

petitioner alleges that the major declining customer of the subject firm which manufactures gloves decreased purchases of the woven textile fabrics from Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant #3, Newton, North Carolina because the customer has been importing the finished glove products from abroad. The petitioner states that the sales and production of woven textile fabrics at the subject firm have been negatively impacted by increasing presence of foreign imports of gloves on the market, thus workers of the subject firm should be eligible for TAA.

In order to establish import impact, the Department must consider imports that are like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm. Imports of gloves cannot be considered like or directly competitive with woven textile fabrics produced by Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant #3, Newton, North Carolina and imports of gloves are not relevant in this investigation.

The petitioner also alleges that production of woven textile fabrics has been negatively impacted by "problems with yarn sourcing", a component in the manufacturing process of woven fabrics. The petitioner provided the names of the yarn suppliers who were negatively impacted either by the shift in production of yarn abroad or increased imports of yarn.

The fact that subject firm's suppliers shifted their production abroad or were import impacted is relevant to this investigation if determining whether workers of the subject firm are eligible for TAA based on the secondary downstream producer of trade certified primary firm impact. For certification on the basis of the workers' firm being a secondary downstream producer, the subject firm must purchase articles for further production from a trade certified firm which in its turn has been impacted by shift in production to/increase in imports from Canada or Mexico.

The investigation revealed that the subject firm had only one supplier of yarn who was under TAA certification during the relevant time period. However this supplier accounted for less than one percent of subject firm's total purchases of yarn and a loss of business with this company did not contribute importantly to determine a negative trade impact on the subject firm. The rest of the companies which supplied yarn to the subject firm are not certified for TAA. Therefore, the subject firm workers are not eligible under secondary impact as a downstream producer.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of May, 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-8777 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,265]

Corinthian Inc., Sewing Department, Boonesville, MS; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 24, 2006, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers of Corinthian Inc., Sewing Department, Boonesville, Mississippi.

The worker group is covered by a current certification. The certification for TA-W-58,644, Corinthian, Inc., Sewing Department, Corinth, Mississippi, was amended on May 5, 2006, to include workers of Corinthian, Inc., Sewing Department, Boonesville, Mississippi. The workers were not separately identifiable between plants.

Consequently, further investigation in this petition would serve no purpose and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of May 2006.

Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-8774 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,390]

Eaton Corporation; Phelps, NY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was

initiated on May 12, 2006 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Eaton Corporation, Phelps, New York.

The petitioners have requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of May 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-8773 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,367]

Forney Corporation, a Division of United Technologies Corp., Carrollton, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 10, 2006 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Forney Corporation, A Division of United Technologies Corporation, Carrollton, Texas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of May 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-8771 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,055]

New England Confectionery Company (NECCO), Stark Candy Company, Thibodaux, Louisiana; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration of Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

By letter dated April 13, 2006, a company official requested administrative reconsideration in combination with a letter dated April 18, 2006 from the Louisiana Work, Department of Labor regarding Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers of the subject firm. The