

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****[Summary Notice No. PE-2006-14]****Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

**DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before June 13, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments [identified by DOT DMS Docket Number FAA-2001-10967] by any of the following methods:

- Web site: <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the DOT electronic docket site.

- Fax: 1-202-493-2251.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-001.
- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 am and 5 pm, Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://dms.dot.gov> at any time or to Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 am and 5 pm, Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tim Adams (202) 267-8033, Sandy Buchanan-Sumter (202) 267-7271, Shanna Harvey (202) 493-4657, or John Linsenmeyer (202) 267-5174, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation

Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 17, 2006.

**Ida M. Klepper,**

*Acting Director, Office of Rulemaking.*

**Petitions for Exemption**

*Docket No.:* FAA-2001-10967.

*Petitioner:* Experimental Aircraft Association.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, and appendices I and J to part 121.

*Description of Relief Sought:* To permit Experimental Aircraft Association (EAA), to authorize a pilot or an event sponsor (EAA Chapter) to participate in up to six charitable sightseeing events per calendar year based upon special or unique community needs.

[FR Doc. E6-7858 Filed 5-23-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Transit Administration****Preparation of a Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement on East-West Corridor Transit Improvements in Miami-Dade County, FL**

**AGENCIES:** Federal Transit Administration (FTA), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a supplemental draft environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) intend to prepare a Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), for the proposed East-West Transit Corridor Study in Miami-Dade County, Florida, between Florida International University (FIU) and the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) at Miami International Airport (MIA). The SDEIS will evaluate at least three alternatives: a No-Build Alternative; a Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative; a Build Alternative; and any reasonable alternatives uncovered during the public scoping process. Scoping will be accomplished through meetings and correspondence with interested persons, organizations, the general public, Federal, State and local agencies. MDT will create a Coordination Plan to actively include

public and participating agency involvement and comment during the entire NEPA process. The Coordination Plan will be found on the East-West Corridor Web site at <http://www.miamidade.gov/transit>. The purpose of this Notice of Intent is to re-notify interested parties of the intent to prepare the SDEIS and invite participation in the Study. An Advance Notification for the original East-West Corridor Multimodal Project was issued in 1993. The study area being evaluated in this SDEIS traverses the western portion (*i.e.*, FIU to MIC) of the entire East-West Corridor between FIU and the Port of Miami that was studied in the East-West Multimodal Corridor Major Investment Study/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (MIS/DEIS) in 1995 and the East-West Multimodal Corridor Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) in 1998. A Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA)/Minimum Operable Segment (MOS) emerged from this process and was the subject of a Record of Decision jointly issued by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and FTA in 1998. With approval of the People's Transportation Plan (PTP) by Miami-Dade County voters in 2002, along with changes in growth and development along the western portion of the corridor, a redefinition of planned transit investments resulted in the locally proposed alternative. It is the intention of this action to improve mobility in the East-West Corridor.

**DATES:** *Comment Due Date:* Written comments on the scope of the alternatives and impacts to be considered should be sent to Ms. Maria C. Batista, Project Manager by June 30, 2006. See **ADDRESSES** below. *Scoping Meetings:* A Miami-Dade Transit agency coordination meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 7, 2006 from 2 p.m. to 5 p.m. at Florida International University, Miami, Florida. Public scoping meetings will be held on Wednesday, June 7, 2006 from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. at Florida International University, Miami, Florida, and on Tuesday, June 13, 2006 from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. at St. Dominic Catholic Church, Miami, Florida. See **ADDRESSES** below.

**ADDRESSES:** *Written comments* on the project scope should be sent to Ms. Maria C. Batista, Project Manager, Miami-Dade Transit, 111 NW., First Street, Suite 910, Miami, Florida 33128-1970. The fax number is 305.372.6017.

*Scoping meetings* will be held at the following locations:

**Agency Coordination Meeting**

Wednesday, June 7, 2006 from 2 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Florida International University,  
Graham Building, University Park,  
11200 SW 8th Street, Miami, Florida.

#### Public Meetings

Wednesday, June 7, 2006 from 7 p.m.  
to 9 p.m.

Florida International University,  
Graham Building, University Park,  
11200 SW 8th Street, Miami, Florida.  
Tuesday, June 13, 2006 from 7 p.m. to  
9 p.m. St. Dominic Catholic Church,  
Senior Center Hall, 5849 NW 7th Street,  
Miami, Florida.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr.  
Tony Dittmeier, Transportation Program  
Specialist, Federal Transit  
Administration, Atlanta Regional Office,  
(404) 562-3512.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Scoping

The current East-West Transit  
Corridor SDEIS is re-examining  
improved transit service in the portion  
of the East-West Corridor between FIU  
and the MIC at MIA. The MDT and FTA  
invite interested individuals,  
organizations, and Federal, State, and  
local agencies to participate in defining  
the purpose and need for, and refining  
the scope of the East-West Transit  
Corridor SDEIS. Comments should focus  
on identifying any significant social,  
economic, or environmental issues  
related to the proposed alternatives.  
Specific suggestions related to  
alignment variations to be examined  
and issues to be addressed are welcome  
and will be considered in the final  
scope for the study. Scoping comments  
should focus on the issues for analysis.  
Comments may be made at the scoping  
meetings or in writing no later than June  
30, 2006. See **DATES** and **ADDRESSES**  
above for meeting times and locations  
and the address for written comments.  
A scoping information packet is  
available from Ms. Maria C. Batista at  
the address given above or on the MDT  
internet Web page at <http://www.miamidade.gov/transit>. See  
**ADDRESSES** above.

#### II. Description of Study Area and Project Need

The study area is located in central  
Miami-Dade County, Florida, beginning  
at the University Park Campus of FIU  
and ending just past MIA at the  
proposed MIC. The study area covers  
approximately a three-mile by nine-mile  
rectangle, generally bounded by NW  
25th Street on the north; SW 8th Street  
on the south; the Homestead Extension  
of Florida's Turnpike (SR 821) on the  
west; and NW 37th Avenue to the east.  
It encompasses the area 1.5 miles north  
and south of the Dolphin Expressway

(SR 836). The study area includes  
portions of the Cities of Miami,  
Sweetwater, and Doral, as well as areas  
within unincorporated Miami-Dade  
County. This area is highly urbanized  
with the older and denser development  
to the east (*i.e.*, downtown Miami and  
Miami Beach), and the more recent and  
less dense development in the suburbs  
to the west and south.

The proposed transit alternative  
would serve the airport, portions of the  
City of Miami along the Dolphin  
Expressway, the City of Sweetwater, the  
City of Doral and FIU. It would provide  
an additional means of transportation  
within and through the heavily-  
congested East-West Corridor, thereby  
improving accessibility to major activity  
centers in the corridor and in the region  
generally. This would include improved  
mobility between residential suburbs to  
the south and west and the  
employment, cultural, and tourism  
centers to the east such as MIA,  
downtown Miami, the Port of Miami,  
and Miami Beach.

The purpose of and need for pursuing  
additional transit options to address  
mobility and accessibility issues in the  
East-West Corridor is based on the  
following key elements:

- The Miami-Dade Metropolitan  
Planning Organization (MPO), MDT,  
elected officials, and the people of  
Miami-Dade County have shown their  
commitment to major transportation  
capital investment in the corridor  
through officially adopted plans and  
approval of a referendum to commit  
sales tax revenues to fund expansion of  
the Metrorail system in this corridor.
- The roadway network in the  
corridor is heavily congested with many  
segments that have significantly high  
crash rates and unreliable travel  
conditions and times.
- The corridor contains several major  
employment/activity centers, including  
Miami International Airport, the single  
most important economic force and trip  
generator in the region.
- The efficiency of current transit  
service in the corridor, provided  
exclusively by bus, is hampered by the  
same factors that have impaired  
mobility along the congested roadway  
network in the corridor.

- With only one current and two  
planned east-west express bus routes in  
the corridor, current and potential  
transit users in the study area would  
benefit greatly from a higher level of  
transit service.

- Once the planned expansion of the  
Dolphin Expressway is completed, there  
will be essentially no capacity to further  
expand the roadway network in any  
substantial way, either for general

purpose traffic or exclusive transit  
lanes, due to the high cost of acquiring  
property for right-of-way and associated  
adverse environmental impacts and  
community disruption.

- In order to meet projected growth  
and sustain continued economic  
viability within the corridor and the  
region, a major investment in transit is  
needed to meet mobility and  
accessibility needs.

In a region where high capacity  
transportation facilities are primarily  
oriented north-south, the East-West  
Corridor is Miami-Dade County's most  
important and heavily traveled east-  
west route. Much of the growth in  
recent years has occurred in the western  
and southwestern portions of the region,  
including the western portion of the  
East-West Corridor, which has seen  
rapid growth in population, households,  
and employment. The study area  
currently has more than 195,000  
residents in 68,000 households and  
some 180,500 jobs. Official growth  
forecasts indicate that this trend will  
continue with population increasing by  
44,000 (23 percent) by the year 2030 and  
jobs increasing by 60,000 (33 percent).

Several studies have clearly  
demonstrated the need for public  
transportation improvements to  
accommodate the East-West Corridor's  
substantial population growth,  
increasing employment and  
development, and the need for a wider  
range of mobility options to meet rising  
east-west travel demand within and  
through the corridor. Both the Miami-  
Dade MPO's 2030 Long-Range  
Transportation Plan (LRTP) and the  
People's Transportation Plan have  
designated the East-West Corridor as a  
priority corridor for extension of  
Metrorail service. In November 2002,  
the voters of Miami-Dade County  
approved the People's Transportation  
Plan and a one-half percent sales tax  
increase to fund the plan. The PTP  
includes an extension of Metrorail  
service from the MIC to FIU. The  
extension of Metrorail service from the  
MIC to FIU also is designated as a  
Priority I project in the MPO's  
financially constrained 2030 LRTP,  
approved by the MPO Governing Board  
in December 2004.

#### III. Alternatives

The transportation alternatives  
proposed for consideration in this study  
area include:

*No-Build Alternative*—The No-Build  
Alternative includes the existing street,  
highway, and transit facilities and  
services and those transit and highway  
improvements planned and  
programmed to be implemented by

2030. The No-Build Alternative provides the baseline for establishing the environmental impacts of the proposed alternatives, and assumes the following projects will be completed:

- Extension of the Stage 1 Metrorail Line from the existing Earlington Heights station to a new station at the MIC.

- MIC-MIA Connector fixed guideway people mover system linking MIA and the MIC.

- Increase in Tri-Rail service frequencies to 20-minute headways during peak periods between MIA and Mangonia Park Station in Palm Beach County.

Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative—The TSM Alternative is defined as lower cost, operationally-oriented improvements to address the transportation problems identified in the corridor. It also provides a baseline against which the effectiveness of the Build Alternative is evaluated and rated for federal New Starts funding, and would include the following:

- Express, limited-stop bus service along the Dolphin Expressway.
- Enhanced bus service on major east-west arterials.
- Park-and-ride facilities at the same locations as the Build Alternative and sized to meet the forecasted demand.
- Enhanced bus stations at the same locations as the Build Alternative.
- The TSM Alternative also includes all improvements identified under the No-Build Alternative.

*Build Alternative*—The Build Alternative consists of an approximately 10.1 mile, two-track, elevated, heavy rail extension of Metrorail from the MIC at MIA west to FIU, with proposed stations at the NW 57th Avenue/Blue Lagoon, NW 72nd Ave./Palmetto Expressway, NW 87th Avenue, NW 97th Avenue, NW 107th Avenue, and FIU. The LPA that was developed as a result of the initial environmental studies prepared in the 1990's continues to form the basis of the current SDEIS effort. The Build Alternative connects FIU with the MIC at MIA by following the Florida Turnpike northward from FIU and then the Dolphin Expressway eastward to the MIC. It would be developed as a direct extension of the existing Metrorail system. Several land use and development changes have occurred since the previous studies that require some minor refinement of the alignment and station location options. These refinements are being developed in consultation with state and local agencies and the surrounding community. The intent of these refinements to the alternative is to stay

generally within the original corridor while looking to improvements that would enhance the ridership potential of the line, reduce costs where feasible, and further mitigate environmental impacts.

#### IV. Probable Effects/Potential Impacts for Analysis

The FTA and MDT will evaluate all significant environmental, social, and economic impacts of the alternatives analyzed in the SDEIS. Environmental and social impacts proposed for analysis include land use, zoning, and economic development; secondary development; land acquisition, displacements, and relocation of existing uses; historic resources; visual and aesthetic qualities; neighborhoods and communities; environmental justice; air quality; noise and vibration; hazardous materials; ecosystems; water resources; energy; safety and security; utilities; traffic and transportation; natural areas; threatened and endangered species; ground water and potentially contaminated sites; wetlands; and floodplain areas. The SDEIS will also evaluate secondary and cumulative impacts. Potential impacts will be assessed for the long-term operation of each alternative and the short-term construction period. Measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate any significant adverse impacts will be identified.

#### V. Public Involvement

A comprehensive public involvement program has been developed and a public and agency involvement Coordination Plan will be created. The program includes a project Web site (<http://www.miamidade.gov/transit>); outreach to local and county officials and community and civic groups; a public scoping process to define the issues of concern among all parties interested in the study; a public hearing on release of the supplemental draft environmental impact statement (SDEIS); establishment of walk-in project offices in the corridor; and development and distribution of project newsletters.

#### VI. FTA Procedures

In accordance with FTA policy, all Federal laws, regulations, and executive orders affecting project development, including but not limited to the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality and FTA implementing NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508, and 23 CFR Part 771), the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, section 404 of the Clean Water Act, Executive Order 12898 regarding environmental justice, the National

Historic Preservation Act, the Endangered Species Act, and section 4(f) of the DOT Act, will be addressed to the maximum extent practicable during the NEPA process. In addition, MDT may seek § 5309 New Starts funding for the project and will therefore be subject to the FTA New Starts regulation (49 CFR part 611). This New Starts regulation requires the submission of certain specified information to FTA to support a MDT request to initiate preliminary engineering, which is normally done in conjunction with the NEPA process. Pertinent New Starts evaluation criteria will be included in the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement.

Issued On: May 17, 2006.

**Yvette G. Taylor,**

*FTA Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. E6-7865 Filed 5-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2005-22176; Notice 2]

#### Nissan Motor Company and Nissan North America, Denial of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Nissan Motor Company, Ltd. and Nissan North America, Inc. (Nissan) have determined that certain vehicles that they produced in 2004 through 2005 do not comply with S9.2.2 of 49 CFR 571.225, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 225, "Child restraint anchorage systems." Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), Nissan has petitioned for a determination that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports." Notice of receipt of the petition was published, with a 30 day comment period, on August 25, 2005 in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 49972). NHTSA received a comment from Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety (Advocates) as well as a comment by Nissan responding to Advocates' comment.

Affected are a total of approximately 24,655 model year (MY) 2005 Infiniti FX vehicles manufactured from September 1, 2004 to July 13, 2005, and 65,361 MY 2005 Nissan Maxima vehicles manufactured from September 1, 2004 to July 11, 2005. There was also mention in the **Federal Register** notice of 167