

Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States

Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 12, 2006. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: March 31, 2006.

A. Stanley Meiburg,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

■ 40 CFR part 52, is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart (RR)—(Tennessee)

■ 2. Section 52.2220(c) is amended by revising the entry for "Section 1200-3-18-.01" to read as follows:

§ 52.220(c). Identification of plan.

* * * * *
(c) * * *

EPA-APPROVED (TENNESSEE) REGULATIONS

State citation	Title/subject	Adoption date	EPA approval date	Federal Register notice
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *
1200-3-18-.01	Definitions	09/01/99	04/13/06	[Insert first page of publication]
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *

* * * * *
[FR Doc. 06-3490 Filed 4-12-06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[EPA-R01-OAR-2006-0277; FRL-8157-9]

Approval of the Clean Air Act, Section 112(I), Authority for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Perchloroethylene Air Emission Standards for Dry Cleaning Facilities: Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule; correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document corrects an error in the language of a final rule pertaining to EPA's approval granting the Commonwealth of Massachusetts the authority to implement and enforce its perchloroethylene air emissions regulations in place of the Federal dry cleaning NESHAP for area sources.

DATES: Effective April 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Courcier, at (617) 918-1659 or by e-mail at courcier.john@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 16, 2002 (67 FR 58339), EPA published a final rulemaking action granting the Commonwealth of Massachusetts the authority to implement and enforce its perchloroethylene air emissions regulations. In that document, EPA incorrectly cited the wrong Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection rule. This action corrects the typographical error.

Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, the agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. We have determined that there is good cause for making today's rule final without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because we are merely correcting an incorrect citation in a previous action. Thus, notice and public procedure are unnecessary. We find that this constitutes good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B).

Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)). Because the agency has made a "good cause" finding that this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedures Act or any other statute as indicated in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section above, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), or to sections 202 and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4). In addition, this action does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments or impose a significant intergovernmental mandate, as described in sections 203 and 204 of UMRA. This rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the

Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of governments, as specified by Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

This technical correction action does not involve technical standards; thus the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. The rule also does not involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). In issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct, as required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996). EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1998) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 808 allows the issuing agency to make a rule effective sooner than otherwise provided by the CRA if the agency makes a good cause finding that notice and public procedure is impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. This determination must be supported by a brief statement. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). As stated previously, EPA had made such a good cause finding, including the reasons therefore, and established an effective date of April 13, 2006. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the

Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This correction to the rule (310 CMR 7.26) for Massachusetts is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Hazardous substances, Incorporation by reference, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: April 3, 2006.

Robert W. Varney,

Regional Administrator, EPA New England.

■ 40 CFR part 63 is amended as follows:

PART 63—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 63 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart E—[Amended]

■ 2. Section 63.99 is amended to correct paragraph (a)(21)(ii)(A) to read as follows:

§ 63.99 Delegated Federal authorities.

- (a) * * *
- (21) * * *
- (ii) * * *

(A) The material incorporated in the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection 310 CMR 7.26 and 310 CMR 70.01 pertaining to dry cleaning facilities in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts jurisdiction, and has been approved under the procedures in § 63.93 to be implemented and enforced in place of the Federal NESHAPs for Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Facilities (subpart M of this part) for area sources only, as defined in § 63.320(h).

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 06-3488 Filed 4-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

43 CFR Part 5

RIN 1093-AA10

Making Pictures, Television Productions, or Sound Tracks on Certain Areas Under the Jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Secretary is revising regulations found at 43 CFR 5.1 to allow implementation of legislation that directs the establishment of a reasonable fee for commercial filming activities or similar projects and still photography where a permit is required.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lee Dickinson, Special Park Uses Program Manager, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW., ORG CODE 2460, Washington, DC 20240, telephone: 202-513-7092, or e-mail: Lee_Dickinson@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public Law 106-206 (codified at 16 U.S.C. 460l-6d) directs the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture to establish a reasonable fee system (referred to as a location fee in this publication) for commercial filming and still photography activities on lands under the Secretaries' jurisdiction.

The Department of the Interior (DOI) regulations at 43 CFR part 5 prohibit the National Park Service (NPS) from collecting fees "for the making of motion pictures, television productions or sound tracks * * *". The Office of the Secretary is revising the current regulation by removing the prohibition.

Background

Lands of the United States were set aside by Congress or the Executive Branch to conserve and protect areas of untold beauty and grandeur, historical importance, and uniqueness for future generations. Often it is the uniqueness of the land that attracts filmmakers. This tradition started with explorers who traveled with paint and canvas or primitive photo apparatus before the areas were designated as a national park, wildlife refuge, or forest. Generally, land management agencies allow commercial filming and still photography when it is consistent with their mission and will not harm the resource or interfere with the visitor experience.

While many commercial filming and still photography permits issued by the land management agencies are for small productions involving educational material or commercial advertising, a significant number of commercial filming permits have been issued to makers of major motion pictures.

Public Law 106-206 specifically requires permits, reasonable fees for use of federal lands and reimbursement of costs incurred by the government as a result of both commercial filming and certain still photography activities. Congress recognized in this law that when commercial filming and certain