performance of the functions of the agencies, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) The accuracy of the agencies' estimate of the burden of the collection and the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Ŵays to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to a collection of information, to search data sources, to complete and review the collection of information: and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

Dated: April 27, 2006.

Robert S. More,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals. [FR Doc. E6–6630 Filed 5–2–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–79–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey

Bird Banding Laboratory Advisory Committee

AGENCY: U.S. Geological Survey. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The third meeting of the Advisory Committee on the Bird Banding Laboratory (Committee) will take place June 13 and 14, 2006, at the Western Regional Office for Ducks Unlimited, 3074 Gold Canal Drive, Rancho Cordova, California 95670– 6116. The meeting runs from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. each day. The purpose of the Advisory Committee, which is cochaired by the USGS and the U.S. Fish

and Wildlife Service, is to represent the interests of the bird banding community, including both game and non-game birds, in advising the U.S. Department of the Interior, USGS, on current and future management of the Bird Banding Laboratory. The agenda for this meeting will focus on a full Committee review of the results of the work done since the last meeting by the writing subgroup. The subgroup was charged with developing draft one-page position papers on the following topics: (1) Bird banding permits; (2) data collection and storage; (3) data dissemination; (4) partnerships; and overarching issues. Subsequent to the review discussion, the Committee will finalize statements for each issue and begin developing recommendations for action.

The meeting is open to all members of the interested public, and time on the agenda has been reserved at the conclusion of each day's work for the Committee to receive verbal comments (limited to 5 minutes per person) from the public. To speak before the Committee, please register in advance with Mr. Daniel James (see contact information below), the USGS Designated Federal Official (DFO) for the Committee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel L. James, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, MS 301, Reston, Virginia 20192; 703–648–4253, e-mail; dan_james@usgs.gov.

Dated: April 27, 2006.

Susan D. Haseltine,

Associate Director for Biology. [FR Doc. 06–4136 Filed 5–2–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4311–AM–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[UTU 13113]

Public Land Order No. 7662; Partial Revocation of Public Land Order No. 5047; Utah

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Public Land Order.

SUMMARY: This order partially revokes a Public Land Order insofar as it affects 200 acres of National Forest System lands withdrawn for the Clear Creek Recreation Area.

DATES: Effective Date: May 3, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marsha Fryer, Forest Service, Intermountain Region, 324–25th Street, Ogden, Utah 84401–2310, 801–625– 5802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Forest Service has determined that these lands no longer need to be withdrawn and has requested the revocation. The lands will not be opened to mining until completion of an analysis to determine if any of the lands need special designation.

Order

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714 (2000), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 5047, which withdrew National Forest System lands for the Clear Creek Recreation Area, is hereby revoked insofar as it affects the following described lands:

Sawtooth National Forest

Salt Lake Meridian

T. 14 N., R. 13 W.,

Sec. 8, E¹/₂SE¹/₄SE¹/₄; Sec. 9, S¹/₂S¹/₂ and S¹/₂NE¹/₄SE¹/₄.

The areas described aggregate 200 acres in Box Elder County.

Dated: April 17, 2006.

Mark Limbaugh,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior. [FR Doc. E6–6686 Filed 5–2–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[UTU 80808]

Public Land Order No. 7649; Withdrawal of Public Land for the Moab Mill Site Remediation Project; Utah; Correction

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management published a document in the **Federal Register** on November 15, 2005, withdrawing public land for the Moab Mill Site Remediation Project in Utah. The document contained an erroneous statement in the **SUMMARY** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary von Koch, 435–259–2128.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of November 15, 2005, in FR Doc. 05–22605, on page 69351, column 2, beginning with the word "to" on line 7 of the **SUMMARY**

section, delete the following phrase: "to conduct site characterization studies to determine a suitable location for disposal of uranium mill site tailings"

Kent Hoffman,

Deputy State Director, Division of Land and Minerals. [FR Doc. E6–6682 Filed 5–2–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Policy Committee—Notice of Renewal

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of renewal of the OCS Policy Committee.

SUMMARY: Following consultation with the General Services Administration, notice is hereby given that the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) is renewing the OCS Policy Committee.

The OCS Policy Committee will provide advice to the Secretary, through the Director of the Minerals Management Service, related to the discretionary functions of the Bureau under the OCS Lands Act and related statutes. The Committee will review and comment on all aspects of leasing, exploration, development and protection of OCS resources and provide a forum to convey views representative of coastal states, local government, offshore mineral industries, environmental community, and other users of the offshore and the interested public.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeryne Bryant, Minerals Management Service, Offshore Minerals Management, Herndon, Virginia 20170–4817, telephone (703) 787–1213.

Certification

I hereby certify that the renewal of the OCS Policy Committee is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of the Interior by 43 U.S.C. 1331 *et seq.*, 30 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*, and 30 U.S.C. 1001 *et seq.*

Dated: April 26, 2006.

P. Lynn Scarlett,

Acting Secretary of the Interior. [FR Doc. 06–4133 Filed 5–2–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MR–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-851 (Review)]

Synthetic Indigo From China

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on synthetic indigo from China would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on May 2, 2005 (70 FR 22701) and determined on August 5, 2005 that it would conduct a full review (70 FR 48588, August 18, 2005). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on September 27, 2005 (70 FR 56489). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on February 9, 2006, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on April 27, 2006. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3846 (April 2006), entitled Synthetic Indigo from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–851 (Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: April 27, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E6–6698 Filed 5–2–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Partial Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on April 24, 2006, the United States lodged a proposed partial Consent Decree ("Consent Decree") in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama in the matter captioned *United States, et al.* v. *Alabama Power Company,* (Civil Action No. 2:01–cv–00152–VEH).

The Consent Decree would resolve the liability of Alabama Power Company ("APC") relating to the Fifth Claim for Relief included in the United States' Amended Complaint in this action, which the United States brought pursuant to Sections 113 and 167 of the Clean Air Act ("the Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413, 7477. The United States' Fifth Claim for Relief concerned the construction of Units 3 and 4 at Alabama Power Company's James H. Miller, Jr. coal-fired electric power plant, located near the town of West Jefferson, in Jefferson County, Alabama ("Plant Miller"). The United States alleged in its Fifth Claim for Relief that APC violated the Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") requirements of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7470–92, and regulations promulgated thereunder, including the State Implementation Plan ("SIP") approved under the Act for the State of Alabama, by failing to obtain a PSD permit from EPA for Plant Miller Unit 3, or the appropriate PSD permit for Plant Miller Unit 4, incorporating Best Available Control Technology ("BACT") requirements. The United States alleged that these PSD permit requirements became applicable, *inter alia*, by virtue of APC's failure to undertake and implement a continuous program of onsite construction and/or to complete construction of Plant Miller Units 3 and 4 within a reasonable time. In the alternative, the United States alleged that APC violated Section 111(e) of the Act by operating Plant Miller Units 3 and 4 without complying with an applicable standard of performance-40 CFR part 60, Subpart Da—promulgated by EPA pursuant to the New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") provisions of the Act. The United States alleged that the NSPS Subpart Da regulations became applicable by virtue of APC's failure to commence a continuous program of on-site construction of the boilers for Plant Miller Units 3 and 4 until after September 19, 1978.

Plaintiff-Intervenor Alabama Environmental Council, Inc., which is also a party to the Consent Decree, alleged similar PSD violations concerning the Plant Miller Units 3 and 4 in its Ninth and Tenth Claims for Relief included in its complaint in intervention in this action.

Under the terms of the proposed Consent Decree, the civil claims for

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).