

relocation, rehabilitation, addition or removal of facilities and opportunities for site restoration.

In cooperation with Mariposa, Madera, Tuolumne, Mono, and Inyo Counties, attention will also be given to the potential socio-economic impacts on these counties. Additionally, in consultation with culturally-associated American Indians, attention will also be given to the Traditional Cultural Resources to which these groups attach significance. Alternatives to be considered will include a No Action and an undetermined number of action alternatives; among these an "environmentally preferred" alternative will be identified.

### Background

In 1979, a Tuolumne Wild and Scenic River Study and Environmental Impact Statement was prepared which recommended designation of the river and specified "wild" and "scenic" classifications. In 1984, 83 miles of the Tuolumne River were designated Wild and Scenic under Public Law 94-425; a total of 54 miles of the Tuolumne Wild and Scenic River are under NPS jurisdiction in Yosemite National Park. In a 1986 **Federal Register** notice and related announcements, the NPS established classifications of the river segments, which include: The Lyell Fork, a wild segment originating at the headwaters from Mt. Lyell; the Dana Fork, a scenic segment originating from the headwaters at Mt. Dana; a scenic segment through Tuolumne Meadows; a wild segment from the Grand Canyon of the Tuolumne River to the inlet of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir; and a scenic segment from one mile west of O'Shaughnessy Dam; and the remaining 5-mile wild segment through Poopenaut Valley to the park boundary. Approximately 13 miles of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir were not included in the 1984 Wild and Scenic River designation and thus are not included within the Tuolumne Wild and Scenic River corridor. Interim boundaries (1/4 mile on each side of the river) were established in the 1979 Tuolumne Wild and Scenic River Study.

### Scoping and Public Meetings

The participation of interested individuals and affected organizations will be a key element of the current Tuolumne River/Meadows conservation planning and environmental analysis process. Concurrently, tribal, federal, state, and local government representatives will be consulted. All written comments received during the scoping period, as well as oral commentary at all associated public

meetings, will aid in the preparation of the EIS for the Tuolumne Wild and Scenic River Comprehensive Management Plan and Tuolumne Meadows Concept Plan (and preserved in the project's administrative record). Suggestions regarding issues to be addressed and information relevant to determining the scope of the current planning and analysis process are being sought from all interested individuals and groups. Public scoping meetings will be held in June, July, and August in Yosemite Valley, Tuolumne Meadows, Groveland, Sonora, Modesto, Sacramento, San Francisco, Mariposa, Oakhurst, Lee Vining, and Bishop. Dates, times, specific locations, and additional information will be released via regional and local news media, through the park's regular Planning Update newsletters (direct mailed and e-mailed), and posted on the park Web site (see below).

The scope of issues identified thus far to address in the Tuolumne Wild and Scenic River Comprehensive Management Plan include the identification of ORVs, determination of desired conditions and management prescriptions within the river corridor, establishment of detailed boundaries, development of a user capacity management program, and deciding upon a Section 7 determination process. The Tuolumne Meadows Concept Plan tentative issues include the possible relocation, rehabilitation, addition and/or removal of facilities as well as a comprehensive transportation review related to day use and parking. The Hetch Hetchy Reservoir and O'Shaughnessy Dam are under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, and neither the reservoir nor the dam are part of the designated Wild and Scenic River corridor. Thus the dam and reservoir will not be subject to the management elements evaluated through this planning effort.

All scoping comments received will be incorporated into a comment database and duly considered during the preparation of the draft plan\EIS. Written comments should be addressed to the Superintendent, Attn: Tuolumne Planning, Yosemite National Park, P.O. Box 577, Yosemite National Park, California 95389, or faxed to (209) 379-1294, and must be postmarked or faxed no later than 60 days from the publication date of this notice (or if sent via e-mail, transmitted by that date to [Yose\\_Planning@nps.gov](mailto:Yose_Planning@nps.gov))—immediately upon confirmation of this date an announcement of the closing date for the scoping period will be posted on the park Web site <http://www.nps.gov/yose/>

*planning*, and announced via press releases distributed to local and regional media. Please note that names and addresses of all respondents will become part of the public record. It is the practice of the NPS to make all comments, including names and addresses of respondents who provide that information, available for public review following the conclusion of the EIS process. Individuals may request that the NPS withhold their name and/or address from public disclosure. If you wish to do so, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. Those respondents who use the Web site can make such a request by checking the box "keep my information private". NPS will honor all such requests to the extent allowable by law, but you should be aware that NPS may still be required to disclose your name and address pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act.

### Decision Process

Announcements of future public involvement opportunities, as well as availability of the draft Tuolumne River Plan\Tuolumne Meadows Concept Plan EIS for public review, will be accomplished via regional news media, direct mailings, and the **Federal Register**. At this time, release of the draft plan\EIS for review and comment is expected to occur during summer, 2007. After due consideration of all comments received on the draft EIS, a final plan\EIS will be prepared and its availability similarly announced. As this is a delegated EIS, the official responsible for the final decision regarding the forthcoming plan is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, National Park Service; subsequently the official responsible for implementation of the approved plan is the Superintendent, Yosemite National Park.

Dated: July 9, 2006.

**George J. Turnbull,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*  
[FR Doc. 06-6073 Filed 7-7-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-FY-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Great Sand Dunes National Park Advisory Council Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, DOI.

**ACTION:** Announcement of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve announces a meeting of the Great Sand Dunes National Park

Advisory Council, which was established to provide guidance to the Secretary on long-term planning for Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve.

**DATES:** The meeting date is:

1. July 28, 2006, 9 a.m.–12 p.m., Mosca, Colorado.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting location is:

1. Mosca, Colorado—Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve Visitor Center, 11999 Highway 150, Mosca, CO 81146.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Steve Chaney, 719–378–6312.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** At the July 28 meeting, the National Park Service will share a summary and discuss the comments received during the review period for the draft General Management Plan, Wilderness Study and EIS. A public comment period will be held from 11:30 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Michael D. Snyder,

*Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 06–6076 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–CL–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, that a meeting of the Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council will be held Tuesday, July 18, 2006 at 9 a.m. until 3:30 p.m., at the Lowndes County Interpretive Center located at 7001 Highway 80 West, Hayneville, Alabama. The Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council was established pursuant to Public Law 100–192 establishing the Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail. This Council was established to advise the National Park Service on such issues as preservation of trail routes and features, public use, standards for posting and maintaining trail markers, and administrative matters.

The matters to be discussed include:

(A) Welcome New Members.

(B) Update on Lowndes County IC.

(C) Update on other Interpretive Sites.

The meeting will be open to the public. However, facilities and space accommodating members of the public are limited and persons will be accommodated for first come, first serve basis. Anyone may file a written statement with Catherine F. Light, Trail

Superintendent concerning the matters to be discussed.

Persons wishing further information concerning this meeting may contact Catherine F. Light, Trail Superintendent, Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail, at 334–727–6390 (phone), 334–727–4597 (fax) or mail 1212 Old Montgomery Road, Tuskegee Institute, Alabama 36088.

Dated: June 21, 2006.

**Shirley T. Streeter,**

*Administrative Officer, Selma to Montgomery NHT.*

[FR Doc. 06–6075 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–04–M**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### In the Matter of Certain Power Supply Controllers and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review a Final Initial Determination of Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") final Initial Determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337. Notice is further given that the Commission is requesting briefing on remedy, public interest, and bonding with respect to the respondent found in violation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michelle Walters, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/edis.htm>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be

obtained by contacting the Commission's ADD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 13, 2005, the Commission instituted this investigation, based on a complaint filed by Power Integrations, Inc. ("PI") of San Jose, California. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain power supply controllers and products containing the same by reason of infringement of claims 1–3, 6, 9, and 17–19 of United States Patent No. 6,212,079; claims 1–3, 5, 6, 24, 28, and 29 of United States Patent No. 6,351,398 ("the '398 patent"; claims 8 and 12 of United States Patent No. 6,366,481; and claims 1, 4, 9–11, 12, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26, 27, 30, 31, and 34 of United States Patent No. 6,538,908 ("the '908 patent"). During the investigation, the Commission allowed PI to terminate the investigation with regard to several claims, leaving only claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of the '398 patent and claims 26 and 27 of the '908 patent in this investigation. The complaint named a single respondent, System General Corporation ("SG").

On May 15, 2006, the ALJ issued a final ID, including his recommended determination on remedy and bonding. In his ID, the ALJ found that SG's accused products infringe claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of the '398 patent and claims 26 and 27 of the '908 patent. Moreover, he concluded that these claims are not invalid for anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102 and that the '398 patent and the '908 patent are not unenforceable due to inequitable conduct. Finally, the ALJ concluded that PI proved that there is a domestic industry in the United States with respect to both patents. As a result, the ALJ recommended issuing a limited exclusion order directed to infringing power supply controllers produced by SG, as well as certain downstream products containing these controllers.

On May 26, 2006, respondent SG filed a petition for review, challenging various aspects of the ALL's final ID. On June 5, 2006, PI and the Commission investigative attorney separately filed responses to SG's petition for review, asserting that the ALJ properly determined that there was a violation of section 337 with regard to the asserted claims.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALL's final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has