Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: November 7, 2002.

William G. Schleining,

Acting Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 02–28876 Filed 11–14–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Proposed Additions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Proposed additions to Procurement List.

SUMMARY: The Committee is proposing to add to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

Comments must be received on or before: December 15, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sheryl D. Kennerly, (703) 603–7740 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2) and 41 CFR 51–2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions.

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, the entities of the Federal Government identified in the notice for each service will be required to procure the services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a

substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. If approved, the action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.
- 2. If approved, the action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.
- 3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List. Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

The following services are proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

Services

Service Type/Location: Janitorial and Mailroom Operations, Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Science Center, Fort Meade, Maryland. NPA: Goodwill Industries of the Chesapeake, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland.

Contract Activity: Environmental Protection Agency, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Service Type/Location: Janitorial/Custodial, U.S. Army Reserve Center, Pewaukee, Wisconsin.

NPA: Milwaukee Center for Independence, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Contract Activity: HQ, 88th Regional Support Command, Fort Snelling, Minnesota.

Sheryl D. Kennerly,

Director, Information Management.
[FR Doc. 02–29070 Filed 11–14–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping Proceedings: Affiliated Party Sales in the Ordinary Course of Trade

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Modification concerning affiliated party sales in the comparison market.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is modifying its methodology in antidumping proceedings concerning the determination of whether sales to affiliated parties in the comparison

market are made in the ordinary course of trade and thus may be considered for use in calculating normal value. The schedule for implementing this change is set forth in the "Timetable" section, below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kris Campbell (202) 482–1032, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This change in methodology concerns the test used in antidumping proceedings to determine whether comparison market sales between affiliated parties are made at arm's length and thus may be considered to be within the "ordinary course of trade."

Article 2.1 of the Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (the "AD Agreement") requires that investigating authorities exclude sales not made in the "ordinary course of trade" from calculations of normal value.1 Section 773(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), implements this provision by restricting comparison market sales used to determine normal value to those made in the ordinary course of trade. Under current Department practice, comparison market sales by an exporter or producer to an affiliated customer are treated as having been made at arm's length, and may be considered to be within the ordinary course of trade,2 if prices to that affiliated customer are, on average, at least 99.5 percent of the prices charged by that exporter or producer to unaffiliated comparison market customers.

Under this 99.5 percent test, the Department determines the weighted-average comparison market selling price for each product for sales by the exporter or producer to each affiliated party. The Department also determines the weighted-average selling price for each product to the group of unaffiliated comparison market customers. For each affiliated customer, the Department compares the weighted-average price to that affiliate for each product to the weighted-average price of the same product to all unaffiliated customers.

¹ Article 2.1 states: "For the purpose of this Agreement, a product is to be considered as being dumped, *i.e.*, introduced into the commerce of another country at less than its normal value, if the export price of the product exported from one country to another is less than the comparable price, in the ordinary course of trade, for the like product when destined for consumption in the exporting county."

² Such sales may be outside the ordinary course of trade for other reasons, *e.g.*, if they are below cost.