# **Archived Information**

# Summary of Jackson Room Research Subgroup Discussion

# **DOMAINS**

#### **Curriculum and Instruction**

#### **Critical Questions**

- 1. It is important to identify what works for whom in what context?
  - -Questions about what students should learn (knowledge gap) is answered through assessment
- 2. How can we increase teacher knowledge and skills to support high quality teaching and curriculum?
- 3. What cause degradation in student performance in middle school and high school –why does the gap increase (minority) and what can curriculum and instruction do to address this problem

#### Ideal

- 1. Develop a coherent set of research questions
- 2. Identify variables and measures on C & I that would allow us to aggregate outcomes from different interventions
- 3. Identify gaps in our knowledge
- 4. Strategy: Develop and explore the value of a matrix that integrates three elements
  - a. Instructional methods
  - b. Instructional tools/Curriculum materials
  - c. Important demographic information

#### Barriers

- Research activities in the field and sponsored by the governmental are currently disjointed and not well integrated
- There is a lack of alignment between instruction, curriculum, and assessment
- Cost of research makes comprehensive, well-integrated, long-term programs of research difficult if not impossible to achieve

#### **Opportunities for Coordination**

- No Child Left Behind provide ---along with its associated programs across the government provides the most obvious point of coordination
- The federal government should try to coordinate its efforts around NCLB

#### Strategies

- WHAT identify limited set of well-define prioritized variables
- WHO- Organization working at national level
- LEVEL all levels must be involved with feds taking the overall coordinating role

# **Cognitive Foundations of Mathematical Competency**

#### Issues

- 1. Teacher knowledge
  - Teacher cognition
  - Effects on students cognition and learning
  - Match between student and teachers development and expertise
- 2. Model
  - Incorporate/use models of cognition to understand representations and acquisitions of mathematics
    - Conceptual understanding
    - Procedural knowledge
    - Relationship between these two
- 3. Translational issues
  - Make research findings available to the general public
  - o Integrate research and educational practice
  - Engage teachers as partners
  - Teachers as actual conducting research through/in their practice
    - Hypotheses
    - Collaboration

#### Ideal

- Understand how teachers cognitive development influences students
- Identify the most effective ways for teachers to transmit what they know
- How should teachers engage students/ask questions to facilitate cognitive development

#### Barriers

- Cost
- Available methodologies
- Sampling –attrition, recruitment
- Available date to support ideal and the answer questions related to the above issues

#### **Opportunities for Coordination**

- Coordinate Federal agencies
- Engage Stake holders—schools, teachers, parents

#### Strategies

- Identify funding and research initiatives
- Create productive networks to facilitate information flow
- Develop consensus around a research agenda
- Create incentives for professional growth and buy-in for stakeholders

#### <u>Assessment</u>

#### Issues

- Research on how assessment results provide information for teaches which results to improve practice, curriculum, and alignment
- We need to improve the translation process of using assessment data to improve educational practice.
- Assessment is not infused into instructional practice.
- Need new techniques to improve the validity and reliability of assessments.
- Research and assessments need a "marketing" approach to encourage the improved use of assessments

#### Ideal

- Teachers will utilize all data (federal, state, local, and classroom) to analyze personal performance to bring about student advancement in the learning of mathematics
- Teachers would know how to translate results to improve and individualize instruction
- Teachers are able to use assessment knowledge to improve student achievement

# Barriers

- Pre-service, in-service preparation and non-traditional programs.
- Assessment is not only to measure, but also to inform practices.
- Lack of training for teachers & other educators on how to use assessments; for example, preservice education programs do not provide sufficient education on assessment techniques
- Assessment is viewed primarily as an accountability issue, both for teachers and schools; assessment is not generally viewed as a tool to improve school performance

# **Strategies - What**

• Technologies coupled with cooperative

#### **Strategies - Who**

• Funding agencies through cooperation with research institutions and schools

#### **Opportunities for Coordination**

- States and their contractors
- Make better use of the regional organizations

#### **Existing Resources**

- University preservice and inservice education programs
- State testing contractors