Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Addiction Related Behaviors and Interventions.

Date: July 27, 2006.

Time: 1:30 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Claire E. Gutkin, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 3138, MSC 7759, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301–594–3139. gutkincl@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Sarcomere Function.

Date: July 27, 2006.

Time: 2:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Russell T. Dowell, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4128, MSC 7814, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301–435– 1850. dowellr@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Mental Health Epidemiology.

Date: July 28, 2006.

Time: 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Fungai F. Chanetsa, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 3135, MSC 7770, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301–435–1262. chanetsaf@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Drug Development.

Date: July 31, 2006.

Time: 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Sofitel Layayette Square, 806 15th Street NW., Washington, DC 20005.

Contact Person: Mary Custer, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4148, MSC 7850, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301–435– 1164. custerm@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Fellowships: Bioengineering.

Date: July 31, 2006.

Time: 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Doubletree Bethesda, 8120
Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.
Contact Person: Khalid Masood, PhD,
Scientific Review Administrator, Center for
Scientific Review, National Institutes of

Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5120, MSC 7854, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301–435–2392. masoodk@csr.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393–93.396, 93.837–93.844, 93.846–93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: June 15, 2006.

Anna Snouffer,

Acting Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 06–5696 Filed 6–26–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish a summary of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276–1243.

Proposed Project: National Evaluation of the Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children and Their Families Program: Phase V— New

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Center of Mental Health is responsible for the national evaluation of the Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children and Their Families Program that will collect data on child mental health outcomes, family life, and service system development and performance. Data will be collected on 25 service systems, and roughly 7,864 children and families.

The data collection for this evaluation will be conducted over a 5-year period. The core of service system data will be collected every 18 to 24 months throughout the 5-year evaluation period, with a sustainability survey conducted in selected years. Service delivery and system variables of interest include the following: maturity of system of care development, adherence to the system of care program model, and client service experience. The length of time that individual families will participate in the study ranges from 18 to 36

months depending on when they enter the evaluation. Child and family outcomes of interest will be collected at intake and during subsequent follow-up interviews at 6-month intervals. Client service experience information is collected at these follow-up interviews. Measures included in an outcome interview are determined by the type of assessment (intake or follow-up), child's age, and whether the respondent is the caregiver or a youth.

The outcome measures include the following: child symptomatology and functioning, family functioning, material resources, and caregiver strain. The caregiver interview package includes the Caregiver Information Questionnaire, Child Behavior Checklist, Behavioral and Emotional Rating Scale (BERS), Education Questionnaire, Columbia Impairment Questionnaire, Living Situations Questionnaire, Family Life Questionnaire, and Caregiver Strain Questionnaire (caregivers of children under age 6 complete the Vineland Screener to assess development, and do not complete the BERS) at intake, and also complete the Multi-service Sector Contacts Form, Culturally Competence and Service Provision Questionnaire and the Youth Services Survey (a national outcome measurement tool). The Youth Interview package includes the Youth Information Questionnaire, Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale, Reynolds Depression Scale, BERS (youth version), Delinquency Survey, Substance Use Survey, GAIN–Quick: Substance Dependence Scale, and Youth Services Survey (youth version).

In addition, the evaluation will include two special studies: (1) An evidence-based practices study will examine provider use of evidence-based practices, community readiness and implementation of evidence-based practices, and consumer experience with these practices; (2) A cultural and linguistic competence study will examine the extent to which the cultural and linguistic characteristics of communities influence program implementation and provider adaptation of evidence-based treatments, and provider service delivery decisions based on provider culture and language. The national evaluation measures address the national outcome measures for mental health programs as currently established by SAMHSA.

Internet-based technology will be used for data entry and management, and for collecting data using Web-based surveys. The average annual respondent burden with detail provided about burden contributed by specific measures

is estimated below. The estimate reflects the expected number of respondents in each respondent category, the total average number of responses per respondent over 5 years, the average length time it will take for each response, and the total average annual burden for each category of respondent and for all categories of respondents combined.

ESTIMATE OF RESPONDENT BURDEN

[Note: Total burden is annualized over a 5-year period.]

| Instrument | Respondent | Number of respondents | Total average number of responses per respondent | Hours per response | Total bur- den hours | 5 Year average annual burden hours |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| System-of-care Assessment: Interview Guides and Data Collection Forms Interagency Collaboration Scale (IACS) Child and Family Outcome Study: | Key site informants Key site informants | 525 525 | 3 3 | 1.00 0.13 | 1,575 210 | 315 42 |
| Caregiver Information Questionnaire (CIQ-IC) Caregiver Information Questionnaire Followup (CIQ-FC). | Caregiver | 7,864 7,864 | 1 5 | 0.283 0.200 | 2,226 7,864 | 445 1,573 |
| Caregiver Strain Questionnaire (CGSQ) Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL)/Child Behavior Checklist 1½–5 (CBCL 11/2–5). | Caregiver | 7,864 7,864 | 6 6 | 0.167 0.333 | 7,880 15,712 | 1,576 3,142 |
| Education Questionnaire—Revised (EQ-R) Living Situations Questionnaire (LSQ) The Family Life Questionnaire (FLQ) Behavioral and Emotional Rating Scale-Second Edition, Parent Rating Scale (BERS-2C). | Caregiver Caregiver Caregiver | 7,864 7,864 7,864 6,945 | 6 6 6 6 | 0.333 0.083 0.050 0.167 | 15,712 3,916 2,359 6,958 | 3,142 783 472 1,392 |
| Columbia Impairment Scale (CIS) | Caregiver Youth | 6,945 365 4,718 4,718 | 6 5 6 6 | 0.083 0.250 0.167 0.167 | 3,472 456 4,728 4,728 | 694 91 946 946 |
| Gain-Quick Substance Related Issues (Gain Quick–R). | Youth | 4,718 | 6 | 0.083 | 2,350 | 470 |
| Substance Use Survey-Revised (SUS-R) Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scales (RCMAS). | Youth | 4,718 4,718 | 6 6 | 0.100 0.050 | 2,831 1,416 | 566 283 |
| Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale-Second Edition (RADS-2). | Youth | 4,718 | 6 | 0.050 | 1,416 | 283 |
| Youth information Questionnaire-Baseline (YIQ-I). | Youth | 4,718 | 1 | 0.167 | 788 | 158 |
| Youth information Questionnaire-Follow-up (YIQ-F). | Youth | 4,718 | 5 | 0.167 | 3,940 | 788 |
| Service Experience Study: Multi-Sector Service Contacts-Revised (MSSC–R). | Caregiver | 7,864 | 5 | 0.250 | 9,830 | 1,966 |
| Evidence-Based Practice Measure (EBPEM) Cultural Competence and Service Provision Questionnaire (CCSP). | Caregiver | 7,864 7,864 | 5 5 | 0.167 0.167 | 6,553 6,553 | 1,311 1,311 |
| Youth Services Survey-Family (YSS–F) Youth Services Survey (YSS) Evidence-Based Practices Study | Caregiver Youth | 7,864 4,718 | 5 5 | 0.117 0.083 | 4,600 1,958 | 920 392 |
| Evidence-Based Practices Survey-Revised (EBP–R). | Provider | 1,125 | 3 | 0.333 | 1,124 | 224 |
| Evidence-Based Provider Attitudes Survey (EBPAS). | Provider | 1,125 | 3 | 0.083 | 280 | 56 |
| Organizational Readiness for Change Scale-Staff (ORC–S). | Provider | 1,125 | 3 | 0.417 | 1,407 | 281 |
| Organizational Readiness for Change Scale- Program Director (ORC–D). Sustainability Study: | Administrator/Manager | 75 | 3 | 0.417 | 94 | 19 |
| Sustainability Survey—CaregiverSustainability Survey—Provider | Caregiver Provider/Administrator | 25 75 | 3 3 | 0.500 0.500 | 38 112 | 8 23 |

SUMMARY OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN ESTIMATES FOR 5 YEARS

| | Number of distinct respondents | Number of response per respondent | Average nurden per response (hours) | Total average annual burden (hours) |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Caregivers | 7,864 | 76 | 2.30 | 94,130 |

SUMMARY OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN ESTIMATES FOR 5 YEARS—Continued

| | Number of distinct respondents | Number of response per respondent | Average nurden per response (hours) | Total average annual burden (hours) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Youth Providers/Administrators | 4,718 1,725 | 36 21 | 0.71 0.93 | 17,468 4,803 |
| Total Summary | 14,307 | 133 | | 116,401 |
| Total Annual Average Summary | 2,861 | 27 | | 23,280 |

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by July 27, 2006 to: SAMHSA Desk Officer, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; due to potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, respondents are encouraged to submit comments by fax to: 202–395–6974.

Dated: June 17, 2006.

Anna Marsh,

Director, Office of Program Services.
[FR Doc. E6–10088 Filed 6–26–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

[CGD13-06-028]

Letter of Recommendation, Proposed LNG Project, Jordan Cove Energy Project, LP, Coos County, OR

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Request for comments; notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port (COTP) Portland, Oregon is preparing a Letter of Recommendation (LOR) as to the suitability of Coos Bay for liquefied natural gas (LNG) marine traffic. This LOR will encompass the marine safety and security aspects associated with the proposed Jordan Cove Energy Project, L.P. (Jordan Cove) LNG facility. The COTP Portland, OR is soliciting written comments and related material, and will join FERC in holding a public meeting seeking comments, pertaining specifically to maritime safety and security aspects of the proposed LNG facility. This process will assess the safety and security aspects of the facility, adjacent port areas, and navigable waterways.

DATES: All written comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before July 21, 2006. In addition, a public meeting will be held Tuesday, July 11, 2006, at 7 p.m. The comment period associated with the public meeting will remain open for ten days following the meeting. The meeting location is: Southwestern Oregon Community College, Hales Performing Arts Center, 1988 Newmark Ave., Coos Bay, OR 97420. 541–888–2525.

ADDRESSES: You may submit written comments to Commanding Officer, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Portland, 6767 N. Basin Ave., Portland, OR 97217. Sector Portland maintains a file for this notice. Comments and material received will become part of this file and will be available for inspection and copying at Sector Portland between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this notice, contact Lieutenant Shadrack Scheirman at Sector Portland by one of the methods listed below:

- (1) Phone at (503) 240-9307
- (2) E-mail at

Shadrack.L.Scheirman@uscg.mil

(3) Fax to (503) 240–2586

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Written Comments

We encourage you to submit written comments and related material pertaining specifically to marine safety and security aspects associated with the proposed Jordan Cove LNG facility. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this notice ([CGD13-06-028]), and give the reason for each comment. You may submit your comments and related material by mail, or hand delivery, as described in ADDRESSES, or you may send them by fax or e-mail using the contact information under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. To avoid confusion and duplication, please submit your comments and material by only one means.

If you submit comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than $8\frac{1}{2}$ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached U.S. Coast Guard Sector Portland, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope.

Public Meeting

Due to the scope and complexity of this project, we have decided to hold a joint public meeting with FERC to allow the public the opportunity to comment on the proposed LNG facility. FERC will issue a separate notice for the public meeting listed under **DATES** above, regarding the public's opportunity to comment on the environmental aspects of the facility siting.

Organizations and members of the public may provide oral statements regarding the suitability of Coos Bay for LNG vessel traffic. In the interest of time and use of the public meeting facility, oral statements should be limited to five minutes. Written comments may be submitted at the meeting or to the Docket up to July 21, 2006.

Background and Purpose

In accordance with the requirements of 33 CFR 127.007, Jordan Cove submitted a Letter of Intent (LOI) on April 10, 2006, to operate an LNG facility on the North Spit of Coos Bay, Coos County, Oregon. The Letter of Recommendation (LOR) is in response to this LOI submitted by Jordan Cove. In preparation for issuance of an LOR and the completion of certain other regulatory mandates, the COTP Portland, OR will consider comments received from the public as input into a formalized risk assessment process.

Because the proposed LNG facility would be located in State waters, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is the lead Federal agency for this proposed project and will prepare the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) mandated by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). To help FERC make sure that the EIS covers