

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

**DATABASE OF SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC  
IN THE UNITED STATES**

**PART A: DATABASE DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS**

by

Keith R. Long<sup>1</sup>, John H. DeYoung, Jr.<sup>2</sup>, and Stephen D. Ludington<sup>3</sup>

Open-File Report 98-206A

This report is preliminary and has not been reviewed for conformity with U.S. Geological Survey editorial standards or with the North American stratigraphic code. Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

<sup>1</sup>Tucson, AZ 85719, <sup>2</sup>Reston, VA 20192, <sup>3</sup>Menlo Park, CA 94025

1998

## CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	4
SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS DATABASE.....	4
DATABASE DESCRIPTION .....	5
FUTURE PLANS.....	6
ANALYSIS.....	6
TESTING THE DATABASE.....	6
DISCOVERY HISTORY .....	8
LARGEST PRODUCERS AND RESOURCES .....	9
DISTRIBUTION BY STATE.....	16
DISTRIBUTION BY DEPOSIT TYPE.....	19
COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATES OF UNDISCOVERED RESOURCES.....	25
CONCLUSIONS.....	26
REFERENCES .....	26
APPENDIX I. FIELD DEFINITIONS FOR THE SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS DATABASE.....	28
APPENDIX II. MINERAL DEPOSIT MODELS USED TO CLASSIFY DEPOSITS .....	31
APPENDIX III. A RESOURCE/RESERVE CLASSIFICATION FOR MINERALS .....	33
INTRODUCTION .....	33
<i>Resource/Reserve Definitions</i> .....	33
REFERENCES CITED IN FILE KNOWN DEPOSITS.....	37

### FIGURES

FIGURE 1. MAJOR ELEMENTS OF MINERAL-RESOURCE CLASSIFICATION, EXCLUDING <i>RESERVE BASE</i> AND <i>INFERRED RESERVE BASE</i> .....	35
FIGURE 2. <i>RESERVE BASE</i> AND <i>INFERRED RESERVE BASE</i> CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES. ....	36

### TABLES

TABLE 1. CRITERIA FOR CLASSIFICATION OF DEPOSITS AS SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS.....	5
TABLE 2. COMPARISON OF TOTAL PRODUCTION OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC FOR ALL DEPOSITS IN THE SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS DATABASE WITH STATISTICS ON TOTAL U.S PRODUCTION OF THOSE METALS.....	7
TABLE 3. COMPARISON OF TOTAL RESOURCES OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC REMAINING IN DEPOSITS IN THE SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS DATABASE WITH INDEPENDENT ESTIMATES OF DOMESTIC RESERVES, RESERVE BASE, AND RESOURCES OF THESE METALS. ....	8
TABLE 4. HISTORY OF THE DISCOVERY OF SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC IN THE UNITED STATES, 1545-1996.....	8
TABLE 5. THE TEN LARGEST DOMESTIC GOLD DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PAST PRODUCTION, REMAINING RESOURCES, AND PAST PRODUCTION PLUS REMAINING RESOURCES. ....	11

<b>TABLE 6. THE TEN LARGEST DOMESTIC SILVER DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PAST PRODUCTION, REMAINING RESOURCES, AND PAST PRODUCTION PLUS REMAINING RESOURCES. ....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>TABLE 7. THE TEN LARGEST DOMESTIC COPPER DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PAST PRODUCTION, REMAINING RESOURCES, AND PAST PRODUCTION PLUS REMAINING RESOURCES. ....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>TABLE 8. THE TEN LARGEST DOMESTIC LEAD DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PAST PRODUCTION, REMAINING RESOURCES, AND PAST PRODUCTION PLUS REMAINING RESOURCES. ....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>TABLE 9. THE TEN LARGEST DOMESTIC ZINC DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PAST PRODUCTION, REMAINING RESOURCES, AND PAST PRODUCTION PLUS REMAINING RESOURCES. ....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>TABLE 10. THE TEN LARGEST DOMESTIC DEPOSITS OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC IN TERMS OF THE GROSS VALUE OF PAST PRODUCTION PLUS REMAINING RESOURCES FOR THESE METALS CALCULATED USING AVERAGE PRICES FOR THESE METALS OVER THE LAST TWENTY TO THIRTY YEARS.. ....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>TABLE 11. TOTAL AMOUNT OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC PRODUCED FROM SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS IN EACH STATE WITH ONE OR MORE SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS.. ....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>TABLE 12. TOTAL AMOUNT OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC CONTAINED AS RESOURCES IN SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS IN EACH STATE WITH ONE OR MORE SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>TABLE 13. PRINCIPAL TYPES OF SIGNIFICANT GOLD DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PRODUCTION AND REMAINING RESOURCES.. ....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>TABLE 14. PRINCIPAL TYPES OF SIGNIFICANT SILVER DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PRODUCTION AND REMAINING RESOURCES.. ....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>TABLE 15. PRINCIPAL TYPES OF SIGNIFICANT COPPER DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PRODUCTION AND REMAINING RESOURCES.. ....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>TABLE 16. PRINCIPAL TYPES OF SIGNIFICANT LEAD DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PRODUCTION AND REMAINING RESOURCES.. ....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>TABLE 17. PRINCIPAL TYPES OF SIGNIFICANT ZINC DEPOSITS IN TERMS OF PRODUCTION AND REMAINING RESOURCES.. ....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>TABLE 18. TOTAL AMOUNT OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC PRODUCED AND CONTAINED IN REMAINING RESOURCES IN THE VARIOUS TYPES OF SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS.. ....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>TABLE 19. COMPARISON OF ESTIMATES OF UNDISCOVERED RESOURCES IN THE CONTERMINOUS UNITED STATES OF GOLD, SILVER, COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC WITH IDENTIFIED RESOURCES AND PAST PRODUCTION OF THE SAME METALS FOR DEPOSITS IN THE SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS DATABASE. ....</b>	<b>25</b>

## INTRODUCTION

It has long been recognized that the largest mineral deposits contain most of the known mineral endowment (Singer and DeYoung, 1980). Sometimes called giant or world-class deposits, these largest deposits account for a very large share of historic and current mineral production and resources in industrial society (Singer, 1995). For example, Singer (1995) shows that the largest 10 percent of the world's gold deposits contain 86 percent of the gold discovered to date.

Many mineral resource issues and investigations are more easily addressed if limited to the relatively small number of deposits that contain most of the known mineral resources. An estimate of known resources using just these deposits would normally be sufficient, because considering smaller deposits would not add significantly to the total estimate. Land-use planning should treat mainly with these deposits due to their relative scarcity, the large share of known resources they contain, and the fact that economies of scale allow minerals to be produced much more cheaply from larger deposits. Investigation of environmental and other hazards that result from mining operations can be limited to these largest deposits because they account for most of past and current production.

The National Mineral Resource Assessment project of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has compiled a database on the largest known deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in the United States to complement the 1996 national assessment of undiscovered deposits of these same metals (Ludington and Cox, 1996). The deposits in this database account for approximately 99 percent of domestic production of these metals and probably a similar share of identified resources. These data may be compared with results of the assessment of undiscovered resources to characterize the nation's total mineral endowment for these metals. This database is a starting point for any national or regional mineral-resource or mineral-environmental investigation.

The Mineral Resource Data System (MRDS) and the Minerals Availability System/Minerals Information Locator System (MAS/MILS) compiled respectively by the USGS and U.S. Bureau of Mines (USBM), and now being merged, contain information on more than 100,000 domestic mines, prospects, and mineral occurrences. The total number of records in the significant deposits database is but 1,118, of which 923 have produced. Limiting a mineral-resource investigation to the deposits in this database will significantly reduce the cost and complexity of such investigations.

This database supersedes the known deposits table in the original release of the national assessment of undiscovered deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc (Ludington and Cox, 1996). The original database has been extensively revised with hundreds of new records added, greatly improved production and resource data, and some new fields added. All production and resource data were brought up to date as of December 31, 1996.

## SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS DATABASE

This database was first proposed as a summary and analysis of identified resources<sup>1</sup> of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc to compare with estimates of undiscovered resources of the same metals made by the 1996 national mineral-resource assessment. To simplify this effort, data on identified resources was to be compiled for only those deposits that collectively account for 99 percent of the domestic resource. Singer, 1995, showed that 99 percent of world resources of these metals occur in deposits that contain more than 2 metric tons gold, 85 metric tons silver, 50,000 metric tons copper, 30,000 metric tons lead, or 50,000 metric tons zinc (table 1). All domestic deposits known to have originally contained more than the threshold value for any of these metals were included in the database.

---

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix III for definitions of resource terms.

METAL	UNITS	MINIMUM SIZE
Gold	metric t	2
Silver	metric t	85
Copper	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	50
Lead	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	35
Zinc	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	50

Table 1. Criteria for classification of deposits as significant deposits. Minimum sizes are from Singer (1995) who found that deposits of these sizes or larger account for 99 percent of known world resources of these metals.

Data were originally collected by the regional teams responsible for the 1996 national mineral-resource assessment. When the regional databases were combined into a single national database, numerous inconsistencies and data gaps were found. The database subsequently underwent several rounds of editing and updating. John H. DeYoung, Jr., and Stephen D. Ludington edited and updated the database using MRDS, MAS/MILS, and other data sources. Keith R. Long extensively revised and expanded the database based on a more thorough literature search, public filings of mining companies, archival and other sources. Each of the State geological surveys or their equivalents was given the opportunity to review and comment on entries for their States.

Each record is intended to give data on an individual deposit. The consistent application of any definition of a deposit is difficult across the many deposit types represented in the database. In many cases, data on individual deposits is unavailable; in which case, records usually give data for an entire district or some other aggregation of deposits. As a result, the database is really a mixture of records for deposits and districts, which must be taken into account in using or analyzing the data. Hereafter, all records will be referred to as deposits.

### Database Description

The database (Long and others, 1998) consists of two portions, an Excel 4.0 spreadsheet file (SD4.XLS) that contains the data, and a Word 6.0 file (SDREF.DOC) that contains the references cited in the spreadsheet. The spreadsheet is divided into several fields that give the name, location, deposit type, discovery date, past production, and remaining resources for each deposit in the database. A detailed description of each field is provided in Appendix 1 and explanatory comments are attached as notes in the spreadsheet to the headers for each field or column.

Data are organized by State, starting with Alaska and ending with Wyoming, and listed alphabetically by deposit name within each State. Deposits are also located by mining district, county, and latitude-longitude. District names and definitions follow those used by the USBM for reporting domestic mineral production as amended by State geological surveys.

Deposit-type classification follows that of Cox and Singer, 1986, as modified by additional models published elsewhere. Appendix 2 provides a complete listing of deposit types used with references to published descriptive models. Mineral-environmental model classification follows that of duBray (1995).

Discovery date is defined as the year in which some part of the deposit was first recognized by persons not indigenous to North America. Many deposits were known to Native Americans who exploited some on a very large scale for copper, turquoise, and pigments. Unfortunately, the history of Native American discovery and utilization of these deposits is obscure, partly because subsequent mineral development has destroyed much of the archaeological evidence. Note that many years can elapse between initial recognition of a deposit and its exploration and

development as a mine. Some deposits may never be mined because they occur in or near areas where mining is prohibited.

Production is stated in terms of metals recovered from material mined. Generally, between 40 and 97 percent of the metal originally contained in the ores was recovered, depending on the extractive processes used and the quality of labor and management. Production dates are for the data given. If significant production occurred before or after those dates, a note to that effect is given in the Comments field. Significant production of mineral commodities other than gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc are indicated under Other Production.

Resources (see Appendix III for definitions of resource terms) are stated in terms of metals contained in remaining material. This material includes that remaining in place as well as stockpiles of previously mined material. Resources given are the sum of all available estimates of remaining reserves and resources at a deposit. For some deposits, only estimates of proven and probable reserves are available, for others, estimates of the total geologic resource are given. No attempt has been made to differentiate between such estimates in the data; all are classified as resources. Resource year is the year in which the resource estimate was calculated or first announced. Significant resources of mineral commodities other than gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc are indicated under Other Resources.

Sources of data are cited separately for production and resource data. Complete references appear in the companion Word 6.0 file. Some data sources, such as Securities and Exchange Commission Form 10Ks and USBM/USGS Minerals Yearbooks, are cited in a non-standard, abbreviated fashion. These are explained at the end of the companion Word 6.0 file.

#### **Future Plans**

The USGS National Mineral Resource Assessment project will continue to maintain and update this database. Eventually, these data will become records in MRDS or its successor with special "Significant Deposit" tags or descriptors. The project also intends to expand the database to other commodities. Users are encouraged to report errors and omissions.

## **ANALYSIS**

The significant deposits database can answer many questions about the Nation's known resources of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc. When were these significant deposits found? Are significant deposits still being found today? Which deposits were the largest producers of these metals? Which deposits have the largest remaining resources? How are these deposits distributed by State or deposit-type? Answering these questions illustrates how the data can be used.

#### **Testing the Database**

According to the criteria used to assemble this database, these significant deposits should comprise 99 percent of identified domestic resources, including past production. Table 2 compares the total production of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc for deposits in the database with statistics of total production of these metals in the United States. Statistics on the production of recoverable metals from domestically mined ores are only available from 1907. Metal production reported prior to 1907 includes metal recovered from imported ores and excludes metal contained in exported ores. Much of the early metal production data, particularly for gold and silver, are estimates. Production data for zinc prior to 1890 are incomplete.

Complete data on production of gold and silver could not be found for many of the deposits in the database. Many mines had substantial production during the period from 1850 to 1900 when few statistics on individual mine production were collected. During this time national production statistics were derived from data on bullion receipts at Federal mints and individual smelter production. Some likely significant deposits that produced only during that period, including many of the placer deposits mined in California before the 1860s, can not be identified for lack of proper records. Despite these insufficiencies in the data, recorded production of deposits in the

database account for 90 and 91 percent respectively of total gold and silver production in the United States.

METAL	UNITS	YEARS FOR WHICH DATA ARE AVAILABLE	TOTAL DOMESTIC MINE PRODUCTION	PRODUCTION OF SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS	PERCENT OF TOTAL DOMESTIC MINE PRODUCTION
Gold	metric t	1792-1996	13,300	12,000	90
Silver	metric t	1792-1996	185,000	168,000	91
Copper	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	1845-1996	91,100	91,300	100
Lead	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	1720-1996	42,500	41,300	97
Zinc	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	1873-1996	44,100	44,400	101

Table 2. Comparison of total production of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc for all deposits in the significant deposits database with statistics on total U.S production of those metals. U.S. production data were compiled from annual volumes of Mineral Resources of the United States (1883 to 1931) and Minerals Yearbooks (1932 to 1996). Data are metals recovered from domestically mined ores from 1907 and metals recovered by domestic smelters and refineries prior to 1907. Data for zinc are incomplete from 1873 to 1890.

Recorded production for copper, lead, and zinc for deposits in the database account for 100, 97, and 101 percent respectively of domestic mine production of these metals. Statistics on total domestic mine production of these metals are incomplete or estimated for the years prior to 1890, particularly for zinc. The apparent excess of copper and zinc production attributed to the deposits in the database can probably be explained by the lack of adjustments for imports and exports of ore and other insufficiencies in the early statistical data.

Table 3 presents current estimates of domestic reserves, reserve base, and resources of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc by the USGS and USBM. These estimates were prepared independently from this database by other workers, sometimes from proprietary data not available for this study. Total resources computed from the database are most nearly comparable with the estimates for domestic resources in table 3. For many deposits in the database, however, only data on reserves or the reserve base (reserves plus marginal or subeconomic resources) are available. Hence, total resource figures computed from the database should fall between reported estimates for the domestic reserve base and domestic resources. This is the case for all the metals except gold, where a much larger resource figure is obtained from the database.

Metal	Units	TOTAL DOMESTIC (other studies)			SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS DATABASE
		Reserves	Reserve Base	Identified Resources	Identified Resources
Gold	metric t	5,600	6,000	9,000	15,000
Silver	metric t	31,000	72,000	190,000	157,000
Copper	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	45,000	90,000	312,000	260,000
Lead	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	7,000	18,000	N/A	51,000
Zinc	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	19,000	60,000	120,000	55,000

Table 3. Comparison of total resources of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc remaining in deposits in the significant deposits database with independent estimates of domestic reserves, reserve base, and resources of these metals. Sources of data: U.S. Geological Survey, 1998b (reserve and reserve base for all metals; identified resources for gold); Brobst and Pratt, 1973 (identified resources for silver, copper, and zinc).

### Discovery History

Although the exact discovery year for every deposit in the database is not known, they can be specified on a decade or similar basis. In table 4, deposits are grouped by ten time periods corresponding to significant events in the exploration and development of the Nation's mineral resources. For each period, the number of deposits discovered, and their production plus remaining resources are given. Note that adding production and resources for each metal is an imperfect measure of the original size of those deposits due to unaccounted metallurgical losses.

PERIOD	YEARS	NUMBER DEPOSITS	GOLD metric t	SILVER metric t	COPPER 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	LEAD 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	ZINC 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	DISCOVERIES PER YEAR
I	1545-1847	46	300	4,300	27,000	12,000	23,000	0.15
II	1848-1857	133	2,800	1,100	2,000	100	350	15
III	1858-1865	157	3,100	39,800	35,000	4,500	4,300	22
IV	1866-1892	283	7,400	157,000	77,000	15,800	20,500	11
V	1893-1913	177	3,000	44,100	52,000	450	4,400	9
VI	1914-1933	33	250	5,900	2,000	110	480	2
VII	1934-1941	19	1,100	600	1,400	38	86	2
VIII	1942-1945	3	60	500	8,300	4	0	1
IX	1946-1972	104	3,900	43,500	129,000	55,900	32,000	4
X	1973-1996	160	5,100	27,700	17,200	3,400	14,600	7

Table 4. History of the discovery of significant deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in the United States, 1545-1996. Period boundaries correspond to significant events in the development of the U.S. minerals industry.

Period I spans the time between initial European settlement in North America and the California Gold Rush. Deposits discovered in this period are mostly in the eastern part of the United States. Period II corresponds to the California Gold Rush years from 1848 to 1857. Deposits discovered in this period are mostly placer gold and low-sulfide gold-quartz veins in California. Period III covers the discovery of gold in Colorado and silver in Nevada just prior to and during the Civil War. Period IV begins with the first Federal mining law in 1866, which provided a secure legal framework for the exploration and development of mineral properties in the West, and ends with the collapse of silver prices in 1892. During this time, the remaining western States were thoroughly prospected and the first prospecting began in Alaska.

Period V covers the shift from silver to gold exploration after the collapse of silver prices in 1892, aided by the introduction of the cyanide process for recovering gold from refractory ores. During



this time significant gold discoveries were made in Nevada and elsewhere. Period VI begins with World War I, when war-related labor shortages and post-war oversupply of metals curtailed mineral exploration and development. The number of deposits discovered in this period dropped dramatically relative to previous periods. Period VII covers most of the Great Depression years, beginning in 1934 when the price of gold was raised to 35 dollars an ounce. Although the rise in gold price stimulated exploration and production of gold, very few new deposits were discovered. Much exploration was directed towards known but previously uneconomic resources.

Wartime labor-shortages and the need to devote resources to expanding reserves at established mines curtailed discoveries to their lowest levels in Period VIII during World War II. The decline in discoveries throughout the first half of the twentieth century may not be due solely to economic factors. The exploration technology of the time, searching for surface indications of significant deposits, may have run its course. Period IX, covering the first decades after World War II saw a substantial increase in discoveries coincident with the introduction of several new exploration technologies, including regional geophysical and geochemical survey methods and the geologic models to utilize them. Exploration was reorganized as well funded regional surveys that targeted geophysical and geochemical anomalies that might indicate concealed deposits.

In 1972, the United States demonetized gold and allowed domestic gold prices to rise to their global market level. A major gold boom which defines Period X followed and continues to this day. It is significant that, of known domestic resources of these metals, 33 percent of gold, 22 percent of silver, 42 percent of copper, 39 percent of lead, and 46 percent of zinc are the result of discoveries since World War II.

### **Largest Producers and Resources**

Tables 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 show the ten largest producers, remaining resources, and deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc respectively. These tables document the largest of the significant deposits, those that are truly world class. Some deposits have been aggregated into districts to facilitate comparison with other deposits in the database which are truly mining districts composed of many deposits. The deposits aggregated are those in the Coeur d'Alene district, Idaho; Keweenaw district, Michigan; Butte, Montana; Bingham Canyon, Utah; and the Franklin and Sterling Hill deposits, New Jersey.

Certain mining districts stand out. The Butte district, Montana, is the largest deposit of silver and copper in the United States as well as one of the ten largest deposits of zinc. The Bingham Canyon district in Utah is the second largest deposit of copper, and one of the ten largest gold and silver deposits. Red Dog, Alaska, is the largest zinc, second largest lead, and fourth largest silver deposit.

Among the gold deposits, it is notable that the largest remaining resources mainly occur in sediment-hosted and other bulk-mineable gold deposits discovered in the last thirty years (Goldstrike-Post-Meikle, Twin Creeks, Gold Quarry-Maggie Creek, Pipeline, McDonald, and Jerritt Canyon). Two very low-grade placer gold deposits in Wyoming (Pass Peak, Oregon Gulch) are also among the largest gold deposits. Bingham Canyon, Utah, illustrates the amount of gold to be found in some of the largest porphyry copper deposits.

Butte, Montana, is the largest deposit of silver and copper. Sediment-hosted copper deposits such as Rock Creek and Montanore, Montana, are also very large deposits of silver. Porphyry copper deposits, mainly in Arizona, dominate the list of largest copper deposits, although magmatic Ni-Cu deposits in Minnesota (Partridge River) are also important resources.

Viburnum, Missouri, and Red Dog, Alaska, are the largest lead deposits. Crandon, Wisconsin, is one of the largest deposits of lead and zinc along with other massive sulfide deposits (Lik-Su and Greens Creek, Alaska). The large zinc resource at Butte, Montana, is also noteworthy.

Among the largest producers are several deposits that do not figure as significantly in remaining resources. The Homestake, South Dakota, mine was the largest gold producer. The Hammonton dredge field and Empire-Star mine in California were also among the largest gold

producers. Leadville and Aspen, Colorado; Comstock and Tonopah, Nevada; and the Coeur d'Alene district, Idaho were among the largest silver producers.

The Keweenaw copper district was a major source of copper. The Coeur d'Alene district, Idaho, was a major producer of zinc, lead, and silver, but now figures only in the top ten list of resources of silver. Polymetallic replacement deposits at Park City and Tintic-East Tintic, Utah, as well as the Mississippi Valley deposits in the Old Lead Belt of Missouri were among the most important sources of lead. Franklin-Sterling Hill, New Jersey, accounts for 15 percent of all recorded zinc production in the United States.

These ten largest remaining resources of these metals include some deposits widely perceived as worked out (Butte, Montana) and others whose development is controversial (Crandon, Wisconsin; McDonald, Montana). Others, such as Red Dog, Alaska; Bingham Canyon, Utah; and Goldstrike-Post-Miekle, Nevada, are among the largest metal mines in the world. Some deposits are not now considered even remotely economic (Pass Peak and Oregon Gulch, Wyoming).

DEPOSIT	STATE	GOLD PRODUCED metric t
Homestake	SD	1,237
Bingham Canyon district	UT	671
Cripple Creek	CO	605
Goldstrike-Post	NV	307
Comstock	NV	258
Gold Quarry-Maggie Creek	NV	258
Fairbanks	AK	250
Empire-North Star	CA	196
Hammonton	CA	160
Nome	AK	152

DEPOSIT	STATE	GOLD RESOURCE metric t
Goldstrike-Post-Meikle	NV	1,500
Pass Peak	WY	1,400
Oregon Gulch	WY	800
Bingham Canyon district	UT	580
Twin Creeks	NV	560
Gold Quarry-Maggie Creek	NV	380
Pipeline	NV	350
Round Mountain	NV	330
McDonald	MT	260
Jerritt Canyon	NV	240

DEPOSIT	STATE	GOLD PRODUCED PLUS RESOURCE metric t
Goldstrike-Post-Meikle	NV	1,800
Pass Peak	WY	1,400
Homestake	SD	1,400
Bingham Canyon district	UT	1,300
Oregon Gulch	WY	800
Cripple Creek	CO	670
Twin Creeks	NV	670
Gold Quarry-Maggie Creek	NV	640
Round Mountain	NV	470
Jerritt Canyon	NV	380

**Table 5.** The ten largest domestic gold deposits in terms of past production, remaining resources, and past production plus remaining resources.

DEPOSIT	STATE	SILVER PRODUCED metric t
Coeur d'Alene district	ID	29,200
Butte district	MT	22,400
Tintic-East Tintic	UT	8,500
Park City	UT	7,900
Leadville	CO	7,700
Bingham Canyon district	UT	7,500
Comstock	NV	6,000
Tonopah	NV	5,400
Aspen	CO	3,100
Copper Queen	AZ	2,800

DEPOSIT	STATE	SILVER RESOURCE metric t
Butte district	MT	22,500
Red Dog	AK	10,800
Rock Creek	MT	9,800
Coeur d'Alene district	ID	9,400
Montanore	MT	9,300
Bingham Canyon district	UT	7,400
Hahns Peak	CO	6,400
Greens Creek	AK	5,400
Hardshell	AZ	3,400
Rochester	NV	3,200

DEPOSIT	STATE	SILVER PRODUCED PLUS RESOURCE metric t
Butte district	MT	44,300
Coeur d'Alene district	ID	42,400
Bingham Canyon district	UT	15,700
Red Dog	AK	11,400
Rock Creek	MT	9,800
Tintic-East Tintic	UT	9,400
Montanore	MT	9,300
Leadville	CO	8,900
Park City	UT	8,100
Hahns Peak	CO	6,400

**Table 6.** The ten largest domestic silver deposits in terms of past production, remaining resources, and past production plus remaining resources.

DEPOSIT	STATE	COPPER PRODUCED 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Bingham Canyon district	UT	14,200
Butte district	MT	9,800
Morenci-Metcalf	AZ	9,400
Santa Rita	NM	5,000
Keweenaw district	MI	4,900
Ray	AZ	4,600
Inspiration-Miami	AZ	4,500
San Manuel-Kalamazoo	AZ	3,700
Mission-Pima-San Xavier	AZ	3,400
Copper Queen	AZ	3,000

DEPOSIT	STATE	COPPER RESOURCE 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Butte district	MT	25,200
Lone Star	AZ	24,900
Partridge River (Duluth)	MN	14,600
Morenci-Metcalf	AZ	12,800
Bingham Canyon district	UT	11,800
Dos Pobres	AZ	9,000
Santa Cruz	AZ	8,200
Ray	AZ	6,200
Birch Lake	MN	5,900
Rosemont-Helvetia	AZ	5,200

DEPOSIT	STATE	COPPER PRODUCED PLUS RESOURCE 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Butte district	MT	35,000
Bingham Canyon district	UT	26,400
Lone Star	AZ	24,900
Morenci-Metcalf	AZ	22,500
Partridge River (Duluth)	MN	14,600
Ray	AZ	10,700
Dos Pobres	AZ	9,000
Santa Rita	NM	8,700
San Manuel-Kalamazoo	AZ	8,300
Santa Cruz	AZ	8,200

**Table 7.** The ten largest domestic copper deposits in terms of past production, remaining resources, and past production plus remaining resources.

DEPOSIT	STATE	LEAD PRODUCED 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Viburnum	MO	11,200
Old Lead Belt	MO	7,700
Coeur d'Alene district	ID	7,200
Tri-State	MO OK KS	2,600
Bingham Canyon district	UT	2,000
Park City	UT	1,200
Leadville	CO	1,100
Tintic-East Tintic	UT	1,000
Upper Mississippi Valley	WI IL IA	800
Mine LaMotte-Frederickton	MO	500

DEPOSIT	STATE	LEAD RESOURCE 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Viburnum	MO	28,500
Red Dog	AK	14,700
Burkesville	KY	1,400
Tintic-East Tintic	UT	700
Lik-Su	AK	680
Hahns Peak	CO	640
Greens Creek	AK	370
Crandon	WI	320
Bingham Canyon district	UT	310
Arctic Camp	AK	290

DEPOSIT	STATE	LEAD PRODUCED PLUS RESOURCE 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Viburnum	MO	39,700
Red Dog	AK	15,000
Old Lead Belt	MO	7,700
Coeur d'Alene district	ID	7,700
Tri-State	MO OK KS	2,600
Bingham Canyon district	UT	2,300
Tintic-East Tintic	UT	1,800
Leadville	CO	1,400
Burkesville	KY	1,400
Park City	UT	1,300

**Table 8.** The ten largest domestic lead deposits in terms of past production, remaining resources, and past production plus remaining resources.

DEPOSIT	STATE	ZINC PRODUCED 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Tri-State	MO OK KS	10,600
Franklin-Sterling Hill	NJ	6,300
Coeur d'Alene district	ID	3,400
Balmat-Edwards-Pierrepoint	NY	2,500
Mascot-Jefferson City	TN	2,400
Butte district	MT	2,200
Red Dog	AK	1,800
Upper Mississippi Valley	WI IL IA	1,500
Austinville-Ivanhoe	VA	1,400
Viburnum	MO	1,400

DEPOSIT	STATE	ZINC RESOURCE 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Red Dog	AK	22,000
Crandon	WI	3,700
Butte district	MT	2,300
Arctic Camp	AK	2,000
Lik-Su	AK	1,960
Fountain Run	KY	1,800
Burkesville	KY	1,600
Tintic-East Tintic	UT	1,500
Viburnum	MO	1,400
Central Tennessee	TN	1,100

DEPOSIT	STATE	ZINC PRODUCED PLUS RESOURCE 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Red Dog	AK	23,800
Tri-State	MO OK KS	10,800
Franklin-Sterling Hill	NJ	6,300
Butte district	MT	4,600
Crandon	WI	3,700
Balmat-Edwards-Pierrepoint	NY	2,900
Viburnum	MO	2,860
Mascot-Jefferson City	TN	2,500
Arctic Camp	AK	2,000
Lik-Su	AK	1,960

**Table 9.** The ten largest domestic zinc deposits in terms of past production, remaining resources, and past production plus remaining resources.

To provide some comparison between deposits of the different metals, metal production and resources have been converted to dollar amounts using rounded average prices (in 1987 dollars) for the last ten to twenty years. Table 10 gives the ten largest deposits in dollar terms. Butte, Montana, colloquially known as the “richest hill on earth” is the largest, followed by Bingham Canyon, Utah, and Lone Star, Arizona.

DEPOSIT	STATE	VALUE OF METAL PRODUCED PLUS RESOURCES IN BILLION 1987 DOLLARS
Butte district	MT	162
Bingham Canyon district	UT	128
Lone Star	AZ	45
Morenci-Metcalf	AZ	41
Red Dog	AK	32
Partridge River	MN	27
Viburnum	MO	25
Ray	AZ	20
Goldstrike-Post	NV	18
Dos Pobres	AZ	16

Table 10. The ten largest domestic deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in terms of the gross value of past production plus remaining resources for these metals calculated using average prices for these metals over the last twenty to thirty years. Prices, in 1987 dollars, are \$350 per ounce gold, \$ 5.00 per ounce silver, \$1.00 per pound copper, \$0.45 per pound lead, and \$0.50 per pound zinc. Price data are from U.S. Bureau of Mines, 1993. Gross value of other metal production and resources (molybdenum, nickel, etc.) excluded.

### Distribution by State

Tables 11 and 12, show the total amount of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc produced and contained in remaining resources for all significant deposits of these metals in each State. A few States have been combined with adjacent States where significant deposits extend across State boundaries.

Significant deposits in Nevada have produced the most gold and contain the largest remaining gold resource of any State. Deposits in Idaho have produced the most silver, but deposits in Montana have the largest remaining silver resource. Arizona has produced half the nation's copper and has nearly half the remaining copper resource. Lead production and resources are dominated by the Missouri-Oklahoma-Kansas region. Deposits in that region have produced the most zinc but Alaska has the largest remaining zinc resource.

Nevada's prominence in gold production and resources result from the discovery and development of numerous sediment-hosted, hot-spring, and other bulk-mineable gold deposits in the last thirty years. Alaska's large zinc resources are mostly attributable to the Red Dog deposit discovered in 1968. Copper resources in Arizona are largely the result of post-World War II discoveries of new and extensions of known porphyry copper deposits.



State	PRODUCTION FROM SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS				
	Gold metric t	Silver metric t	Copper 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Lead 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Zinc 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Alaska	1,030	2,060	660	363	1,960
Arizona	451	14,050	45,600	581	1,130
California	2,670	3,520	527	159	102
Colorado	1,120	21,100	286	2,570	2,780
Georgia	2				
Idaho	335	35,900	208	7,340	3,520
Illinois (south)-Kentucky		7		64	266
Illinois (north)-Iowa-Wisconsin	9	100	155	779	1,460
Maine		8	13	3	84
Michigan	6	1,500	6,660		
Minnesota					
Missouri-Kansas-Oklahoma		1,710	384	22,600	12,200
Montana	638	29,400	10,000	675	2,440
Nevada	2,810	24,900	2,940	601	415
New Hampshire					
New Jersey					6,260
New Mexico	96	2,680	7,840	245	1,360
New York		61		77	2,490
North Carolina	15	10	67		
Oregon	109	73	8		
Pennsylvania	2	14	260		
South Carolina	55	6			
South Dakota	1,360	396			
Tennessee	1	489	704	16	3,300
Texas		1,053	1	36	
Utah	1,030	27,900	14,900	5,000	1,900
Vermont		16	51		13
Virginia	7			29	1,420
Washington	211	683	97	212	555
Wyoming	13		10		

Table 11. Total amount of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc produced from significant deposits in each State with one or more significant deposits. Some States have been combined where significant deposits extend across State borders.

State	RESOURCES IN SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS				
	Gold metric t	Silver metric t	Copper 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Lead 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Zinc 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Alaska	1,290	26,200	11,700	16,600	29,300
Arizona	337	9,460	121,000	43	861
California	1,350	4,410	1,500	54	819
Colorado	273	12,400	254	1,110	1,280
Georgia					
Idaho	362	14,400	1,560	533	922
Illinois (south)-Kentucky				1,360	3,400
Illinois (north)-Iowa-Wisconsin	111	3,630	997	437	4,680
Maine	7	715	813	200	1,160
Michigan	1	1,000	8,680		
Minnesota	>49	>1,210	32,800		
Missouri-Kansas-Oklahoma		1,620	313	28,700	1,640
Montana	1,080	48,200	30,500	73	2,440
Nevada	6,100	13,900	12,800	188	478
New Hampshire	1	25	11	8	36
New Jersey					
New Mexico	149	856	7,210	53	423
New York					436
North Carolina	15				
Oregon	203	199	121		121
Pennsylvania					449
South Carolina	46				
South Dakota	330	>1			
Tennessee				unknown	1,660
Texas		1,560	105		
Utah	647	13,200	14,300	1,150	2,940
Vermont					
Virginia	7	239	1,040	119	1,360
Washington	519	4,040	13,200	381	1,030
Wyoming	2,290	85	1,350		

Table 12. Total amount of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc contained as resources in significant deposits in each State with one or more significant deposits. Some States have been combined where significant deposits extend across State borders.

### Distribution by Deposit Type

Table 18 gives the total amount of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc produced plus that contained in remaining resources for each of the deposit types to which deposits in the database have been assigned. The principal deposit types for each metal, however, are more readily seen in tables 13 through 17.

The most important deposit types for gold production and resources are sediment-hosted gold, placer gold, and epithermal veins. Silver production and resources is more evenly divided among several deposit types. Polymetallic replacement and Coeur d'Alene polymetallic veins are the most important deposit types for silver production, and porphyry copper, sediment-hosted copper, and massive sulfides are most important for silver resources.

Some 72 percent of copper production and 70 percent of copper resources are attributable to porphyry copper deposits. Over half of all lead production and resources are attributable to Mississippi Valley-type deposits. Mississippi Valley-type deposits account for about half of zinc production but sedimentary exhalative Zn-Pb deposits contain nearly half the remaining zinc resource.

Deposit Type	GOLD PRODUCTION	
	metric t	Percent Total
Epithermal vein	2,650	22
Placer Au	2,530	21
Sediment-hosted Au	1,420	12
Low-sulfide Au-quartz vein	1,410	12
Homestake Au	1,250	10
Porphyry Cu	887	7

Deposit Type	GOLD RESOURCES	
	metric t	Percent Total
Sediment-hosted Au	4,080	27
Placer Au	3,040	20
Epithermal vein	2,760	18
Porphyry Cu	998	7
Low-sulfide Au-quartz vein	769	5
Skarn	650	4

Table 13. Principal types of significant gold deposits in terms of production and remaining resources. The epithermal vein deposit type includes the Comstock, Creede, hot-springs, quartz-alunite, and Sado deposit models.

Deposit Type	SILVER PRODUCTION	
	metric t	Percent Total
Polymetallic replacement	43,100	26
Vein, Coeur d'Alene	33,100	20
Vein, polymetallic	31,200	19
Epithermal vein	24,900	15
Porphyry Cu	11,100	7
Distal-disseminated Ag-Au	7,800	5

Deposit Type	SILVER RESOURCES	
	metric t	Percent Total
Porphyry Cu	25,800	16
Sediment-hosted Cu	23,600	15
Massive sulfide	19,800	13
Vein, polymetallic	15,400	10
Epithermal vein	12,200	8
Sedimentary exhalative Zn-Pb	12,200	8

**Table 14.** Principal types of significant silver deposits in terms of production and remaining resources. The epithermal vein deposit type includes the Comstock, Creede, hot-springs, quartz-alunite, and Sado deposit models. Porphyry copper-related polymetallic veins are included in the polymetallic vein deposit type.

Deposit Type	COPPER PRODUCTION	
	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Percent Total
Porphyry Cu	66,100	72
Vein, polymetallic	7,800	9
Basaltic Cu	4,900	5
Polymetallic replacement	3,700	4
Massive sulfide	3,500	4
Sediment-hosted Cu	2,100	2

Deposit Type	COPPER RESOURCES	
	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Percent all types
Porphyry Cu	183,300	70
Magmatic Ni-Cu	34,400	13
Skarn	9,100	4
Sediment-hosted Cu	8,600	3
Massive sulfide	6,200	2
Basaltic Cu	3,100	1

**Table 15.** Principal types of significant copper deposits in terms of production and remaining resources. The magmatic Ni-Cu deposit type includes the Duluth, Stillwater, and synorogenic-synvolcanic deposit models. The skarn deposit type includes the skarn Au, skarn Cu, skarn Fe, and skarn Zn-Pb deposit models. Porphyry copper-related polymetallic veins are included in the polymetallic vein deposit type.

Deposit Type	LEAD PRODUCTION	
	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Percent Total
Mississippi Valley	23,700	57
Polymetallic replacement	7,300	18
Vein, Coeur d'Alene	7,200	17
Vein, polymetallic	1,900	5
Sedimentary exhalative Zn-Pb	425	1
Massive sulfide	260	1

Deposit Type	LEAD RESOURCES	
	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Percent Total
Mississippi Valley	30,200	59
Sedimentary exhalative Zn-Pb	15,400	30
Massive sulfide	1,880	4
Polymetallic replacement	1,560	3
Vein, polymetallic	589	1
Vein, Coeur d'Alene	436	1

**Table 16.** Principal types of significant lead deposits in terms of production and remaining resources. The massive sulfide deposit type includes the Besshi, Cyprus, and Kuroko deposit models. Porphyry copper-related polymetallic veins are included in the polymetallic vein deposit type.

Deposit Type	ZINC PRODUCTION	
	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Percent Total
Mississippi Valley	19,800	45
Franklin-Sterling Hill	6,260	14
Polymetallic replacement	5,120	12
Sedimentary exhalative Zn-Pb	4,390	10
Vein, polymetallic	3,750	8
Vein, Coeur d'Alene	3,370	8

Deposit Type	ZINC RESOURCES	
	10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Percent Total
Sedimentary exhalative Zn-Pb	24,600	44
Massive sulfide	13,600	25
Mississippi Valley	7,900	14
Polymetallic replacement	3,070	6
Vein, polymetallic	3,030	5
Skarn	1,890	3

**Table 17.** Principal types of significant zinc deposits in terms of production and remaining resources. The massive sulfide deposit type includes the Besshi, Cyprus, and Kuroko deposit models. Porphyry copper-related polymetallic veins are included in the polymetallic vein deposit type. The skarn deposit type includes the skarn Au, skarn Cu, skarn Fe, and skarn Zn-Pb deposit models. The Franklin-Sterling zinc deposits may be metamorphosed sedimentary-exhalative deposits.

Deposit Type	Number Deposits	Gold metric t	Silver metric t	Copper 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Lead 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Zinc 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Alkaline Au-Te	25	1,340	623		2	
Basaltic Cu	13			8,030		
Detachment fault-related polymetallic	9	114	88	358	4	
Distal-disseminated Ag-Au	25	664	18,700	4	119	1
Duluth Cu-Ni	8	>49	>1,210	32,900		
Epithermal vein, Comstock	60	1,410	23,700	6	5	2
Epithermal vein, Creede	4	10	3,290	2	165	4
Epithermal vein, quartz-alunite	8	202	132	6		
Epithermal vein, Sado	7	196	2,540	3		
Exotic Cu	2			544		
Franklin-Sterling Hill Zn	2					6,260
Homestake Au	3	1,420	>281			
Hot Spring Au-Ag	51	2,250	6,870		15	1
Kennecott Cu	1		311	544		
Kipushi Cu	3		15	1,830	2	121
Low-sulfide Au-quartz vein	144	2,180	>303	1	18	
Massive sulfide, Besshi	13	4	587	2,260	36	1,140
Massive sulfide, Cyprus	1	14	55	53		121
Massive sulfide, Kuroko	64	540	24,300	7,330	2,100	13,900
Mississippi Valley Pb-Zn	22		3,340	362	53,900	27,700
Olympic Dam Cu-U-Au	1			301		
Placer Au	154	48,000	>5,570			
Placer PGE	1	>1				
Polymetallic replacement	45	643	48,500	5,290	8,770	8,190
Porphyry Au	6	304	>10	13		
Porphyry Cu	102	1,890	36,900	249,000	12	19
Porphyry Mo	8		1,890	7,500		
Replacement/Vein Au-Fe	4	30				
Sedimentary-exhalative Cu-Co	3			429		
Sedimentary-exhalative Zn-Pb	7	5	13,300	274	15,900	29,000
Sediment-hosted Au	67	5,500	>466			
Sediment-hosted Cu	20	4	26,800	10,700		
Skarn Au	17	460	1,230	126	1	
Skarn Cu	10	329	8,280	8,070		511
Skarn Fe	3	2	14	1,460		
Skarn Zn-Pb	7	1	1,350	124	288	1,530
Stillwater Ni-Cu	2			1,290		
Syntectonic-synorogenic Ni-Cu	3		2	325		
Vein, peraluminous Au-Ag	4	20	17			
Vein, Congress-type, polymetallic	3	61	74	1	1	



Deposit Type	Number Deposits	Gold metric t	Silver metric t	Copper 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Lead 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	Zinc 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Vein, Coeur d'Alene polymetallic	26	5	42,200	168	7,620	3,760
Vein, Cu	4	28	1,250	1,420	3	46
Vein, polymetallic	96	1,270	19,500	556	1,920	2,080
Vein, polymetallic, porphyry-Cu related	7	92	27,100	9,130	546	4,710
Vein, Sn-Cu	2		168	8		
Tailings	3	40	>250	1	>84	>103
Unclassified	49	487	8,950	638	896	981

**Table 18.** Total amount of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc produced and contained in remaining resources in the various types of significant deposits. The Franklin-Sterling Hill zinc deposits may be metamorphosed sedimentary-exhalative zinc deposits.

#### Comparison with Estimates of Undiscovered Resources

Table 19 compares identified resources and past production of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc from the significant deposits database with mean estimates of undiscovered resources of the same metals from the 1996 National Mineral Resource Assessment (Ludington and Cox, 1996). The estimates of undiscovered resources are for the conterminous United States only, hence known resources and past production for Alaska have been excluded from Table 19 to facilitate comparison.

The 1996 National Mineral Resource Assessment (Ludington and Cox, 1996) estimated probability distributions for the amount of metal in undiscovered deposits to a depth of one kilometer. The means of those distributions, which are the values shown in table 19, are the expected or most likely values for the amount of metal contained in undiscovered deposits.

Estimates of undiscovered gold resources in the conterminous United States are roughly the same as the amount of identified remaining resources. The comparison, however, is not exact as some of the identified resources from the significant deposits database extend below one kilometer depth. For all other metals, estimates of undiscovered resources exceed identified resources, in the cases of silver and zinc, by a factor of three and five respectively. Total resources, identified plus undiscovered, exceed past production by substantial amounts.

TYPE OF RESOURCE	GOLD metric t	SILVER metric t	COPPER 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	LEAD 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t	ZINC 10 <sup>3</sup> metric t
Undiscovered	18,000	460,000	290,000	85,000	210,000
Identified, unmined	15,000	157,000	260,000	51,000	55,000
Past Production	12,000	168,000	91,000	41,000	44,000

**Table 19.** Comparison of estimates of undiscovered resources in the conterminous United States of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc with identified resources and past production of the same metals for deposits in the significant deposits database. Estimates of undiscovered resources are from U.S. Geological Survey, 1998a.

## CONCLUSIONS

The U.S. Geological Survey has developed an up-to-date database of significant precious- and base-metal deposits in the United States. This database will be a valuable tool for mineral-resource and mineral-environmental investigations, particularly by limiting the scope of these investigations to those deposits which are most important.

The database of significant domestic resources of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc demonstrates several salient features that may not have been readily apparent before. Approximately a third of the Nation's resources have been discovered in the last forty years, including some of the largest known deposits of some metals such as Red Dog, Alaska (zinc, silver); Goldstrike-Post, Twin Creeks, Gold Quarry-Maggie Creek, Round Mountain, and Jerritt Canyon, Nevada (gold); Lone Star and Dos Pobres, Arizona (copper); and Viburnum, Missouri (lead). Some deposits, such as Butte, Montana (silver, copper, zinc), which are now inactive or no longer major producers, contain a very large share of remaining resources. Identified resources of all metals are less than the mean of estimates of undiscovered resources for these metals.

## REFERENCES<sup>1</sup>

- Berger, B.R., and Singer, D.A., 1992, Grade-tonnage model of hot-spring Au-Ag, *in* Bliss, J.D., ed., *Developments in deposit modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004*, p. 23-25.
- Brobst, D.A., and Pratt, W.P., eds., 1973, *United States mineral resources: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 820*, 722 p.
- Cox, D.P., 1992, Descriptive model of distal disseminated Ag-Au deposits, *in* Bliss, J.D., ed., *Developments in deposit modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004*, p. 19.
- Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., 1986, *Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693*, 379 p.
- Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., 1992, Grade-tonnage model of distal disseminated Ag-Au deposits *in* Bliss, J.D., ed., *Developments in deposit modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004*, p. 20.
- duBray, E.A., ed., 1995, *Preliminary compilation of descriptive geoenvironmental mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-831*, 272 p.
- Eckstrand, O.R., Sinclair, W.D., and Thorpe, R.I., 1995, *Geology of Canadian mineral deposit types: Geological Survey of Canada, Geology of Canada, no. 8*, 640 p.
- Long, K.R., 1992, Descriptive model for detachment-fault-related mineralization, *in* Bliss, J.D., ed., *Developments in deposit modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004*, p. 57-58.
- Long, K.R., 1993, *Grade-tonnage data for detachment-fault related polymetallic deposits: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-228*, 18 p.
- Long, K.R., DeYoung, J.H., Jr., and Ludington, S.D., 1998, *Database of significant deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in the United States; Part B; Digital database: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 98-206B*, 1 3.5-inch floppy diskette.
- Ludington, S.D., and Cox, D.P., eds., 1996, *Data base for a national mineral-resource assessment of undiscovered deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in the conterminous United States: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-96*, 1 CD-ROM.

---

<sup>1</sup> References cited in the significant deposits database are to be found in the companion "Significant Deposits References" file.

- Mosier, D.L., Singer, D.A., Bagby, W.C., and Menzie, W.D., 1992, Grade and tonnage model of sediment-hosted Au deposits, *in* Bliss, J.D., ed., *Developments in deposit modeling*: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004, p. 26-28.
- Rytuba, J.J., and Cox, D.P., 1991, Porphyry gold; a supplement to U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 91-116, 7 p.
- Singer, D.A., 1995, World class base and precious metal deposits; a quantitative analysis: *Economic Geology*, v. 90, no. 1, p. 88-104.
- Singer, D.A., and DeYoung, J.H., Jr., 1980, What can grade-tonnage relations really tell us?, in Guillemain, Claude, and Lagny, Philippe, eds., *Ressources minérales-Mineral resources*: [France] Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières Mémoire 106, p. 91-101.
- Theodore, T.G., Orris, G.J., Hammarstrom, J.M., and Bliss, J.D., 1991, Gold-bearing skarns: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1930, 61 p.
- U.S. Bureau of Mines, 1993, *Metal prices in the United States through 1991*: Washington, D.C., U.S. Bureau of Mines, 201 p.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1998a, 1998 Assessment of United States undiscovered deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc: U.S. Geological Survey Circular, *in press*.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1998b, *Mineral commodity summaries 1998*: Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey, 197 p.

## APPENDIX I. FIELD DEFINITIONS FOR THE SIGNIFICANT DEPOSITS DATABASE

Each column in the spreadsheet is a separate field in the database. Fields are defined below under their respective column headings.

### Deposit

Name of the deposit or aggregation of deposits (usually by district). Commonly used synonyms are given in parentheses. Where two or more mines develop the same deposits, mine names are joined by a hyphen to create a deposit name.

### District

Name of the mining district to which the deposit belongs. District names follow those used by the U.S. Bureau of Mines for reporting domestic mine production. Where State geological surveys have modified district names or definitions, those modifications have been followed.

### County

County or counties where a deposit occurs.

### State

State or States in which a deposit occurs.

### Lat and Long

Latitude and longitude coordinates for a deposit. For very large deposits, the coordinate represents an approximate central point within the deposit. Accuracy of these coordinates, which come from a wide variety of sources, is not known.

### Deposit Type

Name of the U.S. Geological Survey deposit model to which a deposit has been assigned. A few deposits have been assigned model names for which no formal deposit models have yet been developed by the U.S. Geological Survey. See Appendix II for a list of deposit model names with references to published models.

### Deposit Type No.

Number of the U.S. Geological Survey deposit model corresponding to the assigned model.

### Alternate Deposit Types

Where there is some uncertainty or debate over which model to assign a deposit, an alternate model may also be assigned. See Appendix II for a list of deposit model names with references to published models.

### Alternate Deposit Type No.

Number of the alternate U.S. Geological Survey deposit model to which a deposit has been assigned.

### Environmental Model

Name of the U.S. Geological Survey geoenvironmental model to which a deposit has been assigned. See Appendix II for a list of deposit model names with references to published models.

### Discovery Year

Year in which some part of the deposit was first recognized by persons not indigenous to North America. When the exact year is uncertain, an approximate date is indicated by a tilde (~) placed before the date, or placed within a decade by an expression such as 1860s, or otherwise explained.

### Production Dates

Years of production covered by the production data given. If a deposit is known to have produced outside of those dates, a note to that effect is placed in the Comments field.

Production Tonnage

Tonnage of material, in thousands of metric tons, originally mined and processed to recover the metals whose production is given in the other production fields. Reprocessed material, such as tailing and slag, are excluded to avoid double-counting.

Production Au

Metric tons of gold recovered from material mined and processed.

Production Ag

Metric tons of silver recovered from material mined and processed.

Production Cu

Thousands of metric tons of copper recovered from material mined and processed.

Production Pb

Thousands of metric tons of lead recovered from material mined and processed.

Production Zn

Thousands of metric tons of zinc recovered from material mined and processed.

Other Production

A listing of other mineral commodities recovered from mining a deposit.

References: Production

Citation of reference sources for the production data given. Complete references appear in a separate file.

Resource Year

Year a resource estimate for a deposit was published or calculated.

Resource Tonnage

Tonnage of material, in thousands of metric tons, remaining in a deposit that contains the metal resources reported in the other resource fields.

Resource Au

Metric tons of gold contained in the remaining resource.

Resource Ag

Metric tons of silver contained in the remaining resource.

Resource Cu

Thousands of metric tons of copper contained in the remaining resource.

Resource Pb

Thousands of metric tons of lead contained in the remaining resource.

Resource Zn

Thousands of metric tons of zinc contained in the remaining resource.

Other Resources

A listing of other mineral commodities contained in the remaining resource.

References: Resources

Citation of reference sources for the resource data given. Complete references appear in a separate file.

Comments

Explanatory comments for any of the data given in a record.

## APPENDIX II. MINERAL DEPOSIT MODELS USED TO CLASSIFY DEPOSITS

DEPOSIT MODEL	MODEL NUMBER	REFERENCES
Alkaline Au-Te	22b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Basaltic Cu	23	Cox and Singer, 1986
Cu-Co, Blackbird-type	24d	Cox and Singer, 1986
Detachment fault-related polymetallic	40a	Long, 1992; Long, 1993
Distal-disseminated Ag-Au	19c	Cox, 1992; Cox and Singer, 1992
Duluth Cu-Ni	5a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Epithermal vein, Comstock	25c	Cox and Singer, 1986
Epithermal vein, Creede	25b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Epithermal vein, quartz-alunite	25e	Cox and Singer, 1986
Epithermal vein, Sado	25d	Cox and Singer, 1986
Exotic Cu		None
Homestake stratiform Au	36b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Hot Spring Au-Ag	25a	Cox and Singer, 1986; Berger and Singer, 1992
Kennecott-type Cu		None
Kipushi Cu	32c	Cox and Singer, 1986
Low-sulfide Au-quartz vein	36a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Massive sulfide, Besshi	24b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Massive sulfide, Cyprus	24a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Massive sulfide, Kuroko	28a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Mississippi Valley, Appalachian Zn	32b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Mississippi Valley, southeast Missouri Pb-Zn	32a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Olympic Dam Cu-U-Au	29b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Placer Au	39a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Placer PGE	39b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Polymetallic replacement	19a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Porphyry Au	20d	Rytuba and Cox, 1991
Porphyry Cu	17	Cox and Singer, 1986
Porphyry Cu, skarn-related	18a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Porphyry Cu-Au	20c	Cox and Singer, 1986
Porphyry Cu-Mo	21a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Porphyry Mo, Climax	16	Cox and Singer, 1986
Porphyry Mo, low-F	21b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Replacement/Vein Au-Fe		None
Sedimentary-exhalative Zn-Pb	31a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Sediment-hosted Au	26a	Cox and Singer, 1986; Mosier and others, 1992
Sediment-hosted Cu	30b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Skarn Au	18f	Theodore and others, 1991
Skarn Cu	18b	Cox and Singer, 1986
Skarn Fe	18d	Cox and Singer, 1986
Skarn Zn-Pb	18c	Cox and Singer, 1986
Stillwater Ni-Cu	1	Cox and Singer, 1986
Strata-bound Zn (Franklin-Sterling Hill)		None
Synorogenic-synvolcanic Ni-Cu	7a	Cox and Singer, 1986
Vein, Au-quartz, peraluminous granite		None

DEPOSIT MODEL	MODEL NUMBER	REFERENCES
Vein, Congress-type, polymetallic		None
Vein, Coeur d'Alene polymetallic		White and Long, in preparation
Vein, Cu		Eckstrand and others, 1995
Vein, polymetallic	22c	Cox and Singer, 1986
Vein, polymetallic, porphyry-Cu related		None
Vein, polymetallic Ag-Sn	20b	Cox and Singer, 1986



## Appendix III. A Resource/Reserve Classification for Minerals<sup>1</sup>

### Introduction

Through the years, geologists, mining engineers, and others operating in the minerals field have used various terms to describe and classify mineral resources, which as defined herein include energy minerals. Some of these terms have gained wide use and acceptance, although they are not always used with precisely the same meaning.

The U.S. Geological Survey collects information about the quantity and quality of all mineral resources. In 1976, the USGS and the U.S. Bureau of Mines developed a common classification and nomenclature, which was published as U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1450-A, "*Principles of the Mineral Resource Classification System of the U.S. Bureau of Mines and U.S. Geological Survey.*" Experience with this resource classification system showed that some changes were necessary in order to make it more workable in practice and more useful in long-term planning. Therefore, representatives of the U.S. Geological Survey and the U.S. Bureau of Mines collaborated to revise Bulletin 1450-A. Their work was published in 1980 as U.S. Geological Survey Circular 831, "*Principles of a Resource/Reserve Classification for Minerals.*"

Long-term public and commercial planning must be based on the probability of discovering new deposits, on developing economic extraction processes for currently unworkable deposits, and on knowing which resources are immediately available. Thus, resources must be continuously reassessed in the light of new geologic knowledge, of progress in science and technology, and of shifts in economic and political conditions. To best serve these planning needs, identified resources should be classified from two standpoints: (1) purely geologic or physical/chemical characteristics of the material in place, such as grade, quality, tonnage, thickness, and depth; and (2) profitability analyses based on costs of extracting and marketing the material in a given economy at a given time. The former constitutes important objective scientific information of the resource and a relatively unchanging foundation upon which the latter more valuable economic delineation can be based.

The revised classification systems, designed generally for all mineral materials, are shown graphically in figures 1 and 2; their components and usage are described in the text. The classification of mineral and energy resources is necessarily arbitrary, because definitional criteria do not always coincide with natural boundaries. The system can be used to report the status of mineral and energy-fuel resources for the Nation or for specific areas.

### **Resource/Reserve Definitions**

A dictionary definition of resource, "something in reserve or ready if needed," has been adapted for mineral and energy resources to comprise all materials, including those only surmised to exist, that have present to anticipated future value.

Resource. A concentration of naturally occurring solid, liquid, or gaseous material in or on the Earth's crust in such form and amount that economic extraction of a commodity from the concentration is currently or potentially feasible.

Original Resource. The amount of a resource before production.

Identified Resources. Resources whose location, grade, quality, and quantity are known or estimated from specific geologic evidence. Identified resources include economic, marginally economic, and sub-economic components. To reflect varying degrees of geologic certainty, these economic divisions can be subdivided into measured, indicated, and inferred.

Demonstrated. A term for the sum of measured and indicated.

---

<sup>1</sup> From U.S. Geological Survey 1988 Mineral Commodity Summaries based on U.S. Geological Survey Circular 831, 1980

Measured. Quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings, or drill holes; grade and quality are computed from the results of detailed sampling. The sites for inspection, sampling, and measurement are spaced so closely and the geologic character is so well defined that the size, shape, depth, and mineral content of the resource are well established.

Indicated. Quantity, grade, and quality are computed from information similar to that used for measured resources, but the sites for inspection, sampling, and measurement are farther apart or are otherwise less adequately spaced. The degree of assurance, although lower than that for measured resources, is high enough to assume continuity between points of observation.

Inferred. Estimates are based on an assumed continuity beyond measured and indicated resources, for which there is geologic evidence. Inferred resources may or may not be supported by samples or measurements.

Reserve Base. That part of an identified resource that meets specified minimum physical and chemical criteria related to current mining and production practices, including those for grade, quality, thickness, and depth. The reserve base is the in-place demonstrated (measured plus indicated) resource from which reserves are estimated. It may encompass those parts of the resources that have reasonable potential for becoming economically available within planning horizons beyond those that assume proven technology and current economics. The reserve base includes those resources that are currently economic (reserves), marginally economic (marginal reserves), and some of those that are currently subeconomic (subeconomic resources). The term "geologic reserve" has been applied by others generally to the reserve-base category, but it may also include the inferred-reserve-base category. It is not a part of this classification system.

Inferred Reserve Base. The in-place part of an identified resource from which inferred reserves are estimated. Quantitative estimates are based largely on knowledge of the geologic character of a deposit for which there may be no samples or measurements. The estimates are based on an assumed continuity beyond the reserve base, for which there is geologic evidence.

Reserves. That part of the reserve base which could be economically extracted or produced at the time of determination. The term reserves need not signify that extraction facilities are in place and operative. Reserves include only recoverable materials; thus, terms such as "extractable reserves" and "recoverable reserves" are redundant and are not part of this classification system.

Marginal Reserves. That part of the reserve base which, at the time of determination, borders on being economically producible. Its essential characteristic is economic uncertainty. Included are resources that would be producible, given postulated changes in economic or technological factors.

Economic. This term implies that profitable extraction or production under defined investment assumptions has been established, analytically demonstrated, or assumed with reasonable certainty.

Subeconomic Resources. The part of identified resources that does not meet the economic criteria of reserves and marginal reserves.

Undiscovered Resources. Resources, the existence of which are only postulated, comprising deposits that are separate from identified resources. Undiscovered resources may be postulated in deposits of such grade and physical location as to render them economic, marginally economic, or subeconomic. To reflect varying degrees of geologic certainty, undiscovered resources may be divided into two parts.

Hypothetical Resources. Undiscovered resources that are similar to known mineral bodies and that may be reasonably expected to exist in the same producing district or region under analogous geologic conditions. If exploration confirms their existence and reveals enough information about their quality, grade, and quantity, they will be reclassified as identified resources.

**Speculative Resources.** Undiscovered resources that may occur either in known types of deposits in favorable geologic settings where mineral discoveries have not been made, or in types of deposits as yet unrecognized for their economic potential. If exploration confirms their existence and reveals enough information about their quantity, grade, and quality, they will be reclassified as identified resources.

**Restricted Resources/Reserves.** That part of any reserve/resource category that is restricted from extraction by law or regulations.

**Other Occurrences.** Materials that are too low grade or for other reasons are not considered potentially economic may be recognized and their magnitude estimated, but they are not classified as resources.

**Cumulative Production.** The amount of past cumulative production is not, by definition, a part of a resource. Nevertheless, a knowledge of what has been produced is important to an understanding of current resources, in terms of the amount of past production and the amount of remaining in-place resource. Material left in the ground during current or future extraction should be recorded in the resource category appropriate to its economic-recovery potential.

**Figure 1.** Major elements of mineral-resource classification, excluding *Reserve Base* and *Inferred Reserve Base*.

Cumulative Production	IDENTIFIED RESOURCES		UNDISCOVERED RESOURCES		
	Demonstrated		Inferred	Probability Range	
	Measured	Indicated		Hypothetical	Speculative
ECONOMIC	Reserves		Inferred Reserves		
MARGINALLY ECONOMIC	Marginal Reserves		Inferred Marginal Reserves		
SUBECONOMIC	Demonstrated Subeconomic Resources		Inferred Subeconomic Resources		
Other Occurrences	Includes unconventional and low-grade materials				

**Figure 2.** *Reserve Base and Inferred Reserve Base classification categories.*

Cumulative Production	IDENTIFIED RESOURCES		UNDISCOVERED RESOURCES		
	Demonstrated		Inferred	Probability Range	
	Measured	Indicated		Hypothetical	Speculative
ECONOMIC	Reserve Base		Inferred Reserve Base		
MARGINALLY ECONOMIC					
SUBECONOMIC					
Other Occurrences	Includes unconventional and low-grade materials				

## References cited in file Known Deposits

- Albers, J.P., and Robertson, J.F., 1961, Geology and ore deposits of the East Shasta copper-zinc district, Shasta County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 338, 107 p.
- Albers, J.P., and Stewart, J.H., 1972, Geology and mineral deposits of Esmeralda County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 78, 80 p.
- Allsman, P.T., 1940, Reconnaissance of gold mining districts in the Black Hills, South Dakota: U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 427, 146 p.
- Almquist, C.L., 1988, Mineral investigation of a part of the Mount Nutt Wilderness Study Area (AZ-020-024), Mohave County, Arizona: U.S. Bureau of Mines Mineral Land Assessment Report MLA 35-88, 20 p.
- Amex, 1972, Summary report (preliminary), Lights Creek Copper Project, Plumas County, California; Venture 63: San Francisco, Calif., American Exploration and Mining Co., unpaginated. (Grover Heinrichs File Collection, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz., File 3, Folder 29)
- Anaconda Copper Co., 1916, Report on Mammoth gold mine, Pinal Co., Arizona: Butte, Mont., Geological Department, Anaconda Copper Co., unpaginated. (Anaconda Geological Documents Collection, International Archive of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, File 13223)
- Anderson, A.L., 1929, Geology and ore deposits of the Lava Creek district, Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Pamphlet 32, 70 p.
- Anderson, A.L., 1943, Geology of the gold-bearing lodes of the Rocky Bar district, Elmore County, Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Pamphlet 65, 39 p.
- Anderson, A.L., 1947, Geology and ore deposits of Boise Basin, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 944-C, p. 119-319.
- Anderson, A.L., 1953, Gold-copper-lead deposits of the Yellowjacket district, Lemhi County, Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Pamphlet 94, 41 p.
- Anonymous, 1951, Report on operation of the Central Eureka Mining Company, Sutter Creek, California: Butte, Mont., Geological Department, Anaconda Copper Mining Co., 16 p. (Anaconda Geological Documents Collection, International Archives of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, File 16013.02)
- Anonymous, 1982, Higdon background data: Essex International, 7 p. (Draft report in R. Mulchy File Collection, Drawer 1, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz.)
- Anthony, J.J., 1979, Profitability analysis, in-situ leach project, Nacimiento copper mine: Denver, Colo., Anaconda Mining Co., 24 p. (University of Wyoming, American Heritage Center Anaconda Geological Document Collection, Document, File 43910.05)
- Averill, C.V., 1946, Placer mining in California: California Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 135, 357 p.
- Avery, D.W., Sweeney, T.M., and Satkoski, J., 1989, Principal deposits of strategic and critical minerals in Montana: Spokane, Wash., U.S. Bureau of Mines, unpaginated. (Prepared for publication as an Information Circular but never released. A copy is on file at the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Butte, Mont.)
- Baker, D.J., 1985, Geology of the Cumo molybdenum-copper system, Boise County, Idaho [abs] : Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 17, no. 4, p. 207.
- Barnes, M.P., and Simos, J.G., 1968, Ore deposits of the Park City district with a contribution on the Mayflower lode, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 2, p. 1102-1126.
- Bayley, R.W., Proctor, P.D., and Condie, K.C., 1973, Geology of the South Pass area, Fremont County, Wyoming: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 793, 39 p.

- Beaty, D.W., Landis, G.P., and Thompson, T.B., 1990, Carbonate-hosted sulfide deposits of the Central Colorado Mineral Belt; introduction, general discussion, and summary, *in* Beaty, D.W., Landis, G.P., and Thompson, T.B., eds., Carbonate-hosted sulfide deposits of the Central Colorado Mineral Belt: Society of Economic Geologists Monograph 7, p. 1-18.
- Becraft, G.E., Pinckney, D.M., and Rosenblum, Sam, 1963, Geology and mineral deposits of the Jefferson City quadrangle, Jefferson and Lewis and Clark Counties, Montana: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 428, 101 p.
- Bennett, E.H., and Springer, D., 1991, Mine production in the Coeur d'Alene district, 1981-1990: Idaho Geological Survey GeoNote 20, 1 p.
- Berg, R.B., and Breuninger, R.H., 1987, Guidebook of the Helena area, west-central Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Special Publication 95, 64 p.
- Bergendahl, M.H., 1964, Gold, *in* Mineral and water resources of Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Special Report 1, p. 93-101.
- Bergendahl, M.H., and Koschmann, A.H., 1971, Ore deposits of the Kokomo-Tenmile district, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 652, 53 p.
- Bernstein, L. R., 1986, Geology and mineralogy of the Apex germanium-gallium mine, Washington County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1577, 9 p.
- Bilbrey, J.H., Jr., 1962, Cobalt, a materials survey: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 8103, 140 p.
- Bliss, J.D., 1994, Mineral deposit modeling using components for complex mineral deposits—Mixed base- and precious-metal veins of the Idaho batholith, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 94-690, 53 p.
- Bliss, J.D., and Jones, G.M., 1988, Mineralogic and grade-tonnage information on low-sulfide Au-quartz veins: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 88-229, 99 p.
- Bodwell, W.A., 1997, A comparison of the Marquette Greenstone Belt, Marquette County Michigan, with the Red Lake Greenstone Belt in Ontario: *Skilling's Mining Review*, v. 86, no. 23, p. 4-8.
- Bonham, H.F., 1969, Geology and mineral deposits of Washoe and Storey Counties, Nevada with a section on industrial rock and mineral deposits *by* K.G. Papke: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 70, 140 p.
- Bornhorst, T.J., 1992, Road log of bedrock geology and native copper deposits of the Keweenaw Peninsula, Michigan, *in* Bornhorst, T.J., ed., Keweenawan copper deposits of western Upper Michigan: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series 13, p. 105-138.
- Boutwell, J.M., 1905, Economic geology of the Bingham Mining District, Utah, with a section on Area geology *by* Arthur Keith, and an introduction on General geology *by* S.F. Emmons: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 38, 413 p.
- Bowen, O.E., Jr., and Gray, C.H., Jr., 1957, Mines and mineral resources of Mariposa County, California: *California Journal of Mines and Geology*, v. 53, n. 1-2, p. 35-343.
- Briggs, D.F., 1996, United States mining operations: Tucson, Ariz., privately published, 2235 p. (Proprietary report purchased by U.S. Geological Survey)
- Bromfield, C.S., 1989, Gold deposits in the Park City mining district, Utah, *in* Shawe, D.R. and Ashley, R.P., eds., Gold-bearing polymetallic veins and replacement deposits, part I: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1857-C, p. C14-C26.
- Brooks, H.C., 1969, Gold and silver, *in* Mineral and water resources of Oregon: Portland, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, p. 125-143.
- Brooks, H.C., and Ramp, L., 1968, Gold and silver in Oregon: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Bulletin 61, 337 p.
- Brooks, J.R., 1975, CF & I Dragoon project: Essex International, Inc., 5 p. (Grover Heinrichs File Collection, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz., File 1, Folder 35)

- Brown, F.R., 1947, Apollo mine, Unga Island, Alaska: Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys (Territorial Department of Mines) Report of Mineral Investigations MR-138-1, 33 p.
- Brown, J.S., 1968, Ore deposits of the northeastern United States, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 1-19.
- Buchanan, L.J., 1981, Precious metal deposits associated with volcanic environments in the southwest, *in* Dickinson, W.R., and Payne, W.D., eds., Relations of tectonics to ore deposits in the southern Cordillera: Arizona Geological Society Digest 14, p. 237-262.
- Bufvers, J., 1967, History of mines and prospects, Ketchikan district, prior to 1952: Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys Special Report 1, 32 p.
- Bundtzen, T.K., and Miller, M.L., 1997, Precious metals associated with Late Cretaceous-Early Tertiary igneous rocks of southwestern Alaska, *in* Goldfarb, R.J., and Miller, L.D., eds., Mineral deposits of Alaska: Economic Geology Monograph 9, p. 242-286.
- Bundtzen, T.K., Smith, T.E., and Tosdal, R.M., 1976, Progress report; geology and mineral deposits of the Kantishna Hills: Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys Open-File Report AOF-98, 82 p.
- Bundtzen, T.K., Swainbank, R.C., Clough, A.H., Henning, M.W., and Charlie, K.M., 1996, Alaska's mineral industry, 1995: Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys Special Report 50, 72 p.
- Burbank, W.S., and Henderson, C.W., 1932, Geology and ore deposits of the Bonanza mining district, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 169, 166 p.
- Buro, Y., 1993, Aur/Teck Joint Venture—Boise Lands: Maine Mineral Resources Association Newsletter, v. 4, no. 1, p. 6.
- Butler, B.S., Loughlin, G.F., Heikes, V.C., and others, 1920, The ore deposits of Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 111, 672 p.
- Callaghan, E., 1973, Mineral resource potential of Piute County, Utah, and adjoining area: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Bulletin 102, 135 p.
- Callahan, W.H., 1968, Geology of the Friedensville zinc mine, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 95-107.
- Callicrate, T., Bonham, H., and Boyer, C., 1996, Roadlog for Trip I; Gold deposits of the Walker Lane, *in* Green, S.M., and Struhsacker, E., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 389-448.
- Cameron, D.E., 1996, Structural setting and features of Au-Ag orebodies at the Cannon mine, Wenatchee, Washington, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 1089-1110.
- Cannon, W.F., 1985, Mineral-resources map of the Iron River 1° x 2° quadrangle, Michigan and Wisconsin: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1360-A, scale 1:250,000.
- Cappa, J.A., Tremain, C.M., and Hemborg, H.T., 1997, Colorado mineral and mineral fuel activity, 1996: Colorado Geological Survey Information Series 42, 29 p.
- Carlson, D.W., and Clark, W.B., 1954, Mines and mineral resources of Amador County, California: California Journal of Mines and Geology, v. 50, no. 1, p. 149-285.
- Carlson, D.W., and Clark, W.B., 1956, Lode gold mines of the Alleghany-Downieville area, Sierra County, California: California Journal of Mines and Geology, v. 52, no. 3, p. 237-272.
- Carten, R.B., White, W.H., and Stein, H.J., 1995, High-grade granite-related Mo systems; classification and origin, *in* Kirkham, R.V., Sinclair, W.D., Thorpe, R.I., and Duke, J.M., eds., Mineral deposit modeling: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 40, p. 521-554.

- Clark, W.B., 1970, Gold districts of California: California Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 193, 186 p.
- Clark, W.B., 1977, Mines and mineral resources of Alpine County, California, with a section on the Zaca gold-silver mine and Leviathan sulfur mine by James R. Evans: California Division of Mines and Geology County Report 8, 48 p.
- Clark, W.B., and Carlson, D.W., 1956, Mines and mineral resources of El Dorado County, California: California Journal of Mines and Geology, v. 52, p. 369-591.
- Clark, W.B., and Lydon, P.A., 1962, Mines and mineral resources of Calaveras County, California: California Division of Mines and Geology County Report 2, 217 p.
- Clark, W.B., 1985, Gold in the California desert: California Geology, v. 38, p. 179–185.
- Clow, R.L., 1985, Wasp No. 2; the wonder mine of the Black Hills: South Dakota History, v. 15, no. 4, p. 261-289.
- Coats, R.R., and Stephens, E.C., 1968, Mountain City copper mine, Elko County, Nevada, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 2, p. 1074-1101.
- Cobb, E.H., 1973, Placer deposits of Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1374, 213 p.
- Colvocoresses, G.M., 1938, Condensed data on Bluebell and DeSoto mines: Unpublished report, 8 p. (G.M. Colvocoresses File Collection, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz.)
- Connolly, J.P., and O'Harra, C.C., 1929, The mineral wealth of the Black Hills: South Dakota School of Mines Bulletin 16, 418 p.
- Conrad, J.E., Hill, R.H., Jachens, R.C., and Neubert, J.T., 1990, Mineral resources of the Black Mountains North and Burns Spring Wilderness Study Areas, Mohave County, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1737-C, 22 p.
- Cooper, J.R., 1951, Geology of the tungsten, antimony, and gold deposits near Stibnite, Idaho, *in* Contributions to economic geology, 1949-50: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 969-F, p. 151-197.
- Cornwall, H.R., 1972, Geology and mineral deposits of southern Nye County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 77, 49 p.
- Cox, L.J., Craig, J.R., and Kazdar, R.F., 1979, The Armenius; a volcanogenic massive sulfide deposit in the Mineral District, Louisa Co., Virginia: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 11, n. 4, p. 175.
- Cox, M.W., 1968, Van Stone mine area (lead-zinc), Stevens County, Washington, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, v. 2, p. 1511–1519.
- Cox, M.W., 1955a, Bluebell mine, Yavapai Co., Arizona: Unpublished report, 2p. (Grover Heinrichs File Collection, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz., File 2, Folder 36)
- Cox, M.W., 1955b, DeSoto mine, Yavapai Co., Arizona: Unpublished report, 3p. (Grover Heinrichs File Collection, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz., File 2, Folder 36)
- Currey, D.R., 1965, The Keystone gold-copper prospect area, Albany County, Wyoming: Wyoming Geological Survey Preliminary Report 3, 12 p.
- DeMatties, T.A., 1994, Early Proterozoic volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits in Wisconsin; an overview: Economic Geology, v. 89, no. 5, p. 1122–1151.
- DeMatties, T.A., and Rowell, W.F., 1996, The Bend deposit; an early Proterozoic copper-gold VMS deposit, *in* LaBerge, G.L., ed., Volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits of Northern Wisconsin; a commemorative volume: Institute of Lake Superior Geology Proceedings, v. 42, part 2, p. 143-159.
- Derkey, R.E., 1993, Metallic mineral deposits, *in* Derkey, R.E., Gulick, C.W., and Lingley, W.S., eds., Washington's mineral industry, 1992: Washington Geology, v. 21, no. 1, p. 4-25.



- Derkey, R.E., Joseph, N.L., and Lasmanis, R., 1990, Metal mines of Washington; preliminary report: Washington Division of Geology and Mineral Resources Open-File Report 90-18, 577 p.
- DeWitt, E., and Waegli, J., 1989, Gold in the United Verde massive sulfide deposit, Jerome, Arizona, *in* Gold deposits in metamorphic rocks; part 1: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1857-D, p. D1-D26.
- Diner, Y., and Strachan, D.G., 1994, Geology of the Boss mining area, Gilbert district, Esmeralda County, Nevada: *Economic Geology*, v. 89, n. 5, p. 1176-1182.
- Dingess, P.R., 1976, Geology and mining operations at the Creta copper deposit of Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc., *in* Johnson, K.S., and Croy, R.L., eds., Stratiform copper deposits of the Midcontinent region; a symposium: Oklahoma Geological Survey Circular 77, p. 15-24.
- Dings, M.G., and Robinson, C.S., 1957, Geology and ore deposits of the Garfield quadrangle, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 289, 110 p.
- Doeblich, J.L., and Theodore, T.G., 1996, Geology and ore deposits of the Battle Mountain mining district; a synopsis, *in* Green, S.M., and Struhsacker, E., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 377-388.
- Doeblich, J.L., Theodore, T., Wotruba, P.R., Felder, R., and McGibbon, D.H., 1996, Roadlog for Trip H; Geology and ore deposits of the Battle Mountain mining district, *in* Green, S.M., and Struhsacker, E., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 327-388.
- Donnelly, M.E., and Conway, C.M., 1988, Metallogenic map of volcanogenic massive-sulfide occurrences in Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1853-B.
- Douglas, I., 1990, Geology of the Tecoma disseminated gold-silver deposit, *in* Shaddrick, D.R., Kizia, J.A., Jr., and Hunsaker, E.L., III, eds., Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; a Symposium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 175-176.
- Drier, R.W., and Du Temple, O.J., 1961, Prehistoric copper mining in the Lake Superior region; a collection of reference articles: Calumet, Mich., privately published, 214 p.
- Duke, N.A., 1985, The metallogeny of base and precious metal deposits in paleorift setting of the south-central Appalachians, *in* Misra, K.C., ed., Volcanogenic sulfide and precious metal mineralization in the southern Appalachians: University of Tennessee Studies in Geology 16, p. 3-21.
- Earhart, R.L., 1988, Geologic setting of gold occurrences in the Big Canyon area, El Dorado County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1576, 13 p.
- Earll, F.N., 1972, Mines and mineral deposits of the southern Flint Creek Range, Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 84, 54 p.
- Eastlick, J.T., 1968, Geology of the Christmas mine and vicinity, Banner mining district, Arizona, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, v. 2, p. 1,191-1,210.
- Eckel, E.B., Williams, J.S., Galbraith, F.W. and others, 1949, Geology and ore deposits of the La Plata district, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 219, 179 p.
- Eimon, P.I., 1997, The economic geology of Montana's Virginia City mining district: Society of Economic Geologists Newsletter, no. 28, p. 1, 10-14.
- Erdman, C.P., and Barabas, A.H., 1996, Precious metal mineralization at Gold Mountain, Tonopah-Divide district, Esmeralda County, Nevada, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 329-351.
- Ettliger, A., 1996, The Butte Highlands project, Silver Bow County, Montana; an olivine-rich magnesian gold skarn, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 1019-1033.

- Evans, T.J., 1975, Gold and silver in Texas: Texas Bureau of Economic Geology Mineral Resources Circular No. 56, 36 p.
- Everson, C.I., and Reed, J.J., 1992, Gold skarn deposits of the Elkhorn district, Jefferson County, Montana: Society of Mining Engineers AIME Preprint 92-105, 6 p.
- Farmer, A., 1991, Proposed acquisition of Brewer Gold Company: Vancouver Stockwatch, July 16, 1991, p. 13-14.
- Feiss, P.G., Glazner, A.F., and Bartley, J.M., 1996, Syntectonic silver-barite mineralization in the hanging-wall of the Waterman Hills detachment fault, central Mojave Desert, CA, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 855-870.*
- Feiss, P.G., Maybin, A.H., III, Riggs, S.R., and Grosz, A.E., 1991, Mineral resources of the Carolinas, *in* Horton, J.R., Jr., and Zullo, V.A., eds., *The geology of the Carolinas: Knoxville, University of Tennessee Press, p. 319-345.*
- Ferguson, H.G., 1914, Gold lodes of the Weaverville quadrangle, Calif.: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 540-A, p. 22-79.
- Ferguson, H.G., and Gannett, R.W., 1932, Gold quartz veins of the Alleghany District, California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 172, 139 p.
- Fifarek, R.H., Juhas, A.P., and Field, C.W., 1994, Geology, mineralization, and alteration of the Red Ledge volcanogenic massive sulfide deposit, western Idaho, *in* Vallier, T.L., and Brooks, H.C., eds., *Geology of the Blue Mountains region of Oregon, Idaho, and Washington; Stratigraphy, physiography, and mineral resources of the Blue Mountains region: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1439, p. 113-150.*
- Fisher, F.S., Kilsgaard, T.H., Johnson, K.M., and Bennett, E.H., 1995, Precious-metal veins, *in* Fisher, F.S., and Johnson, K.M., eds., *Geology and mineral resource assessment of the Challis 1° x 2° quadrangle, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1525, p. 96-102.*
- Flaherty, M.J., and King, G.C., 1991, A geologic overview of the Carlin Trend subdistricts, *in* Buffa, R.H., and Coyner, A.R., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; Field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 844-849.*
- Foo, S.T., Hays, R.C., Jr., and McCormack, J.K., 1996, Geology and mineralization of the Pipeline gold deposit, Lander County, Nevada, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 95-109.*
- Forrest, R.A., 1974, Geology and exploration of the Nivloc mine, Esmeralda County, Nevada: Kellogg, Ida., Sunshine Mining Co., 23 p. (University of Wyoming, American Heritage Center Anaconda Geological Document Collection, Document No. 34330.02)
- Foster, F., and Childs, J.F., 1993, An overview of significant lode gold systems in Montana and their regional geologic setting: *Exploration and Mining Geology, v. 2, p. 217-244.*
- Fountain, D.R., 1992, Michigan gold; mining in the Upper Peninsula: Duluth, Minn., Lake Superior Port City, Inc., 163 p.
- Frondel, C., and Baum, J.L., 1974, Structure and mineralogy of the Franklin zinc-iron-manganese deposit, New Jersey: *Economic Geology, v. 69, p. 157-180.*
- Fulkerson, F.B., and Kinston, G.A., 1958, Mine production of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in Pend Oreille and Stevens Counties, Washington, 1902-1956: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 7872, 51 p.
- Fuller, W.P., Jr., Marvin, J., and Costello, J.G., 1996, Madam Felix's gold; the story of the Madam Felix mining district, Calaveras County, California: San Andreas, Calif., Calaveras County Historical Society and Foothills Resources, Ltd., 167 p.
- Fyfe, D.L., 1980, Mesothermal gold mineralization at Skidoo-Del Norte mines, Death Valley, California, *in* Fife, D.L., and Brown, A.R., eds., *Geology and mineral wealth of the California desert (Dibblee Volume): Santa Ana, Calif., South Coast Geological Society, Inc., p. 318-329.*

- Gair, J.E., and Slack, J.F., 1979, Map showing lithostratigraphic and structural setting of strata-bound (massive) sulfide deposits in the U.S. Appalachians: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 79-1517, scale 1:1,000,000 [4 sheets].
- Geach, R.D., 1972, Mines and mineral deposits (except fuels), Beaverhead County, Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 85, 194 p.
- Gilluly, J., 1932, Geology and ore deposits of the Stockton and Fairfield quadrangles, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 173, 171 p.
- Gilluly, J., and Masursky, H., 1965, Geology of the Cortez quadrangle, Nevada, with a section on gravity and aeromagnetic surveys by D.R. Mabey: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1175, 117 p.
- Gilmour, P., 1982, Grades and tonnages of porphyry copper deposits, *in* Titley, S.R., ed., *Advances in geology of the porphyry copper deposits; southwestern North America*: Tucson, University of Arizona Press, p. 7–36.
- Graybeal, F.T., 1996, Sunnyside, a vertically-preserved porphyry copper system, Patagonia Mountains, Arizona: *Society of Economic Geologists Newsletter*, n. 26, p. 1, 10-14.
- Green, E.G., 1967, Addition "A" to the preliminary Heddleston pit and subsequent recalculation: Butte, Mont., Anaconda Minerals Co., 61 p. (University of Wyoming, American Heritage Center Anaconda Geological Document Collection, Document No. 26706.01)
- Grogan, R.M., and Bradbury, J.C., 1968, Fluorite-zinc-lead deposits of the Illinois-Kentucky mining district, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., *Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume)*: New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 370–399.
- Gualtieri, J.L., Thurber, H.K., Miller, M.S., McMahan, A.B., and Federspiel, F.F., 1975, Mineral resources of additions to the Apline Lakes study area, Chelan, King, and Kittitas Counties, Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 75-3, 161 p.
- Hall, W.E., and MacKevett, E.M., 1962, Geology and ore deposits of the Darwin quadrangle, Inyo County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 368, 87p.
- Harrer, C.M., 1964, Reconnaissance of iron resources in Arizona: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 8236, 204 p.
- Harris, R.E., Hausel, W.D., and Meyer, J.E., 1985, Metallic and industrial minerals map of Wyoming: Wyoming Geological Survey Map Series MS-14.
- Harrison, E.D., and Reid, J.E., 1997, Copper-gold skarn deposits of the Bingham mining district, Utah, *in* John, D.A., and Ballantyne, G.H., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the Oquirrh and Wasatch Mountains, Utah*: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 29, p. 219-?
- Hausel, W.D., 1989, The geology of Wyoming's precious metal lode and placer deposits: Wyoming State Geological Survey Bulletin 68, 248 p.
- Hausel, W.D., 1991, Economic geology of the South Pass granite-greenstone belt, southern Wind River Range, western Wyoming: Wyoming State Geological Survey Report of Investigations 44, 129 p.
- Hausel, W.D., 1993, Metals and precious stones update: Wyoming Geo-Notes, n. 38, p. 37-40.
- Hausel, W.D., 1996, Geology and gold mineralization of the Rattlesnake Hills, Granite Mountains, Wyoming: Wyoming State Geological Survey Report of Investigations 52, 28 p.
- Hausel, W.D., 1997, Copper, lead, zinc, molybdenum, and associated metals in Wyoming: Wyoming State Geological Survey Bulletin 70, *in press*.
- Havenstrite, S.R., 1983, Geology and ore deposits of the Taylor mining district, White Pine County, Nevada, *in* Kral, V.E., Hall, J.A., Blakestad, R.B., Bonham, H.F., Jr., Hartley, G.B., Jr., McClelland, G.E., McGlasson, J.A., and Mousette-Jones, P., eds., *Papers given at the Precious-Metals Symposium, Sparks, Nevada, November 17-19, 1980*: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Report 36, p. 14-36.

- Hays, R.C., Jr., and Foo, S.T., 1991, Geology and mineralization of the Gold Acres deposit, Lander County, Nevada, *in* Raines, G.L., Lisle, R.E., Schafer, R.W., and Wilkinson, W.H., eds., *Geology and Ore Deposits of the Great Basin; Symposium Proceedings*: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 677–685.
- Hedlund, D.C., 1985, Economic geology of some selected mines in the Hillsboro and San Lorenzo quadrangles, Grant and Sierra Counties, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 85-456, 76 p.
- Hefner, M.L., Loptien, G.D., and Ohlin, H.N., 1991, Geology and mineralization of the Black Pine gold deposit, Cassia County, Idaho, *in* Buffa, R.H., and Coyner, A.R., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; Field trip guidebook compendium*: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 290–296.
- Heller, A.H., no date, May Lundy mine; general summary of information in the files of A.H. Heller, Los Angeles, Calif.: Undated, unpublished geologist's report, probably dates from 1930's. (International Archive of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyo., Thayer Lindsley File Collection, Box 28, File 49)
- Henderson, C.W., 1926, *Mining in Colorado*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 138, 263 p.
- Henderson, C.W., 1943, Gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in Texas (mine report), *in* Needham, C.E., ed., *Minerals Yearbook 1942*: Washington, D.C., U.S. Bureau of Mines, p. 477-480.
- Heyl, A.V., 1968, Geology of the Upper Mississippi Valley base-metal district, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., *Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume)*: New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 431-459.
- Heyl, A.V., Jr., Agnew, A.F., Lyons, E.J, and Behre, C.H., Jr., 1959, The geology of the Upper Mississippi Valley zinc-lead district: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 309, 310 p.
- Hillesland, L.L., Wothington, W.T., and Hawkins, R.B., 1994, General geology of the Continental mine, Grant County, NM, *in* Wilkins, J., ed., *Field trip guidebook to Tyrone, Pinos Altos, Chino, and Continental mines, Central district, New Mexico*: Tucson, Arizona Geological Society, 22 p.
- Hillman, B.A., Williams, G.K., Dohms, P.H., and Williams, L.A., 1984, Evaluating fundamentals of US gold industry: *Mining Engineering*, v. 36, no. 12, p. 1646-1652.
- Hoag, C.K., 1996, Geology and project overview of the BHP Florence (Poston Butte) porphyry copper deposit, Pinal County, Arizona, *in* Richards, S.M., ed., *Field guide for a transect from Florence to Miami, Arizona*: Tucson, Arizona Geological Society, p. 9-16.
- Hollister, V.F., 1978, Porphyry molybdenum deposits, *in* Sutulov, A., ed., *International molybdenum encyclopaedia 1778–1978*: Santiago, Chile, Intermet Publications, Volume 1, p. 270–288.
- Hollister, V.F., 1979, Porphyry copper-type deposits of the Cascade volcanic arc, Washington: *Materials Science and Engineering*, v. 11, p. 22-35.
- Hose, R. K., Blake, M.C., Jr., and Smith, R.M., 1976, Geology and mineral resources of White Pine County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 85, 105 p.
- Hotz, P.E., 1971, Geology of lode gold districts in the Klamath Mountains, California and Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1290, 91 p.
- Hotz, P.E., Thurber, H.K., Marks, L.Y., and Evans, R.K., 1972, Mineral resources of the Salmon-Trinity Alps Primitive Area, California: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1371-B, 267 p.
- Howard, E.V., 1967, Metalliferous occurrences in New Mexico: Santa Fe, New Mexico State Planning Office, 270 p.
- Howard, K.L., Jr., 1981, An evaluation of the Heddleston property, Montana: Denver, Colo., Anaconda Minerals Co., 12 p. (University of Wyoming, American Heritage Center Anaconda Geological Document Collection, Document No. 31028.07)
- Howard, P.F., 1969, The geology of the Elizabeth mine, Vermont: Vermont Geological Survey, *Economic Geology*, no. 5, 73 p.

- Hughes, G.J., 1990, Field trip through the Blackbird mining district, Lemhi County, Idaho, *in* Moyer, F.J., ed., *Geology and ore deposits of the trans-Challis fault system/Great Falls tectonic zone: Salmon, Idaho*, Tobacco Root Geological Society, 15th Annual Field Conference, Guidebook, p. 5-29.
- Hulin, C.D., 1925, *Geology and ore deposits of the Randsburg quadrangle, California*: California State Mining Bureau Bulletin 95, 152 p.
- Hundhausen, R.J., 1947, Blue Ledge copper-zinc mine, Siskiyou County, Calif.: U.S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 4124, 16 p.
- Hunting, M.T., 1956, *Inventory of Washington minerals; metallic minerals; text*: Washington Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 37, v. 1, 428 p.
- Ireland, W., Jr., 1888, Eighth annual report of the State Mineralogist for the year ending October 1, 1888: Sacramento, California State Mining Bureau, 948 p.
- Jackson, P.R., 1991, *Geology and mineralization at the Emigrant Springs project, Elko County, Nevada*, *in* Buffa, R.H., and Coyner, A.R., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; field trip guidebook compendium*: Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 125–130.
- Jackson, P.R., 1996, *Geology and gold mineralization at the Pine Grove mining district, Lyon County, Nevada*, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; symposium proceedings*: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 403-417.
- Jackson, P.R., and Ruetz, J.W., 1991, *Geology of the Trout Creek disseminated gold deposit, Elko County, Nevada*, *in* Raines, G.L., Lisle, R.E., Schafer, R.W., and Wilkinson, W.H., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; symposium proceedings*: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 729–734.
- James, L.P., 1979, *Geology, ore deposits, and history of the Big Cottonwood mining district, Salt Lake County, Utah*: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Bulletin 114, 98 p.
- Jarman, A., 1927, *Report of the Hydraulic Mining Commission upon the feasibility of the resumption of hydraulic mining in California: Report to the California State Legislature*, 85 p.; reprinted in 23<sup>rd</sup> Annual Report of the State Mineralogist, p. 44-116.
- Johnson, F.L., Denton, D.K., Iverson, S.R., McCulloch, R.B., Stebbins, S.A., and Stotelmeyer, R.B., 1983, *Mineral resources of the Glacier Peak RARE II area (No. L6031), Snohomish County, Washington*: U.S. Bureau of Mines Mineral Land Assessment Report MLA 75-83, 44 p.
- Johnson, C.A., 1990, *Petrologic and stable isotope studies of the metamorphosed zinc-iron-manganese deposit at Sterling Hill, New Jersey*: New Haven, Conn., Yale University, PhD dissertation, 108 p.
- Johnson, M.G., 1972, *Placer gold deposits of Arizona*: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1355, 103 p.
- Johnson, M.G., 1973a, *Placer gold deposits of Nevada*: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1356, 118 p.
- Johnson, M.G., 1973b, *Placer gold deposits of Utah*: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1357, 118 p.
- Johnson, M.G., 1977, *Geology and mineral deposits of Pershing County, Nevada*: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 89, 115 p.
- Johnson, N.O., 1936, *Mining and milling methods and costs Hog Mountain Gold Mining & Milling Co., Alexander City, Ala.*: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 6914, 23 p.
- Johnson, N.O., 1937, *Mining methods and ore estimation at the Hog Mountain mine*: American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers Transactions, v. 126, p. 34-45.
- Johnston, W.D., Jr., 1940, *The gold quartz veins of Grass Valley, California*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 194, 101 p.
- Jones, J.K., 1974, *Notes on the Boss-Bixby copper deposit, Dent County, Missouri*: Essex International, Inc., 3 p. (Grover Heinrichs File Collection, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz., File 5, Folder 58)

- Joralemon, I.B., 1951, Report on Argonaut Mining Company, Ltd., Jackson, California: unpublished consultant's report, 13 p. (Anaconda Geological Documents Collection, International Archives of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, File 16012.02)
- Juhas, A.P., Freeman, P.S., and Fredrickson, R.S., 1980, Kuroko-type Cu-Au mineralization at the Iron Dike mine, Oregon, *in* Silberman, Miles, Field, C.W., and Berry, A.L., eds., Proceedings of the symposium on mineral deposits of the Pacific northwest: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 81-355, 358 p.
- Julihn, C.E., and Horton, F.W., 1938, Mines of the southern Mother Lode region; Part I, Calaveras County: U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 413, 140 p.
- Julihn, C.E., and Horton, F.W., 1940, Mines of the southern Mother Lode region; Part II, Tuolumne and Mariposa Counties: U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 424, 179 p.
- Kauffman, M.E., and Earll, F.N., 1963, Geology of the Garnet-Bearmouth area, western Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Memoir 39, 40 p.
- Kazda, R.F., 1978, Mines and prospects, Mineral District, Virginia: Denver, Colo., Anaconda Minerals Co., 7 p. (Anaconda Geological Documents Collection, International Archives of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, File 023411)
- Keith, S.B., 1975, Index of mining properties in Santa Cruz County, Arizona: Arizona Bureau of Mines Bulletin 191, 94 p.
- Keith, S.B., Gest, D.E., DeWitt, Ed, Toll, N.W., and Everson, B.A., 1983, Metallic mineral districts and production in Arizona: Arizona Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology Bulletin 194, 58 p.
- Kiilsgaard, T.H., 1950, Geology and ore deposits of the Triumph-Parker mine mineral belt, *in* Anderson, A.L., Kiilsgaard, T.H., and Frykland, V.C., Jr., eds., Detailed geology of certain areas in the Mineral Hill and Warm Springs mining districts, Blaine County, Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Pamphlet 90, 73 p.
- Kiilsgaard, T.H., 1967, Silver, *in* Mineral and water resources of Missouri: Missouri Division of Geological Survey and Water Resources, 2cd series, v. 48, p. 70-72.
- Kiilsgaard, T.H., and Bacon, L.D., *in press*, Geology and mineral deposits of the Atlanta Hill area, Elmore County, Idaho
- Kiilsgaard, T.H., Fisher, F.S., and Bennett, E.H., 1989, Gold-silver deposits associated with the Trans-Challis fault system, Idaho, *in* Shaw, D.R. and Ashley, R.P., eds., United States gold terranes: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1857-B, pt. 1, p. B22-B44.
- Kiilsgaard, T.H., Hayes, W.C., and Heyl, A.V., 1967, Lead and zinc, *in* Mineral and water resources of Missouri: Missouri Division of Geological Survey and Water Resources, 2cd series, v. 48, p. 41-63.
- Kilgore, C.C., Arbelbide, S.J., and Soja, A.A., 1983, Lead and zinc availability-domestic; a minerals availability program appraisal: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 8962, 30 p.
- Kinkel, A.R., Jr., 1967, Copper, *in* Mineral and water resources of Missouri: Missouri Division of Geological Survey and Water Resources, 2cd series, v. 48, p. 63-67.
- Kinkel, A.R., Jr., Hall, W.E., and Albers, J.P., 1956, Geology and base-metal deposits of West Shasta copper-zinc district, Shasta County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 285, 156 p.
- Kirk, A. R., Johnson, T.W., and Elliott, J.E., 1994, Geology and mineral deposits of the New World district, Park County, Montana: Society of Economic Geologists Newsletter, no. 12, p. 1-16.
- Kirkham, R.V., 1989, Distribution, settings, and genesis of sediment-hosted stratiform copper deposits *in* Boyle, R.W., Brown, A.C., Jefferson, C.W., Jowett, E.C., and Kirkham, R.V., eds., Sediment-hosted stratiform copper deposits: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 36, p. 3-38.

- Kirkham, R.V., and Sinclair, W.D., 1995, Porphyry copper, gold, molybdenum, tungsten, tin, silver, *in* Eckstrand, O.R., Sinclair, O.D., and Thorpe, R.I., eds., *Geology of Canadian mineral deposit types: Geological Survey of Canada, Geology of Canada, n. 8, p. 421-446.*
- Kizis, J.A., Jr., Bruff, S.R., Crist, E.M., Mough, D.C., and Vaughan, R.G., 1997, Empirical geologic modeling in intrusion-related gold exploration; an example from the Buffalo Valley area, northern Nevada: *Society of Economic Geologists Newsletter, no. 30, p. 1, 6-13.*
- Kleinhampl, F.J., and Ziony, J.I., 1984, Mineral resources of northern Nye County, Nevada: *Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 99B, 243 p.*
- Knopf, A., 1929, The Mother Lode system of California: *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 157, 88 p.*
- Koschmann, A.H., and Bergendahl, M.H., 1968, Principal gold-producing districts of the United States: *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 610, 283 p.*
- Krahulec, K.A., 1996, Geology and geochemistry of the SWT porphyry copper system, Tintic mining district, Juab County, Utah, *in* Green, S.M., and Struhsacker, E., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; Field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 62-78.*
- Krahulec, K.A., 1997, History and production of the West Mountain (Bingham) mining district, Utah, *in* John, D.A., and Ballantyne, G.H., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the Oquirrh and Wasatch Mountains, Utah: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 29, p. 275-?*
- Kreis, H.G., 1995, Geology of the Santa Cruz porphyry copper deposit, *in* Pierce, F.W., and Bolm, J.G., eds., *Porphyry copper deposits of the American Cordillera: Arizona Geological Society Digest 20, p. 364-365.*
- Krohn, D.H., and Weist, M.M., 1977, Principal information on Montana mines: *Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Special Publication 75, 150 p.*
- LaBerge, R.D., 1996, Epithermal gold mineralization related to caldera volcanism at the Atlanta District, east-central Nevada, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 309-328.*
- Laney, F.B., 1910, The Gold Hill mining district of North Carolina: *North Carolina Geological and Economic Survey Bulletin 21, 137 p.*
- Lange, I.M., Hahn, G.A., and Jonson, D.C., 1996, The high-sulfidation Ag-Au-base metal Hog Heaven deposit of northwestern Montana; the product of pre- and post-diatreme and endogenous dome mineralization, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 1001-1018.*
- Lange, I.M., Nokleberg, W.J., Newkirk, S.R., Aleinikoff, J.N., Church, S.E., and Krouse, H.R., 1993, Devonian volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits and occurrences, southern Yukon-Tanana terrane, eastern Alaska Range, Alaska: *Economic Geology, v. 88, n. 2, p. 344-376.*
- Langton, J.M., and Williams, S.A., 1982, Structural, petrological and mineralogical controls for the Dos Pobres orebody *in* Titley, S.R., ed., *Advances in geology of the porphyry copper deposits, southwestern North America: Tucson, University of Arizona Press, p. 335-352.*
- Lankton, L.D., and Hyde, C.K., 1982, Old Reliable; an illustrated history of the Quincy Mining Company: *Hancock, Mich., Quincy Mine Hoist Association, 159 p.*
- Lapham, D.M., 1968, Triassic magnetite and diabase at Cornwall, Pennsylvania, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., *Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 72-94.*
- LaPoint, D. J., 1992, Geologic setting of the Kings Mountain gold mine, Cleveland County, North Carolina, *in* Dennison, J.M., and Stewart, K.G., eds., *Geologic field trip guides to North Carolina and vicinity: University of North Carolina Geologic Guidebook 1, p. 35-48.*
- LaPointe, D.D., Tingley, J.V., and Jones, R.B., 1991, Mineral resources of Elko County, Nevada: *Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 106, 235 p.*

- Lasky, S.G., 1938, Geology and ore deposits of the Lordsburg mining district, Hidalgo County, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 610, 283 p.
- Lasmanis, R., 1995, Regional geological and tectonic setting of porphyry deposits in Washington State, *in* Schroeter, T.G., ed., Porphyry deposits of the Northwestern Cordillera of North America: Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy, and Petroleum Special Volume 46, p. 77-102.
- Lasmanis, R., 1997, Tri-State and Viburnum Trend districts; an overview: *Rocks and Minerals*, v. 72, n. 6, p. 400-419.
- Lasmanis, R., and Utterback, W.C., 1995, The Mount Tolman porphyry molybdenum-copper deposit, Ferry County, Washington, *in* Schroeter, T.G., ed., Porphyry deposits of the Northwestern Cordillera of North America: Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy, and Petroleum Special Volume 46, p. 718-731.
- Leonardson, R.W., and Rahn, J.E., 1996, Geology of the Betze-Post gold deposits, Eureka County, Nevada, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 61-94.
- Lessard, J.F., and Loomis, T.A., 1990, Geology of the Annie Creek sediment- and porphyry-hosted gold deposit, *in* Paterson, C.J., and Lisenbee, A.L., eds., Metallogeny of gold in the Black Hills, South Dakota: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 7, p. 151-156.
- Lewis, P.R., 1991, Carlin mine geology, *in* Buffa, R.H., and Coyner, A.R., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 854-858.
- Light, T.D., Brew, D.A., and Ashley, R.P., 1989, The Alaska-Juneau and Treadwell lode gold systems, southeastern Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1857-D, p. 27-36.
- Lincoln, Francis C., 1923, Mining districts and mineral resources of Nevada: Reno, Nevada Newsletter Publishing Co., 295 p.
- Lincoln, F.C., Miser, W.G., and Cummings, J.B., 1937, The mining industry of South Dakota: South Dakota School of Mines Bulletin 17, p. 9-42.
- Lindgren, W., 1911, The Tertiary gravels of the Sierra Nevada of California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 73, 226 p.
- Lindsey, D.A., Zimbelman, D.R., Campbell, D.L., Bisdorf, R.J., Duval, J.S., Cook, R.L., Podwysocki, M.H., Brickey, D.W., Yambrick, R.A., and Korzeb, S.L., 1989, Mineral resources of the Fish Springs Range Wilderness Study Area, Juab County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1745-A, 18 p.
- Listerud, W.H., and Meineke, D.G., 1977, Mineral resources of a portion of the Duluth Complex and adjacent rocks in St. Louis and Lake Counties, northeastern Minnesota: Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Division of Minerals Report 93, 49 p.
- Loen, J.S., 1989, Climatic and tectonic controls on the formation of Tertiary gold placers, Pioneer District, Powell County, Montana, *in* French, D.E., and Grabb, R.F., eds., Geologic resources of Montana: Montana Geological Society 1989 Field Conference Guidebook (Montana Centennial Edition), p. 375-381.
- Logan, C.A., 1927, Amador County, gold quartz mines: *California Journal of Mines and Geology*, v. 23, n. 2, p. 149-185.
- Logan, C.A., 1941, Mineral resources of Nevada County: *California Journal of Mines and Geology*, v. 37, n. 3, p. 374-468.
- Logan, C.A., 1949, Mines and mineral resources of Tuolumne County, California: *California Journal of Mines and Geology*, v. 45, n. 1, p. 47-97.
- Long, K.R., 1992, Reserves and production data for selected ore deposits in the United States found in the files of the Anaconda Copper Company: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 92-2, 21 p.



- Long, K.R., 1995, Production and reserves of Cordilleran (Alaska to Chile) porphyry copper deposits, *in* Pierce, F.W., and Bolm, J.G., eds., *Porphyry copper deposits of the American Cordillera*: Arizona Geological Society Digest 20, p. 35-68.
- Longwell, C.R., Pampeyan, E.H., Bowyer, Ben, and Roberts, R.J., 1965, *Geology and mineral deposits of Clark County, Nevada*: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 62, 218 p.
- Lorain, S.H., 1937, *Gold lode mining in the Tobacco Root Mountains, Madison County, Montana*: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 6972, 72 p.
- Loughlin, G.F., and Koschmann, A.H., 1942, *Geology and ore deposits of the Magdalena mining district, New Mexico*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 200, 168 p.
- Love, J.D., Antweiler, J.C., and Mosier, E.L., 1978, A new look at the origin and volume of the Dickie Springs-Oregon Gulch placer gold at the south end of the Wind River Mountains: Wyoming Geological Association 30<sup>th</sup> Annual Field Conference Guidebook, p. 379-391.
- Lovering, T.S., 1934, *Geology and ore deposits of the Breckenridge mining district, Colorado*: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 176, 64 p.
- Lovering, T.S., and Goddard, E.N., 1950, *Geology and ore deposits of the Front Range, Colorado*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 223, 319 p.
- Lovering, T.S., Tweto, O., and Lovering, T.G., 1978, *Ore deposits of the Gilman district, Colorado*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1017, 90 p.
- Lowe, N.T., Russell, G.R., and Norberg, J.R., 1985, *Principal deposits of strategic and critical minerals in Nevada*: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 9035, 202 p.
- Luttrell, G.W., 1966, *Base- and precious-metal-related ore deposits of Virginia*: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Mineral Resources Report 7, 167 p.
- Lyden, C.J., 1948, *The gold placers of Montana*: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Memoir 26, 152 p.
- Lydon, P.A., and O'Brien, J.C., 1974, *Mines and mineral resources of Shasta County, California*: California Division of Mines and Geology County Report 6, 154 p.
- MacBoyle, E., 1919, *Nevada County*: California Journal of Mines and Geology, v. 16, n. 4, 270 p.
- MacBoyle, E., 1920, *Plumas County, Johnsville mining district*: California State Mineralogist's Report, no. 16, p. 21-27.
- MacLaren, D.R., Weissenborn, A.E., Weis, P.L., and Kirkemo, H., 1966, *Gold, in Mineral and water resources of Washington*: Washington, D.C., Government Printing Office, 436 p.
- Maher, B.J., 1997, Mississippian sedimentary rock-hosted gold deposits of the eastern Great Basin; relative importance of stratigraphic and structural controls, *in* Vikre, P., Thompson, T.B., Bettles, K., Christensen, O., and Parratt, R., eds., *Carlin-type gold deposits field conference*: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 28, p. 171-182.
- Marsh, S.P., Raines, G.L., Diggles, M.F., Howard, K.A., Simpson, R.W., Hoover, D.B., Ridenour, J., Moyle, P.R., and Willett, S.L., 1988, *Mineral resources of the Whipple Mountains and Whipple Mountains Addition Wilderness Study Areas, San Bernardino County, California*: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1713-D, 36 p.
- Martin, A.J., 1946, *Summarized statistics of production of lead and zinc in the Tri-State (Missouri-Kansas-Oklahoma) mining district*: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 7383, 67 p.
- Matlock, J.A., and Ohlin, H.N., 1996, *Lyon copper-iron skarn deposit, Yerington mining district, Lyon County, Nevada*, *in* Green, S.M., and Struhsacker, E., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; field trip guidebook compendium*: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 121-129.
- Mauk, J.L., 1993, *Geologic and geochemical investigations of the White Pine sediment-hosted stratiform copper deposit, Ontonagon County, Michigan*: Ann Arbor, University of Michigan, Ph.D. dissertation, 194 p.

- May, E.R., 1996, Eisenbrey; a structurally complex Proterozoic copper-zinc massive sulfide deposit, Rusk County, Wisconsin, *in* LaBerge, G.L., ed., Volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits of Northern Wisconsin; a commemorative volume: Institute of Lake Superior Geology Proceedings, v. 42, part 2, p. 107-128.
- Maynard, S.R., 1995, Gold mineralization associated with mid-Tertiary magmatism and tectonism, Ortiz Mountains, Santa Fe County, New Mexico, *in* Bauer, P.W., Kues, B.S., Dunbar, N.W., Karlstrom, K.E., and Harrison, B., eds., Geology of the Santa Fe region: New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook, 46<sup>th</sup> Annual Field Conference, p. 161-166.
- McClellan, H.G., 1983, Metallic mineral deposits of Lewis and Clark County, Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Memoir 52, 73 p.
- McConnel, R.H., and Anderson, R.A., 1968, The Metaline District, Washington, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, v. 2, p. 1460-1480.
- McCulloch, Robin, 1992, Montana mining directory: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 130, 135 p.
- McFarlane, D.N., 1991, Gold production on the Carlin trend, *in* Buffa, R.H., and Coyner, A.R., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin, field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 841-843.
- McKee, E.H., Kilburn, J.E., McCarthy, J.H., Conrad, J.E., Blakely, R.J., and Close, T.J., 1985, Mineral resources of the Inyo Mountains Wilderness Study Area, Inyo County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1708-A, 18 p.
- McKnight, E.T., 1974, Geology and ore deposits of the Rico district, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 723, 100 p.
- McLemore, V.T., 1991, Base- and precious-metal deposits in Lincoln and Otero Counties, New Mexico, *in* Barker, J., Kues, B.S., Austin, G.S., and Lucas, S.G., eds., Geology of the Sierra Blanca, Sacramento and Capitan Ranges, New Mexico: New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook, 42nd Annual Field Conference, p. 305-309.
- McLemore, V.T., 1995, Mineral resources of the southern, Sangre de Cristo Mountains, Santa Fe and San Miguel Counties, New Mexico *in* Bauer, P.W., Kues, B.S., Dunbar, N.W., Karlstrom, K.E., and Harrison, B., eds., Geology of the Santa Fe region: New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook, 46<sup>th</sup> Annual Field Conference, p. 155-160.
- McLemore, V.T., 1996a, Great Plains margin (alkaline-related) gold deposits in New Mexico, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 935-950.
- McLemore, V.T., 1996b, Volcanic-epithermal, precious metals deposits in New Mexico, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 951-969.
- McLemore, V.T., 1997, Unpublished data on metals production by district in New Mexico to be open-filed Fall, 1997.
- McLemore, V.T., *in press*, Silver and gold occurrences in New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources Resource Map 21.
- McQuiston, F.W., Jr., 1986, Gold; the saga of the Empire mine, 1850-1956, Grass Valley, California: Grass Valley, Calif., Empire Mine Park Association, 95 p.
- McWilliams, J.R., 1958, Mining methods and costs at the Holden mine, Chelan Division, Howe Sound Co., Chelan County, Wash.: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 7870, 44 p.
- Meeves, H.C., and Darnell, R.P., 1970, Silver potential and economic aspects of the Leadville district, Lake County, Colo.: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 8464, 105 p.
- Merriam, C.W., 1963, Geology of the Cerro Gordo mining district, Inyo County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 408, 83 p.
- Metsger, R.W., Willman, A.H., and Van Ness, C.G., 1973, Field guide to the Friedensville mine of the New Jersey Zinc Company: Geological Society of America, field guide for Northeastern Section Meeting at Allentown, Pennsylvania, New Jersey Zinc Company, 21 p.

- Miller, R.N., 1973, Production history of the Butte district and geological function, past and present, *in* Guidebook for the Butte Field Meeting of the Society of Economic Geologists: Butte, Mont., Anaconda Company, p. F1-F11.
- Miller, J.W., 1985, Statistical modeling of Austinville mine; a guide to exploration: Athens, Georgia, University of Georgia, Ph.D. dissertation, 142 p.
- Miller, M., ed., 1995, Geology of the Oracle Ridge mine and mining district, Pima County, Arizona: Arizona Geological Society, 1995 Spring Field Trip Guidebook, unpaginated.
- Mitchell, V.E., and Bennett, E.H., 1983, Production statistics for the Coeur d'Alene mining district, Shoshone County, Idaho, 1884-1980: Idaho Geological Survey Technical Report 83-3, 33 p.
- Moen, W.S., 1969, Mines and mineral deposits of Whatcom County, Washington: Washington Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 57, 134 p.
- Montgomery, J.H., and Runkle, D.E., 1982, Report on Golden Quail property, San Bernardino County, California: Vancouver, B.C., Benson Mines, Ltd., v. 1, 132 p. (Anaconda Geological Documents Collection, International Archives of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, File 10904.01)
- Montri, W., and Paterson, C.J., 1990, Carbonate-hosted Au-Ag-Pb deposits, northern Black Hills, *in* Paterson, C.J., and Lisenbee, A.L., eds., Metallogeny of gold in the Black Hills, South Dakota: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 7, p. 157-163.
- Moore, J.G., 1969, Geology and mineral deposits of Lyon, Douglas, and Ormsby Counties, Nevada, with a section on industrial mineral deposits *by* N.L. Archbold: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 75, 45 p.
- Moore, L., 1968, Gold resources of the Mother Lode Belt, El Dorado, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, and Maricopa Counties, California: U.S. Bureau of Mines Technical Progress Report 5, 22 p.
- Morris, H., 1987, Tintic district road log, *in* Johnson, J.L., ed., Bulk mineable precious metal deposits of the western United States: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 377-380.
- Morris, H.T., 1990, Gold in the Tintic mining district, Utah, *in* Shawe, D.R., Ashley, R.P., and Carter, L.M.H., eds., Gold-bearing polymetallic veins and replacement deposits; Part II: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1857-F, 11 p.
- Morrison, S.J., and Parry, W.T., 1986, Formation of carbonate-sulfate veins associated with copper ore deposits from saline basin brines, Lisbon Valley, Utah; fluid inclusion and isotopic evidence: *Economic Geology*, v. 81, no. 8, p. 1853-1866.
- Morton, P.K., 1977, Geology and mineral resources of Imperial County, California: California Division of Mines and Geology County Report 7, 104 p.
- Mosier, D.L., Menzie, W.D., and Kleinhampl, F.J., 1986, Geologic and grade-tonnage information on Tertiary epithermal precious- and base-metal vein districts associated with volcanic rocks: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1666, 39 p.
- Mulligan, J.J., Warfield, R.S., and Wells, R.R., 1967, Sampling a gold-copper deposit, Golden Zone mine, south central Alaska: U.S. Bureau of Mines Open-File Report 9-67, 59 p.
- Mutschler, F.E., and Mooney, T.C., 1995, Precious-metal deposits related to alkaline igneous rocks; provisional classification, grade-tonnage data, and exploration frontiers, *in* Kirkham, R.V., Sinclair, W.D., Thorpe, R.I., and Duke, J.M., eds., Mineral deposit modeling: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 40, p. 479-520.
- Nelson, G.C., Rytuba, J.J., McLaughlin, R.J., and Tosdal, R.M., 1993, Gold and mercury deposits in the Sulphur Creek district, California, *in* Rytuba, J.J., ed., Active geothermal systems and gold-mercury deposits in the Sonoma-Clear Lake volcanic fields, California: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series 16, p. 262-269.
- Newberry, R.J., Crafford, T.C., Newkirk, S.R., Young, L.E., Nelson, S.W., and Duke, N.A., 1997, Volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits of Alaska, *in* Goldfarb, R.J., and Miller, L.D., eds., Mineral deposits of Alaska: *Economic Geology Monograph* 9, p. 120-150.
- Niemuth, N.J., 1987, Arizona mineral development 1984-1986: Phoenix, Ariz., Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, 46 p.

- Nokleberg, W.J., Bundtzen, T.K., Berg, H.C., Brew, D.A., Grybeck, D., Robinson, M.S., Smith, T.E., and Yeend, W., 1987, Significant metalliferous lode deposits and placer districts of Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1786, 104 p.
- Nokleberg, W.J., Bundtzen, T.K., Grybeck, D., Koch R.D., Eremin, R.A., Rozenblum, I.S., Sidorov, A.A., Byalobzhensky, S.G., Sosunov, G.M., Shpikerman, V.I., and Gorodinsky, M.E., 1993, Metallogeneses of mainland Alaska and the Russian northeast: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-339, 230 p.
- Nokleberg, W.J., Bundtzen, T.K., Brew, D.A., and Plafker, G., 1995, Metallogeneses and tectonics of porphyry copper and molybdenum (gold, silver) and granitoid-hosted gold deposits of Alaska, *in* Schroeter, T.G., ed., Porphyry deposits of the Northwestern Cordillera of North America: Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Special Volume 46, p. 884-888.
- Nolan, T.B., 1935, The Gold Hill mining district, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 177, 172 p.
- Nolan, T.B., and Hunt, R.N., 1968, The Eureka mining district, Nevada, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 2, p. 966-991.
- North, R.M., and McLemore, V.T., 1986, Silver and gold occurrences in New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources Resource Map 15.
- North, R.M., and McLemore, V.T., 1988, A classification of the precious metal deposits of New Mexico, *in* Schafer, R.W., Cooper, J.J., and Vikre, P. G., eds., Bulk mineable precious-metal deposits of the western United States: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 625-659.
- Norton, J.J., 1974, Gold in the Black Hills, South Dakota and how new deposits might be found: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 699, 22 p.
- Nutt, C.J., Thorman, C.H., Zimbelman, D.R., and Gloyn, R.W., 1991, Geologic setting and trace-element geochemistry of the Detroit mining district and Drum gold mine, Drum Mountains, west-central Utah, *in* Raines, G.L., Lisle, R.E., Schafer, R.W., and Wilkinson, W.H., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; symposium Proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 491-509.
- Orris, G.J., and Bliss, J.D., 1985, Geologic volume data on 330 gold placer deposits: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 85-213, 172 p.
- Palache, C., 1935, The minerals of Franklin and Sterling Hill, Sussex County, New Jersey: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 180, 135 p.
- Pansze, A.J., 1987, Geologic sketch of the Crystal Hill breccia pipe, Saguache County, Colorado, *in* Gold mineralization of Colorado's Rio Grande rift; Fall field guidebook, 19-20 September, 1987: Denver, Colo., Denver Region Exploration Geologists Society, p. 23-27.
- Pardee, J.T., and Park, C.F., Jr., 1948, Gold deposits of the southern Piedmont: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 213, 156 p.
- Pardee, J.T., and Schrader, F.C., 1933, Metalliferous deposits of the greater Helena mining region, Montana: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 842, 318 p.
- Paris, T.A., 1985, The Goldville project; results of an exploration project for gold in the northern Alabama piedmont, *in* Misra, Kula C., ed., Volcanogenic sulfide and precious metal mineralization in the southern Appalachians, Symposium Proceedings, Southeast Section, Geological Society of America, Knoxville, Tennessee, April, 1985: University of Tennessee, Department of Geological Sciences, Studies in Geology, v. 16, p. 182-205.
- Parker, B.H., Jr., 1974, Gold placers of Colorado: Colorado School of Mines Quarterly, v. 69, nos. 3-4, (2 volumes), 224 p.
- Perry, L.I., and McCarthy, B.M., 1977, Lead and zinc in Utah, 1976: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Open-File Report 22, 525 p.
- Perry, V.D., and Moehlman, R.S., 1943, Preliminary report on Argonaut and Kennedy properties, Amador County, California: Butte, Mont., Geological Department, Anaconda Copper Mining Co., 14 p. (Anaconda Geological Documents Collection, International Archives of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, File 16012.05)

- Peters, T.J., 1983, Mineral resources of the Weaver Bally RARE II area (no. 5804), Trinity County, California; summary report: U.S. Bureau of Mines Mineral Land Assessment Report MLA 93-83, 22 p.
- Pinger, A.W., 1948, Geology of the Franklin-Sterling area, Sussex County, New Jersey: Geological Society of America, 61st Annual Meeting (1948), Guidebook of Excursions, p. 1-14.
- Pinger, A.W., 1950 Geology of the Franklin-Sterling area, Sussex County, New Jersey: 18th International Geological Congress, pt. 7, p. 77-87.
- Piper, A.M., and Laney, F.B., 1926, Geology and metalliferous resources of the region about Silver City, Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 11, 165 p.
- Placer Service Corp., 1984, San Juan Project: Information sheet prepared for Society of Economic Geologists tour, March 5, 1984, 1 p.
- Posey, E.F., 1994, Beaver Lake-Rocky Range Project, Beaver County, Utah: Salt Lake City, Utah, Cortex Mining and Exploration, 17 p.
- Price, J.G., Henry, C.D., Standen, A.R., and Posey, J.S., 1985, Origin of silver-copper-lead deposits in red-bed sequences of Trans-Pecos Texas; Tertiary mineralization in Precambrian, Permian, and Cretaceous sandstones: Texas Bureau of Economic Geology Report of Investigations No. 145, 65 p.
- Putnam, B.R., and Henriques, E.Q.B., 1991, Geology and mineralization at the South Bullion deposit, Pinon Range, Elko County, Nevada; implications for western United States Cenozoic tectonics, *in* Raines, G.L., Lisle, R.E., Schafer, R.W., and Wilkinson, W.H., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 713-728.
- Radabaugh, R.E., Merchant, J.S., and Brown, J.M., 1968, Geology and ore deposits of the Gilman (Red Cliff, Battle Mountain) district, Eagle County, Colorado, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 641-664.
- Reed, G.C., 1951, Mines and mineral deposits (except fuels), Broadwater County, Mont.: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 7592, 62 p.
- Reed, J.C., 1937, Geology and ore deposits of the Warren mining district, Idaho County, Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Pamphlet 45, 65 p.
- Reed, Bruce L., and Eberlein, G.D., 1972, Massive sulfide deposits near Shellabarger Pass, Southern Alaska Range, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1342, 45 p.
- Reeves, K., and Castagne, R., 1990, Geology and mineralization of the Arnett Creek project, Lemhi County, Idaho, *in* Moye, F.J., ed., Geology and ore deposits of the trans-Challis fault system/Great Falls tectonic zone: Salmon, Idaho, Tobacco Root Geological Society, 15th Annual Field Conference, Guidebook, p. 37-44.
- Richter, D.H., and Lawrence, V.A., 1983, Mineral deposit map of the Silver City 1° x 2° quadrangle, New Mexico and Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigation Series I-1310-B, 70 p.
- Richter, D.H., Singer, D.A., and Cox, D.P., 1975, Mineral resources map of the Nabesna quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-655-K.
- Riedell, K.B., Jones, B.K., and Cheney, E.S., 1996, Geology, geochemistry, and evolution of the Mazama porphyry copper-molybdenum-gold deposit, Okanogan County, Washington, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 3, p. 1501-1520.
- Robbins, M., 1989, A talk with Bob Metsger: Rocks and Minerals, v. 64, no. 5, p. 368-374
- Roberts, R.J., 1939, The Dixie placer district, Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Pamphlet 48, 35 p.
- Roberts, R.J., Montgomery, K.M., and Lehner, R.E., 1967, Geology and mineral resources of Eureka County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 64, 152 p.
- Robertson, A.F., 1950, Mines and mineral deposits (except fuels), Fergus County, Montana: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 7544, 76 p.

- Robertson, A.F., 1951, Mines and mineral deposits (except fuels), Cascade County, Montana: U.S. Bureau Mines of Information Circular 7589, 81 p.
- Robinson, J.P., 1996, Structurally-controlled, igneous-hosted, disseminated Au mineralization near Nelson, Nevada, *in* Coyner, A.R., and Fahey, P.L., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995*; symposium proceedings: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 1, p. 567-579.
- Roby, R. N., 1950, Mines and mineral deposits (except fuels), Meagher County, Montana: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 7540, 43 p.
- Roby, R.N., Ackerman, W.C., Fulkerson, F.B., and Crowley, F.A., 1960, Mines and mineral deposits (except fuels), Jefferson County, Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 16, 120 p.
- Rogers, A.P., 1940, Report on Cerise gold mine: New York, Ventures, Ltd., 5 p. (International Archive of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyo., Thayer Lindsley File Collection, Box 25, File 50)
- Ross, C.P., 1941, The metal and coal mining districts of Idaho, with notes on the non-metallic mineral resources of the State: Idaho Bureau Mines and Geology Pamphlet 57, pt. 1; 110 p.
- Ross, C.P., 1943, Geology and ore deposits of the Shafter Mining District, Presidio County, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 928-B, p. 48-123.
- Rubright, R.D., 1978, Geology of the Ophir District, Utah, *in* Shawe, D.R., and Rowley, P.D., eds., *Guidebook to mineral deposits of southwestern Utah*: Utah Geological Association Publication 7, p. 35-39.
- Ryberg, G.E., 1991, Geology of the Vera Cruz mine and breccia pipe, Lincoln County, New Mexico, *in* Barker, J.M., Kues, B.S., Austin, G.S., and Lucas, S.G., eds., *Geology of the Sierra Blanca, Sacramento and Capitan Ranges, New Mexico*: Guidebook of the New Mexico Geological Society, 42nd Annual Field Conference, October 9-12, 1991, p. 329-332.
- Sahinen, U.M., 1939, Geology and ore deposits of the Rochester and adjoining mining districts, Madison County, Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Memoir 19, 53 p.
- Saunders, J.A., and May, E.R., 1986, Bessie G, a high-grade epithermal gold-telluride deposit, La Plata County, Colorado, U.S.A., *in* Macdonald, A.J., ed., *Proceedings of Gold '86, an international symposium on the geology of gold*: Toronto, Ont., Konsult International, p. 431-444.
- Scheetz, J.W., Stonehouse, J.M., and Zwaschka, M.R., 1991, Geology of the Brewer gold mine in South Carolina: *Mining Engineering*, v. 43, no. 1, p. 38-42.
- Schmauch, S.W., Gabby, P.N., and Lipton, D.A., 1992, Mineral resources of the Independence Range Special Study Area, Elko County, Nevada: U.S. Bureau of Mines Mineral Land Assessment Report MLA 17-92, 141 p.
- Schmidt, J.M., 1997, Shale-hosted Zn-Pb-Ag and barite deposits of Alaska, *in* Goldfarb, R.J., and Miller, L.D., eds., *Mineral deposits of Alaska: Economic Geology Monograph 9*, p. 35-65.
- Schroeder, K.E., and Allen, C.R., 1988, Structural control of a skarn-zone hosted gold deposit in the Carolina Slate Belt, *in* Kisvarsanyi, G., and Grout, S.K., eds., *Proceedings of the North American conference on tectonic controls of ore deposits and the vertical and horizontal extent of ore systems*; proceedings volume: Rolla, Mo., University of Missouri, p. 482-490.
- Schutz, L., and Williams, C., 1996, Gold mineralization in the Blue Star/Genesis mine, Eureka County, Nevada, *in* Green, S.M., and Struhsacker, E., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995*; field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 167-175.
- Scully, M.V., 1993a, The Bald Mountain massive-sulfide deposit, Aroostook County, Maine, *in* McCutcheon, S.R., ed., *Lower Paleozoic VMS deposits of Maine*: Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum, Bathurst '93, Application of Recent Geological Concepts to Exploration in the Northern Appalachians, Bathurst, New Brunswick, September 9-11, 1993, p. 35-44.

- Scully, M.V., 1993b, The Mount Chase massive-sulfide deposit, Penobscot County, Maine, *in* McCutcheon, S.R., ed., Lower Paleozoic VMS deposits of Maine: Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum, Bathurst '93, Application of Recent Geological Concepts to Exploration in the Northern Appalachians, Bathurst, New Brunswick, September 9-11, 1993, p. 45-54.
- Seager, G.F., 1944, Gold, arsenic, and tungsten deposits of the Jardine-Crevasse Mountain district, Park County, Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Memoir 23, 111 p.
- Season, R.W., and Brown, A.C., 1989, Syngenetic and diagenetic concepts at the White Pine copper deposit, Michigan, *in* Boyle, R.W., Brown, A.C., Jefferson, C.W., Jowett, E.C., and Kirkham, R.V., eds., Sediment-hosted stratiform copper deposits: Geological Society of Canada Special Paper 36, p. 257-267.
- Seedorff, E., Bailey, C.R.G., Kelley, D., and Parks, W., 1991, Buffalo Valley mine; a porphyry-related gold deposit, Lander County, Nevada, *in* Buffa, R.H., and Coyner, A.R., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin; field trip guidebook compendium: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, v. 2, p. 969-987.
- Segerstrom, K., and Ryberg, G.E., 1974, Geology and placer-gold deposits of the Jicarilla Mountains, Lincoln County, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1308, 25 p.
- Sharp, J.E., 1979, Cave Peak, a molybdenum-mineralized breccia pipe complex in Culberson County, Texas: Economic Geology, v. 74, no. 3, p. 517-534.
- Sharp, W.M., 1934, Preliminary report on the Picacho mines: Tonopah, Nev., Tonopah Mining Co., 2 p. (International Archive of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyo., Thayer Lindsley File Collection, Box 30, File 14)
- Shaver, S.A., 1986, Elemental dispersion associated with alteration and mineralization at the Hall (Nevada Moly) quartz-monzonite type porphyry molybdenum deposit, with a section on comparison of dispersion patterns with those from Climax-type deposits: Journal of Geochemical Exploration, v. 25, p. 81-98.
- Shawe, D.R., and Wier, K.L., 1989, Gold deposits in the Virginia City-Alder Gulch district, Montana, *in* Shawe, D.R., Ashley, R.P., and Carter, L.M.H., eds., Geology and resources of gold in the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1857-G, p. G14-G19.
- Shenon, P.J. and Reed, J.C., 1934, Geology and ore deposits of the Elk City, Orogrande, Buffalo Hump, and Tenmile districts, Idaho county, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 9, 89 p.
- Shepard, W.M., Morris, H.T., and Cook, D.R., 1968, Geology and ore deposits of the East Tintic mining district, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 941-965.
- Silver, D.B., 1997, Gold road mine; anatomy of a turnaround: Mining Engineering, v. 49, n. 8, p. 29-32.
- Sims, P.K., and Day, W.C., 1992, A regional structural model for gold mineralization in the southern part of the Archean Superior province, United States: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1904-M, 19 p.
- Sims, S.J., 1968, The Grace mine magnetite deposit, Berks County, Pennsylvania, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 108-124.
- Singer, D.A., 1992, Grade and tonnage model of Sierran Kuroko deposits, *in* Bliss, J.D., ed., Developments in mineral deposit modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004, p. 29-32.
- Singer, D.A., 1995, World class base and precious metal deposits; a quantitative analysis: Economic Geology, v. 90, n. 1, p. 88-104.
- Singewald, Q.D., 1950, Gold placers and their geologic environment in northwestern Park County, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 955-D, p. 103-172.
- Slater, Randy, 1982, Massive sulfide deposits of the Ducktown mining district, Tennessee, *in* Allard, G.O., and Carpenter, R.H., eds., Exploration for metallic resources in the southeast: Athens, Ga., University of Georgia, p. 91-99.

- Slaughter, A.L., 1968, The Homestake mine, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 2, p. 1436-1459.
- Smith, J.W., 1981, Bachelor Mountain silver deposit, Mineral County, Colorado, *in* Lindeman, J.W., Babcock, J.W., and King, J.R., eds., Field trip notes, Creede mining district, San Juan volcanic field, Colorado: Wheat Ridge, Colo., Denver Region Exploration Geologists Society, p. 2-10.
- Smith, R.C., II, 1977, Zinc and lead occurrences in Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania Geological Survey Mineral Resource Report 72, 318 p.
- Smith, R.C., II, Berkheiser, S.W., Jr., and Hoff, D.T., 1988, Locations and analyses of selected early Mesozoic copper occurrences in Pennsylvania, *in* Froelich, A.J., and Robinson, G.R., Jr., Studies of the early Mesozoic basins of the eastern United States: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1776, p. 320-332.
- Smith, T.K., Loyd, R.C., and Schull, H.W., 1987, Precious metal deposits of the central California Coast Range and Sierra Nevada foothill region, *in* Johnson, J.L., ed., Bulk mineable precious metal deposits of the western United States, Guidebook for Field Trips: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 179-196.
- Snyder, F.G., and Gerdemann, P.E., 1968, Geology of the Southeast Missouri Lead District, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 328-358.
- Soule, J.H., 1955, Investigation of the Copper King copper-gold-skarn deposits, Silver Crown mining district, Laramie County, Wyoming: U.S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 5139, 37 p.
- Souviren, A., 1974, Progress report on Heddleston District: Denver, Anaconda Minerals Co., 8 p. (University of Wyoming, American Heritage Center Anaconda Geological Document Collection, Document No. 31028.04)
- Spanski, G.T., 1992, Quantitative assessment of future development of copper/silver resources in the Kootenai National Forest, Idaho/Montana; Part 1, Estimation of the copper and silver endowments: Nonrenewable Resources, v. 1, n. 2, p. 163-183.
- Spatz, D.M., 1995, Geology and zoning relationships at the Pine Flat porphyry copper deposit, Yavapai County, Arizona, *in* Pierce, F.W., and Bolm, J.G., eds., Porphyry copper deposits of the American Cordillera: Arizona Geological Society Digest 20, p. 337-363.
- Speer, W.E., and Maddry, J.W., 1993, Geology and recent discoveries at the Haile gold mine, Lancaster County, South Carolina: South Carolina Geology, v. 45, p. 9-26.
- Stein, H.J., Bankey, V., Cunningham, C.G., Zimelman, D.R., Brickey, D.W., Shubat, M., Campbell, D.L., and Podwysocki, M.H., 1989, Tooele 1°x2° quadrangle, northwest Utah, a CUSMAP preassessment study: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 89-0467, 134 p.
- Steininger, R.C., 1997, Long Valley gold deposit, Mono County, California: Geological Society of Nevada Newsletter, Nov. 1997, p. 3.
- Steven, T.A., and Ratté, J.C., 1965, Geology and structural control of ore deposition in the Creede District, San Juan Mountains, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 487, 90 p.
- Stewart, J.H., McKee, E.H., and Stager, H.K., 1977, Geology and mineral deposits of Lander County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 88, 106 p.
- Storch, R.H., 1958, Ilmenite and other black-sand minerals in the Gold Fork placer deposit, Valley County, Idaho: U.S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 5395, 15 p.
- Stotelmeyer, R.B., Johnson, F.L., McHugh, E.L., Federspiel, F.E., Denton, D.K., Jr., and Stebbins, S.A., 1981, Mineral resources of the Glacier Peak Wilderness and adjacent areas, Chelan, Skagit, and Snohomish Counties, Washington: Spokane, Wash., U.S. Bureau of Mines, 36 p. (Copy held by Washington Department of Natural Resources, Geology and Earth Resources Division Library, Olympia, Wash.)



- Stotelmeyer, R.B., Johnson, F.L., McHugh, E.L., Federspiel, F.E., Denton, D.K., Jr., and Stebbins, S.A., 1982, Mineral investigation of the Glacier Peak Wilderness and adjacent areas, Chelan, Skagit, and Snohomish Counties, Washington: U.S. Bureau of Mines Mineral Land Assessment Report 89-82, 36 p.
- Stowe, C.H., 1975, Utah mineral industry statistics through 1973: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Bulletin 106, 121 p.
- Struhsacker, E., Jones, L., and Green, S., 1996, Roadside geology and precious-metal mineralization along the I-80 corridor, Reno to Elko, Nevada, *in* Green, S.M., and Struhsacker, E., eds., *Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera, 1995; field trip guidebook compendium*: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 1-36.
- Superior Oil Co., 1984, Portfolio of exploration properties: Superior Oil Co., unpaginated (V. Holloster Collection, U.S. Geological Survey, Spokane Field Office).
- Sweet, P.C., 1971, Gold mines and prospects in Virginia: *Virginia Minerals*, v. 17, p. 25-38.
- Sweet, P.C., and Trimble, D., 1983, Virginia gold resource data: Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Publication 45, 196 p.
- Tarman, D.W., and Jessey, D.R., 1994, Relationship between extensional tectonism and silver-barite mineralization of the Calico mining district, San Bernardino County, California, *in* Murbach, D., and Baldwin, J., eds., *Mojave desert [Martin Stout Volume]*: South Coast Geological Society Annual Field Trip Guidebook 22, p. 500-517.
- Taylor, G.C., and Joseph, S.E., 1992, Mineral land classification of the Eureka-Saline Valley area: California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 166, 143 p.
- Teal, L., and Branham, A., 1997, Geology of the Mike gold-copper deposit, Eureka County, Nevada, *in* Vikre, P., Thompson, T.B., Bettles, K., Christensen, O., and Parratt, R., eds., *Carlin-type gold deposits field conference*: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 28, p. 257-276.
- Teal, L., and Jackson, M., 1997, Geologic overview of the Carlin Trend gold deposits and descriptions of recent discoveries, *in* Vikre, P., Thompson, T.B., Bettles, K., Christensen, O., and Parratt, R., eds., *Carlin-type gold deposits field conference*: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 28, p. 3-37.
- Teet, J.E., 1981, Ore reserve summary, Mother Lode, Tuolumne County, California: New Jersey Zinc Co., 33 p. (Anaconda Geological Documents Collection, International Archives of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, File 10915.07)
- Theodore, T.G., Orris, G.J., Hammarstrom, J.M., and Bliss, J.D., 1991, Gold-bearing skarns: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1930, 61 p.
- Thomson, F.A., and Ballard, S.M., 1924, Geology and gold resources of north-central Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 7, 127 p.
- Thorson, J.P., White, B.G., and Baitis, H.W., 1996, Gold resources in the Greyson Formation, Big Belt Mountains, Montana; Part II, mineralization and genesis, *in* Berg, R.B., ed., *Proceedings of Belt Symposium III: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Special Publication 111*, p. ??-?
- Thurber, H.K., Miller, M.S., Hillman, C.T., Lindsey, D.S., and Morris, R.W., 1982, Economic-mineral appraisal of the Minarets Wilderness and adjacent areas, Madera and Mono counties, California: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1516-D, p. 91-159.
- Tingley, J.V., and Berger, B.R., 1985, Lode gold deposits of Round Mountain, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 100, 62 p.
- Titley, S.R., and Anthony, E.Y., 1989, Laramide mineral deposits in Arizona, *in* Jenney, J.P., and Reynolds, S.J., eds., *Geologic evolution of Arizona: Arizona Geological Society Digest 17*, p. 485-514 .
- Tooker, E.W., 1990, Gold in the Bingham District, Utah, *in* Shawe, D.R., Ashley, R.P., and Carter, L.M.H., eds., *Gold in porphyry copper systems*: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1857, p. E1-E16.

- Tooker, E.W., and Vercoutere, T.L., 1986, Gold in the conterminous United States, perspective of 1986; preliminary map of selected geographic, economic, and geologic attributes of productive (>10,000 oz) gold districts: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86-209, 32 p.
- Tripp, B.T., Shubat, M.A., Bishop, C.E., and Blackett, R.E., 1989, Mineral occurrences of the Tooele 1° x 2° quadrangle, west-central Utah: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report 153, 85 p.
- Troxel, B.W., and Morton, P.K., 1962, Mines and mineral resources of Kern County, California: California Division of Mines and Geology County Report 1, 370 p.
- Tschanz, C.M., and Pampeyan, E.H., 1970, Geology and mineral deposits of Lincoln County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 73, 188 p.
- Tucker, W.B., and Sampson, R.J., 1943, Mineral resources of San Bernardino County: California Journal of Mines and Geology, v. 39, n. 4, p. 427-549.
- Van Loenen, R.E., Blank, H.R., Jr., Barton, H., and Chatman, M.L., 1987, Mineral resources of the Mount Grafton Wilderness Study Area, Lincoln and White Pine Counties, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1728-F, 24 p.
- Vanderburg, W.O., 1937, Reconnaissance of mining districts in Mineral County, Nevada: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 6941, 79 p.
- Vanderburg, W.O., 1938, Reconnaissance of mining districts in Eureka County, Nevada: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 7022, 66 p.
- Vhay, J.S., 1964, Copper, *in* Mineral and water resources of Idaho: Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology Special Report No. 1, p. 68-74.
- Vredenburg, L.M., 1988, Historical review of the economic geology of the Panamint Range and Valley, Inyo County, California, *in* Gregory, J.L., and Baldwin, E.J., eds., Geology of the Death Valley region: Santa Ana, Calif., South Coast Geological Society, p. 376-385.
- Ware, H., 1978, Callahan joint-venture proposal, Mineral District: Memorandum to John C. Wilson, Anaconda Minerals Co., 2 p. (Anaconda Geological Documents Collection, International Archives of Economic Geology, American Heritage Center, University of Wyoming, File 023411)
- Watkinson, D.H., and Melling, D.R., 1992, Hydrothermal origin of platinum-group mineralization in low-temperature copper sulfide-rich assemblages, Salt Chuck intrusion, Alaska: Economic Geology, v. 87, p.175-184.
- Weber, F.H., Jr., 1963, The geology and mineral resources of San Diego County, California: California Division of Mines and Geology County Report 3, 309 p.
- Weed, W.H., and Barrell, J., 1901, Geology and ore deposits of the Elkhorn mining district, Jefferson County, Montana, *in* 22nd Annual Report of the U.S. Geological Survey; part 2, ore deposits: Washington, D.C., Government Printing Office, p. 399-549.
- Wharton, H.M., 1975, Introduction to the Southeast Missouri lead district, *in* Wharton, H.M., Larsen, K.G., Sweeney, P.M., and others, eds., Guidebook to the geology and ore deposits of selected mines in the Viburnum Trend, Missouri: Missouri Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 58, p. 1-14.
- Wharton, H.M., Martin, J.A., Rueff, A.W., Robertson, C.R., Wells, J.S., and Kisvarsany, E.B., 1969, Missouri minerals; resources, production, and forecasts: Missouri Division of Geological Survey and Water Resources Special Publication 1, 303 p.
- Whelan, J.A., 1982, Geology, ore deposits and mineralogy of the Rocky Range, near Milford, Beaver County, Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Special Studies 57, 35 p.
- White, W.S., 1968, The native copper deposits of Northern Michigan, *in* Ridge, J.D., ed., Ore deposits of the United States, 1933-1967 (Graton-Sales Volume): New York, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, v. 1, p. 303-325.
- Wilband, J.T., 1978, The copper resources of northern Michigan: Final report, U.S. Bureau of Mines, Contract No. J0366067, 66 p.

- Wilkins, J., Jr., 1984, The distribution of gold- and silver-bearing deposits in the Basin and Range province, western United States, *in* Wilkins, Joe, Jr., ed., Gold and silver deposits of the Basin and Range province, western USA: Arizona Geological Society Digest, v. 15, p. 1-27.
- Wilkins, J., Jr., and Hillemeier, F.L., 1996, Geology, alteration, and mineralization at the America mine gold deposit, San Bernardino County, California, *in* Rehrig, W.A., and Hardy, J.J., eds., Tertiary extension and mineral deposits, southwestern U.S.: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 25, p. 127-140.
- Willden, R., and Speed, R.C., 1974, Geology and mineral deposits of Churchill County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 83, 95 p.
- Williams, S.A., and Forrester, J.D., 1995, Characteristics of porphyry copper deposits, *in* Pierce, F.W., and Bolm, J.G., eds., Porphyry copper deposits of the American Cordillera: Arizona Geological Society Digest 20, p. 21-34.
- Wilson, E.D., 1961, Part I, Arizona gold placers, *in* Gold placers and placering in Arizona: Arizona Geological Survey Bulletin 168, p. 11–86.
- Wisser, E., 1953, Zinc ore reserves, Appalachian Mining and Smelting Co., Bumpass Cove, Tennessee: Unpublished geologic report, 20 p. (Grover Heinrichs File Collection, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz., file 8, folder 63)
- Wisser, E., 1956, Report of examination of Bully Hill mine, Shasta County, California: Unpublished geological report, 2 p. (Grover Heinrichs File Collection, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Phoenix, Ariz., file 3, folder 57)
- Witkind, I.J., 1973, Igneous rocks and related mineral deposits of the Barker quadrangle. Little Belt Mountains, Montana: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 752, 58 p.
- Woodward, L.A., 1995, Metallic minerals of the Judith Mountains, central Montana: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Memoir 67, 78 p.
- Woodward, L.A., Kautman, W.H., Schumacher, O.L., and Talbott, L.W., 1974, Strata-bound copper deposits in Triassic sandstone of Sierra Nacimiento, New Mexico: Economic Geology, v. 69, no. 1, p. 108-120.
- Yeend, W.E., 1974, Gold-bearing gravel of the ancestral Yuba River, Sierra Nevada, California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 772, 44 p.
- Yeend, W., and Shawe, D.R., 1989, Gold placers, *in* Shawe, D.R., Ashley, R.P, and Carter, L.M.H., eds., Gold in placer deposits: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1857-G, p. G1–G13.
- Young, T.H., and Cluer, J.K., 1992, The Antelope Valley precious metal deposits, A Tertiary acid-sulfate system in Sierra County, California, *in* Craig, S.D., ed., Walker Lane symposium proceedings volume; structure, tectonics, and mineralization of the Walker Lane: Reno, Geological Society of Nevada, p. 213-221.
- Young, E.J., and Segerstrom, K., 1973, A disseminated silver-lead-zinc sulfide occurrence at Hahns Peak, Routt County, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1367, 33 p.
- Young, L.E., St. George, P., and Bouley, B.A., 1997, Porphyry copper deposits in relation to the magmatic history and palinspastic restoration of Alaska, *in* Goldfarb, R.J., and Miller, L.D., eds., Mineral deposits of Alaska: Economic Geology Monograph 9, p. 306-333.

In addition, a number of common reference works, primarily periodical in nature, and often lacking specific author attributions, are cited in the known deposits file in abbreviated form, according to the following table:

AMH	American Mines Handbook, Southam Business Communications Inc., Don Mills, Ontario, Canada (annual).
CMH	Canadian Mines Handbook, Southam Business Communications Inc., Don Mills, Ontario, Canada (annual).
CMJ	Canadian Mining Journal
E/MJ	Engineering and Mining Journal, Maclean Hunter Publishing Co., Chicago, IL (monthly).

ME	Mining Engineering, Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Littleton, CO (monthly).
MJ	Mining Journal, Mining Journal Ltd., London, England (weekly).
MM	Mining Magazine, Mining Journal Ltd., London, England (monthly).
MMN	Major Mines of Nevada, Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Special Publication (annual).
MR	Mining Record, Howell Publishing Co., Denver, CO (weekly).
NM	The Northern Miner, Southam Magazine Group, Don Mills, Ontario, Canada (weekly).
NMI	The Nevada Mineral Industry, Reno, Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Special Publication, MI series (annual).
PCIA	Primary Copper Industry of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources, Special Report (annual).
PD	Pay Dirt, Copper Queen Publishing Co., Bisbee, AZ (monthly).
Randol	Randol mining directory, Randol International Ltd., Golden, CO (biannual).
SEGN	Society of Economic Geologists Newsletter, Littleton, CO (quarterly)
SK	Skillings' Mining Review, Skillings' Mining Review Inc., Duluth, MN (weekly).

SEC Form 10K: Annual reports filed by domestic public companies with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in compliance with the 1934 Securities Exchange Act and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 200 to end. Reports filed with the SEC are available for public inspection at the SEC Library, New York City. Microfiche copies are available at many university libraries or may be purchased from Disclosure, Inc. The SEC's EDGAR database of electronic copies of filings made since 1994 is accessible via the internet at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

SEC Form 20F: Annual reports filed by foreign companies marketing securities in the United States with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in compliance with the 1934 Securities Exchange Act and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 200 to end. Reports filed with the SEC are available for public inspection at the SEC Library, New York City. Microfiche copies are available at many university libraries or may be purchased from Disclosure, Inc. Foreign companies are not required to file electronic copies of Form 20F with the SEC but voluntary filings are accessible via the internet at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

MAS/MILS: U.S. Bureau of Mines Mineral Availability System/Mineral Industry Location System electronic database. Available on CD-ROM as U.S. Bureau of Mines Special Publication 12-95.

Robertson Info-Mine: On-line mineral property database available on a subscription basis from Robertson Info-Data Inc., Vancouver, B.C., at [www.info-mine.com](http://www.info-mine.com).

U.S. Bureau of Mines Minerals Yearbook: Series began with 1882 edition (1882 data), called Mineral Resources of the United States, published by the U.S. Geological Survey (1882–1923) and the U.S. Bureau of Mines (1924–1931). Became Minerals Yearbook in 1932, published by U.S. Bureau of Mines (1932–1994) and the U.S. Geological Survey (1995–present).

U.S. Bureau of Mines production data: Mineral production reported by individual operators to the U.S. Geological Survey from 1901 to 1924, the U.S. Bureau of Mines from 1925 to 1995, and the U.S. Geological Survey from 1996 to present. Pursuant to Public Law 96-479, these data have been aggregated over time and over several operators so as not to disclose the production of any individual operator.