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## IPAMM Working Group

- Charge:
- Identify best practices to achieve an appropriate balance between proposal success rates, award sizes and award duration
- Focusing on research grants
- Members from across NSF: EHR, R\&RAs, OISE, OPP, and BFA
- Program Directors and Division Directors
- Rotators and Permanent Staff


## What is the context?

- In FY 2000, NSF received ~21,400 research proposals, made $\sim 6,500$ awards (average/median: ~\$101,500/\$75,000)
- Between FY 00-06:
- Average and median award sizes increased $41 \%$ and 38\%, respectively, through FY 05
- FY 00-04, NSF budget grew $-42 \%$ (\$3.9B to \$5.6B), flat between FY 04-06 (~\$5.6B)
- 47\% increase in research proposal submissions (leveled off in FY 05 and 06)
- Annual \# research awards relatively constant
- Research proposal success rates dropped from 30\% in FY 00 to 20\% in FY 05, leveled off in FY 06 at 21\%
- Potential effects on merit review, capacity


## What is the likelihood that a given PI will be funded?

- PI success rates calculated over rolling 3-year windows
- FY 97-99: 44.1\% of Pls that submitted one or more proposals received at least one award
- FY 04-06: 35.7\% of PIs that submitted one or more proposals received at least one award
- Comparing FY 97-99 and FY 04-06 windows:
- 8.9\% increase in total \# of PIs receiving awards
- 34\% increase in \# of PIs submitting to NSF


## Are successful Pls working harder to get funded?

- Successful PIs are submitting more proposals to gain a single award
- 97-99: 1.7 per PI
- 41\% submitted more than one proposal
- 04-06: 2.2 per PI
- 55\% submitted more than one proposal
- Successful PIs that submitted more than one proposal increasingly diversified
- 97-99: 59\% submitted to multiple programs
- 04-06: 69\% submitted to multiple programs


## How have new investigators fared re: success rates?

- Proposal success rates for new PIs:
- Dropped from 20\% (between FY 97-02) to $14 \%$ in FY 04-05 (15\% in FY 06)
- PI success rates for new PIs:
- Dropped from 29.8\% in FY 97-99 to 23.6\% in FY 04-06
- 13\% increase in \# of new Pls receiving awards
- 43\% increase in \# of new Pls submitting proposals


## How have new investigators fared re: awards?

- Award distribution for new PIs remained stable within the NSF portfolio from FY 97 to FY 05 (27\% and $28 \%$, respectively)
- Time from degree to first NSF grant remained stable from FY 97 to FY 06
- 60\% received first NSF grant within 5 years of degree
- 73\% vs. 74\% received first NSF grant within 7 years of degree
- Length of time varied for different disciplines


## Potential drivers that increase proposal submission?

- Possible drivers related to NSF:
- Use of solicitations
- Budget increases
- Increased efficiencies (FastLane, reduced dwell time)
- Possible external drivers:
- Institutional pressures
- Community growth
- Changes at other agencies
- Increasing costs of research


## Findings related to use of solicitations from FY 00-05

- Use of solicitations with specific research foci increased from 53 in FY 00 to 77 in FY 05, peaking at $\sim 82$ in FY 02-04
- Proportion of proposals responding to solicited research calls increased from 12\% in FY 00 to 20\% in FY 05, peaking at 29\% in FY 03
- Success rate comparison:



## Eliciting external input

- IPAMM members have briefed several Advisory Committees this Fall
- Common themes:
- Concerned about potential impact on beginning investigators, transformative research
- Identified institutional pressures, decreasing funds from other sources, and increasing costs as potential external drivers affecting proposal submissions to NSF


## Eliciting external input

- Proposer survey being developed with BAH, will address four primary goals:
- Identifying drivers that increase submissions
- Assess PI perceptions regarding success rates
- Assess impacts of increasing proposal submission rates on the PI and reviewer community
- Identify trends in customer satisfaction
- Recently piloted survey using small focus groups of IPA Program Directors
- Generally found survey clear, relevant and easy to answer


## Next steps

- Case studies of various practices related to proposal submissions
- Complete development and approval processes for proposer survey, run survey
- Full focus groups with IPA Program Directors to validate results of survey (early spring 2007)
- Prepare draft report (mid- to late spring 2007)

