

Because of the safety significance of cracking in these penetrations, the NRC had an independent review of the Code Case performed. The review, which was performed by Engineering Mechanics Corporation of Columbus (Emc<sup>2</sup>), and documented in its report dated April 30, 2004, "Predicting Axial Crack Growth in Control Rod Drive Mechanism Tubes," [ML060060548], determined that the crack-growth rates calculations specified in the Code Case were not conservative enough and underpredict crack growth. The report states that, "Credible crack-growth predictions rely highly on an accurate determination of the crack-driving force." To develop the data needed for its review of the Code

Case, Emc<sup>2</sup> performed parametric finite element studies on axial cracks in CRDM J-groove weld residual stress fields and determined that under certain applications, published K-solutions, used in Code Case N-694-1, would under predict crack growth, so much so, that cracks could grow through-wall prior to the performance of the next inspection.

The cognizant ASME working group is currently reviewing the report. On the basis of the report, the NRC proposes to condition Code Case N-694-1 to require more accurate crack-growth rate calculations to ensure that the frequency of examination is appropriate for these penetrations.

**Availability of Documents**

The NRC is making the documents identified below available to interested persons through one or more of the following means:

The NRC Public Document Room (PDR) is located at 11555 Rockville Pike, Public File Area O-1 F21, Rockville, Maryland.

The NRC's interactive rulemaking Web site is located at <http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>. Selected documents may be viewed and downloaded electronically via this Web site.

The NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room is located at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html>.

Document	PDR	Web	e-Reading room
Proposed Regulatory Guide 1.84, Rev. 34 (DG-1133) .....	X	X	ML061210377
Proposed Regulatory Guide 1.147, Rev. 15 (DG-1134) .....	X	X	ML061210404

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of June, 2006.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brian W. Sheron,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. E6-18024 Filed 10-26-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 71**

[Docket No. FAA-2006-25922; Airspace Docket No. 06-AWP-17]

**RIN 2120-AA66**

**Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace; Santa Cruz, CA**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This notice proposes to modify the Class E airspace area at Santa Cruz, CA. The establishment of a Special COPTER Area Navigation (RNAV) Global Positioning System (GPS) 040 Point In Space Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) and a Special COPTER RNAV (GPS) 227 Departure Procedure serving Dominic Hospital Heliport has made this proposal necessary. Additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth is needed to contain helicopters executing the Special

COPTER RNAV (GPS) 040 Point In Space SIAP and Special COPTER RNAV (GPS) 227 Departure Procedure to Dominican Hospital Heliport. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate controlled airspace for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Dominican Hospital Heliport, Santa Cruz, CA.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 11, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on this proposal to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. You must identify the docket number FAA-2006-25922/Airspace Docket No. 06-AWP-17 at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit comments on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final dispositions in person in the Docket Office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Office (telephone 1-800-647-5527) is on the plaza level of the Department of Transportation NASSIF Building at the above address.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the Office of the Regional Western Terminal Operations, Federal Aviation Administration, at 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, telephone number (310) 725-6502.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Francie Hope, Western Terminal Service Area, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale,

California 90261; telephone (310) 725-6502.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify both docket numbers and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with the comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. FAA-2006-25922/Airspace Docket No. 06-AWP-17." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter.

**Availability of NPRM**

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's Web page at <http://www.faa.gov> or the Superintendent of Document's Web page at <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara>.

Additionally, any person may obtain a copy of this notice by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation

Administration, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, ATA-400, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-8783. Communications must identify both document numbers for this notice. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should contact the FAA's Office of Rulemaking, (202) 267-9677, to request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, which describes the applicant procedures.

### The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 by establishing the Class E airspace area at Santa Cruz, CA. The establishment of a Special COPTER Area Navigation (RNAV) Global Positioning System (GPS) 040 Point In Space Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) and a Special COPTER RNAV (GPS) 227 Departure Procedure serving Dominican Hospital Heliport has made this proposal necessary. Additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface is needed to contain helicopters executing Special COPTER Area Navigation (RNAV) Global Positioning System (GPS) 040 Point In Space Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) and a Special COPTER RNAV (GPS) 227 Departure Procedure serving Dominican Hospital Heliport. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate controlled airspace for helicopters executing Special COPTER Area Navigation (RNAV) Global Positioning System (GPS) 040 Point In Space Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) and a Special COPTER RNAV (GPS) 227 Departure Procedure serving Dominican Hospital Heliport, Santa Cruz, CA. Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9P dated September 1, 2006, and effective September 15, 2006, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in this Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a

regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule would not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

### Proposed Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREA; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9P, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 2006, and effective, September 15, 2006, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### AWP CA 35 Santa Cruz, CA [New]

Dominican Hospital Heliport Point in Space Coordinates

(Lat. 36°58'26" N, long. 121°59'38" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface and within a 6.5-mile radius of the Point in Space serving the Dominican Hospital Heliport.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Los Angeles, California, on October 5, 2006.

**Leonard A. Mobley,**

*Acting Area Director, Western Terminal Operations.*

[FR Doc. 06-8891 Filed 10-26-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 117

[CGD13-06-048]

RIN 1625-AA09

### Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Youngs Bay and Lewis and Clark River, Astoria, OR

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to change the operating regulations for the New Youngs Bay, Old Youngs Bay, and the Lewis and Clark River Drawbridges near Astoria, Oregon. This change is requested by the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), owner of the bridges, due to reduced demand for draw openings.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before November 27, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** You may mail comments and related material to Commander (dpw), 13th Coast Guard District, 915 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98174-1067 where the public docket for this rulemaking is maintained. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at the Aids to Navigation and Waterways Management Branch between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Austin Pratt, Chief Bridge Section, (206)220-7282.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking [CGD13-06-048], indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.