

the licensee's projected dose for the burial of carbon-14 is conservative based on the volume of the animal containing the carbon-14 from the study, which was noted as being a "coffee can" size (which is variable.) The NRC staff performed a dose modeling evaluation based on a buried volume of 500 grams and using the default parameters in RESRAD, Version 6.22. The model projected a peak dose of 14 mrem to occur in 1965, with a sharp decline to less than 1 mrem in 1971. In either scenario, the calculated value beyond year seven (1968) is below the current 25 millirem limit for unrestricted use of the site as stipulated in 10 CFR 20.1402.

The NRC staff considered the potential impacts of leaching of radioactive material into the shallow groundwater due to the burial of carbon-14 in 1961. In 1965, the shallow surface groundwater on the Carroll College campus was not used as a drinking water source. Additionally, local members of the public obtained their drinking water from the city, whose source was several miles away. There were only two wells identified on the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Web site which were installed prior to 1965. The impact of potentially contaminated groundwater was considered as part of the RESRAD dose modeling evaluation and the primary pathway of concern was the direct consumption of water by the resident farmer scenario. Based on the evaluations, there was no impact to groundwater as a result of the one-time burial of carbon-14. The areas of the site where radioactive material had been stored and handled were surveyed on April 9, 2005, by the radiation safety officer from Montana State University. The surveys were performed using Ludlum survey meter with a GM probe and a low energy gamma (NaI) probe. In addition, survey wipes were taken and analyzed on a Packard Liquid Scintillation Counter. The results were less than twice background and adequately meet the criteria for unrestricted use.

The NRC has the option, depending on the licensee's survey and extent of radioactive material that was used at the facility, to perform a close out inspection of the facility. Based on the low-energy beta emitting radioisotopes and the length of time since the last use of radioactive material at the facility, the NRC staff determined that a close-out inspection of the facility was not justified. The licensee's independent survey was sufficient to demonstrate that the facility was suitable for unrestricted use in accordance with 10

CFR Part 20. The environmental impacts resulting from the release of this site for unrestricted use are insignificant. There were no additional activities that resulted in cumulative impacts to the environment.

### III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff concludes that the proposed action complies with the radiological criteria for unrestricted use as stipulated in 10 CFR 20.1402. The licensee demonstrated that any remaining residual radioactivity will not result in radiological exposures in excess of the 25 millirem total effective dose equivalent limit specified in § 20.1402. Dose modeling indicates that current and future members of the public will not receive any radiological dose from the burial site. The NRC staff prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of the requested license amendment. On the basis of this EA, the NRC has concluded that there are no significant environmental impacts and the license amendment does not warrant the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement. Accordingly, it has been determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate.

### IV. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you may access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession numbers for the documents related to this notice are: Carroll College letter and NRC Form 314, dated October 10, 2005, (ML053040347); Carroll College letter dose modeling submittal, dated January 17, 2005 (ML050540533); NRC Environmental Assessment (ML060170746). If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Arlington, Texas this 8th day of February 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Jack E. Whitten,**

*Chief, Nuclear Materials Licensing Branch,  
Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region IV.*

[FR Doc. E6-2214 Filed 2-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Sunshine Act Meeting; Notification of Item Added to Meeting Agenda

**DATE OF MEETING:** February 7, 2006.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT:** 71 FR 5384, February 1, 2006.

**ADDITION:** Proposal to File Request for Postal Rate Commission Advisory Opinion on Service Changes.

At its closed meeting on February 7, 2006, the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service voted unanimously to add this item to the agenda of its closed meeting and that no earlier announcement was possible. The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service certified that in her opinion discussion of this item could be properly closed to public observation.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Wendy A. Hocking, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260-1000.

**Wendy A. Hocking,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 06-1530 Filed 2-14-06; 3:25 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-01063]

### Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application of Dana Corporation, To Withdraw Its Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value, From Listing and Registration on the Pacific Exchange, Inc.

February 10, 2006.

On January 30, 2006, Dana Corporation, a Virginia corporation ("Issuer"), filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,<sup>2</sup> to withdraw its common stock, \$1.00 par value ("Security"), from

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78j(d).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).