CIG's Drennan Road Meter Station in Colorado Springs, Colorado.

CIG states that the proposed facilities will provide Valley Line customers with 50,000 Dth per day of flexibility during the summer season and an additional 42,150 Dth per day of incremental capacity. CIG estimates that the cost of the proposed Summer-time Enhancement Project facilities will be \$9,753,100 and that cost of the Valley Line 2003 Expansion Project will be \$13,237,800 for a total cost of \$22,990,900. CIG states that it will not initially seek any rate recovery for the costs associated with the Summer-time Enhancement Project. CIG states that it seeks rolled-in rate treatment for the costs associated with the Valley Line 2003 Expansion Project facilities.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Robert T. Tomlinson, Director, Regulatory Affairs, Colorado Interstate Gas Company, P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944 at (719) 520– 3788 or by fax at (719) 667–7534 or Judy Heineman, Vice President and General Counsel, Colorado Interstate Gas Company, P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944 at (719) 520– 4829 or by fax at (719) 520–4898.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before November 21, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on nonenvironmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project.

This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the internet in lieu of paper. *See*, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 02–28439 Filed 11–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP03-44-000]

Enbridge Pipelines (UTOS) L.L.C.; Notice of Filing of Offer of Settlement

November 1, 2002.

Take notice that on October 28, 2002, Enbridge Pipelines (UTOS) L.L.C. (UTOS), pursuant to Rule 602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385–602 (2002), filed an Offer of Settlement (Settlement) in the captioned proceedings.

UTOS states that the Settlement resolves UTOS's general Section 4(e) rate filing obligation presently set for January 2, 2003, pursuant to the Commission's October 8, 1998 Notice of Extension of Time in Docket Nos. RP94– 161–000, *et al.* UTOS further states that shippers representing approximately 91% of its present system throughput either did not oppose or took no position relative to the Settlement.

According to UTOS, under the Settlement, it will not be required to make such general rate filing, thus permitting its currently effective rates to remain in effect until subsequently changed. As a result, UTOS says, the Settlement promotes administrative efficiencies by providing rate certainty for all concerned, while at the same time avoiding the time and expense that would otherwise be attendant to a general Section 4(e) rate filing.

Pursuant to Rule 602(f)(2) Initial Comments on the Settlement are due by November 18, 2002, and Reply Comments are due by November 27, 2002.

This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's website at *http:// www.ferc.gov* using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or tollfree at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. *See*, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 02–28447 Filed 11–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-220-013]

Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership; Notice of Negotiated Rate Agreement

November 1, 2002.

Take notice that on October 30, 2002, Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership (Great Lakes) filed for disclosure, a transportation service agreement pursuant to Great Lakes' Rate Schedule FT entered into by Great Lakes and Nexen Marketing U.S.A. Inc. (Nexen) (FT Service Agreement). The FT Service Agreement being filed reflects a negotiated rate arrangement between Great Lakes and Nexen commencing November 1, 2002.

Great Lakes states that the FT Service Agreement is being filed to implement a negotiated rate contract as required by both Great Lakes' negotiated rate tariff provisions and the Commission's Statement of Policy on Alternatives to Traditional Cost-of-Service Ratemaking for Natural Gas Pipelines and Regulation of Negotiated Transportation Services of Natural Gas Pipelines, issued January 31, 1996, at Docket Nos. RM95–6–000 and RM96–7–000.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's rules and regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's website at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link.

Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or tollfree at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. *See*, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 02–28449 Filed 11–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP02-533-000]

Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission, LLC; Notice of Request for Waiver

November 1, 2002.

Take notice that on September 4, 2002, Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission LLC (KMIGT) tendered for filing a request for a one-time waiver of certain notice and timing requirements of Section 29.a of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. I–B, relating to the assessment of an Operational Flow Order (OFO) penalty to Kansas Gas Service, a Division of OneOk, Inc.

KMIGT states that copies of the filing have been served upon transportation and storage shippers and affected state regulatory bodies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before November 8, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's website at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the

last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or tollfree at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. *See*, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 02–28446 Filed 11–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL03-12-000]

Kinder Morgan Michigan, LLC, Complainant, v. Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

October 18, 2002.

Take notice that on October 15, 2002, Kinder Morgan Michigan, L.L.C. (KMM) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a complaint under section 206 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 824e (1994), and section 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 206, against Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC (METC) requesting that the Commission find that the terms and conditions of KMM's Generator Interconnection and Operating Agreement with METC violate Commission policy and precedent, and are unjust and unreasonable.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. The answer to the complaint and all comments, interventions or protests must be filed on or before October 25, 2002. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on