collection instrument has been revised. This revised information collection automates responses through a drop down table listing. This was done in response to customer concerns about the difficulty in putting information in the previously approved form. The revised collection adds an additional requirement for addressing a series of

tailored management questions and a return on investment statement when reporting back to HUD. This process will replace various, current progress reporting requirements and reduce reporting burdens. It will also promote greater emphasis on performance and results in grant programs. The management questions are based on the

© Carter-Richmond methodology that identifies key management and evaluation questions for HUD's programs. The following table identifies the ©Carter-Richmond generic questions and where the source data is found in the Logic Model.

#### © CARTER-RICHMOND METHODOLOGY: 1 BUILDING BLOCKS FOR EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT

Management questions	Logic model columns for source data
1. How many clients are you serving?  2. How many units were provided?  3. Who are you serving?  4. What services do you provide?  5. What does it cost?  6. What does it cost per service delivered?  7. What happens to the "subjects" as a result of the service?  8. What does it cost per outcome?  9. What is the value of the outcome?  10. What is the return on investment?	Service/Activity/Output. Service/Activity/Output. Service/Activity/Output. Service/Activity/Output. Service/Activity/Output/Evaluation. Outcome. Outcome and Evaluation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Carter-Richmond methodology is copyrighted and is provided to support the development of your grant application. Any other use is prohibited without prior written permission of The Center for Applied Management Practices, Inc., 3609 Gettysburg Road, Camp Hill, PA 17011, (717) 730–3705, www.appliedmgt.com.

<sup>2</sup>The subject can be a client or a unit, such as a building and is defined in its associated unit of service.

OMB Control Number: 2535-0114. Agency Form Numbers: HUD-96010.

Members of Affected Public: Individuals, Not-for-profit institutions, State, Local or Tribal Government, Business or other for-profit.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of responses, and hours of response: This information collection is estimated to total thirty minutes per submission. Of the estimated 11,000 grant applicant/ recipients, approximately 4,400 report quarterly and 6,600 report annually. Total annual reporting burden is estimated to 12,100 hours

Status of the Proposed Information Collection: Revised Collection.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: January 12, 2006.

### Lillian L. Deitzer,

Departmental Reports Management Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. E6-458 Filed 1-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-27-P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# **Bureau of Land Management**

[WO-220-05-1020-JA-VEIS

Notice of Extension of the Public Comment Period for the Draft **Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Report** for Vegetation Treatments on Public Lands Administered by the Bureau of Land Management in the Western United States, Including Alaska

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces an extension of the public comment on the **Draft Programmatic Environmental** Impact Statement and Environmental Report for Vegetation Treatments on Public Lands Administered by the Bureau of Land Management in the Western United States, Including Alaska. The draft national programmatic EIS and environmental report on vegetation treatments involves the use of chemical herbicides and other methods on the public lands administered by BLM in 17 western states, including Alaska.

**DATES:** The original notice published in the Federal Register on November 10, 2005 [70 FR 68474] provided for a comment period to end on January 9, 2006. BLM is extending the comment period until February 10, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Project Manager, National Vegetation EIS, BLM Nevada State Office, P.O. Box 12000, Reno, NV 89520–0006. Comments may also be sent by e-mail to vegeis@nv.blm.gov.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Amme, Project Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 12000, Reno, Nevada 89520-0006; e-mail brian\_amme@nv.blm.gov; telephone, (775) 861-6645.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The original Notice of Availability published in the Federal Register on November 10, 2005 [70 FR 68474] provided for comments on the Draft EIS to be received through January 9, 2006. Several individuals and groups have requested an extension in the comment period. BLM has decided to act in accordance to individual and group requests, therefore, comments on the Draft EIS relevant to the review of the proposed EIS will now be accepted through February 10, 2006.

Dated: January 6, 2006.

### Ed Shepard,

Assistant Director.

[FR Doc. 06-441 Filed 1-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M