U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigrative Review

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2001-025

Date: JUL 1 6 2001

In re: MARSHALL D. <u>TANDY</u>, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF GENERAL COUNSEL: Jennifer J. Barnes, Esquire

ON BEHALF OF SERVICE: Javier Balasquide, Appellate Counsel

ORDER:

PER CURIAM. On May 5, 2000, the respondent was convicted of conspiracy to money launder, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h), in the United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, and was sentenced to imprisonment for 18 months. The crime is a felony and therefore a "serious crime" within the meaning of 8 C.F.R. § 3.102(h). On May 23, 2000, the respondent was disbarred from practice in the state of Arizona.

Consequently, on May 25, 2001, the Office of General Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review initiated disciplinary proceedings against the respondent and petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. On June 4, 2001, the Immigration and Naturalization Service asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. Therefore, on June 8, 2001, we suspended the respondent from practicing before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service pending final disposition of this proceeding.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. See 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(c)(1). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(d)(1), (2).

The Notice recommends that the respondent be suspended from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts, for a period of five years. The Service asks that we extend that discipline to practice before it as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the recommendation contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that recommendation. 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(d)(2). Since the recommendation is appropriate in light of the sanction imposed by the Supreme Court of Arizona, we will honor that recommendation.

Accordingly, we hereby suspend the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service for a period of five years. As the respondent is currently under our June 8, 2001, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's suspension to have commenced on that date. The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior

D2001-025

order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

After 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ years from the effective date of the respondent's suspension, the respondent may be reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service, provided that the respondent meets the definition of an attorney or representative set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(f) and (j). 8 C.F.R. § 3.107(b). Therefore, should the respondent seek reinstatement, the respondent must notify the Board of his bar standing and his ability to practice law in Arizona. We will consider the respondent for reinstatement once the respondent demonstrates by clear, unequivocal, and convincing evidence that he possesses the moral and professional qualifications required to appear before the Board, the Immigration Courts, the Service, or all three, and that the respondent's reinstatement will not be detrimental to the administration of justice. 8 C.F.R. § 3.107(b)(1).

Finally, given the reciprocal nature of the discipline we impose, we advise the respondent that, should he be reinstated to practice in Arizona prior to completion of his period of suspension, we may entertain a request for reinstatement before Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service if that request complies with the instructions set forth above.

Lauren