

coupling on both sides of the dry bay wall, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin.

Inspection of Electrical Bonding Jumper

(i) For all airplanes as identified in the service bulletins: Within 60 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a general visual inspection and applicable corrective actions to ensure that an electrical bonding jumper is installed between the engine fuel feed tube and the adjacent wing station 285.65 rib in the left and right wing fuel tanks, in accordance with the service bulletins.

Replacement of O-Ring and Test

(j) For airplanes on which the actions in paragraphs (g) or (h)(2) of this AD were done before the effective date of this AD in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 757-28A0076, dated August 27, 2004; and Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 757-28A0077, dated August 27, 2004; as applicable: Within 60 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the O-ring, part number (P/N) MS29513-330 with a new O-ring, P/N MS29513-328, and do a leak test before further flight after reassembly. Do all actions in accordance with Part B of the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin.

Exception to Accomplishment Instructions in Service Bulletins

(k) Although Boeing Service Bulletin 757-28A0076, Revision 1, and Boeing Service Bulletin 757-28A0077, Revision 1, both dated October 20, 2005, permit operator's equivalent procedures (OEP), this AD would require you to use the referenced Airplane Maintenance Manuals, except that operators may use their own FAA-approved OEPs to drain the left and right engine fuel tubes, to drain and ventilate the fuel tanks, and to enter the fuel tanks.

Actions Accomplished in Accordance With Original Issues of Service Bulletins

(l) Actions done before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 757-28A0076, and Boeing Service Bulletin 757-28A0077, both dated August 24, 2004, are acceptable for compliance only with the requirements of paragraph (h)(1) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(m)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) Before using any AMOC approved in accordance with § 39.19 on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify the appropriate principal inspector in the FAA Flight Standards Certificate Holding District Office.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 24, 2006.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E6-4827 Filed 4-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2006-24290; Directorate Identifier 2005-NM-243-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model DHC-8-100, DHC-8-200, and DHC-8-300 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Bombardier Model DHC-8-100, DHC-8-200, and DHC-8-300 series airplanes. This proposed AD would require repetitive inspections of the fluorescent light tube assemblies of the cabin, lavatory, and sidewall, and corrective actions if necessary. This proposed AD would also provide for optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This proposed AD results from reports of overheating due to arcing between the fluorescent tube pins and the lamp holder contacts. The tubes had not been properly seated during installation. We are proposing this AD to prevent fumes, traces of visible smoke, and fire at the fluorescent light tube assembly.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by May 4, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Nassif Building, room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590.

- Fax: (202) 493-2251.

- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building,

400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Contact Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada, for service information identified in this proposed AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Douglas Wagner, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Flight Test Branch, ANE-172, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 1600 Stewart Avenue, suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone (516) 228-7306; fax (516) 794-5531.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Include the docket number "FAA-2006-24290; Directorate Identifier 2005-NM-243-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that Web site, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78), or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Examining the Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the Docket Management System receives them.

Discussion

Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA), which is the airworthiness authority for Canada, advised us that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Bombardier Model DHC-8-100, DHC-8-200, and DHC-8-300 series airplanes. TCCA advises that numerous service difficulty reports have indicated damage to fluorescent lamp holders in the cabin,

lavatory, and sidewall due to overheating. The overheating can result from arcing between the fluorescent tube pins and the lamp holder contacts if the tube is not properly seated during installation. This condition, if not corrected, could result in fumes, traces of visible smoke, and fire at the fluorescent light tube assembly.

Relevant Service Information

The manufacturer has revised certain procedures for inspecting certain fluorescent tube assemblies. These procedures for detailed visual inspections are described in the temporary revisions (TRs) to the de Havilland DASH-8 Maintenance Program Manual, as identified in the following table.

DE HAVILLAND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANUAL TRS

Area	DHC-8 series	Task No.	TR	Date	PSM No.
Cabin	100	3320/01	MRB-146	August 31, 2004	1-8-7
	200	3320/01	MRB 2-24	August 31, 2004	1-82-7
	300	3320/01	MRB 3-155	August 31, 2004	1-83-7
Lavatory	100	3320/03	MRB-147	May 3, 2005	1-8-7
	200	3320/03	MRB 2-25	May 3, 2005	1-82-7
	300	3320/03	MRB 3-156	May 3, 2005	1-83-7
Sidewall	100	3320/02	MRB-147	May 3, 2005	1-8-7
	200	3320/02	MRB 2-25	May 3, 2005	1-82-7
	300	3320/02	MRB 3-156	May 3, 2005	1-83-7

Bombardier has issued Service Bulletins 8-33-52, dated April, 15, 2005, and 8-33-51, Revision 'A,' dated April 20, 2005. The service bulletins describe procedures for replacing certain ballasts with new "Arc Protection" ballasts.

Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition. TCCA mandated the inspections specified in the TRs, and prohibited future replacement of an existing ballast except in accordance with the service bulletins. TCCA issued Canadian airworthiness directive CF-2004-26R1, dated September 28, 2005, to ensure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Canada.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

These airplane models are manufactured in Canada and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, TCCA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. We have examined TCCA's findings, evaluated all pertinent information, and determined that we need to issue an AD for airplanes of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Therefore, we are proposing this AD, which would require repetitive inspections to detect signs of arcing in the fluorescent light tube assemblies of

the cabin, lavatory, and sidewall, and corrective actions if necessary. This proposed AD would also provide for optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections.

Differences Between Service Information/Canadian Airworthiness Directive

The following differences apply to this proposed AD:

1. The Canadian airworthiness directive does not specify intervals for repeating the inspections. Instead, it requires incorporating the TRs previously identified into the applicable Maintenance Review Board (MRB) document, which contains the repetitive intervals for the inspections. TCCA requires operators in Canada to use the information—including the repetitive intervals—in the latest revision of the MRB. However, since the MRB is not mandatory in the U.S., this proposed AD would require that operators repeat the inspections.

2. The Canadian airworthiness directive requires the initial inspection at the earlier of the next C-check or within 36 months. But maintenance schedules vary among operators, so a compliance time specified as the next C-check would not ensure that the airplane would be inspected in a timely manner. We have been advised that the average C-check interval is 5,000 flight hours; therefore, this proposed AD would require the initial inspection within the earlier of 36 months or 5,000 flight hours.

3. This proposed AD would allow the repetitive inspections to be terminated if

all ballasts installed on the airplane are "Arc Protection" ballasts. Although this provision is not specifically stated in the Canadian airworthiness directive, TCCA's intent was to consider total ballast replacement as terminating action for the repetitive inspections.

4. The service bulletins do not provide for corrective action for signs of arcing. This proposed AD would require repairing those conditions before further flight using a method approved by the FAA or TCCA (or its delegated agent). In light of the type of repair that would be required to address the unsafe condition, and consistent with existing bilateral airworthiness agreements, we have determined that a repair approved by the FAA or TCCA would be acceptable for compliance with this proposed AD. Chapter 33-20-00, Section D, of the Airplane Maintenance Manual is one approved method.

5. The TRs specify "detailed visual inspections" of the fluorescent light tube assemblies of the cabin, lavatory, and sidewall. We have determined that the procedures in the TRs should be described as a "detailed inspections." Note 1 in this proposed AD defines this type of inspection.

These differences have been coordinated with TCCA.

Costs of Compliance

The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this proposed AD. This proposed AD would affect about 121 U.S.-registered airplanes.

ESTIMATED COSTS, PER INSPECTION CYCLE

Action	Work hours	Average labor rate per hour	Parts	Cost per airplane
Inspection, per inspection cycle.	6 maximum	\$80	None	Up to \$480.
Ballast replacement (optional)	2, per ballast ¹	80	\$486, per ballast	Up to \$41,990.

¹ NUMBER OF BALLASTS PER AIRPLANE

Area	Airplane model	Number of ballasts
Lavatory	DHC-8-100 and -200	1
	DHC-8-300	1
Sidewall	DHC-8-100 and -200	19
	DHC-8-300	30
Cabin	DHC-8-100 and -200	21
	DHC-8-300	33

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the ADDRESSES section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Bombardier, Inc. (Formerly de Havilland, Inc.): Docket No. FAA-2006-24290; Directorate Identifier 2005-NM-243-AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by May 4, 2006.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Bombardier Model DHC-8-102, -103, -106, -201, -202, -301, -311, and -315 airplanes; certificated in any category; serial numbers 003 through 407 inclusive, 409 through 412 inclusive, and 414 through 433 inclusive; excluding those with Hunting interiors.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD results from reports of overheating due to arcing between the fluorescent tube pins and the lamp holder contacts. The tubes had not been properly seated during installation. We are issuing this AD to prevent fumes, traces of visible smoke, and fire at the fluorescent light tube assembly.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Inspection

(f) Within 5,000 flight hours or 36 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first: Perform detailed inspections to detect signs of arcing of the fluorescent tube assemblies of the cabin, sidewalls, and lavatory, in accordance with the applicable temporary revision (TR) of the maintenance program manual (MPM) identified in Table 1 of this AD. If any sign of arcing is found, repair before further flight using a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA; or Transport Canada Civil Aviation (or its delegated agent). Chapter 33-20-00, Section D, of the Airplane Maintenance Manual is one approved method. Repeat the inspection at intervals not to exceed 5,000 flight hours, until all Ballast part numbers BA08006-1 or BA08006-28-1 have been replaced in accordance with paragraph (g) of this AD.

TABLE 1.—TRS

Inspect the fluorescent tube assemblies of the—	In accordance with Task No.—	of de Havilland TR—	To the de Havilland DASH 8 series—	For model—
Cabin	3320/01	MRB 2–24, dated August 31, 2004.	200 MPM PSM 1–82–7	DHC–8–201 and –202 airplanes.
	3320/01	MRB 3–155, dated August 31, 2004.	300 MPM PSM 1–83–7	DHC–8–301, –311, –314, and –315 airplanes.
	3320/01	MRB–146, dated August 31, 2004.	100 MPM PSM 1–8–7	DHC–8–102, –103, –106 airplanes.
Lavatory	3320/03	MRB –147, dated May 3, 2005.	100 MPM PSM 1–8–7	DHC–8–102, –103, –106 airplanes.
	3320/03	MRB 2–25, dated May 3, 2005.	200 MPM PSM 1–82–7	DHC–8–201 and –202 airplanes.
	3320/03	MRB 3–156, dated May 3, 2005.	300 MPM PSM 1–83–7	DHC–8–301, –311, –314, and –315 airplanes.
Sidewall	3320/02	MRB 2–25, dated May 3, 2005.	200 MPM PSM 1–82–7	DHC–8–102, –103, –106 airplanes.
	3320/02	MRB 3–156, dated May 3, 2003.	300 MPM PSM 1–83–7	DHC–8–301, –311, –314, and –315 airplanes.
	3320/02	MRB –147, dated May 3, 2003.	100 MPM PSM 1–8–7	DHC–8–102, –103, –106 airplanes.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is: “An intensive examination of a specific item, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at an intensity deemed appropriate. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be necessary. Surface cleaning and elaborate procedures may be required.”

Terminating Action

(g) The repetitive inspections required by this AD may be terminated if all ballasts installed on the airplane have part number (P/N) BR9000–21, installed in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 8–33–51, Revision ‘A,’ dated April 20, 2005 (to replace ballast P/N BA08006–1), or 8–33–52, dated April 15, 2005 (to replace ballast P/N BA08006–28–1). Ballasts installed before the effective date of this AD are also acceptable if done in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 8–33–51, dated August 16, 2002.

Parts Installation

(h) As of the effective date of this AD: No person may install a ballast P/N BA08006–1 or BA08006–28–1 on any airplane.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(i)(1) The Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) Before using any AMOC approved in accordance with § 39.19 on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify the appropriate principal inspector in the FAA Flight Standards Certificate Holding District Office.

Related Information

(j) Canadian airworthiness directive CF–2004–26R1, dated September 28, 2005, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 24, 2006.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E6–4841 Filed 4–3–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 18

[FRL–8053–4]

RIN 2030–AA91

Environmental Protection Research Fellowships and Special Research Consultants for Environmental Protection

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is taking direct final action on the implementation of the EPA’s statutory authority in Title II of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act of 2006 (Pub. L. 109–54) that will allow the EPA to establish fellowships in environmental protection research, appoint fellows to conduct this research, and appoint special research consultants to advise on environmental protection research. Under an administrative provision of Public Law 109–54, the Administrator may, after consultation with the Office of

Personnel Management, make up to five (5) appointments in any fiscal year from 2006 to 2011 for the Office of Research and Development. Appointees under this authority shall be employees of the EPA and will engage in activities related to scientific and engineering research that support EPA’s mission to protect the environment and human health.

In the “Rules and Regulations” section of the **Federal Register**, we are approving implementation of the EPA’s statutory authority (to establish fellowships in environmental protection research and appoint fellows to conduct this research and appoint special research consultants to advise on environmental protection research) in Title II of the Interior, Environmental and Related Agencies Appropriations Act of 2006 (Pub. L. 109–54) with 42 U.S.C. 209 as a direct final rule without prior proposal because we view this as a non-controversial revision and anticipate no adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for this approval in the preamble to the direct final rule. If we receive no adverse comment, no further action on this proposed rule will be taken. If we receive adverse comment, we will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received by May 4, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–