

Under the contract, Bionetics provides enforcement support to the Air Enforcement Division, Office of Regulatory Enforcement, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance in a number of activities primarily related to the Clean Air Act. The contractor may also be called upon to provide support to other EPA offices under the other statutes. The activities in which Bionetics provides enforcement support include, but are not limited to:

Inspections and audits of facilities that produce, import, store, transport, dispense or analyze fuel used in mobile source vehicles and engines; and Inspections and audits of facilities that manufacture, import, distribute, sell or repair motor vehicles, motor vehicle engines, or non-road engines.

The type of information that may be disclosed includes, but are not limited to: Records related to the production, importation, distribution, sale, storage, testing and transportation of gasoline, gasoline blendstocks, diesel fuel, diesel fuel blendstocks, and detergent additives; and records related to the manufacture, importation, emission certification, emission testing, emission control warranty, repair, modification and fueling of mobile source vehicles and engines, including, but not limited to, motor vehicles, motor vehicle engines, non-road engines, locomotives and marine engines, and stationary source engines.

It is necessary for Bionetics to have access to these records in order to prepare reports that EPA uses to evaluate whether regulated parties are in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements under the above listed statutes.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.301(h)(2), EPA has determined that disclosure of confidential business information to Bionetics and its subcontractor is necessary for these entities to carry out the work required by this contract. EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information to the EPA under the Clean Air Act that EPA may allow access to CBI contained in such submittals to Bionetics and their subcontractor as necessary to carry out work under this contract. Disclosure of CBI under this contract may continue until August 31, 2011.

As required by 40 CFR 2.301(h)(2), the Bionetics contract includes provisions to assure the appropriate treatment of CBI disclosed to contractors and subcontractors.

Dated: September 27, 2006.

John Fogarty,
Acting Director, Air Enforcement Division.
[FR Doc. E6-16298 Filed 10-2-06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2006-0753; FRL-8226-4]

Clean Water Act Class II: Proposed Administrative Settlement, Penalty Assessment and Opportunity To Comment Regarding Kmart Holding Corporation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has entered into a consent agreement with Kmart Holding Corporation ("Kmart" or "Respondent") to resolve violations of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act ("EPCRA"), and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and their implementing regulations.

The Administrator is hereby providing public notice of this consent agreement and proposed final order, and providing an opportunity for interested persons to comment on the CWA, EPCRA, and RCRA portions of this consent agreement, in accordance with CWA section 311(b)(6)(C).

DATES: Comments are due on or before November 2, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Section I.B of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beth Cavalier, Special Litigation and Projects Division (2248-A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564-3271; fax: (202) 564-0010; e-mail: cavalier.beth@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. How Can I Get Copies of This Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OECA-2006-0753.

The official public docket consists of the Consent Agreement, proposed Final

Order, and any public comments received. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket Information Center (ECDIC) in the EPA Docket Center, (EPA/DC) EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the ECDIC is (202) 566-1752. A reasonable fee may be charged by EPA for copying docket materials.

2. *Electronic Access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket identification number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA's electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Section I.A.1.

For public commentors, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether

submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA's electronic public docket. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket.

Public comments submitted on computer disks that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA's electronic public docket. Public comments that are mailed or delivered to the Docket will be scanned and placed in EPA's electronic public docket. Where practical, physical objects will be photographed, and the photograph will be placed in EPA's electronic public docket along with a brief description written by the docket staff.

B. How and To Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket identification number in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

1. *Electronically.* If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed below, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA's policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your

comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

i. *EPA Dockets.* Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Go directly to EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once in the system, select "search," and then key in Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OECA-2006-0753. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

ii. *E-mail.* Comments may be sent by electronic mail (e-mail) to docket.oeca@epa.gov, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OECA-2006-0753. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the Docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

iii. *Disk or CD ROM.* You may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the mailing address identified in Section I.A.1. These electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect or ASCII file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

2. *By Mail.* Send your comments to: Enforcement and Compliance Docket Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2201T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC, 20460, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OECA-2006-0753.

3. *By Hand Delivery or Courier.* Deliver your comments to the address provided in Section I.A.1., Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OECA-2006-0753. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Section I.A.1.

C. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI

on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

II. Background

Kmart Holding Corporation, doing business as Kmart Corporation, ("Respondent") is owned by Sears Holding Corporation, a retail company located at 3333 Beverly Road, Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60179, and is incorporated in the state of Delaware. Kmart disclosed, pursuant to the EPA "Incentives for Self-Policing: Discovery, Disclosures, Correction and Prevention of Violations" ("Audit Policy"), 65 FR 19618 (April 11, 2000), violations of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act ("EPCRA"), and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and their implementing regulations.

Specifically, Kmart ("Respondent") disclosed that it failed to prepare and implement an SPCC plan for the following facilities: Canton, MI, Chambersburg, PA, Denver/Brighton, CO, Greensboro, NC, Lawrence, KS, Manteno, IL, Morrisville/Fairless Hills, PA, Newnan, GA, Ocala, FL, Ontario, CA, Shakopee, MN, Sparks, NV, and Warren, OH, and, in addition, failed to install adequate secondary containment at its Denver/Brighton, CO and Morrisville/Fairless, PA facilities in violation of the CWA section 311(j) and 40 CFR part 112. EPA, as authorized by CWA section 311(b)(6), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6), has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

Respondent further disclosed that it had failed to comply with: (1) CWA section 402(p), 33 U.S.C. 1342(p), and the regulations found at 40 CFR 122.26 when it failed to obtain a stormwater

permit and/or prepare a stormwater pollution prevention plan at the Billerica, MA, Canton, MI, Chambersburg, PA, Denver/Brighton, CO, Groveport, CA, Greensboro, NC, Manteno, IL, Newnan, GA, Ontario, CA, Shakopee, MN, Sparks, NV, Warren, OH, and Forest Park, GA facilities;

(2) CWA section 402(a), 33 U.S.C. 1342(a) and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 122.26 when it failed to obtain an NPDES permit at its Denver/Brighton, CO and Lawrence, KS facilities;

(3) CWA section 402(a), 33 U.S.C. 1342(a) and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 122.41 and 122.48 when it failed to comply with monitoring requirements and exceeded its permit limits at its Warren, OH facility;

(4) CWA section 402(a), 33 U.S.C. 1342(a) and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 403.5 and 403.12 when it failed to analyze effluent discharge and failed to obtain or renew its discharge permit at its Manteno, IL facility; and

(5) CWA section 402(p), 33 U.S.C. 1342(p) and the regulations found at 40 CFR 122.26 and 122.28 when it failed to conduct stormwater monitoring and failed to file a Discharge Monitoring Report at its Greensboro, NC facility. EPA, as authorized by CWA section 309(b), 33 U.S.C. 1319, has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with EPCRA section 302, 42 U.S.C. 11002, and the regulations found at 40 CFR 355.30, when it failed to notify the State Emergency Response Committee ("SERC"), and EPCRA section 303, 42 U.S.C. 11003, and the regulations found at 40 CFR 355.30, when it failed to notify the Local Emergency Planning Committee ("LEPC") of the identity of the emergency coordinator who would participate in the emergency planning process at the following facilities: Billerica, MA, Canton, MI, Chambersburg, PA, Denver/Brighton, CO, Forest Park, GA, Greensboro, NC, Groveport, CA, Lawrence, KS, Manteno, IL, Mira Loma, CA, Morrisville/Fairless Hills, PA, Newnan, GA, Ocala, FL, Ontario, CA, Shakopee, MN, Sparks, NV, and Warren, OH. EPA, as authorized by EPCRA section 325, has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

In addition, Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with EPCRA section 311, 42 U.S.C. 11021 and the regulations found at 40 CFR 370.21, when it failed to submit a Material Safety Data Sheet ("MSDS") for a hazardous chemical(s) or, in the

alternative, a list of such chemicals, at the following facilities: Billerica, MA, Canton, MI, Chambersburg, PA, Denver/Brighton, CA, Forest Park, GA, Greensboro, NC, Groveport, CA, Lawrence, KS, Manteno, IL, Mira Loma, CA, Morrisville/Fairless Hills, PA, Newnan, GA, Ocala, FL, Ontario, CA, Shakopee, MN, Sparks, NV and Warren, OH. Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with EPCRA section 312, 42 U.S.C. 11022 and the regulations found at 40 CFR 370.25, when it failed to prepare and submit emergency and chemical inventory forms to the LEPC, the SERC and the fire department with jurisdiction over each facility, at the Ontario, CA facility. EPA, as authorized by EPCRA section 325, has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3001(d), 42 U.S.C. 6921(d) and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 261.5 when it failed to comply with requirements for Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators at its Denver/Brighton, CO facility.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3002(a), 42 U.S.C. 6922(a), and the implementing regulations listed below relating to large quantity hazardous waste generators, at the Billerica, MA facility:

(1) 40 CFR Part 262 for failure to make hazardous waste identification;

(2) 40 CFR 262.12, for failure to obtain an EPA ID number;

(3) 40 CFR 262.34, for exceeding hazardous waste accumulation times;

(4) 40 CFR 262.30–262.33, for failure to properly package and label wastes;

(5) 40 CFR 262.40, for failure to maintain proper records;

(6) 40 CFR Part 265, Subpart C, for failure to meet preparedness and prevention standards;

(7) 40 CFR 262.34(d) and 265.16 for failure to provide employee training regarding hazardous handling and management practices;

(8) 40 CFR 273.2 and 273.5; for failure to properly manage and dispose of universal wastes;

(9) 40 CFR Part 265, Subpart D, for failure to follow emergency response procedures; Additionally, Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3004(d), 42 U.S.C. 6924(d) and implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 268.1 and 40 CFR 268.7 when it failed to meet land disposal requirements at its Billerica, MA facility.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3014(a), 42 U.S.C. 6935(a) and the implementing regulations found at 40

CFR 279.22 when it failed to properly label used oil storage drums at its Canton, MI facility.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3002(a), 42 U.S.C. 6922(a) and the implementing regulations listed below at its Greensboro, NC facility:

(1) 40 CFR 265.15, 40 CFR 265.174 and 40 CFR 265.195, for failure to conduct weekly inspections of hazardous waste storage containers;

(2) 40 CFR 262.34(d), for failure to designate an emergency coordinator and failure to post information relating to the emergency coordinator by the phone; and

(3) 40 CFR 262.34(d) and 40 CFR 265.16, for failure to provide hazardous waste handling and management training to employees.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3002(a), 42 U.S.C. 6922(a) and the implementing regulations listed below at its Lawrence, KS facility:

(1) 40 CFR 262.34(a) and (c), when it failed to properly label hazardous waste containers and place accumulation start date on the label;

(2) 40 CFR 265.174; 40 CFR 265.15; and 40 CFR 265.195, when it failed to conduct weekly inspections of hazardous waste storage areas and containers; and

(3) 40 CFR 262.34(d), for failure to designate an emergency coordinator and failure to post information relating to the emergency coordinator by the phone.

Respondent disclosed that at its Morrisville/Fairless Hills, PA facility it had failed to comply with:

(1) RCRA section 3014(a), 42 U.S.C. 6935(a) and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 279.22, when it failed to properly label oil storage drums;

(2) RCRA section 3002(a), 42 U.S.C. 6922(a) and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR Part 262, when it failed to comply with all hazardous waste storage and disposal requirements for large quantity generators of hazardous waste;

(3) RCRA section 9003, 42 U.S.C. 6991b and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 280.20; 280.34; and 280.40–41, when it failed to maintain information concerning construction, leak detection, or periodic monitoring for emergency generator tank 002A; and

(4) RCRA section 9002, 42 U.S.C. 6991a and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 280.22, when it failed to maintain a current underground storage tank (UST) registration certificate.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3002(a), 42 U.S.C. 6922(a) and the implementing regulations listed below at its Newnan, GA facility:

(1) 40 CFR 265.15, 40 CFR 265.174 and 40 CFR 265.195 when it failed to conduct weekly inspections of hazardous waste storage areas and containers; and

(2) 40 CFR 262.34(d) for failure to designate an emergency coordinator and failure to post information relating to the emergency coordinator by the phone; and

(3) 40 CFR 262.34(d) and 40 CFR 265.16, for failure to provide hazardous waste handling and management training to employees.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3002(a), 42 U.S.C. 6922(a) and the implementing regulations listed below at its Ocala, FL facility:

(1) 40 CFR 265.15, 40 CFR 265.174 and 40 CFR 265.195, when it failed to conduct weekly inspections of hazardous waste storage areas and containers; and

(2) 40 CFR 262.34(d), for failure to designate an emergency coordinator and failure to post information relating to the emergency coordinator by the phone; and

(3) 40 CFR 262.34(d) and 40 CFR 265.16, for failure to provide hazardous waste handling and management training to employees.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3014(a), 42 U.S.C. 6935(a) and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 279.22, when it failed to properly label oil storage drums at its Warren, OH facility.

Respondent disclosed that it had failed to comply with RCRA section 3014(a), 42 U.S.C. 6935(a) and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR 279.22, when it failed to properly label used oil containers at its Sparks, NV facility.

EPA, as authorized by RCRA section 3008(g), 42 U.S.C. 6928(g), has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

EPA determined that Respondent met the criteria set out in the Audit Policy for a 100% waiver of the gravity component of the penalty for the EPCRA violations, and for certain CWA and RCRA violations. For those violations meeting the audit policy, EPA waived the gravity based penalty of \$1,608,382 and proposed a settlement penalty amount of \$21,967. This is the amount of the economic benefit gained by Respondent, attributable to its delayed compliance with the CWA, RCRA, and EPCRA regulations. Of this amount,

\$8,260 is attributable to the CWA-SPCC violations; \$7,117 is attributable to the CWA violations; \$6,400 is attributable to the RCRA violations; and \$190 is attributable to the EPCRA violations.

However, Respondent failed to satisfy some of the conditions set forth in the Audit Policy for certain CWA and RCRA violations and was assessed an appropriate and fair civil penalty of \$80,455 (\$78,625 in gravity-based penalties and \$1,830 in economic benefit) to settle those violations.

The total civil penalty assessed for settlement purposes is one hundred and two thousand four hundred and twenty-two dollars (\$102,422). Respondent has agreed to pay this amount. EPA and Respondent negotiated and reached an administrative consent agreement, following the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 CFR 22.13(b), on August 18, 2006 (*In Re: Kmart Holding Corp.* Docket Nos. CWA-HQ-2006-6001, RCRA-HQ-2006-6001, EPCRA-HQ-2006-6001). This consent agreement is subject to public notice and comment under CWA section 311(b)(6), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6).

Under CWA section 311(b)(6)(A), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(A), any owner, operator, or person in charge of a vessel, onshore facility, or offshore facility from which oil is discharged in violation of the CWA section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(3), or who fails or refuses to comply with any regulations that have been issued under CWA section 311(j), 33 U.S.C. 1321(j), may be assessed an administrative civil penalty of up to \$157,500 by EPA. Class II proceedings under CWA section 311(b)(6) are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR Part 22.

Under EPCRA section 325, the Administrator may issue an administrative order assessing a civil penalty against any person who has violated applicable emergency planning or right to know requirements, or any other requirement of EPCRA. Proceedings under EPCRA section 325 are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR part 22.

The procedures by which the public may comment on a proposed Class II penalty order, or participate in a CWA II penalty proceeding, are set forth in 40 CFR 22.45. The deadline for submitting public comment on this proposed final order is November 2, 2006. All comments will be transferred to the Environmental Appeals Board ("EAB") of EPA for consideration. The powers and duties of the EAB are outlined in 40 CFR 22.4(a).

Pursuant to CWA section 311(b)(6)(C), EPA will not issue an order in this

proceeding prior to the close of the public comment period.

Dated: September 19, 2006.

Robert A. Kaplan,

Director, Special Litigation and Projects Division, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.

[FR Doc. E6-16293 Filed 10-2-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8227-1]

Notice of Determination for Dale Hollow Lake To Qualify as a No Discharge Zone

This notice of determination is for all navigable waters of Dale Hollow Lake, located on the border of Kentucky and Tennessee. On March 23, 2006, notice was published that the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), State of Kentucky, and State of Tennessee had petitioned the Regional Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to concur with their determinations that adequate and reasonably available pumpout facilities exist on Dale Hollow Lake. Zero comments were received regarding this proposed action.

Therefore, Dale Hollow is designated as No Discharge Zone in accordance with Section 312(f)(3) of Public Law 92-500 as amended by Public Law 95-217 and Public Law 100-4, that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for the waters of Dale Hollow Lake to qualify as a No Discharge Zone. This action is taken under Section 312(f)(3) of the Clean Water Act which states: "After the effective date of the initial standards and regulations promulgated under this Section, if any State determines that the protection and enhancement of the quality of some or all of the waters within such States require greater environmental protection, such State may completely prohibit the discharge from all vessels of any sewage, whether treated or not into such waters, except that no such prohibition shall apply until the Administrator determines that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for such waters to which such prohibition would apply."

EPA's action allows prohibition regarding discharge from vessels to be applied by the States of Kentucky and Tennessee for Dale Hollow Lake. EPA found the following existing facilities available for pumping out vessel