

RESIDENT OPPORTUNITY AND SELF SUFFICIENCY—HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES—Continued

Applicant Name:	Address:	City:	State	Zip	Amount
Housing Authority of the City of Tampa.	1514 Union Street	Tampa	Florida	33607	350,000
Housing Authority Cordele	401 South Tenth Street	Cordele	Georgia	31015	250,000
Decatur Housing Authority	1808 East Locust Street	Decatur	Illinois	62521	250,000
Beechwood Gardens Resident Management Corporation.	2952 Priscilla	Indianapolis	Indiana	46218	100,000
Indianapolis Housing Agency	1919 North Meridian	Indianapolis	Indiana	46202	350,000
Iowa City Housing Authority	410 E. Washington Street	Iowa City	Iowa	52240	250,000
Housing Authority of Bowling Green.	P.O. Box 116	Bowling Green	Kentucky	42102	250,000
Housing Authority of Hopkinsville	400 North Elm Street, P.O. Box 437.	Hopkinsville	Kentucky	42241-0437	250,000
Housing Authority of Owensboro	2161 East 19th Street	Owensboro	Kentucky	42303	250,000
Housing Authority of Somerset ...	P.O. Box 449	Somerset	Kentucky	42502	250,000
Louisville Metro Housing Authority.	420 South Eighth Street	Louisville	Kentucky	40203	500,000
Cambridge Housing Authority	675 Massachusetts Avenue	Cambridge	Massachusetts	02139	350,000
Public Housing Agency of The City of St. Paul.	480 Cedar Street, Suite 600	Saint Paul	Minnesota	55101	350,000
St. Louis Housing Authority	4100 Lindell Boulevard	St. Louis	Missouri	63108	350,000
Omaha Housing Authority	540 South 27th Street	Omaha	Nebraska	68105	350,000
Housing Authority of the City of Perth Amboy.	881 Amboy Avenue, P.O. Box 390.	Perth Amboy	New Jersey	08862	245,280
Pojoaque Housing Corporation ..	5 W. Gutierrez, Suite 2-B	Sante Fe	New Mexico	87506	250,000
Albany Housing Authority	200 South Pearl Street	Albany	New York	12202	250,000
Binghamton Housing Authority ...	35 Exchange Street	Binghamton	New York	13902	250,000
Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority.	131 West Boardman Street	Youngstown	Ohio	44503-1399	349,920
Oklahoma City Housing Authority	1700 Northeast 4th Street	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	73117-3800	348,652
Housing Authority of Clackamas	P.O. Box 1510	Oregon City	Oregon	97045	250,000
Housing Authority of Portland	135 SW. Ash Street	Portland	Oregon	97204	349,984
Housing Authority of the County of Beaver.	300 State Street	Beaver	Pennsylvania	15009	350,000
Housing Authority of the City of Providence.	100 Broad Street	Providence	Rhode Island	02903	350,000
Memphis Housing Authority	700 Adams Avenue	Memphis	Tennessee	38105	500,000
Fort Worth Housing Authority	1201 West 13th Street	Fort Worth	Texas	76102	350,000
Temple Housing Authority	700 West Calhoun	Temple	Texas	76501	250,000
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City.	1776 South West Temple	Salt Lake City	Utah	84115	250,000
Danville Redevelopment and Housing Authority.	651 Cardinal Place	Danville	Virginia	24541	250,000
Danville Resident Management Council, Inc..	651 Cardinal Place	Danville	Virginia	24541	300,000
Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority.	801 Water Street	Portsmouth	Virginia	23704	350,000
Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority.	9307 Bayshore Drive NW	Silverdale	Washington	98383-9113	250,000
Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee.	809 North Broadway	Milwaukee	Wisconsin	53202	350,000
Lac Courte Oreilles Housing Authority.	134 16 W Trepania Road	Hayward	Wisconsin	54843	122,340
Eastern Shoshone Housing Authority.	PO Box 1250	Ft. Washakie	Wyoming	82514	250,000

[FR Doc. E6-16617 Filed 10-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Land Management****[MT-020-1010-PO]****Notice of Public Meeting, Eastern Montana Resource Advisory Council Meeting**

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior, Montana, Billings and Miles City Field Offices.

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Eastern Montana Resource Advisory Council (RAC), will meet as indicated below.

DATES: The meeting will be held November 15, 2006 in Miles City, MT beginning at 8 a.m. When determined, the meeting place will be announced in a News Release. The public comment

period will begin at approximately 11 a.m. and the meeting will adjourn at approximately 3:30 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Jacobsen, Public Affairs Specialist, Miles City Field Office, 111 Garryowen Road, Miles City, Montana, 59301. Telephone: (406) 233-2831.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 15-member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in Montana.

At this meeting, topics to discuss include:

Field Manager Updates
The Miles City Field Office and Billings
Field Office Updates
Subcommittee working sessions
—and other topics the council may raise.

All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, tour transportation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided above.

Dated: October 3, 2006.

Theresa Hanley,
Field Manager.

[FR Doc. E6-16669 Filed 10-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-SS-P

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

National Park Service

60-Day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Collection of Information; Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements, the National Park Service (NPS) invites public comments on a proposed new collection of information (OMB # 1024-XXXX),

DATES: Public comments will be accepted on or before December 11, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Susan Johnson, National Park Service Air Resources Division, U.S. National Park Service, 12795 W. Alameda Parkway, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, Colorado 80225; phone: (303) 987-6694; e-mail: *Susan_Johnson@nps.gov.*, or fax at 303/969-2822.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan McBride, National Park Service Social Science Program, 1201 "Eye" St., NW., Washington, DC 20016; phone: (202) 513-7190; e-mail: *Megan_McBride@contractor.nps.gov.*, or fax at 202/371-2131.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title:* Visibility Valuation in National Parks and Wilderness Areas: Pre-test and Pilot Test.

Bureau Form Number: None.

OMB Number: To be requested.

Expiration Date: To be requested.

Type of Request: New collection.

Description of Need: The Clean Air Act includes provisions designed to maintain and enhance visibility at national parks and wilderness areas (Sections 169A, 169B, and 110(a)(2)(j)). The National Park Service is directed by its Organic Act to "conserve the scenery * * * unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations" (16 U.S.C. a-1); and the Clean Air Act charges the NPS with an "affirmative responsibility to protect air quality related values (including visibility)" (42 U.S.C. 7475(d)(2)(B)). Therefore, the NPS believes it is imperative that the value of visibility changes is adequately represented in cost-benefit analyses related to state and federal efforts that may affect visibility (including the Regional Haze Rule, 40 CFR part 51). Although several studies were conducted to estimate visibility benefits in the 1970s and 1980s, methodologies for estimating the benefits of improvements in environmental goods have advanced significantly since that time. Furthermore, baseline visibility conditions in national parks and wilderness areas have changed significantly over the last few decades. As a result, updated estimates of visibility benefits are required.

NPS plans to conduct a nationwide stated-preference survey to estimate the value of visibility changes in national parks and wilderness areas. Stated-preference surveys use carefully designed questions to elicit respondents' willingness to pay for improvements in environmental quality. A general population stated-preference survey is required in this case, as many U.S. citizens may be willing to pay to improve or maintain visibility at national parks and wilderness areas,

even if they do not use these areas. Stated-preference surveys are the only methodology available to estimate these non-market-based values. But to ensure that the nationwide survey is unbiased and readily understood by respondents and that the likely effect of non-response on benefit estimates is known, the pre-test and pilot test must first be conducted.

The pre-testing will be done through focus groups, which will be used to develop and refine a survey instrument for the pilot study. Twelve focus groups will be conducted, with approximately 10 participants in each group (120 in total). Thus, a sufficient number of responses will be gathered to evaluate the information presentation, reliability, internal consistency, response variability, and other properties of the draft survey. Results will be used to make improvements to the survey instrument. NPS will proceed iteratively, modifying the draft survey instrument after each focus group to ensure that the wording of the questions is clear and unbiased and effectively addresses the relevant issues.

The pilot study will be designed to account for the potential impact of mail survey non-response on benefit estimates. The pilot study will involve a split-sample comparison between a mail and an in-person survey. Respondents will be asked to complete the survey instrument developed during the pre-testing stage. The results will ultimately be used to adjust the benefit estimates obtained in the nationwide survey for potential non-response bias. The final content of the pilot survey instrument will depend on the pre-testing results. At a minimum, the survey will describe the characteristics of various visibility improvement programs and ask respondents to select a preferred program. The survey will also include socio-demographic questions and questions designed to evaluate the respondents' motivation in selecting a preferred program. Surveys will be conducted with approximately 800 individuals.

For this pilot study, 16 neighborhoods will be selected in two metropolitan areas (Phoenix, AZ and Syracuse, NY). Each neighborhood sample will be split into two groups, with 50 households assigned to a mail survey group and 50 households assigned to an in-person survey group. The in-person survey will be conducted in a manner that minimizes the differences between the two survey modes. NPS specifically requests comments on: (1) The practical utility of the information being gathered; (2) the accuracy of the burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the