#### 5.0 State Consultation

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the [STATE] State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment[s]. The State official had [CHOOSE ONE: (1) No comments, OR (2) the following comments—with subsequent disposition by the staff].

### 6.0 Environmental Consideration

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding [(XX FR XXXXX, dated Monthly DD, YYYY)]. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

### 7.0 Conclusion

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

## 8.0 References

- Joint Applications Report: Modification to the Containment Spray System, and Low Pressure Safety Injection System Technical, CE Owners Group, CE NPSD– 1045, March 2000.
- SE by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Related to CE Owners Group CE-NPSD-1045, "Joint Application Report, Modification to the Containment Spray System, and the Low Pressure Safety Injection System Technical Specifications." December 21, 1999.
- Specifications," December 21, 1999.
  3. U.S. NRC RG 1.174, "An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," Revision 1, November 2002.

- U.S. NRC RG 1.177, "An Approach for Plant-Specific, Risk-Informed *Decisionmaking*: Technical Specifications," August 1998.
   NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for
- NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants," June 1996.

### Model No Significant Hazards Consideration

Description of Amendment Request: The proposed amendment would revise the technical specifications to extend the completion time (CT) from 72 hours to seven days to restore an inoperable containment spray system (CSS) train to operable status, and add a Condition describing the required Actions and CT when one CSS and one containment cooling system (CCS) are inoperable.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), an analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed change extends from 72 hours to 7 days the CT for restoring an inoperable CSS train to operable status. Being in an ACTION is not an initiator of any accident previously evaluated. Consequently, the probability of an accident previously evaluated is not significantly increased. The consequences of an accident while relying on ACTIONS during the 7-day CT are no different than the consequences of an accident while relying on the ACTION during the existing 72-hour CT. Therefore, the consequences of an accident previously evaluated are not significantly increased by this change. Therefore, this change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed change extends from 72 hours to 7 days the CT for restoring an inoperable CSS train to operable status. The proposed change does not involve a physical alteration of the plant (no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or a change in the methods governing normal plant operation. Thus, this change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Response: No.

The proposed change extends from 72 hours to 7 days the CT for restoring an inoperable CSS train to operable status. The licensee performed risk-based evaluations using its plant-specific probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) model in order to determine the effect of this change on plant risk. The PRA evaluations were based on the conditions stipulated in NRC staff safety evaluations approving both Joint Applications Report CE NPSD-1045-A, "Joint Applications Report, Modifications to the Containment Spray System and The Low Pressure Safety Injection System Technical Specifications," and Technical Specification Task Force Change Traveler, TSTF-409, Revision 2, "Containment Spray System Completion Time Extension (CE NPSD-1045-A)." The results of these plantspecific evaluations determined that the effect of the proposed change on plant risk is very small. Therefore, this change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Based on the above, the proposed change involves no significant hazards consideration under the standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c), and accordingly, a finding of no significant hazards consideration is justified.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this XX day of XXXXXXXX, 2006.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch [] Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

[FR Doc. 06–9094 Filed 11–6–06; 8:45 am]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# **Proposed Collections; Comment Request**

Upon Written Request; Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extensions:

Rule 14f–1, OMB Control No. 3235–0108, SEC File No. 270–127.

Rule 12g3–2, OMB Control No. 3235–0119, SEC File No. 270–104.

Rule 13e–1, OMB Control No. 3235–0305, SEC File No. 270–255.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for approval.

Rule 14f–1 (17 CFR 240.14f–1) requires issuers to disclose a change in a majority of the directors of the issuer. The information filed under Rule 14f–1 must be filed with the Commission and is publicly available. We estimate that it takes approximately 18 burden hours to provide the information required under Rule 14f–1 and that the information is filed by 44 respondents for a total annual reporting burden of 792 hours.

Rule 12g3–2 (17 CFR 240.12g3–2) provides an exemption from Section 12(g) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 781(g)) for foreign private issuers. Rule 12g3–2 is designed to provide investors in foreign securities with information about such securities and the foreign issuer. The information filed under Rule 12g3–2 must be filed with the Commission and is publicly available. We estimate that it takes approximately one hour to provide the information required under Rule 12g3–2 and that the information is filed by 1,800 foreign issuers for a total annual reporting burden of 1,800 hours.

Rule 13e–1 (17 CFR 240.13e–1) makes it unlawful for an issuer who has received notice that it is the subject of a tender offer made under Section 14(d)(1) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78n(d)(1)) and which has commenced under Rule 14d-2 (17 CFR 240.14d-2) to purchase any of its equity securities during the tender offer unless it first files a statement with the Commission containing information required by the Rule. This rule is in keeping with the Commission's statutory responsibility to prescribe rules and regulations that are necessary for the protection of investors. The information filed under Rule 13e-1 must be filed with the Commission and is publicly available. We estimate that it takes approximately 10 burden hours per response to provide the information required under Rule 13e-1 and that the information is filed by 20 respondents. We estimate that 25% of the 10 hours per response (2.5 hours) is prepared by the company for a total annual reporting burden of 50 hours (2.5 hours per response  $\times$  20 responses).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether these proposed collections of information are necessary for the performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate

of the burden imposed by the collections of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comment to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, Virginia 22312; or send an e-mail to: *PRA Mailbox@sec.gov*.

Dated: October 30, 2006.

### Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–18695 Filed 11–6–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request; Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

New Information Collection: Study of Marketing and Delivery of Financial Products to Individual Investors, OMB Control No. 3235–xxxx, SEC File No. 270–561.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for approval.

The Commission has engaged an outside contractor to undertake a study that will involve collecting, categorizing, and analyzing empirical data regarding the marketing, sale and delivery of financial products, accounts, programs and services offered to individual investors by broker-dealers and investment advisers. The contractor's findings will be summarized in a report for the Commission. Participation in the study will be voluntary. Participants in the study are expected to include brokerdealers, investment advisers, individual investors, investor advocates and

industry groups. We estimate that there would be approximately 330 participants in the study at an estimated 1.5 hours for a total annual burden of approximately 500 hours.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether this proposed collection of information is necessary for the performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden imposed by the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comment to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, Va 22312; or send an e-mail to: *PRA\_Mailbox@sec.gov*.

Dated: October 30, 2006.

### Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

FR Doc. E6–18696 Filed 11–6–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 5609]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: DSP-122, Supplemental Registration for the Diversity Immigrant Visa Program, OMB No. 1405-0098

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comment and submission to OMB of proposed collection of information.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

- Title of Information Collection: Supplemental Registration for the Diversity Immigrant Visa Program.
  - *OMB Control Number:* 1405–0098.
- *Type of Request:* Extension of a Currently Approved Collection.
- Originating Office: Bureau of Consular Affairs, Office of Visa Services.
  - Form Number: DSP-122.
- Respondents: Diversity visa applicants.