

the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, other than those previously approved and assigned OMB control number 2060-0243. For additional information concerning these requirements, see 40 CFR part 70. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

In reviewing State operating permit programs submitted pursuant to title V of the Clean Air Act, EPA will approve State programs provided that they meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act and EPA's regulations codified at 40 CFR part 70. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a State operating permit program for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews an operating permit program, to use VCS in place of a State program that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that, before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 9, 2002. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. See CAA section 307(b)(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 70

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 22, 2002.

Elbert Moore,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

40 CFR part 70, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 70—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 70 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

2. In appendix A to Part 70, the entry for Oregon is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 70—Approval Status of State and Local Operating Permits Programs

* * * * *

Oregon

(a) Oregon Department of Environmental Quality: submitted on November 15, 1993, as amended on November 15, 1994 and June 30 1995; full approval effective on November 27, 1995; revisions submitted on March 15, 2000; approval of revisions effective on August 9, 2002.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 02-13972 Filed 6-7-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 15

[ET Docket No. 98-153; FCC 02-48]

Ultra-Wideband Transmission Systems

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: On May 16, 2002 (67 FR 34852), the Commission published final rules in the *First Report and Order* which revised the Commission's rules to permit the marketing and operation of certain types of new products incorporating ultra-wideband technology. This document contains corrections to those rules.

DATES: Effective July 15, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John A. Reed, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418-2455.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Communications Commission published a document revising part 15

in the **Federal Register** of May 16, 2002 (67 FR 34852). This document corrects the **Federal Register** as it appeared. In rule FR Doc. 02-11929 published on May 16, 2002 (67 FR 34852). The Commission is correcting a typographical error in § 15.517 resulting in the incorrect designation of paragraphs (e) through (g) and an incorrect reference in paragraph (e). We also correct a typographical error in the table in § 15.519(c) of the rules.

In rule FR Doc. No. 02-11929 published on May 16, 2002 (65 FR 34852) make the following corrections:

1. On page 34858 in the third column, and on page 34859 in the first column, in § 15.517, paragraphs (e), (f), and (g) are correctly designated as paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) and the reference in newly designated paragraph (d) introductory text is corrected to read as "paragraph (c)."

2. On page 34859 in the second column, in § 15.519 correct the table in paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 15.519 [Corrected]

* * * * *

(c) * * *

Frequency in MHz	EIRP in dBm
960-1610	- 75.3
1610-1990	- 63.3
1990-3100	- 61.3
3100-10600	- 41.3
Above 10600	- 61.3

* * * * *

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-14435 Filed 6-7-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 660

[Docket No. 020402077-2077-01; I.D. 052802F]

Fisheries Off West Coast States and in the Western Pacific; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Whiting Closure for the Mothership Sector

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Fishing restrictions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces closure of the 2002 mothership fishery for Pacific