

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), this notice announces the Agricultural Marketing Service's (AMS) intention to request an extension for a currently approved information collection for Fruit and Vegetable Market News.

**DATES:** Comments received by January 7, 2003 will be considered.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS:** Contact Terry C. Long, Chief; Fruit and Vegetable Market News Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS-USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Stop-0238, Washington, DC 20250-0238; Telephone: (202) 720-2175, Fax: (202) 720-0547. All comments will be available for public inspection at this address during the hours of 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* Fruit and Vegetable Market News.

*OMB Number:* 0581-0006.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* April 30, 2003.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* Collection and dissemination of information for fruit, vegetable and ornamental production and to facilitate trading by providing a price base used by producers, wholesalers, and retailers to market product.

The Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621-*et seq.*), section 203(g) directs and authorizes the collection and dissemination of marketing information including adequate outlook information, on a market area basis, for the purpose of anticipating and meeting consumer requirements, aiding in the maintenance of farm income and to bring about a balance between production and utilization.

The fruit and vegetable industry provides information on a voluntary basis, and is gathered through confidential telephone and face-to-face interviews by market reporters. Reporters request supplies, demand, and prices of over 400 fresh fruit, vegetable, nut ornamental, and other specialty crops.

The fruit and vegetable market news reports are used by academia, but are primarily used by the fruit, vegetable and ornamental trade, which includes packers, processors, brokers, retailers, and producers. The fruit and vegetable industry requested that the Department

of Agriculture issue price and supply market reports for commodities of regional, national and international significance in order to assist them in making immediate production and marketing decisions and as a guide to the amount of product in the supply channel.

Many government agencies use the reports to make their market outlook projections. Data from these reports is included in the information forwarded to the Secretary's office and staff, as needed, to keep them apprised of the current market conditions and movement of fruit, vegetable, and ornamental commodities in the United States. Economists at most major agricultural colleges and universities use the reports to make both short and long term market projections. The data is used extensively by consulting firms and private economists to aid them in determining available supplies and current pricing.

The information is collected, compiled, and disseminated by an impartial third party, in a manner which protects the confidentiality of the reporter. Further, since the Government is a purchaser of fruits and vegetables, a system to monitor the collection and reporting of data is needed.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .033 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Fruit, vegetable, and ornamental industry, or other for-profit businesses, individuals or households, farms.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 18,347.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 200.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 120,964.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to: Terry C. Long, Chief, Fruit and Vegetable Market News Branch, Fruit

and Vegetable Programs, AMS-USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Stop-0238, Washington, DC 20250-0238.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 4, 2002.

**Kenneth C. Clayton,**

*Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 02-28425 Filed 11-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### North Fork Eel Grazing Allotments EIS—Six Rivers National Forest

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** The Six Rivers National Forest will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal to authorize grazing 591 cow-calf pairs on five allotments encompassing approximately 72,558 acres of National Forest System lands in the North Fork Eel River Watershed in Trinity County, California. The allotments within the analysis area include Zenia, Hoaglin, Soldier Creek, Long Ridge and Van Horn. Small portions of the latter three allotments extend into adjacent watersheds, for which separate environmental analyses would be conducted. They are located in all or portions of the following townships: T2SR6E, T2SR7E, T3SR6E, T3SR7E, T3SR8E, T4S6E, T4S7E, T4SR8E, T5SR6E, T5SR7E, Humboldt Meridian; T25NR12W, Mount Diablo Meridian.

The purposes of the proposal are to authorize grazing in the five cattle allotments under a strategy that protects heritage and natural resource values and maintains the biological diversity of rangelands. If approved, the Six Rivers National Forest would authorize grazing through term grazing permits for up to 10 years. The EIS will be designed to satisfy the requirements of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 and implementing regulations (43 CFR 2310.1).

**DATES:** Comments concerning the scope of the analysis must be received on or before 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. The draft environmental impact statement is expected in March 2003 and the final environmental impact statement is expected in June 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to S. E., "Lou" Woltering, Forest Supervisor, Six Rivers National Forest, 1330 Bayshore Way, Eureka, CA 95501-3834. For further information, mail correspondence to Ruben Escatell, EIS Team Leader, Mad River Ranger District, Star Route Box 300, Bridgeville, CA 95526. A public meeting scheduled for December 3, 2002 will be held at the Mad River Community Hall located at 155-C Van Duzen Road, Mad River, CA 95552. Comments may be mailed electronically to [rescatell@fs.fed.us](mailto:rescatell@fs.fed.us).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ruben Escatell or Clara Bambauer Cross, EIS Team Leaders at (707) 574-6233.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Purpose and Need for Action**

The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the grazing management on five allotments within the North Fork Eel River watershed and to determine the level and conditions of grazing to be authorized on federal lands managed by the Six Rivers National Forest, Mad River Ranger District. The allotments within the project area are Hoaglin, Long Ridge, Soldier Creek, Van Horn and Zenia. The Six Rivers National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (LRMP) designates the North Fork Eel Watershed as a Key Watershed, part of a system of watersheds that serve as refugia for at-risk stocks of anadromous salmonids and resident fish species. Land management allocations across the analysis area include Matrix, Late-successional Reserve, Riparian Reserves, Wilderness and Wild River.

Allotment Management Plans (AMP's) developed from this analysis would meet resource protection and enhancement goals in the Six Rivers National Forest LRMP, while protecting outstandingly remarkable values associated with the segment of the river designated as Wild under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (1968). These goals and values include the following:

- Maintenance of water quality for aquatic ecosystems, particularly anadromous fish.
- Maintenance of rangeland biologic diversity and productivity.
- Protection of heritage resources.
- Protection of habitat for wildlife and plant species of concern.
- Maintenance of values associated with inclusive Wilderness and Wild River designations.
- Maintenance of economic stability for the local community that relies on public rangelands.
- Fulfillment of a trust responsibility to the Round Valley Indian Tribes to manage grazing activities and policies

so as to not adversely impact tribal trust properties and rights downriver of the analysis area.

A number of laws, including the Multiple-Use Sustained Yield Act (1960), the Wilderness Act (1964), the California State Wilderness Act (1984), the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act (1974), the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (1976), and the National Forest Management Act (1976), provide direction for grazing on public lands. The Six Rivers National Forest LRMP contains provisions to implement this direction.

**Proposed Action**

The Forest Service proposes to authorize grazing for 591 cow-calf pairs on National Forest Systems lands on five cattle allotments within the North Fork Eel River watershed and prepare Allotment Management Plans to incorporate the elements included within the resulting decision. Grazing practices, and construction or restoration of range improvements, would be prescribed to protect aquatic systems, water quality, anadromous fish habitat, improve livestock distribution, and enhance rangeland health and biodiversity.

**Responsible Official**

S.E. "Lou" Woltering, Forest Supervisor, Six Rivers National Forest, USDA Forest Service, 1330 Bayshore Way, Eureka, CA 95501-3834, is the Responsible Official for any decision to authorize grazing and manage rangelands in the five cattle allotments within the North Fork Eel River watershed on National Forest system lands. He will document his decisions and rationale in a Record of Decision.

**Nature of Decision To Be Made**

The Forest Supervisor will make the following decision: whether or not to authorize cattle grazing in allotments within the North Fork Eel River watershed, and if so, the terms and conditions required for the term grazing permits and AMP's.

**Scoping Process**

The public is encouraged to take part in the scoping process and is encouraged to visit with Forest Service officials at any time during the analysis and prior to the decision. The Forest Service will be seeking information, comments and assistance from Federal, State and local agencies and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in, or affected by, the proposed action. While public participation in this analysis is welcome

at any time, comments received within 30 days of the publication of this notice will be especially useful in the preparation of the Draft EIS. A public meeting associated with the project will be held to gain a better understanding of public issues and concerns. The meeting will be held in Mad River, California at the Mad River Community Hall on December 3, 2002 from 6 to 8 p.m.

Information from the meeting will be used in preparation of the draft and final EIS. The scoping process will include identifying: potential issues, significant issues to be analyzed in depth, alternatives to the proposed action, and potential environmental effects of the proposal and alternatives.

**Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review**

A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if

comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement.

Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: October 31, 2002.

**S.E. "Lou" Woltering,**

*Forest Supervisor, Six Rivers National Forest.*  
[FR Doc. 02-28458 Filed 11-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** Rural Telephone Bank, USDA.

**ACTION:** Privatization committee meeting.

**TIME AND DATE:** 9 a.m., Thursday, November 14, 2002.

**PLACE:** Conference Room 104-A, Jamie L. Whitten Federal Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 12th & Jefferson Drive, SW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED:** The business advisor will report on the status of current privatization projects.

**ACTION:** Staff Briefing for the Board of Directors.

**TIME AND DATE:** 2 p.m., Thursday, November 14, 2002.

**PLACE:** Conference Room 104-A, Jamie L. Whitten Federal Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 12th & Jefferson Drive, SW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED:**

1. Current telecommunications industry issues.
2. Administrative issues.

**ACTION:** Board of Directors Meeting.

**TIME AND DATE:** 9 a.m., Friday, November 15, 2002.

**PLACE:** Conference Room 104-A, Jamie L. Whitten Federal Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 12th & Jefferson Drive, SW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The following matters have been placed on the agenda for the Board of Directors meeting:

1. Call to order.
2. Report on board election results.
3. Oath of office for new board members.
4. Election of board officers:  
Chairperson, Vice Chair, Secretary, and Treasurer.
5. Action on Minutes of the August 15, 2002, board meeting.
6. Secretary's Report on loans approved in FY 2002.
7. Treasurer's Report.
8. Privatization Committee Report.
9. Consideration of resolution to reestablish the Privatization Committee.
10. Governor's Remarks.
11. Establish dates and locations for Year 2003 board meeting.
12. Adjournment.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:**

Roberta D. Purcell, Assistant Governor, Rural Telephone Bank, (202) 720-9554.

Dated: November 1, 2002.

**Hilda Gay Legg,**

*Governor, Rural Telephone Bank.*

[FR Doc. 02-28673 Filed 11-6-02; 12:31 pm]

**BILLING CODE X3410-15-P**

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

### Procurement List; Proposed Addition

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed Addition to Procurement List—Change of Nonprofit Agency.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee is republishing a proposed addition to the Procurement List for a service to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities because of a change in the nonprofit agency proposed to provide the service.

*Comments Must Be Received On or Before:* November 22, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3259.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sheryl D. Kennerly, (703) 603-7740.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The nonprofit agency identified in the

original **Federal Register** notice (67 FR 58015, September 13, 2002) has been replaced by another nonprofit agency, Peckham Vocational Industries. We are extending the comment period on the proposed addition to the Procurement List to provide an opportunity for interested parties to comment on this change or to revise previously submitted comments.

This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a) (2) and 41 CFR 51-2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions.

If the Committee approves the proposed addition, the entity of the Federal Government identified in the notice will be required to procure the service listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. If approved, the action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the service to the Government.
2. If approved, the action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the service to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the service proposed for addition to the Procurement List. Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

The following service is proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

#### *Service*

*Service Type/Location:* Personal Environmental Protection & Survival Equipment Warehousing and Distribution Service. U.S. Army Natick Research Development & Engineering Center, Natick, Massachusetts.

*NPA:* Peckham Vocational Industries, Inc., Lansing, Michigan.

*Contract Activity:* U.S. Army Natick Soldier Center, Natick, Massachusetts.

**Sheryl D. Kennerly,**

*Director, Information Management.*

[FR Doc. 02-28552 Filed 11-7-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6353-01-P**