Dated: October 19, 2006.

#### Angela Somma,

Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E6–17892 Filed 10–24–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–8

#### PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### **Deposit of Biological Materials**

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before December 26, 2006

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- E-mail: Susan.Brown@uspto.gov. Include "0651-0022 comment" in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: 571–273–0112, marked to the attention of Susan Brown.
- Mail: Susan K. Brown, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Architecture, Engineering and Technical Services, Data Architecture and Services Division, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.
- Federal e-Rulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information should be directed to the attention of Robert J. Spar, Director, Office of Patent Legal Administration, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450; by telephone at 571–272–7700; or by e-mail at bob.spar@uspto.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

The deposit of biological materials as part of a patent application is required by 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2) and outlined in 37 CFR Chapter 1, Subpart G, 1.801-1.809. Every patent must contain a description of the invention sufficient to enable a person (knowledgeable in the relevant science) to make and use the invention as specified by 35 U.S.C. 112. The term biological includes material that is capable of self-replication either directly or indirectly. When the invention involves a biological material, sometimes words alone cannot sufficiently describe how to make and use the invention in a reproducible or repeatable manner. In such cases, the required biological material must either be known and readily (and continually) available, or be deposited in a suitable depository to meet the enablement and written description requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112.

In cases where a novel microorganism is involved, the USPTO traditionally requires the deposit of a sample with a recognized patent depository in order to meet the above disclosure requirements. When a deposit is necessary, the USPTO collects information to determine whether the depositor is in compliance with the patent statute. This includes a statement proving notification to the interested public on where to obtain samples of the deposits. A viability statement showing that the biological material was tested by the depository, and is a viable or acceptable deposit, must also be submitted to the USPTO.

In order to meet and satisfy requirements for international

patenting, all countries signing the Budapest Treaty must recognize the deposit of biological material with any International Depository Authority (IDA).

#### II. Method of Collection

By mail, facsimile, or hand delivery to the USPTO when the applicant or agent files a patent application with the USPTO or submits subsequent papers during the prosecution of the application to the USPTO.

#### III. Data

OMB Number: 0651–0022. Form Number(s): None. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Households and individuals; business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions; and the Federal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3,500 responses per year for deposited materials and 1 per year for depository approval.

Estimated Time per Response: The USPTO estimates that it will take approximately 1 hour per application for deposited materials and 5 hours per application for depository approval.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours: 3,505 hours per year.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden: \$106,520 per year to submit the information to the USPTO. Using the professional hourly rate of \$30 for a senior administrative assistant, the USPTO estimates \$105,000 per year for salary costs associated with collecting and submitting the necessary deposit information. Using the professional hourly rate of \$304 for associate attorneys in private firms, the USPTO estimates \$1,520 per year for salary costs associated with the average depository seeking approval to store biological material.

Item	Estimated time for response	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual bur- den hours
Deposited Materials Depository Approval	1 hour	3,500 1	3,500 5
Total		3,501	3,505

Estimated Total Annual (Non-hour) Respondent Cost Burden: \$9,850,929. There are no maintenance costs or filing fees associated with this information collection. There are, however, capital start-up and mailing costs.

Depositories charge fees to depositors; all depositories charge about the same rates for their services. For example, the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), one of the world's leading biological supply houses and recognized patent depositories, offers comprehensive patent services for \$2,500 per deposit. Most deposits received from outside the United States require an import permit from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Also

required is a Public Health Service (PHS) permit, available from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), for importation of agents infectious to humans. There is no extra charge for this permit application processing. The USPTO estimates that the total non-hour respondent cost

burden in the form of capital start-up costs amounts to \$8,750,000.

In addition, this collection does have mailing costs. Biological deposits are generally shipped to the depository Domestic Overnight by Federal Express (FedEx) and, since depositors are urged to supply frozen or freeze dried material, it must be packed in dry ice, according to a representative from the Patent Department at ATCC. Dry ice itself is considered dangerous goods and requires special packaging. Additional

FedEx special handling charges of \$60 per shipment apply for temperature-sensitive biological material and also for the dry ice. An average cost for shipping by FedEx Domestic Overnight is estimated to be \$75. If the shipment requires pick-up by FedEx, there is an additional charge of \$2.50. Special packaging is also required for these shipments. According to DG Supplies Inc., a supplier of infectious and diagnostic goods packaging, frozen infectious shippers are estimated to cost

\$177.05 per package for specimen shipments requiring refrigeration or dry ice. Therefore, mailing costs average \$314.55 per shipment, for a total cost to all the respondents of \$1,100,025. The postage cost for a depository seeking recognition is estimated to be \$4.05, sent to the USPTO by priority mail through the United States Postal Service. Therefore, the USPTO estimates that the total non-hour respondent cost burden in the form of postage costs amounts to \$1,100,929.

Item	Responses	Postage costs (\$)	Total non-hour cost burden
	(a)	(b)	$(a) \times (b)$
Deposited Materials	3,500 1	\$314.55 4.05	\$1,100,925.00 4.00
Total	3,501		1,100,929.00

The USPTO estimates that the total non-hour respondent cost burden for this collection in the form of capital start-up costs (\$8,750,000) and mailing costs (\$1,100,929) amounts to \$9,850,929.

#### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 19, 2006.

# Susan K. Brown,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Architecture, Engineering and Technical Services, Data Architecture and Services Division. [FR Doc. E6–17855 Filed 10–24–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0114]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Right of First Refusal of Employment

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000–0114).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat is submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning right of first refusal of employment. A request for public comments was published in the Federal Register at 71 FR 38137 on July 5, 2006. No comments were received.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and

clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before November 24, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments including suggestions for reducing this burden to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VIR), Room 4035 1800 F Street, NW, Washington, DC 20405.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Michael Jackson, Contract Policy Division, GSA, at (202) 208–4949.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## A. Purpose

Right of First Refusal of Employment is a regulation which establishes policy regarding adversely affected or separated Government employees resulting from the conversion from inhouse performance to performance by contract. The policy will enable these employees to have an opportunity to work for the contractor who is awarded the contract.

The information gathered will be used by the Government to gain knowledge of which employees, adversely affected or separated as a result of the contract award, have gained employment with the contractor within 90 days after contract performance begins.

# B. Annual Reporting Burden

Number of Respondents: 200. Responses Per Respondent: 1.