

Questions Related to the Efficacy of the Overall Reactor Oversight Process (ROP)

(As appropriate, please provide specific examples and suggestions for improvement.)

(9) Are the ROP oversight activities predictable (*i.e.*, controlled by the process) and objective (*i.e.*, based on supported facts, rather than relying on subjecting judgement)?

(10) Is the ROP risk-informed, in that the NRC's actions are graduated on the basis of increased significance?

(11) Is the ROP understandable and are the processes, procedures and products clear and written in plain English?

(12) Does the ROP provide adequate assurance that plants are being operated and maintained safely?

(13) Does the ROP improve the efficiency, effectiveness, and realism of the regulatory process?

(14) Does the ROP enhance public confidence?

(15) Has the public been afforded adequate opportunity to participate in the ROP and to provide inputs and comments?

(16) Has the NRC been responsive to public inputs and comments on the ROP?

(17) Has the NRC implemented the ROP as defined by program documents?

(18) Does the ROP reduce unnecessary regulatory burden on licensees?

(19) Does the ROP result in unintended consequences?

(20) Please provide any additional information or comments on other program areas related to the Reactor Oversight Process.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of November, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Cynthia A. Carpenter,

Inspection Program Branch, Division of Inspection Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02-29736 Filed 11-21-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (Huntsman Polymers Corporation, 11¾% Senior Notes (due 2004)) File No. 1-9988

November 18, 2002.

Huntsman Polymers Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission

("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,² to withdraw its 11¾% Senior Notes (due 2004) ("Security"), from listing and registration on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange").

The Issuer stated in its application that it has met the requirements of the NYSE rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration.

The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer approved resolutions on October 15, 2002 to withdraw the Issuer's Security from listing on the NYSE. In making its decision to withdraw the Issuer's Security from the Exchange, the Issuer's Board notes that the debt market for the Security is relatively small and offers significantly less liquidity and price discovery to investors compared to the NYSE equity market. In addition, the Board represents that competitive market forces, influenced both by the costs associated with maintaining the listing and by relative difference in trading volume, have made the over-the-counter markets the dominant venue for trading debt securities. The Issuer states that it is currently seeking quotation of the Security on the over-the-counter markets.

The Issuer's application relates solely to the Security's withdrawal from listing on the NYSE and from registration under Section 12(b) of the Act³ and shall not affect its obligation to be registered under Section 12(g) of the Act.⁴

Any interested person may, on or before December 12, 2002, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the NYSE and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78l(d).

² 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

³ 15 U.S.C. 78l(b).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78l(g).

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-29762 Filed 11-21-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meetings during the week of November 25, 2002:

A closed meeting will be held on Monday, November 25, 2002, at 2:30 p.m., and an open meeting will be held on Tuesday, November 26, 2002, at 10 a.m., in Room 1C30, the William O. Douglas Room.

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters may also be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5), (7), (9)(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(5), (7), (9)(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the closed meeting.

The subject matter of the closed meeting scheduled for Monday, November 25, 2002, will be:

Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature; and

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions.

The subject matter of the open meeting scheduled for Tuesday, November 26, 2002, will be:

1. The Commission will consider whether to issue a release proposing amendments to rule 10b-18 (the safe harbor for issuer repurchases), and amendments to regulations S-K and S-B under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, Exchange Act forms 10-Q, 10-QSB, 10-K, 10-KSB, and 20-F, and proposed form N-CSR under the Exchange Act and the Investment Company Act of 1940, regarding disclosure of issuer repurchases.

2. The Commission will consider whether to propose new rule 3a-8 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 that would provide a nonexclusive safe

⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).

harbor from the definition of investment company for certain *bona fide* research and development companies.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 942-7070.

Dated: November 19, 2002.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-29821 Filed 11-19-02; 4:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-46843; File No. SR-NASD-2002-33]

Self Regulatory Organizations; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change and Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval to Amendment No. 5 to the Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., Relating to Fees for Nasdaq Data Entitlement Packages

November 18, 2002.

I. Introduction

On March 7, 2002, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") through its subsidiary, The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to establish fees for new Nasdaq market data products. On April 25, 2002, Nasdaq filed Amendment No. 1 that entirely replaced the original rule filing.³ On July 29, 2002, Nasdaq filed Amendment No. 2 that entirely replaced the original rule filing and Amendment No. 1.⁴ On August 23, 2002, Nasdaq filed Amendment No. 3 that entirely replaced the original rule filing and Amendment Nos. 1 and 2.⁵ On September 13, 2002,

the Nasdaq submitted Amendment No. 4 that entirely replaced the original rule filing and Amendment Nos. 1, 2, and 3.⁶ The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on September 27, 2002.⁷ The Commission did not receive any comments on the proposed rule change. On October 3, 2002, Nasdaq filed Amendment No. 5 to the proposed rule change.⁸ This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended, and notices and grants accelerated approval to Amendment No. 5.

II. Description of the Proposal

Nasdaq proposes to amend NASD rule 7010 to establish fees for new Nasdaq data entitlement packages. In its rule filings regarding SuperMontage,⁹ Nasdaq described its new data feeds and products: the Nasdaq Prime data feed, which will provide the new data for a Nasdaq entitlement package called "TotalView," and the Aggregate Depth at Price ("ADAP") data feed, which will provide the new data entitlement packages called "DepthView" and "PowerView".¹⁰

A. TotalView

TotalView will provide, on a real-time basis: (1) All individual attributable quote/order information at the five best price levels displayed by the Nasdaq SuperMontage system; (2) the aggregate size of all unattributed quotes or orders at each of the top five price levels, on both sides of the market, that are in the SuperMontage system; (3) the aggregate attributable and unattributable quotes and orders at each of the top five price levels, on both sides of the market, that are in the SuperMontage system; (4) the quote and order data found in the Nasdaq Quotation Dissemination

⁶ See Letter from Mary M. Dunbar, Deputy General Counsel, Nasdaq, to Katherine A. England, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated September 13, 2002.

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46521 (September 20, 2002), 67 FR 61179 ("notice").

⁸ See Letter from Mary M. Dunbar, Deputy General Counsel, Nasdaq, to Katherine A. England, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated October 3, 2002 ("Amendment No. 5"). In Amendment No. 5, Nasdaq corrected grammatical errors in the rule language text of the proposed rule change; amended a footnote to the rule language text to state that Nasdaq itself is a distributor of its data feed(s); and added a footnote to rule 7010(q)(2)(A) stating that Nasdaq is a distributor of its data feed(s) and will execute a Nasdaq distributor agreement and pay the distributor charge.

⁹ These rule filings were approved by the Commission in Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 43863 (January 19, 2001), 66 FR 8020 (January 26, 2001) and 45790 (April 19, 2002), 67 FR 21007 (April 29, 2002).

¹⁰ As described further below, PowerView includes data from ADAP and the NQDS data feed.

Service ("NQDS")¹¹ data feed, including the best attributed quotation from each Nasdaq participant, and (5) the Nasdaq Inside Price. Nasdaq proposes to charge distributors¹² of TotalView \$7500.00 per month. In addition, Nasdaq proposes to charge \$150.00 per month per controlled device.¹³ According to Nasdaq, TotalView will use significantly more bandwidth than any Nasdaq data entitlement to date. In addition, Nasdaq believes that this data product is highly specialized and thus has not proposed a non-professional fee at this time.

B. DepthView

DepthView will provide the aggregated size at each of the top five price levels, both on the bid and the ask, within the Nasdaq SuperMontage system. Nasdaq proposes to charge \$50.00 per month for each controlled device and \$25.00 per month for each controlled device of a non-professional.¹⁴ According to Nasdaq,

¹¹ The NQDS data feed currently consists of: (1) Real-time quotes for each Market Maker and Electronic Communication Network ("ECN") in NASDAQ National Market and SmallCap issues; (2) real-time best bid or offer ("BBO") quotes for each regional UTP exchange that quotes in NASDAQ-listed issues; and (3) real-time National BBO quote appendages for NASDAQ National Market and SmallCap issues. Telephone conversation between Eleni Constantine, Associate General Counsel, Office of General Counsel, Nasdaq and Susie Cho, Special Counsel, Division, Commission, September 19, 2002.

¹² Nasdaq proposes that a "distributor" be defined as any firm that receives a Nasdaq data feed directly from Nasdaq or indirectly through another vendor and then distributes it either internally or externally. Further, Nasdaq proposes that all distributors execute a Nasdaq distributor agreement. Nasdaq itself is a distributor if its data feeds. Accordingly it must execute an agreement and pay the distributor fee.

¹³ Nasdaq proposes that a "controlled device" be defined as any device that a distributor of the Nasdaq Data Entitlement Package(s) permits to: (a) Access the information in the Nasdaq Data Entitlement Package(s); or (b) communicate with the distributor so as to cause the distributor to access the information in the Nasdaq Data Entitlement Package. If a controlled device is part of an electronic network between computers used for investment, trading or order routing activities, the burden will be on the distributor to demonstrate that the particular controlled device should not have to pay for an entitlement. For example, in some display systems the distributor gives the end user a choice to see the data or not a user that chooses not to see it would not be charged. Similarly, in a non-display system, users of controlled devices may have a choice of basic or advanced computerized trading or order routing services, where only the advanced version uses the information. Customers of the basic service would be excluded from the entitlement requirement.

¹⁴ Nasdaq proposes that a "non-professional" be defined as a natural person who is neither: (a) Registered or qualified in any capacity with the Commission, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, any state securities agency, any securities exchange or association, or any commodities or futures contract market or association; (b) engaged as an "investment advisor"

Continued

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Letter from Mary M. Dunbar, Deputy General Counsel, Nasdaq, to Katherine A. England, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Commission, dated April 25, 2002.

⁴ See Letter from Mary M. Dunbar, Deputy General Counsel, Nasdaq, to Katherine A. England, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated July 26, 2002.

⁵ See Letter from Mary M. Dunbar, Deputy General Counsel, Nasdaq, to Katherine A. England, Assistant Director, Division, Commission, dated August 22, 2002.