burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to: Richard C. Annan, Director, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, STOP 1522, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250–1522. FAX: (202) 720–8435.

*Title:* Request for Release of Lien and/or Approval of Sale.

OMB Control Number: 0572–0041. Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) makes mortgage loans and loan guarantees to electric and telecommunications systems to provide and improve electric and telecommunications service in rural areas pursuant to the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended (7 U.S.C. 901 et seq.,) (RE Act). All current and future capital assets of RUS borrowers are ordinarily mortgaged or pledged to the Federal Government as security for RUS loans. Assets include tangible and intangible utility plant, non-utility property, construction in progress, and materials, supplies, and equipment normally used in a telecommunications system. The RE Act and the various security instruments, e.g., the RUS mortgage, limit the rights of a RUS borrower to dispose of its capital assets. The RUS Form 793, Request for Release of Lien and/or Approval of Sale, allows the telecommunications program borrower to seek agency permission to sell some of its assets. The form collects detailed information regarding the proposed sale of a portion of the borrower's system. RUS telecommunications borrowers fill out the form to request RUS approval in order to sell capital assets.

Estimate of Burden: public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.75 hours per response.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit; not-for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 60.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 165.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Joyce McNeil, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, at (202) 720–0812. FAX: (202) 720–8435. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 17, 2006.

#### James M. Andrew,

Administrator, Rural Utilities Service. [FR Doc. 06–4818 Filed 5–23–06; 8:45 am]

### ANTITRUST MODERNIZATION COMMISSION

#### **Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Antitrust Modernization Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Antitrust Modernization Commission will hold a public meeting on June 7, 2006. The purpose of the meeting is for the Antitrust Modernization Commission to deliberate regarding its report and/or recommendations to Congress and the President.

**DATES:** June 7, 2006, 9:30 a.m. to approximately 5:30 p.m. Interested members of the public may attend. Registration is not required.

ADDRESSES: Federal Trade Commission, Conference Center, 601 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew J. Heimert, Executive Director & General Counsel, Antitrust Modernization Commission: telephone: (202) 233–0701; e-mail: *info@amc.gov*. Mr. Heimert is also the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) for the Antitrust Modernization Commission.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of this meeting is for the Antitrust Modernization Commission to deliberate on its report and/or recommendations to Congress and the President regarding the antitrust laws. The meeting will cover civil remedies, the state action doctrine, and international enforcement issues. The Commission will also conduct other additional business, as necessary. Materials relating to the meeting will be made available on the Commission's Web site (http://www.amc.gov) in advance of the meeting.

The AMC has called this meeting pursuant to its authorizing statute and the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Antitrust Modernization Commission Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107–273, 11054(f), 116 Stat. 1758, 1857; Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App., 10(a)(2); 41 CFR 102–3.150 (2005).

Dated: May 19, 2006.

By direction of Deborah A. Garza, Chair of the Antitrust Modernization Commission. Approved by Designated Federal Officer: Andrew J. Heimert,

Executive Director & General Counsel, Antitrust Modernization Commission. [FR Doc. E6–7939 Filed 5–23–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820-YH-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-886]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on the polyethylene retail carrier bags ("PRCBs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering the period January 26, 2004, through July 31, 2005. Based on the withdrawal of requests for review with respect to certain companies, we are rescinding, in part, this administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 24, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Riggle at (202) 482–0650, Laurel LaCivita at (202) 482–4243 or Matthew Quigley at (202) 482–4551, Import Administration, Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On August 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PRCBs from the PRC. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 70 FR 44085 (August 1, 2005). We received timely requests for review from Crown Polyethylene Products (Int'l) Ltd. ("Crown"), Dongguan Nozawa Plastics and United Power Packaging (collectively "Nozawa"), High Den Enterprises Ltd ("High Den"), Rally Plastics Co., Ltd. ("Rally"), Sea Lake Polyethylene Enterprise Ltd. and Shanghai Glopack, Inc. ("Sea Lake/

Glopack"), and Shanghai New Ai Lian Import and Export Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai New Ai Lian"). Ampac Packaging (Nanjing) Co., ("Ampac"), requested a new shipper review or, alternatively, an administrative review. On September 30, 2005, the Department denied Ampac a new shipper review.

On September 28, 2005, the Department published a notice of the initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of PRCBs from the PRC for the period January 26, 2004, through July 31, 2005. See Notice Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005). On October 25, 2005, the Department initiated an administrative review for Ampac. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 70 FR 61601 (October 25, 2005), as corrected by Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Deferral of Administrative Reviews, 70 FR 72107 (December 1, 2005).

On November 16, 2005, Shanghai New Ai Lian withdrew its request for an administrative review. On November 22, 2005, Rally withdrew its request for an administrative review. On December 27, 2005, Sea Lake/Glopack withdrew their requests for an administrative review. On February 23, 2006, Ampac withdrew its request for a review.

#### Rescission of Review

The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws its request at a later date if the Department determines that it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. Rally, Shanghai New Ai Lian, and Sea Lake/ Glopack all withdrew their requests within the 90-day limit. Therefore, the Department will rescind the review as to these companies. Ampac withdrew its request after the 90-day deadline. However, consistent with the Department's practice, the Department finds it reasonable to extend the withdrawal deadline because the Department has not yet devoted considerable time and resources to this review. See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 70 FR 42032 (July 21, 2005); See also, Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From the

People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 70 FR 44560 (August 3, 2005); and Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China, 70 FR 33733 (June 9, 2005). Further, we find that Ampac's withdrawal does not constitute an abuse of our procedures. Therefore, we are partially rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags from the PRC covering the period January 26, 2004, through July 31, 2005. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions for Sea Lake/ Glopack, Shanghai New Ai Lian, Rally and Ampac directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days of publication of this rescission.

#### **Notification Regarding APOs**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction. This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 17, 2006.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–7965 Filed 5–23–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-533-810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Notice of Intent To Partially Rescind Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce is conducting an

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India for the period February 1, 2005, through January 31, 2006. The Department intends to rescind this review with respect to Viraj Alloys, Ltd., Viraj Forgings, Ltd., Viraj Impoexpo, Ltd., Viraj Smelting, Viraj Profiles, and VSL Wires, Ltd., after concluding that there were no entries of merchandise subject to the order during the period of review.

**DATES:** Effective Date: May 24, 2006. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Holland, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1279.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On February 21, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar ("SSB") from India. See Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, India and Japan, 60 FR 9661 (February 21, 2005). On February 1, 2006, the Department published a notice in the Federal Register providing an opportunity for interested parties to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSB from India for the period of review ("POR") February 1, 2005, through January 31, 2006. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 71 FR 5239 (February 1, 2006). On February 4, 2006, we received a timely request for administrative review from Isibars Limited ("Isibars"). On February 28, 2006, timely review requests were received from Facor Steels Limited ("Facor"); Mukand Limited ("Mukand"); and Carpenter Technology Corporation, Electrallov Company, Crucible Specialty Metals, North American Stainless, Universal Stainless, and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (collectively, the "petitioners"). The petitioners requested an administrative review of the following companies because, according to the request, the petitioners believed these firms were manufacturing and/or exporting the subject merchandise to the United States: the "Viraj Group, including but necessarily limited to Viraj Alloys, Ltd., Viraj Forgings, Ltd., Viraj Ímpoexpo, Ltd., Viraj Smelting, Viraj Profiles, and VSL Wires, Ltd."; Akai Asian; Atlas