Peer Assessment of 5-year Performance ARS National Program 304: Crop Protection and Quarantine

Summary Comments and Recommendations Robert N. Wiedenmann and John J. Obrycki Panel Co-Chairs May 20, 2008

Scope of National Program 304

- 236 full-time scientists
- 140 projects
- 41 locations in 25 states, plus 4 overseas labs
- FY07 budget of \$102.8 million
- Mission: To provide technology to manage pest populations below economic damage thresholds by the integration of environmentally compatible strategies that are based on increased understanding of the biology and ecology of insect, mite, and weed pests.





National Program 304 Assessment Panel

- Thirteen accomplished scientists (entomology, botany, weed science, range science, horticulture)
- Two sub-panels: insects (7) and weeds (6)
- Diverse in expertise, employment, location -- research, extension, industry
- Panel met in Beltsville November 28-29, 2007

Charge to the Assessment Panel:

- Retrospective review (5+ years) of performance and impact
- 10 components, 49 research problem areas
- Consider overall national program and problem areas, not individual projects
- Provide feedback to ARS leaders, stakeholders, and partners
- Guidance for focusing problem areas and planning goals for next five-year cycle

Criteria for Components and Problem Areas

- Relevance to the Action Plan
- Results of the Science
- Communication of the Results
- Technology Transfer
- Impact on Production Practices
- Impact on Agriculture, Environment and Society

Criteria for Components and Problem Areas

- Each component assessed, rated
- High, Medium, Low
- Quality, Relevance, Impact
- Overall Summary Rating per Component

Criteria for Components and Problem Areas

- High: All or nearly all problem areas adequately addressed and presented
- Medium: Significant portions of components not addressed or presented
- Low: Insufficient attention to Problem Areas or low productivity reported
- Weak tech transfer lowered ratings

Documentation Used to Assess Goals and Determine Impact

- NP304 Action Plan
- 2001-2006 Accomplishment Report and Appendices
- National Program Leader(s) Overview
- Publications and lab web sites
- Working knowledge of panelists

NP 304 Insects -- 6 Components

- 1. Identification and Classification of Insects and Mites
- 2. Biology of Pests and Natural Enemies
- 3. Plant, Pest and Natural Enemy Interactions & Ecology
- 4. Post-harvest, Pest Exclusion, Quarantine
- 5. Pest Control Technology
- 6. IPM Systems and Area-Wide Suppression

NP 304 Weeds -- 4 Components

- 7. Weed Biology and Ecology
- 8. Chemical Control of Weeds
- 9. Biological Control of Weeds
- 10. Weed Management Systems

Overarching comments

- Significant role in US and world agriculture
- Key discoveries, significant impact
- Appropriate portfolio mix of near-term, problem-solving & long-term, high-risk research
- Several problem areas within NP 304 are the major national effort (especially highrisk areas) or research investment

Research Component I

Identification and Classification of Insects & Mites

Problem Areas:

Prediction & Analysis of Invasive Insects & Mites Information Technology & Advanced ID Systems Systematics of High-Priority Pests & Beneficials Systematics of Emerging Pests & New Beneficials Delivery of IDs to Customers & Stakeholders

Component I – Summary

- Quality High
- Relevance Medium
- Impact Medium-High
- Summary Medium-High
- Essential component
- SEL collections are a national treasure
- Additional emphasis on molecular phylogenetics
- Expertise shortage and understaffed
- Need more expertise and IT support

Component I – Recommendations

- Emphasize molecular phylogenetics for insight into invasive species and natural enemies
- Need systematists for leps, bees, bee pests
- Emphasize IT for non-experts, regulators
- Need more support staff to enable research and identification service
- Reduce # of Problem Areas and consolidate
- ID service is critical -- need more specialists; faster IDs and greater scientific progress

Research Component II

Biology of Pests and Natural Enemies

Problem Areas:

Basic Biology

Rearing Insects and Mites

Component II – Summary

- Quality Medium-High
- Relevance Medium
- Impact Medium-High
- Summary Medium-High
- Essential component
- Quality ranged from good to excellent
- Strong publication record in some areas
- · Reporting uneven
- Biology -- good information
- Rearing -- poor documentation
- · Great potential impact, but not yet seen

Component II – Recommendations

- Balance basic and applied work on 'old' and 'new' pests
- Balance basic research on model pest species with that of invasive/exotic species, needing management info
- Coordinate work on model pests across labs by developing lists of gaps in knowledge
- Balance new technology with research on natural history
- Continue collaborations with Land-Grants and Industry to maintain mix of high-risk and ready-impact research
- Merge IIb (Rearing) with other Components -- rearing is relevant to other Components (e.g., exotic pests, biological control)

Research Component III

Pest, Plant and Natural Enemy Interactions & Ecology

Problem Areas:

Understanding Complex Interactions
Population Studies/Ecology
Effects of Various Types of Production Practices
Role of Transgenic Plants

Component III – Summary

- Quality Medium
- Relevance Medium
- Impact Medium
- Summary Medium
- Developed new techniques and methods
- Discovered mechanisms of interactions
- Research on plant signaling, plant defenses, microbes and nematodes is top-tier
- Some tech transfer was evident (adoption of practices), but documentation was limited
- Research led to reduced pesticide use
- Risk assessments for Bt crops were beneficial

Component III – Recommendations

- Assess health and environmental benefits from reduced pesticide usage
- Re-examine goals and projects -- some goals were significant, but nothing was reported
- Elaborate on tech transfer plans and outcomes
- Add field-based research to provide relevance and opportunities for technology transfer

Research Component IV

Post-harvest, Pest Exclusion and Quarantine

Problem Areas:

Detection & Delimitation of Exotic Insect Pests
Exclusion of Exotic Pests & Quarantine Treatments
Control & Eradication of Exotic Insect Pests
Biology & Ecology of Exotic Insect Pests
Biology & Ecology of Stored-Product Insect Pests
Detection & Monitoring of Store-Product Pests
New & Improved Control Technologies
IPM Programs for Stored Product Pests

Component IV – Summary

- · Quality Medium-High
- Relevance Medium-High
- Impact Medium-High
- Summary Medium-High
- Essential research will enhance competitiveness
- Top-tier research, excellent collaboration
- Publications and extramural support excellent
- Needed: pest detection and exclusion
- Needed: biology and ecology of exotic pests

Component IV – Recommendations

- Develop and implement programs for commercial sector
- Incorporate population genetics into monitoring/trapping
- Develop sampling for very low densities related to risk assessment of newly arising exotic pests
- Implement IPM based on valid sampling to reduce the need for quarantine treatments
- Evaluate efficacy of eradication programs
- Research on fundamental biology of exotic pests to establish priorities and direct applied work
- Basic research (e.g., *Tribolium* genome) of broad utility may be better separated from stored-product Component
- Work on nutrigenomics to help understand impact of diet components on pest fitness
- Need IPM strategies for short-term and long-term storage; storage time will affect cost/benefit of management

Research Component V

Pest Control Technology

Problem Areas:

Traditional Biological Control
Breeding for Host Plant Resistance
Physical/Mechanical & Cultural Control
Other Biologically Based Control
Chemical Control

Component V – Summary

- Quality High
- Relevance Medium
- Impact Medium-High
- Summary Medium-High
- Top-tier research
- Research has produced innovative practices
- · Viable alternatives and implementation near
- Some work did not address goals
- Few measures of impact, pesticide reduction
- Work in progress, impacts will occur in future

Component V – Recommendations

- Some research reported did not address goals
- Find ways to measure direct impact, pesticide reduction, profitability
- Document health benefits of reduced pesticides, and the resulting economic benefits
- Adjust goals in physical/mechanical control -- too specific and too modest; research has broader application and contribution
- Work on biologically based control accomplished only one goal -- reported work was good, but did not address the Action Plan

Research Component VI

Integrated Pest Management Systems and Area-wide Suppression

Problem Areas:

Sampling Methods, Detection & Monitoring Establishment of Economic Thresholds Development of IPM Systems Implementation of IPM Systems Transition & Technology Transfer to Users

Component VI – Summary

- Quality High
- Relevance High
- Impact Medium-High
- Summary Medium-High
- Comprehensive and deliverable IPM programs developed for several pests
- Expert IPM systems developed
- Both national and local scope of projects

Component VI – Recommendations

- Need to reconsider priority areas, balance between national and regional issues
- Combine Problem Areas
- Need fundamental research for development of area-wide IPM programs

Research Component VII

Weed Biology & Ecology

Problem Areas:

Invasive Potential and Ecological Impact
Taxonomy and Systematics
Early Detection, Rapid Response and Monitoring
Reproductive Biology and Seed Dynamics
Growth, Development and Competition
Population Dynamics

Component VII – Summary

- Quality Medium
- Relevance Medium-High
- Impact Low-Medium
- Summary Medium
- Much research was discovery-based -- long-term
- Advanced understanding and development of ecological approaches to weed management
- Excellent approach to modeling, quantifying reproduction from seeds, propagules
- Work on seedbank dynamics is excellent
- Good effort on weed competition in key crops

Component VII – Recommendations

- Expand efforts on weed genomics
- Need further taxonomic work on weeds affecting crops
- Need biology & ecology of additional weed species
- Increase efforts or reporting of applied work
- Increase efforts on early detection/rapid response for additional weed species -- this should be a priority
- Continue long-term research in seedbank dynamics and population dynamics -- these should be priorities
- Work with others to assist tech transfer, such as in weed management systems

Research Component VIII

Chemical Control of Weeds

Problem Areas:

Herbicide Use in Minor Crops
Herbicide Resistance and Transgenics
Herbicide Efficacy and Application Technology
New Herbicides
Environmental Transformation and Movement
Risk Assessment

Component VIII – Summary

- Quality Low-Medium
- Relevance Medium
- Impact Low
- Summary Low-Medium
- Land managers rely on ARS for unbiased results for management
- Research supports registration of herbicides in fruit, vegetable and ornamental crops
- IR-4 was strongest part reported
- Research on alternatives will help organic growers
- Study of modes of action for natural products will help development of new herbicides
- Study on movement of herbicides provides template for expanded research

Component VIII – Recommendations

- Add research on herbicide resistance in weeds
- Balance effort (or reporting) among research in minor crops, herbicide resistance, new technology, invasive species in non-crop land
- Approach research from null hypothesis, to include both positive and negative results
- Expand research on organic methods (chemical and other) -- possibly as an independent Problem Area
- Use knowledge gained from research on herbicideresistant crops to prevent resistance in weeds
- Expand research on detection or eradication of resistant weed species or mitigate their impacts
- Partner with engineers and industry on drift reduction

Component VIII – Recommendations

- Insufficient resources devoted to this important area --ARS needs to be the leader
- Partner with land managers on outreach on need for herbicides in certain situations, minimizing risks
- Added outreach on effects of control options (including taking no action), to aid land managers -- including information on properties of herbicides that will not impact health or environment

Research Component IX

Biological Control of Weeds

Problem Areas:

Agent Discovery, Selection and Risk Assessment Efficacy and Mass Production of Augmentative Agents Field Evaluation

Combining Biological Control Agents

Component IX – Summary

- Quality Medium
- · Relevance Medium
- Impact Low-Medium
- Summary Medium
- Weed biocontrol is a strength of ARS
- Medium score represents uneven progress or outputs, lack of reporting on some areas (weeds in crops)
- · Many goals addressed
- Necessary for ARS to invest in long-term projects
- Field evaluation reported was limited, did not match goals
- Work on combining biocontrol agents was weak -- minimal results reported

Component IX – Recommendations

- Strength is Agent Discovery and Selection -- need greater resources to deliver agents with impact
- Need increased emphasis on field evaluations (as stated in goal) -- effort is not commensurate with that devoted to agent discovery
- Carry through to tech transfer
- Add another focus on weeds of crop lands -- but not at the expense of wildland weeds
- Conduct manipulative research on combining agents
- Address site specificity related to abiotic factors (e.g., soil type) underlying success or failure

Research Component X

Weed Management Systems

Problem Areas:

Cultural and Mechanical Control
Integrated Weed Management in Cropland
Integrated Weed Management in Non-cropland
Rehabilitation, Re-vegetation & Restoration

Component X – Summary

- Quality Medium-High
- Relevance Medium-High
- Impact Low-Medium
- Summary Medium-High
- Some strong research; good contributions to science
- Significant effort placed on cover crops -- difficult to assess whether innovation or a reiteration
- Cover-crop research is facing limited adoption
- Accomplishments on mechanical weed control were weak compared to other methods
- Research on cover crop plus phytotoxins will impact both future research directions and crop production

Component X – Recommendations

- Need some "umbrella" problems to assess interactions of biological, chemical and mechanical control
- Greatly enhance tech transfer
- ARS needs to be leader in understanding mechanisms of invasion onto rangelands and wildlands, and propose and test hypotheses
- Need greater emphasis on developing integrated weed management in non-cropland
- Devote greater resources to revegetation and rehabilitation
 -- federal and state land managers are clientele
- Research on revegetation needs to use native species

Overall Recommendations

- Split NP-304 into entomology and weed science
- Create more inter-agency cooperation (e.g., CSREES, FS, ERS, APHIS)
- Need economic analysis of value of research
- Strengthen the role of scientists in tech transfer
- Re-evaluate and re-combine components to capture what ARS does and plans to do
- Activities and outcomes reported should align with OMB Report on IPM

Review Process – Recommendations

- More-complete information in Accomplishment Report
- Information in Accomplishment Report better organized
- · Resources in each Component and Problem Area
- List labs working in each Problem Area; links to other Components and Problem Areas
- Indicate major changes in staffing or funding
- Provide numbers of outputs per Problem Area
- Highlight ARS authors in publications and grants
- Quantitative measure of publication impacts
- Cross-list publications
- List sponsors, collaborators for each Problem Area
- · Highlight tech transfer for each Component