

Community Disaster Loans; 97.031, Cora Brown Fund Program; 97.032, Crisis Counseling; 97.033, Disaster Legal Services Program; 97.034, Disaster Unemployment Assistance (DUA); 97.046, Fire Management Assistance; 97.048, Individual and Household Housing; 97.049, Individual and Household Disaster Housing Operations; 97.050, Individual and Household Program—Other Needs; 97.036, Public Assistance Grants; 97.039, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program.)

R. David Paulison,

Acting Director, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. E6-1060 Filed 1-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-10-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5044-N-03]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comment: Moving to Work Plans and Reports

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork

Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* March 31, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name/or OMB Control number and should be sent to: Aneita Waites, Reports Liaison Officer, Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 4116, Washington, DC 20410-5000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Aneita Waites, (202) 708-0713, extension 4114, for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents. (This is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended). This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the

accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

The notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Moving to Work Plans and Reports.

OMB Control Number: 2577-0216.

Description of the Need for the Information and Proposed Use: Those Housing Agencies participating in the Moving to Work Demonstration program (MTW) that have implemented specific aspects of the demonstration are required to submit MTW plans and reports instead of traditional Public Housing plans. The specific information outlined for the MTW plans and reports is based on requirements from the statute.

Agency Form Number: HUD-50900.

Members of the Affected Public: State or local government.

Estimation of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents:

Number of respondents	Frequency of submission	Hours of responses	Burden hours
16	2 annual	40	1280

Status of the Proposed Information Collection: Reinstatement of previously approved collection.

Authority: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: January 19, 2006.

Bessy Kong,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy, Program, and Legislative Initiatives.

[FR Doc. E6-1131 Filed 1-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement, Chickasaw National Recreation Area, Oklahoma

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Termination of the Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan, Chickasaw National Recreation Area.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) is terminating preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the General Management Plan, Chickasaw National Recreation Area, Oklahoma. A Notice of Intent to prepare the EIS for the Chickasaw National Recreation Area General Management Plan was published in Vol. 67, No. 184,

of the September 23, 2002, **Federal Register** (59530). The National Park Service has since determined that an Environmental Assessment (EA) rather than an EIS is the appropriate environmental documentation for the general management plan.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The general management plan will establish the overall direction for the national recreation area, setting broad management goals for managing the area over the next 15 to 20 years. The plan was originally scoped as an EIS. However, few public comments were received in the scoping process. Although several concerns were expressed during the public scoping process, particularly on the future of the recreation area's water resources, no issues were identified for the general management plan that have the potential for controversial impacts.

In the general management planning process the NPS planning team developed three alternatives for the national recreation area, none of which would result in substantial changes in the operation and management of the area. The two action alternatives primarily focus on maintaining and protecting resources, upgrading several existing visitor facilities, addressing park maintenance/operations needs, implementing selected treatments from the recreation area's recent cultural landscape report, and conducting several future studies. The preliminary impact analysis of the alternatives revealed no major (significant) effects on the human environment nor impairment of park resources and values. Most of the impacts to the recreation area's resources and values were negligible to minor in magnitude.

For these reasons the NPS determined the appropriate National Environmental Policy Act documentation for the general management plan is an EA.

DATES: The draft general management plan/EA is expected to be distributed for a 30 day public comment period in the summer/fall of 2006 and a decision is expected to be made in the fall of 2006. The NPS will notify the public by mail, Web site, and other means, and will include information on where and how to obtain a copy of the EA, how to comment on the EA, and the length of the public comment period.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Connie Rudd, Superintendent, Chickasaw National Recreation Area; 1008 W. 2nd, Sulphur, OK 73086, telephone: (580) 622-2161, extension 1-200; e-mail: connie_rudd@nps.gov.

Dated: January 5, 2006.

Michael D. Snyder,

Director, Intermountain Region.

[FR Doc. E6-1101 Filed 1-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

AGENCY: National Park Service.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, Elkmont Historic District General Management Plan Amendment.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and National Park Service (NPS) policy in Director's Order Number 2 (Park Planning) and Director's Order Number 12 (Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact

Analysis, and Decisionmaking) the NPS announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/General Management Plan Amendment (Draft EIS/GMPA) for the Elkmont Historic District within Great Smoky Mountains National Park. The authority for publishing this notice is contained in 40 CFR 1506.6.

The document provides a framework for management, use, and development options for the historic district by the NPS for the next 15 to 20 years. The document describes seven management alternatives for consideration, including a no-action alternative that is tiered to the existing Park GMP, and analyzes the environmental impacts of those alternatives. The Elkmont Historic District is located within the Little River Watershed in Sevier County, Tennessee, approximately 6 miles from the Sugarlands Visitor Center and approximately 8 miles from the city of Gatlinburg, Tennessee.

DATES: There will be a 90-day comment period beginning with the Environmental Protection Agency's publication of its notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Draft EIS/GMPA are available by contacting the Park Superintendent at Great Smoky Mountains National Park, 107 Park Headquarters Road, Gatlinburg, Tennessee 37738. An electronic copy of the Draft EIS/GMPA is available on the Internet at <http://www.nps.gov/grsm/pphtml/documents.html>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NPS held public and stakeholder meetings and consulting party meetings as outlined in 36 CFR 800.3 to gather advice and feedback on desired outcomes for the future management of the Elkmont Historic District. The meetings assisted the NPS in developing alternatives for managing the cultural and natural resources, creating interpretive and educational programs and ensuring traditional uses are maintained. Responses from the meetings were incorporated into the alternative described in the plan.

The No-Action Alternative is tiered to the GMP and calls for all structures to be removed and building sites to be returned to a natural state. Alternative A is similar to the No-Action Alternative but proposes active restoration of natural resources upon removal of all structures. Alternative B calls for the retention of 12 buildings for use as a museum community, and the Appalachian Clubhouse for use as a day use facility. Alternative C would retain 17 buildings for use as a museum community, including one cabin

granted to a figure prominent in the creation of the National Park, and the Appalachian Clubhouse for use as a day use facility. Alternative D adds to the number of buildings retained and uses described in Alternative C by retaining 18 cabins for use as a museum community, including an additional building associated with a prominent figure from the Lumber Company that operated during the period of significance. Additionally, six cabins would be retained for overnight administrative use by visiting scientists participating in Park research programs, also included would be two options for the Wonderland Hotel and Annex, by either removing both or reconstructing the hotel and rehabilitating the annex for Park curatorial use for cultural resource collections. Alternative E would retain 17 buildings for use as a museum community and the Appalachian Clubhouse for use as a day use picnic facility. Additionally, six buildings would be retained for overnight use by visiting scientists as described in Alternative D and seven cabins would be retained for overnight use by visiting public operated by a private concessionaire. Two options for the Wonderland Hotel and Annex include either removing both or reconstructing the hotel and rehabilitating the annex for lodging by the visiting public also operated by a private concessionaire. Alternative F proposes retaining 17 buildings for use as a museum community and the Appalachian Clubhouse for use as a day use facility. In this alternative, 37 buildings would be retained for lodging by visiting public operated by a private concessionaire. Two options for the Wonderland Hotel and Annex include either removing both or reconstructing the hotel and rehabilitating the annex for lodging by the visiting public also operated by a private concessionaire. Alternative C is both the environmentally preferred and the agency preferred alternative.

Following the public comment period, all comments will be available for public review during regular business hours. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the rulemaking record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the rulemaking record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your