futures commission merchant or customer pursuant to the provisions of this section.

- (d) In the event the call is issued to a foreign broker or foreign trader, its agent, designated pursuant to § 15.05 of this chapter, shall, if directed, promptly transmit calls made by the Commission pursuant to this section by electronic mail or a similarly expeditious means of communication.
- (e) The futures commission merchant, introducing broker, or customer to whom the special call is issued must provide to the Commission the information specified below for the commodity, reporting market and delivery months or option expiration dates named in the call. Such information shall be filed at the place and within the time specified by the Commission.

(f) If the Commission has reason to believe that a futures commission merchant or customer has not responded as required to a call made pursuant to this section, the Commission in writing may inform the reporting market specified in the call and that reporting market shall prohibit the execution of, and no futures commission merchant, introducing broker, or foreign broker shall accept an order for, trades on the reporting market and in the months or expiration dates specified in the call for or on behalf of the futures commission merchant or customer named in the call, unless such trades offset existing open contracts of such futures commission merchant or customer.

### PART 37—DERIVATIVES TRANSACTION EXECUTION FACILITIES

■ 31. The authority for part 37 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 2, 5, 6, 6c, 6(c), 7a and 12a, as amended by Appendix E of Public Law 106–554, 114 Stat. 2763A–365.

■ 32. Revise § 37.2 to read as follows:

#### § 37.2 Exemption.

Contracts, agreements or transactions traded on a derivatives transaction execution facility registered as such with the Commission under section 5a of the Act, the facility and the facility's operator are exempt from all Commission regulations for such activity, except for the requirements of this part 37 and:

(a) Parts 15 through 21, part 40 and part 41 of this chapter, including any

related definitions and cross-referenced sections; and

(b) Sections 1.3, 1.31, 1.59(d), 1.60, 1.63(c), 33.10, and part 190 of this chapter, including any related definitions and cross-referenced sections, which are applicable as though they were set forth in this part 37 and included specific reference to derivatives transaction execution facilities.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 28, 2006, by the Commission.

#### Eileen A. Donovan,

Acting Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc. E6–10383 Filed 6–30–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6351–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 165 [CGD09-06-080] RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; 4th of July Fireworks Display, Kenosha, WI

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

summary: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for the 4th of July Fireworks Display in Kenosha, Wisconsin. This safety zone is necessary to safeguard vessels and spectators from hazards associated with fireworks displays. This rule is intended to restrict vessel traffic from a portion of Lake Michigan and Kenosha Harbor.

**DATES:** This safety zone is effective from 8:15 p.m. (local) to 10:30 p.m. on July 4, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [CGD09–06–080] and are available for inspection or copying at U.S. Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan between 7 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. (local), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief Warrant Officer Brad Hinken, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan, at (414) 747–7154.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Regulatory Information**

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. The permit application was not received in time to

publish an NPRM followed by a final rule before the effective date. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying this rule would be contrary to the public interest of ensuring the safety of spectators and vessels during this event and immediate action is necessary to prevent possible loss of life or property. The Coast Guard has not received any complaints or negative comments previously with regard to this event.

# **Background and Purpose**

This safety zone is necessary to protect the public from the hazards associated with fireworks displays. Based on accidents that have occurred in other Captain of the Port zones, and the explosive hazards of fireworks, the Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, has determined fireworks launches in close proximity to watercraft pose significant risk to public safety and property. As such, the COTP is proposing to implement a safety zone to ensure the safety of both participants and spectators in these areas.

The likely combination of large numbers of recreation vessels, congested waterways, darkness punctuated by bright flashes of light, alcohol use, and debris falling into the water could easily result in serious injuries or fatalities. Establishing a safety zone to control vessel movement around the location of the launch platform will help ensure the safety of persons and property at these events and help minimize the associated risks.

# **Discussion of Rule**

The Coast Guard is establishing a safety zone on the waters of Lake Michigan near Kenosha, Wisconsin. The safety zone will include all waters of Lake Michigan surrounding the fireworks launch platform bounded by the arc of a circle with a 560-foot radius with its center in the approximate position 42°35.17′ N, 087°48.27′ W (NAD 83). Vessels assisting in the enforcement of the Safety Zone may be contacted on VHF–FM channels 16 or 23A.

# **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of

the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

We expect the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under the regulatory policies and procedures of DHS is unnecessary.

This determination is based upon the size and location of the safety zone within the waterway. Recreational vessels may transit through the safety zone with permission from the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or his designated on-scene patrol commander.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: The safety zone will be enforced for only a few hours per day on each day of the event and vessel traffic can safely pass outside of the safety zone during the event. Before the effective period, we would issue maritime advisories widely available to users of the lake.

If you think your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

#### **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Sector Lake Michigan (see ADDRESSES). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about

this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.).

#### **Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule would not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the

Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. We invite your comments on how this rule might impact tribal government, even if that impact may not constitute a "tribal implication" under that Order.

# **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### **Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

### **Environment**

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, we believe that this rule should be categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation.

A final "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a final "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are available in the docket where indicated under

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5, Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

■ 2. A new temporary § 165.T09–080 is added to read as follows:

# § 165.T09-080 Safety Zone; 4th of July Fireworks Display Kenosha, Wisconsin.

(a) *Location*. The following area is a Safety Zone:

(1) The safety zone will include all waters of Lake Michigan surrounding the fireworks launch platform bounded by the arc of a circle with a 560-foot radius with its center in the approximate position 42°35.17′ N, 087°48.27′ W (NAD 83).

(b) Effective Dates and Times. This safety zone is effective from 8:15 p.m. (local) to 10:30 p.m. on July 4th, 2006. The Captain of the Port, Sector Lake Michigan, or the on scene Patrol Commander may terminate this event at anytime.

(c) Regulations. In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into this zone is subject to the following requirements:

(1) This safety zone is closed to all marine traffic, except as may be permitted by the Captain of the Port or his duly appointed representative.

(2) The "duly appointed representative" of the Captain of the Port is any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been designated by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan, to act on his behalf. The representative of the Captain of the Port will be aboard either a Coast Guard or Coast Guard Auxiliary vessel.

(3) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the Safety Zone shall contact the Captain of the Port or his representative to obtain permission to do so. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the Safety Zone shall comply with all directions given to

them by the Captain of the Port or his representative.

(4) The Captain of the Port may be contacted by telephone via the Sector Lake Michigan Operations Center at (414) 747–7182 during working hours. Vessels assisting in the enforcement of the Safety Zone may be contacted on VHF–FM channels 16 or 23A. Vessel operators may determine the restrictions in effect for the safety zone by coming alongside a vessel patrolling the perimeter of the Safety Zone.

(5) Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan will issue a Marine Safety Information Broadcast Notice to Mariners to notify the maritime community of the Safety Zone and restriction imposed.

Dated: June 22, 2006.

#### S.P. LaRochelle,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Lake Michigan.

[FR Doc. E6–10392 Filed 6–30–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–15–P** 

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD09-06-076]

## Safety Zone: Captain of the Port Sector Lake Michigan Zone

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will enforce safety zones for annual fireworks displays in the Captain of the Port Sector Lake Michigan Zone during July 2006. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life and property on navigable waters during these events. These safety zones will restrict vessel traffic from a portion of the Captain of the Port Sector Lake Michigan Zone.

**DATES:** Effective Dates: Effective from 12:01 a.m. (local) on July 1, 2006 to 11:59 p.m. (local) on July 31, 2006.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief Warrant Officer Brad Hinken, Sector Lake Michigan, (414) 747 7154.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coast Guard will enforce the permanent safety zones in 33 CFR 100.901 (published July 1, 2005), for fireworks displays in the Captain of the Port Sector Lake Michigan Zone during July 2006. The following safety zones are in effect for fireworks displays occurring in the month of July 2006:

(1) Venetian Festival Fireworks Display, St. Joseph River, Michigan. Location: St. Joseph River, within a 1,000-foot radius of the fireworks launching site, located at the St. Joseph South Pier, in approximate position 42°06′48″ N 086°29′15″ W.

Enforcement Date: The Venetian Festival Fireworks Display safety zone will be enforced from 9 p.m. (local) to 11:30 p.m. (local) on July 15, 2006.

(2) South Haven 4th of July Fireworks,

South Haven, Michigan.

Location: Lake Michigan, Black River, South Haven, MI within a 1000 foot radius of the fireworks launching site located on the North Pier, in approximate position 42°24′08″ N 086°17′03″ W. (NAD 1902).

Enforcement Date: The South Haven 4th of July Fireworks safety zone will be enforced from 9 p.m. (local) to 11 p.m. (local) on July 5, 2006.

(3) Van Andel Fireworks Show, Holland, MI.

Location: Lake Michigan, Holland Harbor, MI, South Pier, within a 1000 foot radius of the fireworks launching site located in approximate position 42°46′21″ N 086°12′48″ W.

Enforcement Dates: The Van Andel Fireworks Show safety zone will be enforced from 9 p.m. (local) to 11 p.m. (local) on July 3, 2006 and from 9 p.m. (local) to 11 p.m. (local) on July 4, 2006.

(4) City Fireworks, Frankfort, MI. Location: Lake Michigan, Frankfort, MI within a 1000 foot radius of the fireworks launching site located on Lake Michigan Beach in approximate position 44°38′ N 086°14′50″ W.

Enforcement Dates: The City Fireworks safety zone will be enforced from 9 p.m. (local) to 11 p.m. (local) on July 4, 2006.

(5) 4th of July Fireworks, St. Joseph,

Location: St. Joseph River, within a 1000 foot radius of the fireworks launching site, located at the St. Joseph South Pier, in approximate position 42°06′48″ N 086°29′15″ W.

Enforcement Dates: The 4th of July Fireworks safety zone will be enforced from 7 p.m. (local) to 9 p.m. (local) on July 3, 2006.

(6) Grand Haven Area Jaycees Annual 4th of July Fireworks Display, Grand Haven, MI.

Location: That portion of the Grand River, Grand Haven, MI from the pier heads (mile 0.0) to the U.S. 31 Bascule Bridge (mile 2.89).

Enforcement Dates: The Grand Haven Area Jaycees Annual 4th of July Fireworks Display safety zone will be enforced from 7 p.m. (local) to 11 p.m. (local) on July 4, 2006.

In order to ensure the safety of spectators and transiting vessels, these