

*Facility Operating License No. DPR-49*: The amendment revised the Operating License. Date of initial notice in **Federal Register**: September 20, 2005 (70 FR 55175).

The supplemental letters contained clarifying information and did not change the initial no significant hazards consideration determination and did not expand the scope of the original **Federal Register** notice.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 23, 2005.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received*: No.

*Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, City of Dalton, Georgia, Docket Nos. 50-321 and 50-366, Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Appling County, Georgia*

*Date of application for amendments*: August 23, 2004, as supplemented by letter dated May 20, 2005.

*Brief description of amendments*: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications Surveillance Requirements for certain containment purge valves. The amendments replace requirements for valve seat replacement every 24 months with a requirement to perform an Appendix J leakage rate test of the valves at a frequency of at least once every 30 months.

*Date of issuance*: January 20, 2006.

*Effective date*: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

*Amendment Nos.*: 248/192.

*Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-57 and NPF-5*: Amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in Federal Register*: January 4, 2005 (70 FR 405).

The supplemental letter contained clarifying information and did not change the initial no significant hazards consideration determination and did not expand the scope of the original **Federal Register** notice.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated January 20, 2006.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received*: No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of February 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Catherine Haney**,

*Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 06-1162 Filed 2-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-05084]

### Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application of Tasty Baking Company To Withdraw Its Common Stock, \$.50 Par Value, and Common Stock Purchase Rights From Listing and Registration on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

February 7, 2006.

On October 19, 2005, Tasty Baking Company, a Pennsylvania corporation ("Issuer"), filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,<sup>2</sup> to withdraw its common stock, \$.50 par value, and common stock purchase rights (collectively "Securities"), from listing and registration on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE").

The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer approved resolutions on October 6, 2005 to withdraw the Securities from listing and registration on the NYSE and to list the Securities on the Nasdaq National Market ("Nasdaq"). The Board determined that it is in the best interests of the Issuer to list the Securities on Nasdaq.

The Issuer stated in its application that it has complied with NYSE's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration by providing NYSE with the required documents governing the removal of securities from listing and registration on NYSE.

The Issuer's application relates solely to the withdrawal of the Securities from listing on the NYSE and from registration under Section 12(b) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> and shall not affect its obligation to be registered under Section 12(g) of the Act.<sup>4</sup>

Any interested person may, on or before March 6, 2006, comment on the facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of NYSE, and what terms, if any, should be imposed

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78l(d).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78l(b).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78l(g).

by the Commission for the protection of investors. All comment letters may be submitted by either of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/delist.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include the File Number 1-05084 or;

#### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number 1-05084. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/delist.shtml>). Comments are also available for public inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>5</sup>

**Nancy M. Morris**,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-2012 Filed 2-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-53234; File No. SR-Amex-2006-009]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to "All or None" Orders

February 6, 2006.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).

("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on February 2, 2006, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Amex. On February 3, 2006, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The Exchange filed the proposed rule change, as amended, as a "non-controversial" rule change under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) under the Act,<sup>4</sup> which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to eliminate the "all or none" ("AON") order type.<sup>5</sup> The text of the proposed rule change, as amended, is below. Proposed new language is in *italics*. Proposed deletions are in [brackets].

#### Rule 118. Trading in Nasdaq National Market Securities

(a)-(p) No change.

(q) An institutional order is a limit order for a Nasdaq National Market Security of 10,000 shares or more transmitted to the order book electronically which is to be executed automatically in full at one price. If it is not executed automatically in full at one price, it is to be routed to the specialist for execution and may be partially executed. [Unlike an all or none order, a]An institutional order has standing on the limit order book. An institutional order may not be entered for the proprietary account of a broker-dealer.

#### Rule 122. Bids or Offers for More Than Unit of Trading

Bids or offers for more than one unit of trading shall be deemed to be for the amount thereof or a smaller number of units[, except that bids or offers may be made and executed "all or none" if all of the following conditions are met:

Bids or offers, "all or none"  
(1) The securities bid for or offered are bonds;

(2) The amount bid for or offered equals or exceeds \$25,000 of par value;

(3) The bid or offer is executed at a price higher than the best bid price and lower than the best offer price, "regular way," at the time of execution].

#### Rule 124. Types of Bids and Offers

(a)-(f) No change.

["All or none"]

(g) "All or none," *i.e.*, that the bid or offer is for an amount of securities equal to the total amount of securities bid for or offered and no less; provided, however, that such condition may be specified only in accordance with the provisions of Rule 122.]

#### Rule 128A. Automatic Execution

(a) No change.

(b) Definitions:

Amex Published Quote ("APQ")—Specialist/Registered Trader Quantity—No change.

Available Book Quantity: The Available Book Quantity is the number of shares on the order book at the APQ plus additional orders on the book that can be executed at or within the APQ minus shares on the book priced at or within the APQ that cannot be executed by their terms (*e.g.*, [all or none orders and [tick sensitive orders).

Trade Threshold—Maximum Spread Value—No change.

(c)-(i) No change.

(j) Auto-Ex Unavailability. Auto-Ex will be unavailable in the following situations.

(i)-(vii) No change.

(viii) Auto-Ex will not occur with respect to an incoming Auto-Ex Eligible [All Or None or] Institutional Order in the event that there is insufficient size to execute the order in full at one price.

(ix)-(xi) No change.

(xii) [Auto-Ex will not occur if it would cause a trade to occur through the price of an all or none order on the book.

(xiii) Auto-Ex will not occur if there are orders on both sides of the market when the order book comes out of a Freeze condition to allow the specialist to pair-off the orders.

(xiv) [(xiv)] Auto-Ex will not occur if the spread exceeds the Maximum Spread Value.

Auto-Ex Eligible Orders that are not automatically executed will be routed to the specialist for handling.

#### Rule 131. Types of Orders

(a)-(b) No change.

[All or none order

(c) An all or none order is a market or limited price order which is to be executed in its entirety or not at all, but, unlike a fill or kill order, is not to be treated as cancelled if not executed as soon as it is represented in the Trading Crowd. The making of "all or none" bids or offers in stocks is prohibited, and the making of "all or none" bids or offers in bonds is subject to the restrictions of Rule 122.]

(d)-(t) No change.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Rule 904. Position Limits

(a)-(b) No change.

\* \* \* Commentary

.01-.09 No change.

.10 No change.

(a)-(b) No change.

(c) The facilitation firm shall comply with the following provisions regarding the execution of its customer's order and its own facilitating order:

(1) Neither the customer order nor the facilitation order may be contingent on ["all or none" or] "fill or kill" instructions;

(2) The orders may not be executed until Rule 950(d) procedures have been satisfied and all market participants have been given a reasonable time to participate in the order.

\* \* \* \* \*

.11 No change.

#### Rule 904C. Position Limits

(a)-(d) No change.

\* \* \* Commentary

.01 No change.

.02 No change.

(a)-(b) No change.

(c) The facilitation firm shall comply with the following provisions regarding the execution of its customer's order and its own facilitating order:

(1) Neither the customer order nor the facilitation order may be contingent on ["all or none" or] "fill or kill" instructions;

(2) The orders may not be executed until Rule 950(d) procedures have been satisfied and all market participants have been given a reasonable time to participate in the order;

\* \* \* \* \*

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change, as amended, and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change, as amended. The text of these statements

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> Partial Amendment No. 1 ("Amendment No. 1") corrects an error in the heading of Exhibit 5 of Form 19b-4.

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>5</sup> The Exchange is proposing to eliminate the AON order type for equities (including Exchange Traded funds, Trust Issued Receipts and other equity traded products), options, and bonds.

may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, Proposed Rule Change*

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes the elimination of the AON order type. The Amex states that, Exchange Rule 131(c) defines an AON order as a market or limited price order which is to be executed in its entirety or not at all, but, unlike a "fill or kill"<sup>6</sup> order, is not to be treated as cancelled if not executed as soon as it is represented in the Trading Crowd.

The Amex believes that, AON orders are unnecessary and should be eliminated because: (i) AON orders are infrequently used and represent a very small percentage of order flow; (ii) the resources and programming effort necessary to support AON orders cannot be justified; (iii) the availability of "immediate or cancel"<sup>7</sup> and "fill or kill" orders provide a better substitute for customers seeking similar types of executions; and (iv) AON orders cannot be represented in the Amex's published best bid/offer due to the conditional nature of the order's execution.

In support of the infrequent use of AON orders, Amex states that, an analysis of all AON equity orders on the Exchange for the months of November 2005 and December 2005 revealed that AON orders are infrequently used and represent a very small percentage of equity order flow. The Exchange notes that out of 7,854,438 and 8,736,624 orders entered on the Exchange during November 2005 and December 2005, respectively, only 53,405, or 0.68% and 54,607, or 0.63%, respectively, were

<sup>6</sup> The Exchange states that, Amex Rule 131(i) defines a "fill or kill" order as a market or limited price order which is to be executed in its entirety as soon as it is represented in the Trading Crowd, and such order, if not so executed, is to be treated as cancelled. The Amex states that, for purposes of this definition, a "stop" is considered an execution. The Amex states, that a fill or kill order for securities other than options sent to the order book electronically and not executed by Auto-Ex would be cancelled immediately.

<sup>7</sup> The Exchange states that, Amex Rule 131(k) defines an "immediate or cancel" order as a market or limited price order which is to be executed in whole or in part as soon as such order is represented in the Trading Crowd, and the portion not so executed is to be treated as cancelled. The Amex states that, for the purposes of this definition, a "stop" is considered an execution. The Amex states that, in the case of an immediate or cancel order for securities other than options sent to the order book electronically, any portion not executed by Auto-Ex would be cancelled automatically.

AON orders. In addition, approximately 70.1% and 72.1% of these AON orders that were entered during the respective months of November and December were cancelled.

The Amex states that, similarly, an analysis of all AON options orders on the Exchange for the months of November 2005 and December 2005 also revealed that AON orders are infrequently used and represent a very small percentage of options order flow. The Exchange notes that out of 1,093,173 and 996,564 orders entered on the Exchange during November 2005 and December 2005, respectively, only 6,857, or 0.63% and 4,278 or 0.43%, respectively, were AON orders. In addition, approximately 26.6% and 28.3% of these AON orders that were entered during the respective months of November and December were cancelled.

Additionally, Amex notes that the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") filed a proposal with the Commission in July 2005 to eliminate the AON order type citing similar reasons.<sup>8</sup> The Exchange believes that the AON order type should be eliminated, and accordingly, all references to AON orders should be eliminated from relevant Amex rules.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal, as amended, is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act<sup>9</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>10</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest; and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers, or to regulate by virtue of any authority conferred by the Act matters not related to the purpose of the Act or the administration of the Exchange.

*B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change, as amended,

<sup>8</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 52154 (July 28, 2005), 70 FR 44966 (August 4, 2005) (order approving file No. SR-NYSE-2005-51).

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

will impose any inappropriate burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

*C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others*

The Exchange states that, no written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change, as amended.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Because the proposed rule change, as amended, does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days after the date of filing (or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest), the proposed rule change, as amended, has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>11</sup> and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.<sup>12</sup>

A proposed rule change filed under 19b-4(f)(6) normally may not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing.<sup>13</sup> However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii)<sup>14</sup> permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has satisfied the five-day filing requirement. In addition, the Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day pre-operative delay and designate the proposed rule change, as amended, to become upon filing. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day pre-operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it would allow the Exchange to expeditiously eliminate an infrequently used order type, which may increase the efficiency of the Exchange. The Commission also notes that this proposed rule change, as amended, is similar to SR-NYSE-2005-51.<sup>15</sup> For the reasons stated above, the Commission designates the proposal, as amended, to become effective and operative immediately.<sup>16</sup>

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>13</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>14</sup> *Id.*

<sup>15</sup> See *supra*, note 8.

<sup>16</sup> For purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission has

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in the furtherance of the purposes of the Act.<sup>17</sup>

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Amex-2006-009 on the subject line.

##### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2006-009. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, NE., Washington,

considered the impact of the proposed rule on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>17</sup> The effective date of the original proposed rule change is February 2, 2006, and the effective date of Amendment No. 1 is February 3, 2006. For purposes of calculating the 60-day period within which the Commission may summarily abrogate the proposed rule change, as amended, the Commission considers the period to commence on February 3, 2006, the date on which the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1. See 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Amex. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2006-009 and should be submitted on or before March 7, 2006.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>18</sup>

**Jill M. Peterson,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-2011 Filed 2-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-53235; File No. SR-NYSE-2005-92]

#### Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto Relating to Increasing Certain Fees Charged by the Exchange to Its Members and Member Organizations

February 6, 2006.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on December 23, 2005, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the NYSE. On February 2, 2006, the NYSE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The Exchange has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the NYSE under section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,<sup>4</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,<sup>5</sup> which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit

comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The NYSE proposes to modify certain fees that the Exchange charges its members and member organizations. The proposed rule change increases the following fees: (1) Margin Extension Fees; (2) The Series 7 (General Securities Registered Representative) Examination Development Fee; (3) Statutory Disqualification Fees; and (4) the session fee for the regulatory element of the continuing education requirements of NYSE Rule 345A ("Continuing Education for Registered Persons"). Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is in *italics*; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

##### NYSE 2005 Price List

\* \* \* \* \*  
Pages 1-8 No changes.

##### Registration Fees

\* \* \* \* \*

##### Credit Extensions

Amount per extension [\$2.00]~~\$4.00~~<sup>6</sup>

\* \* \* \* \*

Statutory Disqualification *Filing Fee*  
[1,000.00]~~\$1,500.00~~

*Statutory Disqualification Review Fee*  
~~\$1,000.00~~<sup>7</sup>

\* \* \* \* \*

*Regulatory Element Fee* \$75.00<sup>8</sup>

Testing Fees: Please call 212.656.2578 for information.

##### Qualification Examinations

*Series 7 Fee* \$100.00<sup>9</sup>

\* \* \* \* \*

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, NYSE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements

<sup>6</sup> The \$4.00 fee is effective as of January 1, 2006. The fee was \$2.00 prior to January 1, 2006.

<sup>7</sup> The \$1,000.00 fee is effective as of January 1, 2006. There was no fee before for the review of statutory disqualification applications, prior to January 1, 2006.

<sup>8</sup> The \$75.00 fee is effective as of January 1, 2006. The fee was \$60.00, prior to January 1, 2006.

<sup>9</sup> The \$100.00 fee is effective as of January 1, 2006. The fee was \$90.00, prior to January 1, 2006.

<sup>18</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> In Amendment No. 1, the NYSE made non-substantive changes to the text of the proposed rule change.

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).