when measured against other priorities within the agreed upon total for this account.

The conferees do not object to the allocation of not to exceed \$5,000,000 for completion of a design and development study for the Giant Segmented Mirror Telescope if the Director of the Foundation determines such funding is warranted based upon private sector interest and commitment, other astronomical science needs, and subject to approval by the National Science Board.

The conference agreement does not provide any funding for the new Workforce for the 21st Century program. The Foundation is encouraged to work with the Committees to develop a more refined and descriptive proposal for future consideration.

The conference agreement does not provide any funding for a new Innovation Fund.

The conferees understand that discussions between the National Science Foundation and the Smithsonian Institution are ongoing and have been productive. With respect to Smithsonian researchers' access to NSF funding, the Committees expect the Foundation to treat any proposal submitted by non-Federal employees of the Smithsonian whose salaries are not part of appropriated accounts in the same manner as any academic proposal.

The conferees reiterate the direction in the Senate report requiring NSF to include multi-year budget estimates and future budget impacts for multi-disciplinary and mid-level activities in the annual operating plan and in future budget requests.

MAJOR RESEARCH EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION

Appropriates \$175,050,000 for major research equipment and facilities construction instead of \$208,200,000 as proposed by the House and \$130,420,000 as proposed by the Senate. Included within the appropriated amount is \$49,700,000 for construction of the Atacama Large Millimeter Array aperture-synthesis radio telescope; \$47,350,000 for EarthScope; \$48,000,000 for continued research and development of the IceCube Neutrino Detector Observatory in Antarctica; \$15,000,000 for the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP); and \$15,000,000 for the Rare Symmetry Violating Processes Program.

Funding for the NEON program is addressed under the Research and Related Activities account.

EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Appropriates \$848,207,000 for education and human resources instead of \$842,985,000 as proposed by the House and \$929,150,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree to continue funding for the costs of Foundation employees hired under the Intergovernmental Personnel Agreements (IPA) Act under this account instead of consolidating funding for such costs under the Salaries and Expenses account as proposed by the House. New language is included to limit the amount available for such purposes to the amount requested in the Foundation's fiscal year 2005 budget. Language is also included as proposed by the Senate and carried in previous years regarding distribution of funding against statutory minimum and maximum levels. The House did not include similar language.

The conferees agree to the following funding levels and directives within this account:

Program	FY 2005 request	House	Senate	Conference agreement
Math & Science Partnership	\$80,000,000	\$82,500,000	\$110,000,000	\$80,000,000
EPSCoR	84,000,000	94,440,000	95,000,000	94,440,000
Elementary, Secondary & Informal Education	172,750,000	175,457,000	187,750,000	183,412,000
Undergraduate Education	158,850,000	160,301,000	162,430,000	154,905,000
Graduate Education	173,880,000	155,950,000	173,880,000	155,950,000
Human Resources Development	107,940,000	115,343,000	126,060,000	119,500,000
Research, Evaluation & Communication	73,940,000	64,494,000	73,940,000	60,000,000

Within this level of funding for Elementary, Secondary and Informal Education, \$63,565,000 has been provided for the Informal Science program.

Of the amount appropriated for Undergraduate Education, \$45,500,000 is for the Advanced Technological Education program; \$25,485,000 is for the STEM Talent Expansion Program (STEP); and \$7,950,000 is for the Robert Noyce Scholarship Program. No funds are provided for the Workforce for the 21st Century program.

Within the funding level for Human Resource Development, \$35,300,000 is provided for the Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation program; \$25,420,000 is provided for the Historically Black Colleges and Universities Undergraduates (HBCU) Program; \$14,910,000 is provided for the Alliance for Graduate Education and the Professoriate; \$16,000,000 is provided for the Centers of Research Excellence in Science and Technology (CREST) program and HBCU Research University Science and Technology (THRUST) initiative within CREST; \$2,510,000 is provided for Model Institutions for Excellence; and \$9,920,000 is provided for Tribal Colleges. While the conferees agree that eligibility for THRUST should not exclude CREST recipients, NSF is directed to first use fiscal year 2005 program funds to fully fund multi-year awards to recipients of THRUST.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$225,000,000 for salaries and expenses instead of \$249,970,000 as proposed by the House and \$269,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

At the request of the Foundation, the conferees have agreed to continue funding the costs of personnel employed by the Foundation under the Intergovernmental Personnel Act (IPA's) under the "Research and Related Activities" and the "Education and Human Resources" appropriations accounts. These costs have been set within each account at \$25,954,000 and \$5,500,000, respectively. No funding has been included in the "Salaries and Expenses" account for this purpose. The conferees note that the \$31,454,000 provided for the IPA program in fiscal year 2005 is approximately a 10 percent increase over the fiscal year 2004 level for the program. The conferees are pleased that the Director has assured the Committees that the cost of the IPA program will be shown in a more transparent way in future budget submissions. As a part of this process the conferees expect the fiscal year 2006 budget justification materials to include a single consolidated schedule showing all personnel costs for the Foundation.

The conference agreement provides sufficient resources to maintain existing staffing levels at the Foundation. Within the

total amount provided for this account, the Director may, at his discretion, devote additional resources to address permanent staffing at the agency and address computer system needs, particularly for the FastLane system.

The conferees reiterate the direction in the Senate report regarding staffing support to the Deputy Director of Large Facilities and expect the fiscal year 2005 operating plan to identify the actions taken regarding this matter.

OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

Appropriates \$4,000,000 for the National Science Board as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$3,950,000 as proposed by the House.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriates \$10,110,000 for the Office of Inspector General as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conferees request that the IG conduct a review of the portion of the Foundation's total budget devoted to administrative and other overhead expenses and how these costs compare to similar costs at other large research agencies.

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

PAYMENT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

Appropriates \$115,000,000 for the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Language is included in the bill which designates \$5,000,000 to support the Corporation's multi-family rental housing program, as proposed by the Senate.

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriates \$26,300,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by both the House and Senate. The conferees are in agreement that the Selective Service System should not use any of its funds to support the Corporation for National and Community Service.

WHITE HOUSE COMMISSION ON THE NATIONAL MOMENT OF REMEMBRANCE

Appropriates \$250,000 for this account as proposed by the House. The Senate did not include a similar provision but instead included funding in another bill.

TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS

The conference agreement includes the following dispositions of General Provisions:

Retains sixteen general provisions proposed by both the House and the Senate, all of which were included in the fiscal year 2004 Act.

Includes modified language, similar to language proposed by the House and the Senate, amending Section 313 of the National Space Act of 1958 to implement new appropriations accounts.

Retains language proposed by the House and the Senate regarding outreach and marketing efforts to enroll veterans in the Veterans Health Administration.

Retains language proposed by the Senate expressing a Sense of the Congress that no veteran should wait more than thirty days for a doctor's appointment. The House did not include similar language.

Retains language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of any NASA funds to be used for voluntary separation incentive payments if those incentives result in the loss of skills related to the safety of the Space Shuttle or the International Space Station. The House did not include similar language.

Retains language proposed by the Senate recognizing the six Pioneer Homes in Alaska as eligible for per diem payments under the Department of Veterans Affairs state home program. The House did not include similar language.

Retains language proposed by the House and the Senate related to benefits to families of astronauts of the Space Shuttle Columbia.

Retains language proposed by the Senate to amend a provision included in the fiscal year 2004 Act regarding the regulation of engines under 50 horsepower. The House did not include similar language.

Deletes language proposed by the House providing for expansion of the NASA enhanced use lease demonstration program. The Senate did not include similar language.

Deletes language under this title proposed by the Senate regarding NASA expenditure of funds and instead includes the provision under NASA Administrative Provisions. The House did not include similar language.

Deletes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds in this or any other Act to implement section 338 of the Department of the Interior appropriations bill. The House did not include similar language.

Deletes language proposed by the Senate amending section 1502 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002. The House did not include similar language.

Adds a provision appropriating funds for the reconstruction of Pier 86 in New York City.

Adds a provision providing compensation funds to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Adds a provision exempting the Village of Chickasaw, Ohio from section 102(g)(2) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE I					
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS					
Veterans Benefits Administration					
Compensation and pensions.....	29,845,127	32,607,688	32,607,688	+2,762,561	---
Readjustment benefits.....	2,529,734	2,556,232	2,556,232	+26,498	---
Veterans insurance and indemnities.....	29,017	44,380	44,380	+15,363	---
Veterans housing benefit program fund program account (indefinite).....	305,834	43,784	43,784	-262,050	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(300)	---	(500)	(+200)	(+500)
Credit subsidy.....	---	-144,000	-144,000	-144,000	---
Administrative expenses.....	153,936	154,075	154,075	+139	---
Education loan fund program account.....	1	---	---	-1	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(3)	---	---	(-3)	---
Administrative expenses.....	70	---	---	-70	---
Vocational rehabilitation loans program account.....	52	47	47	-5	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(3,938)	(4,108)	(4,108)	(+170)	---
Administrative expenses.....	298	311	311	+13	---
Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program Account..	568	571	571	+3	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(50,000)	(50,000)	(50,000)	---	---
Total, Veterans Benefits Administration.....	32,864,637	35,263,068	35,263,088	+2,398,451	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Veterans Health Administration					
Medical services.....	17,761,803	---	19,472,777	+1,736,797	+19,498,600
Two year funding.....	---	---	---	---	---
(Emergency appropriations).....	---	---	---	---	---
Medical administration.....	4,970,500	---	4,705,000	-265,500	+4,705,000
Medical facilities.....	3,976,400	---	3,745,000	-231,400	+3,745,000
Medical and prosthetic research.....	405,593	769,540	405,593	-20,823	-384,770
Medical care.....	---	24,967,830	---	---	-24,967,830
Accelerated spending of balances, sec. 115.....	---	---	---	---	---
Two-year funding.....	---	1,396,000	---	---	-1,396,000
Rescission.....	-270,000	---	---	+270,000	---
Medical care cost recovery collections:					
Offsetting collections.....	-1,554,772	-2,002,000	-2,002,000	-447,228	---
Appropriations (indefinite).....	1,554,772	2,002,000	2,002,000	+447,228	---
Accelerated spending of balances (Sec. 114b).....	---	---	---	---	---
Total, Veterans Health Administration.....	26,844,296	27,133,370	28,328,370	+1,489,074	+1,200,000
Offsetting collections.....	(-1,554,772)	(-2,002,000)	(-2,002,000)	(-447,228)	---
Total available to VHA.....	(28,399,068)	(29,135,370)	(30,330,370)	(+1,936,302)	(+1,200,000)
Departmental Administration					
General operating expenses.....	1,275,701	1,324,753	1,324,753	+44,052	-5,000
National Cemetery Administration.....	143,352	148,925	148,925	+5,573	---
Office of Inspector General.....	61,634	64,711	69,711	+8,077	+5,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Construction, major projects.....	271,081	458,800	458,800	+187,719	---
Omnibus Appropriations (P.L. 108-199) Sec.167.....	497	---	---	-497	---
Construction, minor projects.....	250,656	230,799	230,779	-19,857	---
Grants for construction of State extended care facilities.....	101,498	105,163	105,163	+3,665	---
Grants for the construction of State veterans cemetaries.....	31,811	32,000	32,000	+189	---
Total, Departmental Administration.....	2,136,230	2,365,151	2,370,131	+228,921	---
Total, title I, Department of Veterans Affairs..	61,845,163	64,761,609	65,961,589	+4,116,446	+1,200,000
Appropriations.....	(63,669,935)	(65,367,609)	(67,963,589)	(+4,293,674)	(+2,596,000)
Rescissions.....	(-270,000)	---	---	(+270,000)	---
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	---	---	---
Offsetting collections.....	(-1,554,772)	(-2,002,000)	(-2,002,000)	(-447,228)	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(54,241)	(54,108)	(54,608)	(+367)	(+500)
Mandatory.....	(32,709,712)	(35,108,084)	(35,108,084)	(+2,398,372)	---
Net discretionary.....	(29,135,451)	(29,653,525)	(30,853,505)	(+1,718,074)	(+1,200,000)
Medical care collection fund.....	(1,554,772)	(2,002,000)	(2,002,000)	(+447,228)	---
Total discretionary available.....	(30,690,223)	(31,655,525)	(32,855,505)	(+2,165,302)	(+1,200,000)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE II					
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT					
Public and Indian Housing					
Housing Certificate Fund:					
Direct appropriation.....	15,081,970	14,265,800	---	-15,081,970	-14,265,800
Advance appropriations provided in previous acts..	4,175,220	4,200,000	---	-4,175,220	-4,200,000
Subtotal, Housing certificate fund.....	19,257,190	18,465,800	---	-19,257,190	-18,465,800
Advance appropriations provided in current year...	4,200,000	4,200,000	---	-4,200,000	-4,200,000
Total, Housing certificate fund.....	23,457,190	22,665,800	---	-23,457,190	-22,665,800
Tenant-based Rental Assistance:					
Direct appropriation.....	---	---	10,685,000	+10,477,055	+10,477,055
Advance appropriations provided in previous acts..	---	---	4,200,000	+4,200,000	+4,200,000
Subtotal, Tenant-based rental assistance.....	---	---	14,885,000	+14,677,055	+14,677,055
Advance appropriations provided in current year...	---	---	4,200,000	+4,200,000	+4,200,000
Total, Tenant-based rental assistance.....	---	---	19,085,000	+18,877,055	+18,877,055
Project-based rental assistance.....	---	---	5,341,000	+5,340,745	+5,340,745
Public housing capital fund.....	2,696,253	2,674,100	2,600,000	-116,253	-94,100
Public housing operating fund.....	3,578,760	3,573,000	2,458,000	-153,760	-148,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Revitalization of severely distressed public housing..	149,115	---	144,000	-6,115	+143,000
Native American housing block grants.....	650,241	647,000	627,000	-28,241	-25,000
Indian housing loan guarantee fund program account....	5,269	1,000	5,000	-269	+4,000
(Limitation on guaranteed loans).....	(197,243)	(29,070)	(145,345)	(-51,898)	(+116,275)
Native Hawaiian housing block grant.....	---	9,500	---	---	-9,500
Native Hawaiian housing loan guarantee fund.....	1,029	1,000	1,000	-29	---
(Limitation on guaranteed loans).....	(39,712)	(37,403)	(37,403)	(-2,309)	---
Total, Public and Indian Housing.....	30,537,857	29,571,400	30,261,000	+455,943	+1,422,400
Current year advance appropriations.....	4,200,000	4,200,000	4,200,000	---	---
Net Total (excluding current year advances).....	26,337,857	25,371,400	26,061,000	+455,943	+1,422,400
Community Planning and Development					
Housing opportunities for persons with AIDS.....	294,751	294,800	284,000	-12,751	-12,800
Rural housing and economic development.....	24,853	---	24,000	-853	+24,000
Empowerment zones / enterprise communities.....	14,912	---	10,000	-662	+14,250
Community development fund.....	4,920,795	4,618,094	4,709,000	-209,795	+92,906
Omnibus Appropriations (P.L. 108-199) Sec.165.....	9,941	---	---	-9,941	---
Omnibus Appropriations (P.L. 108-199) Sec.167.....	2,992	---	---	-2,992	---
Section 108 loan guarantees:					
(Limitation on guaranteed loans).....	(275,000)	---	(275,000)	---	(+275,000)
Credit subsidy.....	6,288	---	6,000	-288	+6,000
Administrative expenses.....	994	---	1,000	+6	+1,000
Brownfields redevelopment.....	24,853	---	24,000	-853	+24,000
HOME investment partnerships program.....	2,005,597	2,084,200	1,915,000	-85,597	-164,200

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Homeless assistance grants.....	1,259,525	1,282,400	1,250,515	-53,525	-76,400
Samaritan housing initiative (legislative proposal)....	---	50,000	---	---	-50,000
Total, Community planning and development.....	8,565,501	8,329,494	8,223,515	-377,251	-141,244
Housing Programs					
Housing for the elderly.....	773,728	773,300	747,000	-32,728	-32,300
Housing for persons with disabilities.....	249,092	248,700	240,000	-11,092	-10,700
Housing counseling assistance.....	---	45,000	---	---	-45,000
Manufactured housing fees trust fund.....	12,923	13,000	13,000	+77	---
Offsetting collections.....	-12,923	-13,000	-13,000	-77	---
Total, housing programs.....	1,022,820	1,067,000	987,000	-43,820	-88,000
Federal Housing Administration					
FHA - Mutual mortgage insurance program account:					
(Limitation on guaranteed loans).....	(185,000,000)	(185,000,000)	(185,000,000)	---	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(50,000)	(50,000)	(50,000)	---	---
Administrative expenses.....	356,882	366,000	356,906	---	-9,118
Offsetting receipts.....	-2,921,000	-2,206,000	-2,206,000	+715,000	---
Offsetting receipts (legislative proposal).....	---	-28,000	-28,000	---	+28,000
Administrative contract expenses.....	84,499	70,900	78,000	-6,499	+7,100
Additional contract expenses.....	1,000	1,000	1,000	---	---
FHA - General and special risk program account:					
(Limitation on guaranteed loans).....	(25,000,000)	(35,000,000)	(35,000,000)	(+10,000,000)	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(50,000)	(50,000)	(50,000)	---	---
Administrative expenses.....	227,649	234,000	227,767	---	-6,351

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Offsetting receipts.....	-225,000	-240,000	-240,000	-15,000	---
Credit subsidy.....	14,912	10,000	10,000	-4,912	---
Non-overhead administrative expenses.....	93,227	81,600	86,000	-7,227	+4,400
Additional contract expenses.....	4,000	4,000	4,000	---	---
Total, Federal Housing Administration.....	-2,363,831	-1,706,500	-1,710,327	+681,362	+24,031
Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA)					
Guarantees of mortgage-backed securities loan guarantee program account: (Limitation on guaranteed loans).....	(200,000,000)	(200,000,000)	(200,000,000)	---	---
Administrative expenses.....	10,695	10,986	10,695	---	-291
Offsetting receipts.....	-316,124	-368,000	-368,000	-51,876	---
Policy Development and Research					
Research and technology.....	46,723	46,700	45,500	-1,723	-1,700
Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity					
Fair housing activities.....	47,717	47,700	46,500	-1,717	-1,700
Office of Lead Hazard Control					
Lead hazard reduction.....	173,968	139,000	168,000	-6,968	+28,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Management and Administration					
Salaries and expenses.....	543,773	591,579	547,197	---	-47,806
Transfer from:					
Limitation on FHA corporate funds.....	(560,672)	(576,000)	(560,673)	---	(-15,328)
GNMA.....	(10,695)	(10,986)	(10,695)	---	(-291)
Community Development Loan Guarantees Program.....	(1,000)	---	(1,000)	---	(+1,000)
Native American Housing Block Grants.....	(150)	(150)	(150)	---	---
Indian Housing Loan Guarantee Fund Program.....	(250)	(250)	(250)	---	---
Native Hawaiian Housing Loan Guarantees.....	(35)	(35)	(35)	---	---
Total, Salaries and expenses.....	(1,116,575)	(1,179,000)	(1,120,000)	---	(-62,425)
Working capital fund.....	233,614	234,000	270,000	-133,614	-134,000
Office of Inspector General.....	76,546	77,000	80,000	+454	---
(By transfer, limitation on FHA corporate funds).....	(23,858)	(24,000)	(24,000)	---	(-142)
Total, Office of Inspector General.....	(100,404)	(101,000)	(104,000)	(+454)	(-142)
Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight.....	39,660	59,209	59,209	+19,529	---
Offsetting receipts.....	-39,660	-59,209	-59,209	-19,529	---
Rescissions:					
Housing Certificate Fund.....	-2,844,000	-1,557,000	-1,557,000	+1,287,000	---
Public housing elimination grants.....	---	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	---
Title VI credit subsidy.....	---	-21,000	-21,000	-21,000	---
Indian housing credit subsidy.....	---	-33,000	-33,000	-33,000	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Urban development action grant.....	-30,000	---	---	+30,000	---
Rental housing assistance.....	-303,000	-675,000	-675,000	-372,000	---
GI/SRI credit subsidy.....	---	-30,000	-30,000	-30,000	---
Total Rescissions.....	-3,177,000	-2,321,000	-2,321,000	+856,000	---
Total, title II, Dept. of Housing and Urban Dev. grand net total, incl current year advance....	35,402,259	35,719,359	36,240,080	+1,376,790	+1,059,690
Current year advance appropriations.....	4,200,000	4,200,000	4,200,000	---	---
Net total, excluding current year advance.....	31,202,259	31,519,359	32,040,080	+1,376,790	+1,059,690
Total Program Level (appropriation).....	(37,893,986)	(36,754,568)	(37,275,289)	(-107,728)	(+1,031,690)
Rescissions.....	(-3,177,000)	(-2,321,000)	(-2,321,000)	(+856,000)	---
Offsetting receipts.....	(-3,462,124)	(-2,842,000)	(-2,842,000)	(+648,124)	(+28,000)
Offsetting collections.....	(-52,603)	(-72,209)	(-72,209)	(-19,606)	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	---	---
(Limitation on guaranteed loans).....	(410,511,955)	(420,066,473)	(420,457,748)	(+9,945,793)	(+391,275)
(Limitation on corporate funds).....	(596,660)	(611,421)	(596,803)	---	(-14,761)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE III					
INDEPENDENT AGENCIES					
American Battle Monuments Commission					
Salaries and expenses.....	41,056	41,100	41,100	+44	---
Foreign currency fluxuation.....	---	---	12,000	+9,000	+9,000
Total American Battle Monuments Commission.....	41,056	41,100	53,100	+9,044	+9,000
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board					
Salaries and expenses.....	8,201	9,451	9,100	+1,250	---
Emergency fund.....	447	400	400	-47	---
Total.....	8,648	9,851	9,500	+1,203	---
Department of the Treasury					
Community Development Financial Institutions					
Community development financial institutions fund program account.....	60,640	48,403	55,522	---	+12,237
Consumer Product Safety Commission					
Salaries and expenses.....	59,646	62,650	62,650	+3,004	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request

Corporation for National and Community Service					
National and community service programs operating expenses.....	549,961	636,232	545,884	-8,961	-95,232
Salaries and expenses.....	24,853	---	26,000	+147	+25,000
Office of Inspector General.....	6,213	6,000	6,000	-213	---
Total.....	581,027	642,232	577,884	-9,027	-70,232

U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims					
Salaries and expenses.....	15,844	17,623	17,250	+881	-898

Department of Defense - Civil					
Cemeterial Expenses, Army					
Salaries and expenses.....	28,829	29,600	29,600	+771	---

Department of Health and Human Services					
National Institute of Health					
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences....	78,309	80,486	80,486	+2,177	---
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention					
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry					

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Toxic substances and environmental public health.....	73,034	76,654	76,654	+3,620	---
Total, Department of Health and Human Services..	151,343	157,140	157,140	+5,797	---
Environmental Protection Agency					
Science and Technology.....	781,685	689,185	750,061	-52,656	+39,844
Transfer from Hazardous Substance Superfund.....	44,433	36,097	36,097	-8,336	---
Subtotal, Science and Technology.....	826,118	725,282	786,158	-60,992	+39,844
Environmental Programs and Management.....	2,280,046	2,316,959	2,313,409	-38,570	-75,483
Office of Inspector General.....	37,336	37,997	38,000	-336	-997
Transfer from Hazardous Substance Superfund.....	13,136	13,214	13,000	-136	-214
Subtotal, OIG.....	50,472	51,211	51,000	-472	-1,211
Buildings and facilities.....	39,764	42,918	39,000	-764	-3,918
Hazardous Substance Superfund.....	1,257,537	1,381,416	1,257,537	---	-123,879
Transfer to Office of Inspector General.....	-13,136	-13,214	-13,000	+136	+214
Transfer to Science and Technology.....	-44,434	-36,097	-36,097	+8,337	---
Subtotal, Hazardous Substance Superfund.....	1,199,967	1,332,105	1,208,440	+8,473	-123,665

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program.....	75,552	72,545	70,000	-1,552	+1,455
Oil spill response.....	16,113	16,425	16,000	-113	-425
Pesticide registration fund.....	---	19,400	19,400	+19,400	---
Pesticide registration fees.....	---	-19,400	-19,400	-19,400	---
State and Tribal Assistance Grants.....	2,705,543	1,979,500	2,458,425	-508,143	+217,900
Omnibus Appropriations (P.L. 108-199) Sec.167.....	3,976	---	---	-3,976	---
Categorical grants.....	1,168,266	1,252,300	1,145,757	-6,639	-90,673
Subtotal, STAG.....	3,877,785	3,231,800	3,604,182	-518,758	+127,227
Total, EPA.....	8,365,817	7,789,245	8,088,189	-612,748	-36,176
Executive Office of the President					
Office of Science and Technology Policy.....	6,986	7,081	6,379	+95	---
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality.....	3,219	3,284	3,284	+65	---
Total.....	10,205	10,365	9,663	+160	---
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation					
Office of Inspector General (transfer).....	(30,125)	(30,125)	(30,125)	---	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
General Services Administration					
Federal Citizen Information Center Fund.....	13,917	14,907	14,907	+990	---
U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness					
Operating expenses.....	1,491	1,500	1,500	+9	---
National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
Science, aeronautics and exploration.....	7,883,114	7,760,000	7,742,550	-261,945	-138,831
(Emergency appropriations).....	---	---	---	---	---
Exploration capabilities.....	7,467,779	8,456,400	8,125,850	+29,021	-959,600
(Emergency appropriations).....	---	---	---	---	---
Office of Inspector General.....	27,139	27,600	31,600	+4,261	+3,800
Total, NASA.....	15,378,032	16,244,000	15,900,000	-228,663	-1,094,631
National Credit Union Administration					
Central liquidity facility: (Limitation on direct loans).....	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	---	---
(Limitation on administrative expenses, corporate funds).....	(310)	(310)	(310)	---	---
Community Development Revolving Loan Fund.....	1,193	1,000	1,000	-193	---
National Science Foundation					
Research and related activities (non-defense).....	4,183,769	4,384,000	4,186,593	-100,024	-300,255

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Defense function.....	67,599	68,000	68,000	+401	---
Research and related activities /1,2.....	4,251,368	4,452,000	4,254,593	-99,623	-300,255
Major research equipment and facilities construction..	154,980	213,270	175,050	+53,220	-5,070
Education and human resources /1,2.....	938,977	771,360	848,207	-95,992	+71,625
Salaries and expenses /1.....	218,702	294,000	225,000	+31,268	-44,030
National Science Board.....	3,877	3,950	4,000	+73	---
Office of Inspector General.....	9,941	10,110	10,110	+169	---
Total, NSF.....	5,577,845	5,744,690	5,516,960	-110,885	-277,730
/1 Recommended reflects transfer of \$31.5M in admin costs to the S&E account funded in FY04 in R&RA (\$28M) and EHR (\$5.5M). The budget did not propose this transfer					
/2 Recommended does not adopt proposed transfer of \$80M for MSP to R&RA from EHR					
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation					
Payment to the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation..	114,322	115,000	115,000	+678	---
Selective Service System					
Salaries and expenses.....	26,153	26,300	26,300	+147	---
White House Commission on the National Moment of Remembrance.....	---	250	250	+250	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Total, title III, Independent agencies.....	30,436,008	30,955,856	30,636,415	-938,582	-1,458,430
Appropriations.....	(30,436,008)	(30,955,856)	(30,636,415)	(-938,582)	(-1,458,430)
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	---	---	---
(By transfer).....	(30,125)	(30,125)	(30,125)	---	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	---	---
(Limitation on corporate funds).....	(310)	(310)	(310)	---	---

TITLE IV

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Community Planning and Development					
Community development fund (sec. 424).....	---	---	31,000	---	---
Total, title IV, General Provisions.....	---	---	31,000	---	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Grand total.....	127,683,430	131,436,824	132,869,084	+4,554,654	+801,260
Current year advances (housing cert. fund).....	4,200,000	4,200,000	4,200,000	---	---
Net grand total.....	123,483,430	127,236,824	128,669,084	+4,554,654	+801,260
Appropriations.....	(131,999,929)	(133,078,033)	(135,906,293)	(+3,247,364)	(+2,169,260)
Rescissions.....	(-3,447,000)	(-2,321,000)	(-2,321,000)	(+1,126,000)	---
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	---	---	---
Offsetting receipts.....	(-3,462,124)	(-2,842,000)	(-2,842,000)	(+648,124)	(+28,000)
Offsetting collections.....	(-1,607,375)	(-2,074,209)	(-2,074,209)	(-466,834)	---
(By transfer).....	(30,125)	(30,125)	(30,125)	---	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(1,654,241)	(1,654,108)	(1,654,608)	(+367)	(+500)
(Limitation on guaranteed loans).....	(410,511,955)	(420,066,473)	(420,457,748)	(+9,945,793)	(+391,275)
(Limitation on corporate funds).....	(596,970)	(611,731)	(597,113)	---	(-14,761)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE I - Department of Veterans Affairs					
Veterans Benefits Administration.....	32,864,637	35,263,088	35,263,088	+2,398,451	---
Veterans Health Administration.....	26,844,296	27,133,370	28,328,370	+1,489,074	+1,200,000
Departmental administration.....	2,136,230	2,365,151	2,370,131	+228,921	---
Total, Title I - Department of Veterans Affairs..	61,845,163	64,761,609	65,961,589	+4,116,446	+1,200,000
TITLE II - Dept. of Housing and Urban Dev					
Public and indian housing (excluding CY advances).....	26,337,857	25,371,400	26,061,000	+455,943	+1,422,400
Community and planning development.....	8,565,501	8,329,494	8,223,515	-377,251	-141,244
Housing programs.....	1,022,820	1,067,000	987,000	-43,820	-88,000
Federal Housing Administration.....	-2,363,831	-1,706,500	-1,710,327	+681,362	+24,031
Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA).....	-305,429	-357,014	-357,305	-51,876	-291
Policy development and research.....	46,723	46,700	45,500	-1,723	-1,700
Fair housing and equal opportunity activities.....	47,717	47,700	46,500	-1,717	-1,700
Office of lead hazard control.....	173,968	139,000	168,000	-6,968	+28,000
Management and administration.....	1,116,575	1,179,000	1,120,000	---	-62,425
Working capital fund.....	233,614	234,000	270,000	-133,614	-134,000
Office of Inspector General.....	100,404	101,000	104,000	+454	-142
Total, Title II - Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (excluding CY advances).....	31,202,259	31,519,359	32,040,080	+1,376,790	+1,059,690

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE III - Independent Agencies					
American Battle Monuments Commission.....	41,056	41,100	41,100	+44	---
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.....	8,648	9,851	9,500	+1,203	---
Community development financial institutions fund (Department of Treasury).....	60,640	48,403	55,522	---	+12,237
Consumer Product Safety Commission.....	59,646	62,650	62,650	+3,004	---
Corporation for National and Community Service.....	581,027	642,232	577,884	-9,027	-70,232
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims.....	15,844	17,623	17,250	+881	-898
Cemeterial expenses, Army.....	28,829	29,600	29,600	+771	---
HHS/(NIH-Institute of Environmental Health Sciences) and (CDC-Toxic Substances and Disease Registry).....	151,343	157,140	157,140	+5,797	---
Environmental Protection Agency.....	8,365,817	7,789,245	8,088,189	-612,748	-36,176
EOP/Office of Science and Technology Policy, Council Environmental Qual. and Office of Environmental Qual	10,205	10,365	9,663	+160	---
Federal Deposit Insurance Corp.....	30,125	30,125	30,125	---	---
GSA/ Federal Consumer Information Center.....	13,917	14,907	14,907	+990	---
Interagency Council on the Homeless.....	1,491	1,500	1,500	+9	---
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.....	15,378,032	16,244,000	15,900,000	-228,663	-1,094,631
National Credit Union Administration.....	1,193	1,000	1,000	-193	---
National Science Foundation.....	5,577,845	5,744,690	5,516,960	-110,885	-277,730
National Science Foundation.....	114,322	115,000	115,000	+678	---
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation.....	26,153	26,300	26,300	+147	---
Selective Service System.....	---	250	250	+250	---
Commission on National Day of Remembrance.....	---	---	---	---	---
Total Title III - Independent Agencies.....	30,436,008	30,955,856	30,636,415	-938,582	-1,458,430

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE IV - General Provisions					
Community development fund (sec. 424).....	---	---	31,000	---	---
Total Title IV - General Provisions.....	---	---	31,000	---	---
Grand total.....	127,683,430	131,436,824	132,869,084	+4,554,654	+801,260
Current year advances (housing cert. fund)....	4,200,000	4,200,000	4,200,000	---	---
Net grand total.....	123,483,430	127,236,824	128,638,084	+4,554,654	+801,260
Mandatory.....	(32,709,712)	(35,108,084)	(35,108,084)	(+2,398,372)	---
Discretionary.....	(90,773,718)	(92,128,740)	(93,561,000)	(+2,156,282)	(+801,260)

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2005 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2004 amount, the 2005 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2005 follow:

[In thousands of dollars]	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2004	\$127,683,430
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005	131,436,824
House bill, fiscal year 2005	132,238,084
Senate bill, fiscal year 2005	134,238,029
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2005	132,869,084
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2004	+5,185,654
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005	+1,432,260
House bill, fiscal year 2005	+631,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2005	-1,368,945

DIVISION J—OTHER MATTERS

TITLE I—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS AND OFFSETS

Sec. 101. The conference agreement provides \$230,000,000 for the weatherization assistance program of the Department of Energy.

Sec. 102. The conferees have included a new general provision which amends section 1201(a) of Public Law 108-375.

Sec. 103. The conference agreement includes language in section 103 amending Public Law 108-335 the District of Columbia Appropriations Act, 2005 to allow the following: (1) Permits the District of Columbia Department of Transportation to allocate funds from the rights-of-way fund for road, sidewalk, and alley repairs; (2) makes technical corrections to section 340(a) dealing with charter school lease guarantees; (3) repeals section 342(a) and (b) dealing with charter school conversions; (4) modifies section 342(c) dealing with the leasing and acquisition of surplus property by charter schools; and (5) makes technical corrections to section 347 dealing with charter school contracting.

Sec. 104. The conferees include a new provision requiring the Coast Guard to transfer up to \$40,000,000 from the Rescue 21 project to the HH-65 re-engining project. The Rescue 21 project is experiencing significant delays due to software and technical difficulties. The HH-65 re-engining project is a critical safety issue. The Coast Guard has indicated that additional funding is required to accelerate the re-engining project and complete the work by the end of calendar year 2006. Bill language requires the Coast Guard to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations 15 days prior to the transfer occurring.

Sec. 105. The conferees include a new provision extending the authorization of the National Pre-Disaster Mitigation program to December 31, 2005.

Sec. 106. The conferees include a new provision clarifying how funding shall be spent by the Transportation Security Administration's maritime and land security program in fiscal year 2005.