APPENDIX B-1 STANDARD ERRORS FOR TABLES DISPLAYED IN TEXT

STANDARD ERRORS FOR CHAPTER 2 TABLES DISPLAYED IN TEXT

Table 2.1SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.1: Employees Taking Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Number of employees taking leave (for a covered reason) in the previous 18 months	657,248.17 (1,172)	876,388.45 (1,229)
Percent of employee population	0.75 (1,172)	0.63 (1,229)

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 2.2SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.2: Length of Second Longest Leave: 2000 Survey

Length of Second Longest Leave	Those Taking More Than One Leave SE (N)
1 – 3 days	4.81 (101)
4 – 5 days	3.35
6 – 10 days	(76) 2.07 (48)
11 – 20 days	1.12 (23)
More than 20 days	1.63 (31)
Number of Leave-Takers Taking More Than One Leave	525,052.51 (288)

Table 2.3SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.3: Reasons for Taking Leave Across All Leaves Taken in Previous 18 Months: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers SE
Reason for Leave	(N)
Own health	2.40 (630)
Maternity-disability	1.10 (98)
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	1.99 (203)
Care for ill child	1.35 (135)
Care for ill spouse	0.61 (93)
Care for ill parent	1.33 (172)

Table 2.4SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.4: Reasons for Taking Leave Across All Leaves Taken, Based on Total Employee Population: 2000 Survey

Reason for Leave	All Employees SE (N)
Own health	0.52 (630)
Maternity-disability	0.18 (98)
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	0.37 (203)
Care for ill child	0.24 (135)
Care for ill spouse	0.10 (93)
Care for ill parent	0.22 (172)

Table 2.5SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.5: Employees' Reasons for Taking Longest Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers	
Reason for Longest Leave	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Own health	1.92 (704)	1.88 (582)
Maternity-disability	0.61 (62)	1.10 (95)
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	1.26 (176)	2.04 (194)
Care for ill child	1.15 (95)	1.30 (112)
Care for ill spouse	0.45 (46)	0.56 (84)
Care for ill parent	0.94 (89)	1.19 (152)

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 2.6SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.6: Employees' Reasons for Second Longest Leave: 2000 Survey

Reason for Second Longest Leave	Persons Taking More Than One Leave SE (N)
Own health	4.82 (140)
Maternity-disability	0.73 (6)
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	1.43 (13)
Care for ill child	4.18 (48)
Care for ill spouse	1.20 (15)
Care for ill parent	2.29 (45)

Table 2.7SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.7: Length of Longest Leave by Reason for Leave: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers for Each Reason					
Length of Longest Leave (in work days)	Own Health SE (N)	Maternity- Disability SE (N)	Care for Newborn, Newly Adopted or Foster Child SE (N)	Care for III Child SE (N)	Care for III Spouse SE (N)	Care for III Parent SE (N)
1 – 3 days	1.58	1.10	2.53	3.69	5.28	3.52
	(44)	(1)	(22)	(37)	(19)	(26)
4 – 5 days	1.55	2.50	6.16	5.09	6.28	4.51
	(83)	(5)	(34)	(32)	(30)	(51)
6 – 10 days	2.26	2.42	4.27	8.41	4.31	5.22
	(103)	(8)	(29)	(24)	(17)	(42)
11 – 30 days	2.08	4.39	2.70	6.40	3.30	2.91
	(159)	(20)	(31)	(11)	(8)	(19)
31 – 60 days	2.34	6.20	3.42	1.72	2.11	1.65
	(108)	(40)	(54)	(6)	(2)	(7)
More than 60 days	1.20	9.22	1.51	0.33	2.35	1.47
	(72)	(20)	(23)	(1)	(5)	(4)

Table 2.8SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.8: Use of Intermittent Leave: 2000 Survey

Leave-Takers Who:	Leave-Takers SE (N)
Took intermittent leave at least once in previous 18 months	1.72 (329)
Did not take intermittent leave	1.72 (898)

Table 2.9SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.9: Percent of Leave that was Intermittent: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers Taking Intermittent Leave SE (N)
Less than half	3.99 (164)
About half	3.37 (62)
More than half	2.84 (96)

Table 2.10SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.10: Intermittent Use of Longest Leave: 2000 Survey

Leave-Takers' Longest Leave Was:	Leave-Takers SE (N)
Intermittent	1.63 (254)
Not intermittent	1.63 (970)

Source: 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 2.11SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.11: Use of Longest Intermittent Leave on a Routine or As-Needed Basis: 2000 Survey

Intermittent Leave was Taken as:	Those Whose (Longest) Leave was Intermittent SE (N)
Regular routine	5.20 (15)
As-needed	5.20 (155)

Table 2.12SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.12: Intermittent Use of Longest Leave by Reason for Leave: 2000 Survey

Reason for Longest Leave	Leave-Takers Whose Leave was Intermittent SE (N)	Leave-Takers Whose Leave was Not Intermittent SE (N)
Own health	3.81 (94)	2.13 (486)
Maternity-disability	1.46 (13)	1.25 (82)
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	3.73 (25)	2.20 (168)
Care for ill child	3.87 (40)	1.33 (71)
Care for ill spouse	1.91 (25)	0.53 (58)
Care for ill parent	2.71 (53)	1.24 (99)

Table 2.13SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.13: Intermittent Use of Longest Leave by Reason for Leave: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers Within Each Reason Whose Longest Leave Was:		
Reason for Longest Leave	Intermittent SE (N)	Not Intermittent SE (N)	
Own health	1.80 (94)	1.80 (486)	
Maternity-disability	3.56 (13)	3.56 (82)	
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	3.87 (25)	3.87 (168)	
Care for ill child	7.56 (40)	7.56 (71)	
Care for ill spouse	5.19 (25)	5.19 (58)	
Care for ill parent	4.34 (53)	4.34 (99)	

Table 2.14SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.14: Employees Needing But Not Taking Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Not Taking Leave		
	1995 2000 Survey Surve SE SE (N) (N)		
Number of employees needing but not taking leave (for a covered reason) in the previous 18 months	294,462.50 (187)	279,270.38 (203)	
Percent of employee population	0.24 (187)	0.20 (203)	

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 2.15SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.15: Number of Leaves Needed But Not Taken: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Needers SE (N)
1 leave	4.18 (77)
2 leaves	3.24 (45)
3 – 4 leaves	3.09 (31)
5 or more leaves	2.22 (22)

Table 2.16SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.16: Reasons for Needing Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-N	Needers
Reason for Needing Leave	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Own health	4.28 (92)	3.92 (96)
Maternity-disability	0.51 (1)	0.89 (3)
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	2.65 (17)	2.52 (19)
Care for ill child	3.32 (37)	3.24 (40)
Care for ill spouse	1.86 (19)	1.71 (19)
Care for ill parent	4.09 (33)	3.19 (47)

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 2.17SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.17: Reasons for Not Taking Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Needers			
Reason for Not Taking Leave	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)		
Thought job might be lost	3.35 (58)	3.38 (62)		
Thought job advancement might be hurt	3.71 (44)	3.27 (83)		
Did not want to lose seniority	2.60 (30)	3.52 (56)		
Not eligible—worked part-time	2.54 (28)	2.24 (22)		
Not eligible—had not worked long enough for employer ⁽¹⁾		2.87 (33)		
Employer denied request	2.23 (18)	2.94 (39)		
Could not afford to take leave	4.13 (123)	3.35 (155)		
Wanted to save leave time	3.44 (55)	3.41 (71)		
Work is too important	3.68 (78)	4.07 (104)		
Some other reason	NA	2.33 (28)		

NA Indicates reason not asked about in 1995 survey.

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 2.18SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.18: Perceived Impact of Pay on Leave-Needers: 2000 Survey

Perceived Impact of Pay	Leave-Needers Who Could Not Afford to Take Leave SE (N)
Would have taken leave if some/additional pay had been received	2.84 (132)
Would <u>not</u> have taken leave if some/additional pay had been received	2.84 (19)

Table 2.19SE. Standard Errors for Table 2.19: How Leave-Needers Took Care of Their Situation: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Needers SE (N)
Just lived with it/Suffered through it	3.2 (92)
Got help from others (family, friends)	2.8 (62)
Altered Work (schedule, duties, etc.)	2.6 (24)
Took some time off	2.3 (28)
Did something else	1.6 (10)

STANDARD ERRORS FOR CHAPTER 3 TABLES DISPLAYED IN TEXT

Table 3.1SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.1: Coverage of Establishments and Employees Under the Family and Medical Leave Act: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Establis	hments	Employees		
	1995 2000		2000 1995		
	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	
	SE	SE	SE	SE	
	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	
FMLA-covered establishments	1.18	1.30	1.6	1.51	
	(736)	(1070)	(736)	(1070)	
Non-covered establishments	1.18 (470)	1.30 (731)	1.6 (470)	1.51 (731)	

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Establishments.

Table 3.2SE. Standard Error for Table 3.2: Characteristics of FMLA-Covered Establishments: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

		ered shments	Employees in Covered Establishments		
	1995	2000	1995	2000	
	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	
	SE	SE	SE	SE	
	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	
Eligibility Criteria					
At least 50 employees at sampled location	4.45	5.64	1.40	1.03	
	(645)	(901)	(645)	(901)	
At least 50 employees within 75 miles of sampled location	4.45	5.64	1.40	1.03	
	(91)	(169)	(91)	(169)	
Number of Employees at Worksites					
Up to 250 employees	0.53	0.69	2.2	1.60	
	(447)	(757)	(447)	(757)	
More than 250 employees	0.53	0.69	2.2	1.60	
	(289)	(313)	(289)	(313)	
Standard Industrial Classification					
Manufacturing	1.35	3.46	1.35	1.32	
	(200)	(241)	(200)	(241)	
Retail	4.91	3.15	4.91	0.91	
	(130)	(202)	(130)	(202)	
Services	5.11	3.59	5.11	1.67	
	(233)	(377)	(233)	(377)	
All other industries	7.11	5.28	7.11	1.10	
	(173)	(250)	(173)	(250)	

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Establishments.

Table 3.3SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.3: Demographic Characteristics of Leave-Takers by Eligibility Status: 2000 Survey

	Covered and Eligible Leave-Takers SE (N)	All Other Leave-Takers SE (N)
Gender		
Male	2.44	3.98
	(316)	(145)
Female	2.44	3.98
	(489)	(279)
Age		
18 – 24	1.46	3.29
	(46)	(41)
25 – 34	1.78	4.11
	(199)	(127)
35 – 49	2.33	3.34
	(334)	(167)
50 – 64	1.61	1.48
	(201)	(74)
65 or over	0.40	0.69
	(17)	(12)
Race/Ethnicity		
White non-Hispanic	2.37	2.59
	(595)	(344)
Black non-Hispanic	2.20	0.94
	(97)	(27)
Hispanic	1.35	2.54
	(58)	(27)
Asian	0.54	0.62
A 11 - 11	(22)	(8)
All others	0.62	0.95
Marital Otatus	(23)	(15)
Marital Status	0.10	0.07
Married/Living with partner	2.18	2.87
Congrete d/Diverge d/M/deved	(602)	(317)
Separated/Divorced/Widowed	1.43 (113)	1.70 (58)
Never been married	1.80	2.59
Never been marned	(85)	(47)
Children Under 18 in Household	(00)	(11)
None	2.05	2.77
None	(339)	(179)
One or more	2.05	2.77
S.i.o or more	(465)	(245)

Table 3.3SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.3: Demographic Characteristics of Leave-Takers by Eligibility Status: 2000 Survey (continued)

	Covered and Eligible Leave-Takers SE (N)	All Other Employees SE (N)
Education		
Less than high school	1.01 (38)	2.56 (24)
High school graduate	2.19 (228)	2.57 (116)
Some college	2.59 (247)	2.83 (137)
College graduate	1.53 (190)	3.48 (104)
Graduate school	1.39 (100)	3.05 (43)
Annual Family Income		
Less than \$20,000	1.51 (74)	3.55 (74)
\$20,000 to less than \$30,000	1.67 (86)	2.23 (49)
\$30,000 to less than \$50,000	2.37 (180)	2.70 (91)
\$50,000 to less than \$75,000	2.20 (206)	2.40 (87)
\$75,000 to less than \$100,000	1.74 (106)	1.14 (30)
\$100,000 or more	1.38 (72)	4.19 (41)
Compensation Type		
Salaried	2.16 (318)	3.79 (128)
Hourly	2.42 (437)	3.54 (220)
Other	1.00 (49)	1.95 (73)

Table 3.4SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.4: Awareness of FMLA Among Covered and Non-covered Employees: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Employees					
	Covered		Non-covered		All Employees	
	1995	2000	1995	2000	1995	2000
	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey
	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE
	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)	(N)
Employees who have heard about FMLA	1.91	1.72	3.04	2.85	1.60	1.43
	(875)	(1244)	(327)	(328)	(1202)	(1572)
Employees who have not heard about FMLA	1.91	1.72	3.04	2.85	1.60	1.43
	(663)	(713)	(346)	(255)	(1009)	(968)

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 3.5SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.5: Employees Taking Their Longest Leave Under FMLA⁽¹⁾: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

Taking Longest Leave Under FMLA	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Percent of all employees	0.16 (85)	0.19 (153)
Percent of all leave-takers	0.94 (85)	1.02 (153)
Percent of all covered and eligible leave-takers	1.49 (85)	1.53 (153)

⁽¹⁾ Estimate derived from employees who were covered and eligible at time of longest leave.

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 3.6SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.6: Establishment Size and Industry Differences in Ratio of FMLA Leave-Takers:⁽¹⁾ 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Ratio of Employees Taking Leave Under FMLA per 100 Employees	
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Establishment Size		
Up to 250 employees	0.30 (359)	0.55 (573)
More than 250 employees	0.50 (229)	0.68 (249)
Industry		
Manufacturing	0.90 (170)	1.39 (211)
Retail	0.40 (92)	0.82 (136)
Services	0.50 (194)	0.52 (292)
All other industries	0.50 (132)	0.99 (183)
All Covered Establishments	0.30 (588)	0.44 (1015)

(1) Per 100 employees.

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Establishments.

Table 3.7SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.7: Intermittent Use of Longest Leave Taken Under FMLA: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers Under FMLA SE
Longest Leave Was:	(N)
Intermittent	5.39
	(25)
Not intermittent	5.39
	(128)

⁽¹⁾ Estimate derived from employees who were covered and eligible at time of longest leave.

Table 3.8SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.8: Reasons for Longest Leave Taken Under FMLA:⁽¹⁾ 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers Under FMLA	
Reason for Longest Leave	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Own health	7.62 (36)	4.64 (57)
Maternity-disability	3.46 (11)	2.76 (19)
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	4.59 (20)	3.86 (37)
Care for ill child	2.75 (7)	4.86 (14)
Care for ill spouse	2.36 (4)	1.17 (7)
Care for ill parent	2.74 (7)	2.91 (19)

⁽¹⁾ Estimate derived from employees who were covered and eligible at time of longest leave.

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 3.9SE. Standard Errors for Table 3.9: Employees Choosing Not to Return to Work After Taking Longest Leave Under FMLA:

1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers Under FMLA	
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Returned to work for the same employer	1.49 (72)	1.22 (124)
Chose not to return after their leave ⁽¹⁾	1.49 (2)	1.22 (4)

⁽¹⁾ Estimate derived from employees who were covered and eligible at time of longest leave. Includes employees who went to work for another employer as well as those who chose to not return to work at all.

Source: 1995 and 2000 Survey of Employees.

STANDARD ERRORS FOR CHAPTER 4 TABLES DISPLAYED IN TEXT

Table 4.1SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.1: Leave-Taker Worries About Taking Leave: 2000 Survey

Worries About Taking Leave:	Leave-Takers SE (N)
Worried job might be lost	1.85 (324)
Worried leave might hurt job advancement	1.62 (328)
Worried seniority would be lost	0.97 (167)
Worried about not having enough money for bills	2.04 (655)
Worried for some other reason	0.91 (192)

Table 4.2SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.2: Ease of Getting Time Off: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers	
How easy or difficult was it for you to get your employer to let you take time off?	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Very easy	1.55 (742)	2.12 (752)
Somewhat easy	1.16 (192)	1.51 (218)
Neither easy nor difficult	0.68 (84)	1.12 (94)
Somewhat difficult	0.93 (78)	1.52 (95)
Very difficult	0.92 (58)	0.72 (62)

Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.3SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.3: Benefits Lost During Longest Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers	
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Health Insurance	0.53 (37)	0.39 (27)
Life insurance	0.28 (12)	0.21 (9)
Disability insurance	0.26 (10)	0.15 (5)
Pension contributions	0.33 (14)	0.24 (13)
Other	0.80 (76)	0.83 (63)

Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.4SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.4: Receipt of Pay During Longest Leave: (1) 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-	Leave-Takers	
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)	
Received at least some pay during their longest leave	1.91 (776)	1.73 (803)	
Received no pay during longest leave	1.91 (387)	1.73 (421)	

⁽¹⁾ The data in this table is based on different question wordings used in the 1995 and 2000 surveys. In 1995, the question asked was: "Was the leave fully paid, unpaid, or partially paid?" In 2000, the question was: "Did you receive pay for any part of your (longest) leave?".

Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.5SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.5: Source of Pay During Longest Leave: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers Receiving Pay During Longest Leave SE (N)
Sick leave	2.82 (505)
Vacation leave	2.78 (314)
Personal leave	1.75 (223)
Parental leave	1.63 (59)
Temporary disability insurance	1.55 (151)
Other benefits	1.56 (89)
Number of Leave-Takers Receiving Pay	679,728.46 (803)

Table 4.6SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.6: Full versus Partial Pay Across the Leave Period: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers Receiving Pay During Longest Leave SE (N)
Paid for entire leave period at full pay	2.46 (560)
Paid for entire leave period at partial pay	2.24 (172)
Paid for part of the leave period at full pay	0.45 (24)
Paid for part of the leave period at partial pay	0.58 (33)

Table 4.7SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.7: Proportion of Usual Pay Received by Leave-Takers Receiving Only Partial Pay: 2000 Survey

Proportion of Usual Pay Received While on Leave	Leave-Takers Receiving Partial Pay During Longest Leave SE (N)
Less than half	3.55 (78)
About half	2.99 (60)
More than half	4.12 (97)
Number of Leave-Takers Receiving Partial Pay	374,492.53 (237)

Table 4.8SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.8: How Lost Wages were Covered During Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers Receiving Less Than Full Pay		
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)	
Use savings earmarked for this situation	2.48 (279)	2.74 (304)	
Use savings earmarked for something else	2.16 (259)	2.24 (251)	
Borrow money	1.87 (161)	2.10 (187)	
Go on public assistance	1.08 (62)	1.39 (61)	
Limit extras	2.19 (471)	2.27 (487)	
Put off paying bills	2.31 (233)	2.26 (240)	
Cut leave time short	2.76 (224)	2.61 (241)	
Did something else	1.52 (80)	1.44 (63)	

Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.9SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.9: Perceived Impact of Pay on Length of Leave: 2000 Survey

Perceived Impact of Pay	Leave-Takers Receiving Less Than Full Pay During Longest Leave SE (N)
Would have taken leave for a longer period if some/additional pay had been received	2.26 (312)
Would <u>not</u> have taken leave for a longer period if some/additional pay had been received	2.26 (326)

Table 4.10SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.10: Effects of Using Family and Medical Leave: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers SE (N)
Ability to Care for Family Members ⁽¹⁾	
Positive effect	2.46 (456)
No effect	2.46 (119)
Ability to Select a Satisfactory Childcare Provider ⁽²⁾	
Positive effect	4.47 (101)
No effect	4.47 (119)
Ability to Select a Satisfactory Caretaker for Sick Family Member ⁽³⁾	
Positive effect	3.29 (167)
No effect	3.29 (170)
Leave-Taker's or Family Member's Physical Health	
Positive effect	2.10 (774)
No effect	2.10 (420)
Leave-Taker's or Family Member's Emotional Well-Being	
Positive effect	1.59 (832)
No effect	1.59 (354)

⁽¹⁾ Percentages based on persons taking leave to care for newborn, newly adopted or new foster child, or an ill family member (either a child, spouse, or parent).

⁽²⁾ Percentages based on persons taking leave for a newborn, or a newly adopted or new foster child.

⁽³⁾ Percentages based on persons taking leave to care for an ill family member (either child, spouse, or parent).

Table 4.11SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.11: Positive Outcomes of Effects of Using Family and Medical Leave: 2000 Survey

Effect on Employee's or Family Member's Physical Health	Leave-Takers Stating that Leave had a Positive Effect on Physical Health SE (N)
Quicker recovery time	1.24 (604)
Easier to comply with doctor's instructions	1.49 (723)
Delayed/avoided need to enter nursing home or other long-term care facility	2.20
	(246)
Other effects	2.27 (126)
Number of Leave-Takers Stating that Leave Had a Positive Effect on Physical Health	632,521.10 (774)

Table 4.12SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.12: Returning to Work after Longest Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers		
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)	
Returned to work for the same employer	0.78 (967)	0.73 (1006)	
Went to work for a new employer	0.48 (37)	0.41 (23)	
Did not return to work at all	0.52 (36)	0.60 (46)	
Number of Leave-Takers	634,960.82 (1,040)	836,863.59 (1,075)	

Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.13SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.13: Position Returned to After Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

		Leave-Takers Returning to Same Employer		
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)		
Same or equal position	0.51 (931)	0.50 (971)		
Higher position	0.30 (15)	0.30 (14)		
Lower position	0.48 (20)	0.39 (20)		
Number of Leave-Takers Returning to Same Employer	631,924.63 (967)	804,220.02 (1,006)		

Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.14SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.14: Reasons for Leave-Takers' Return to Work: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers Returning to Same Employer		
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)	
No longer needed to be on leave	2.13 (728)	1.75 (759)	
Could not afford to take more time off	2.12 (440)	2.37 (496)	
Just wanted to get back to work	1.94 (535)	1.92 (683)	
Used up all the leave time allowed	1.31 (224)	2.28 (334)	
Felt pressure by boss/co-workers to return	2.05 (208)	2.12 (226)	
Had too much work to do	1.72 (309)	2.02 (304)	
Someone else took over care	NA	1.97 (230)	

NA Indicates item not asked in 1995 survey. Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.15SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.15: Leave-Takers Denied Leave: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Leave-Takers		
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)	
Denied leave	0.98 (78)	0.76 (77)	
Not denied leave	0.98 (1093)	0.76 (1147)	
Number of Leave-Takers	657,248.17 (1,172)	876,388.45 (1,229)	

Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.16SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.16: Coverage and Eligibility Among Female and Male Employees with Young Children: 2000 Survey

Number of Employees with Young Children	Females SE (N) 446,658.91	Males SE (N) 706,619.86	All Employees with Children SE (N)
	(193)	(139)	(332)
Employees at FMLA-covered worksites	5.57	7.28	3.93
	(149)	(105)	(254)
Eligible employees at FMLA-covered worksites	5.18	7.71	3.93
	(113)	(93)	(206)
Employees at worksites not covered by FMLA	5.57	7.28	3.93
	(44)	(34)	(78)

Table 4.17SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.17: Leaves Taken and Needed Among Female and Male Employees with Young Children: 2000 Survey

	Females SE (N)	Males SE (N)	All Employees with Children SE (N)
Percent taking leave (for a covered reason) since January 1, 1999	6.12	6.28	3.89
	(173)	(99)	(272)
Percent needing, but not taking, leave (for a covered reason) since January 1, 1999	0.75	1.22	0.72
	(5)	(12)	(17)
Percent not taking or needing leave	6.12	6.28	4.01
	(15)	(28)	(43)

Table 4.18SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.18: Reasons for Taking Leave, Across All Leaves Taken, by Females and Males with Young Children: 2000 Survey

	Leave-Takers with Young Children		
Reason for Leave	Females	Males	AII
	SE	SE	SE
	(N)	(N)	(N)
Own health	5.96	7.19	4.94
	(28)	(19)	(47)
Maternity-disability	5.81		4.43
	(66)	(0)	(66)
Care for a newborn, newly adopted or newly placed foster child	5.73	7.50	5.53
	(87)	(75)	(162)
Care for ill child	1.21	1.84	1.01
	(6)	(5)	(11)
Care for ill spouse		2.33	0.97
	(0)	(7)	(7)
Care for ill parent	0.72	1.06	0.61
	(1)	(2)	(3)

Table 4.19SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.19: Reasons for Taking Leave, Across All Leaves Taken, Based on Total Population of Female and Male Employees with Young Children: 2000 Survey

	All Emplo	All Employees with Young Children		
Reason for Leave	Females	Males	AII	
	SE	SE	SE	
	(N)	(N)	(N)	
Own health	4.79	3.31	2.87	
	(28)	(19)	(47)	
Maternity-disability	5.20		2.41	
	(66)	(0)	(66)	
Care for a newborn, newly adopted, or newly placed foster child	5.17	6.26	4.59	
	(87)	(75)	(162)	
Care for ill child	0.92	0.83	0.60	
	(6)	(5)	(11)	
Care for ill spouse		1.04	0.57	
	(0)	(7)	(7)	
Care for ill parent	0.55	0.47	0.34	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	

Table 4.20SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.20: Employees' Opinions Toward FMLA: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

Opinion Measure	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Every person should be able to have up to 12 weeks of unpaid leave in a year from work for family and medical problems.		
Agree	1.15 (1684)	1.06 (2052)
Disagree	1.15 (519)	1.06 (423)
Having to provide employees with up to 12 weeks of unpaid leave in a year for family and medical problems is an unfair burden to employees' co-workers.		
Agree	1.57 (848)	1.24 (859)
Disagree	1.57 (1321)	1.24 (1542)

Source: 1995 and 2000 Surveys of Employees.

Table 4.21SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.21: Co-workers Taking Leave for Family or Medical Reasons: 2000 Survey

	Employees SE (N)
Co-workers had taken leave for family or medical reasons since January 1, 1999	1.34 (1670)
Did not have co-workers take leave for family or medical reasons since January 1, 1999	1.34 (705)

Table 4.22SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.22: Effects of Co-workers Taking Leave on Employees: 2000 Survey

Effect:	Employees Having Co- workers Take Leave SE (N)
Worked more hours than usual	1.53 (601)
Worked a shift not normally worked	1.70 (410)
Took on additional duties	1.68 (854)

Source: 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 4.23SE. Standard Errors for Table 4.23: Perceived Impact of Co-workers

Taking Leave on Employees: 2000 Survey

Perceived Impact	Employees Reporting that Co-workers' Leave Had an Effect SE (N)
Employees who felt that co-workers taking leave had a positive impact on them	2.08 (179)
Employees who felt that co-workers taking leave had a negative impact on them	2.08 (149)
Employees who felt that co-workers taking leave had neither a positive or negative impact on them	2.54 (677)

STANDARD ERRORS FOR CHAPTER 5 TABLES DISPLAYED IN TEXT

Table 5.1SE. Standard Errors for Table 5.1: Family and Medical Leave Policies by FMLA Coverage Status: Reasons for Which Up to 12 Weeks of Leave is Provided: 2000 Survey

	Covered	Non-covered	All
	Establishments SE	Establishments SE	Establishments SE
	(N)	(N)	(N)
Employee's Own Serious Health Condition	()	(,	(/
Yes	3.38	3.62	3.33
, 66	(1016)	(521)	(1537)
No	1.15	2.94	2.62
	(17)	(116)	(133)
Depends on circumstances	3.26	2.44	2.23
,	(31)	(85)	(116)
Mother's Maternity-Related Reasons			
Yes	1.49	3.39	3.07
	(1011)	(513)	(1524)
No	1.30	3.27	2.92
	(23)	(130)	(153)
Depends on circumstances	0.73	2.17	1.97
	(32)	(76)	(108)
Parents to Care for Newborn			
Yes	3.76	3.48	3.36
	(973)	(414)	(1387)
No	1.65	3.07	2.78
	(39)	(197)	(236)
Depends on circumstances	3.29	2.59	2.32
	(50)	(107)	(157)
Parents for Adoption or Foster Care Placement			
Yes	4.03	3.69	3.55
	(937)	(357)	(1294)
No	2.00	3.62	3.28
	(57)	(216)	(273)
Depends on circumstances	3.32	2.33	2.14
	(51)	(128)	(179)
Care of Child, Spouse, or Parent for Serious Health Condition			
Yes	3.72	3.62	3.37
	(977)	(436)	(1413)
No	1.50	3.29	2.99
	(35)	(166)	(201)
Depends on circumstances	3.33	2.51	2.26
	(47)	(113)	(160)
All FMLA Reasons			
Yes	4.16	3.27	3.25
	(889)	(285)	(1174)
No or Depends on Circumstances	4.16	3.27	3.25
	(146)	(395)	(541)

Source: 2000 Survey of Establishments.

Table 5.2SE. Standard Errors for Table 5.2: FMLA Coverage Status Differences in Family and Medical Leave Policies: Continuation of Health Benefits: 2000 Survey

	Covered	Non-covered	All
	Establishments SE	Establishments SE	Establishments SE
	(N)	(N)	(N)
Employee's Own Serious Health Condition			
Yes	4.12	3.32	2.82
	(955)	(416)	(1371)
No	0.27	1.86	1.56
Demonds on simonwoods	(12)	(27)	(39)
Depends on circumstances	4.22 (68)	3.02 (68)	2.60 (136)
Mother's Maternity-Related Reasons	(00)	(00)	(100)
Yes	3.11	2.47	2.19
	(969)	(430)	(1399)
No	0.24	1.02	0.85
	(9)	(23)	(32)
Depends on circumstances	3.17	2.32	2.12
	(55)	(51)	(106)
Parents to Care for Newborn			
Yes	3.18	4.14	3.35
	(933)	(352)	(1285)
No	0.42 (18)	2.42 (33)	1.98 (51)
Depends on circumstances	3.31	3.67	3.05
20ponuo en emeametanee	(61)	(60)	(121)
Parents for Adoption or Foster Care Placement			
Yes	3.76	3.44	2.83
	(909)	(332)	(1241)
No	0.43	2.56	2.09
	(16)	(26)	(42)
Depends on circumstances	3.74	3.11	2.56
	(66)	(65)	(131)
Care of Child, Spouse, or Parent for Serious Health Condition			
Yes	4.10	3.94	3.27
	(926)	(356)	(1282)
No	0.66	1.80	1.51
	(12)	(23)	(35)
Depends on circumstances	4.23	3.54	2.97
	(73)	(81)	(154)

Source: 2000 Survey of Establishments.

Table 5.3SE. Standard Errors for Table 5.3: Family and Medical Leave Policies by FMLA Coverage Status: Reasons for Which Job Return is Guaranteed: 2000 Survey

	Covered	Non-covered	All
	Establishments	Establishments	Establishments
	SE	SE	SE
	(N)	(N)	(N)
Employee's Own Serious Health Condition	, ,	, ,	` '
Yes	3.33	2.78	2.42
, 22	(1005)	(528)	(1533)
No	0.17	1.00	0.87
	(6)	(19)	(25)
Depends on circumstances	3.34	2.64	2.31
	(39)	(63)	(102)
Mother's Maternity-Related Reasons			
Yes	0.34 (1012)	1.96 (549)	1.70 (1561)
No	0.12	1.01	0.88
710	(5)	(12)	(17)
Depends on circumstances	0.32	1.77	1.53
.,	(28)	(36)	(64)
Parents to Care for Newborn			
Yes	0.97	2.13	1.82
	(988)	(479)	(1467)
No	0.22	1.24	1.06
	(7)	(13)	(20)
Depends on circumstances	0.84 (33)	1.66 (36)	1.42 (69)
Parents for Adoption or Foster	(33)	(30)	(03)
Care Placement			
Yes	3.44	2.67	2.29
	(967)	(450)	(1417)
No	0.27	1.16	0.98
	(7)	(8)	(15)
Depends on circumstances	3.45	2.49	2.14
	(34)	(47)	(81)
Care of Child, Spouse, or Parent for Serious Health Condition			
Yes	3.47	2.45	2.11
	(987)	(474)	(1461)
No	0.62	1.08	0.93
	(5)	(12)	(17)
Depends on circumstances	3.45	2.35	2.01
	(37)	(68)	(105)

Table 5.4SE. Standard Errors for Table 5.4: Provision of Leave Beyond that Guaranteed by FMLA by Coverage Status: 2000 Survey

	Covered	Non-covered	All
	Establishments	Establishments	Establishments
	SE	SE	SE
	(N)	(N)	(N)
More Than 12 Weeks Per Year			
Yes	6.37	3.37	3.02
	(248)	(101)	(349)
No	5.45	4.23	3.62
	(471)	(311)	(782)
Depends on circumstances	5.56	3.34	2.89
,	(287)	(162)	(449)
Employees Who Have Worked for			
Establishment Less Than 12 Months			
Yes	6.51	3.71	3.50
	(256)	(142)	(398)
No	5.45	4.31	3.75
	(508)	(290)	(798)
Depends on circumstances	6.86	3.30	2.96
,	(230)	(147)	(377)
Employees Who Have Worked for Less			
Than 1,250 Hours in the Past Year			
Yes	6.79	3.44	3.06
	(250)	(143)	(393)
No	6.33	4.00	3.38
	(495)	(277)	(772)
Depends on circumstances	6.25	3.50	3.18
	(236)	(146)	(382)

Table 5.5SE. Standard Errors for Table 5.5: Continuation of Pay During Leave by FMLA Coverage Status: 2000 Survey

	Covered	Non-covered	All
	Establishments	Establishments	Establishments
	SE	SE	SE
Establishment Provides:	(N)	(N)	(N)
Paid Sick Leave			
Yes	4.60	3.79	3.35
	(795)	(470)	(1265)
No	3.91	3.19	2.80
	(178)	(199)	(377)
Depends on circumstances	1.65	2.28	2.04
	(95)	(56)	(151)
Paid Disability Leave			
Yes	4.05	3.79	3.40
	(723)	(318)	(1041)
No	4.18	4.20	3.77
	(202)	(313)	(515)
Depends on circumstances	2.14	2.44	2.11
	(136)	(81)	(217)
Paid Vacation			
Yes	1.12	3.26	2.92
	(996)	(633)	(1629)
No	0.39	2.89	2.58
	(12)	(58)	(70)
Depends on circumstances	1.03	1.67	1.48
	(61)	(35)	(96)
Other Paid Time Off			
Yes	4.50	3.26	2.94
	(423)	(173)	(596)
No	4.42	3.33	3.00
	(616)	(529)	(1145)
Depends on circumstances	0.37	1.05	0.95
	(30)	(23)	(53)

Table 5.6SE. Standard Errors for Table 5.6: Continuation of Pay During Leave by FMLA Coverage Status: Reasons for Which Pay is Continued: 2000 Survey

Establishment Continues Pay During Leave For:	Covered	Non-covered	All
	Establishments	Establishments	Establishments
	SE	SE	SE
	(N)	(N)	(N)
Parents to Care for Newborn			
Full pay	4.01	2.78	2.53
	(216)	(173)	(389)
Partial pay	1.46	1.68	1.44
	(86)	(44)	(130)
Depends on circumstances	5.29	2.88	2.51
	(230)	(107)	(337)
No pay	4.91	3.78	3.22
	(529)	(390)	(919)
Parents for Adoption or Foster Care Placement			
Full pay	4.01	2.77	2.48
	(187)	(132)	(319)
Partial pay	0.57	1.15	1.02
	(48)	(29)	(77)
Depends on circumstances	6.26	3.04	2.69
	(196)	(110)	(306)
No pay	6.01	3.93	3.43
	(617)	(441)	(1058)
Employee's Own Serious Health Condition			
Full pay	6.35	3.72	3.39
	(396)	(242)	(638)
Partial pay	5.07	1.66	1.80
	(149)	(60)	(209)
Depends on circumstances	3.54	2.15	1.97
	(244)	(145)	(389)
No pay	4.00	3.67	3.28
	(278)	(274)	(552)
Mother's Maternity-Related Reasons			
Full pay	6.31	4.00	3.54
	(379)	(228)	(607)
Partial pay	4.93	1.97	1.91
	(154)	(46)	(200)
Depends on circumstances	2.94	2.24	1.96
	(209)	(115)	(324)
No pay	3.98	3.39	3.03
	(322)	(326)	(648)

Table 5.6SE. Standard Errors for Table 5.6: Continuation of Pay During Leave by FMLA Coverage Status: Reasons for Which Pay is Continued: 2000 Survey (continued)

Establishment Continues Pay During Leave For:	Covered	Non-covered	All
	Establishments	Establishments	Establishments
	SE	SE	SE
	(N)	(N)	(N)
Care of Child, Spouse, or for Serious Health Condition			
Full pay	4.08	3.65	3.29
	(188)	(161)	(349)
Partial pay	0.87	2.00	1.75
	(48)	(33)	(81)
Depends on circumstances	5.44	3.12	2.83
	(222)	(149)	(371)
No pay	5.19	3.43	3.03
	(604)	(373)	(977)

STANDARD ERRORS FOR CHAPTER 6 TABLES DISPLAYED IN TEXT

Table 6.1SE. Standard Errors for Table 6.1: Covered Establishments' Initial Source of Information About FMLA: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Covered Establishments	
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
U.S. Department of Labor	5.50 (355)	3.38 (754)
The media	8.10 (462)	8.25 (452)
A trade or business group	5.02 (426)	5.68 (670)
An attorney or consultant	4.92 (385)	3.31 (748)
A union	1.36 (26)	1.28 (48)
Employees	1.16 (38)	4.27 (89)
The Internet	NA	5.50 (490)
Existing company policies or practices	NA	2.83 (849)
Some other source	5.11 (128)	2.21 (177)

NA Indicates item not asked in 1995 survey.

Table 6.2SE. Standard Errors for Table 6.2: How Employees First Learned About the Family and Medical Leave Act: 2000 Survey

	Employees Aware of FMLA SE (N)
Media (TV, newspapers, etc.)	1.82 (601)
Co-workers	0.84 (71)
Employer gave out information	1.77 (613)
Posters	0.70 (67)
Internet	0.16 (5)
Family member	0.60 (56)
Union gave out information	0.41 (27)
Other way	0.82 (97)

Source: 2000 Survey of Employees.

Table 6.3SE. Standard Errors for Table 6.3: Methods Used to Cover Work When an Employee Takes Leave for a Week or Longer: 2000 Survey

	Covered Est	ablishments
Establishment Covers Leave By:	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Assigning work temporarily to other employees	1.13 (712)	0.47 (1024)
Hiring an outside temporary replacement	4.70 (462)	6.22 (559)
Hiring a permanent replacement	4.00 (101)	0.97 (71)
Putting work on hold until the employee returns from leave	5.28 (108)	3.60 (196)
Having the employee perform some work while on leave	2.78 (158)	1.22 (143)
Some other method	0.77 (30)	5.86 (60)

Table 6.4SE. Standard Errors for Table 6.4: Effects of FMLA-Related Administrative Activities: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Covered Est	ablishments
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Maintaining Additional Record-Keeping		
Very/Somewhat easy	4.07 (365)	6.81 (483)
Very/Somewhat difficult	4.07 (297)	6.81 (439)
Determining Whether the Act Applies to the Organization		
Very/Somewhat easy	4.32 (615)	4.59 (827)
Very/Somewhat difficult	4.32 (59)	4.59 (107)
Determining Whether Certain Employees are Eligible		
Very/Somewhat easy	2.05 (531)	2.90 (740)
Very/Somewhat difficult	2.05 (138)	2.90 (198)
Coordinating State and Federal Leave Policies		
Very/Somewhat easy	4.31 (468)	8.33 (553)
Very/Somewhat difficult	4.31 (150)	8.33 (345)
Coordinating the Act with Other Federal Laws (1)		
Very/Somewhat easy	4.60 (399)	6.06 (454)
Very/Somewhat difficult	4.60 (236)	6.06 (463)
Coordinating the Act with Other Leave Policies		
Very/Somewhat easy	5.99 (488)	5.48 (569)
Very/Somewhat difficult	5.99 (177)	5.48 (355)
Coordinating the Act with Employee Attendance Policies		
Very/Somewhat easy	NA	7.07 (573)
Very/Somewhat difficult	NA	7.07 (356)

Table 6.4SE. Standard Errors for Table 6.4: Effects of FMLA-Related Administrative Activities: 1995 and 2000 Surveys (continued)

	Covered Es	tablishments
	1995 Survey SE (N)	2000 Survey SE (N)
Administering FMLA's Notification, Designation, and Certification Requirements	(1)	(11)
Very/Somewhat easy	NA	5.58 (471)
Very/Somewhat difficult	NA	5.58 (452)
Determining if a Health Condition is a Serious Health Condition Under FMLA		
Very/Somewhat easy	NA	5.27 (517)
Very/Somewhat difficult	NA	5.27 (404)
Overall Ease of Complying with FMLA		
Very/Somewhat easy	2.75 (459)	5.95 (584)
Very/Somewhat difficult	2.75 (215)	5.95 (357)

⁽¹⁾ In the 1995 survey, the wording was slightly different. "Pre-existing" was used instead of "Other." NA Indicates item not asked in 1995 survey.

Table 6.5SE. Standard Errors for Table 6.5: Effects of Complying with FMLA on Business and Employee Performance: 1995 and 2000 Surveys

	Covered Est	ablishments
	1995	2000
	Survey	Survey
	SE	SE
	(N)	(N)
Business Effects		
Productivity		
Positive effect	3.08 (47)	1.45 (109)
Negative effect	1.71 (107)	6.62 (155)
No noticeable effect	3.42 (509)	6.67 (657)
Profitability		
Positive effect	0.53 (19)	0.56 (44)
Negative effect	1.51 (87)	1.72 (156)
No noticeable effect	1.67 (523)	1.97 (690)
Growth		
Positive effect	0.55 (16)	0.89 (32)
Negative effect	1.41 (20)	7.20 (46)
No noticeable effect	1.53 (607)	7.28 (817)
Employee Effects		
Productivity		
Positive effect	3.84 (90)	6.31 (124)
Negative effect	1.17 (82)	6.51 (169)
No noticeable effect	4.17 (483)	7.14 (617)
Absences		
Positive effect	1.90 (46)	1.30 (82)
Negative effect	1.01 (105)	6.78 (192)
No noticeable effect	2.33 (504)	6.67 (640)

Table 6.5SE. Standard Errors for Table 6.5: Effects of Complying with FMLA on Business and Employee Performance: 1995 and 2000 Surveys (continued)

	Covered Establishments		
	1995	2000	
	Survey	Survey	
	SE	SE	
	(N)	(N)	
Turnover			
Positive effect	1.65	1.66	
	(42)	(92)	
Negative effect	0.26	7.21	
	(9)	(28)	
No noticeable effect	1.68	6.88	
	(612)	(798)	
Career Advancement			
Positive effect	4.22	1.06	
	(33)	(58)	
Negative effect	0.39	0.21	
	(7)	(8)	
No noticeable effect	4.21	1.08	
	(629)	(856)	
Morale			
Positive effect	NA	2.98	
		(292)	
Negative effect	NA	6.90	
_		(87)	
No noticeable effect	NA	5.40	
		(541)	

NA Indicates item not asked in 1995 survey.