

contractual changes with TSPs must precede implementation of the Defense Personal Property System (DPS) under the Families First program.”

#### *Regulation Flexibility Act*

This action is not considered rulemaking within the meaning of Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612.

#### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

The Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3051 *et seq.*, does not apply because no information collection or record keeping requirements are imposed on contractors, offerors or members of the public.

**Steven L. Amato,**

*Colonel, U.S. Air Force, DCS, Passenger and Personal Property.*

[FR Doc. 06–9729 Filed 12–14–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710–08–M**

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers**

#### **Intent To Prepare a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS) for the Nourishment of 25,000 ft of Beach in Topsail Beach, Onslow County, NC**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE), Wilmington District, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office has received a request for Department of the Army authorization, pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, from the Town of Topsail Beach to conduct an emergency beach fill project to protect ocean front development and infrastructure until such time that a federally authorized shore protection project can be implemented. At this time, the construction date for the Federal project is uncertain. A Draft General Reevaluation Report—Environmental Impact Statement (GRR–EIS) has been prepared by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and was released for public review and comment in June 2006 (USACE, 2006). Given the current status of the GRR–EIS and the need for Congressional authorization, funding, preparation of plans and specifications, and right-of-way acquisition, the Federal project may not be implemented until Fiscal Year 2010, or possibly later.

**DATES:** A public scoping meeting for the DSEIS will be held at Topsail Beach Assembly Building, located on Channel Boulevard in Topsail Beach, on January 15, 2007 at 6 p.m. Written comments will be received until January 31, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of comments and questions regarding scoping of the DSEIS may be addressed to: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District, Regulatory Division. ATTN: File Number SAW–2006–40848–071, Post Office Box 1890, Wilmington, NC 28402–1890.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Questions about the proposed action and DSEIS can be directed to Mr. Dave Timpy, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office, telephone: (910) 251–4634.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 1. *Project Description.* The fill placement area will occur between the Topsail Beach/Surf City limit to Godwin Avenue on the ocean beach. The project design will remain consistent with the Federal design and will involve a dune and berm system to be constructed to a height of 15 feet NGVD with a 7 foot NGVD berm height. An optimum berm width of 50 feet is proposed. An offshore sand source area located about 1 mile east of Lea-Hutaff Island is currently being investigated for sediment compatibility with the native beach. The proposed construction timeframe for the emergency beach fill activities will occur in late calendar year 2007 or early calendar year 2008.

*Beach Fill Surveys & Design.* Typical cross-sections of the beach along the Topsail Beach project area will be surveyed. Nearshore profiles will extend seaward of the monuments to a point equal to or greater than 50 feet seaward of the construction toe of fill. The total volume of beach fill to be placed in front of the existing development and infrastructure will be based on an evaluation of erosion of the project area from 2002 through the expected construction of the Federal project.

*Geotechnical Investigations.* The offshore and search investigations include approximately two square miles (1,220 acres) of seabed. Offshore investigations include bathymetric surveys, sidescan sonar surveys, seismic and cultural resource surveys, as well as vibrocore collection and analysis. The results of the offshore investigations coupled with the compatibility of the sand resource area and native beach sand will be assessed to define the borrow area.

*Environmental Resource Coordination & Permitting.* The GRR–EIS prepared by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers prepared an Environmental Impact

Statement (EIS) for the larger Federal Shore protection project. The interim (emergency) beach fill project will be subject to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA).

Preliminary coordination with the USACE-Wilmington District resulted in a determination that a Department of the Army Application for an Individual Permit will be needed for project compliance with Sections 10 and 404. Similarly, coordination with the North Carolina Division of Coastal Management determined that the project would require a State EIS developed in accordance with SEPA; as well as a Major Permit under the Coastal Area Management Act.

2. *Proposed Action.* The scope of activities for the proposed emergency beach fill project includes: (1) Vibracores in the identified borrow area, (b) side scan sonar surveys of the ocean bottom, (c) in-water investigations of potential near shore hard bottom resources identified by the side scan sonar survey, and (d) beach profile surveys. Offshore investigations include bathymetric surveys, sidescan sonar surveys, seismic and cultural resource surveys, as well as vibrocore collection and analysis. The results of the offshore investigations coupled with the compatibility of the sand resource area and native beach sand will be assessed to define the borrow area.

3. *Issues.* There are several potential environmental issues that will be addressed in the DSEIS. Additional issues may be identified during the scoping process. Issues initially identified as potentially significant include:

a. Potential impact to marine biological resources (organisms, passageway for fish and other marine life) and Essential Fish Habitat, particularly Hard Bottoms.

b. Potential impact to threatened and endangered marine mammals, birds, fish, and plants.

c. Potential impacts to water quality.

d. Potential increase in erosion rates to adjacent beaches.

e. Potential impacts to navigation, commercial and recreational.

f. Potential impacts to private and public property.

g. Potential impacts on public health and safety.

h. Potential impacts to recreational and commercial fishing.

i. The compatibility of the material for nourishment.

j. Potential economic impacts.

4. *Alternatives.* Several alternatives are being considered for the proposed

project. These alternatives will be further formulated and developed during the scoping process and an appropriate range of alternatives, including the no action alternative, will be considered in the Supplemental Draft EIS.

5. *Scoping Process.* A public scoping meeting (see **DATES**) will be held to receive public comment and assess public concerns regarding the appropriate scope and preparation of the DSEIS. Participation in the public meeting by Federal, State, and local agencies and other interested organizations and persons is encouraged.

The COE will also be consulting with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the Endangered Species Act and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and with the National Marine Fisheries Service under the Magnuson-Stevens Act and Endangered Species Act. Additionally, the EIS will assess the potential water quality impacts pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, and will be coordinated with the North Carolina Division of Coastal Management (DCM) to determine the projects consistency with the Coastal Zone Management Act. The COE will closely work with DCM through the EIS to ensure the process complies with all State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements. It is the COE and DCM's intentions to consolidate both NEPA and SEPA processes to eliminate duplications.

6. *Availability of the Draft Supplemental EIS.* The DSEIS is expected to be published and circulated sometime in early 2007, and a public hearing will be held after the publication of the DSEIS.

Dated: December 4, 2006.

**John E. Pulliam, Jr.**

*Colonel, U.S. Army, District Commander.*

[FR Doc. 06-9727 Filed 12-14-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-GN-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Review and Comments From the Interested Public on Draft Programmatic Agreement on the Effect on Historic Properties Due to Project Operations on the Federal Columbia River Power System

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, in cooperation with Bonneville Power Administration and the Bureau of Reclamation (together referred to as "Lead Federal Agencies"), has released a draft of the Systemwide Programmatic Agreement (PA) under the National Historic Preservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 470 *et seq.*; 36 CFR 800.14(b). The Lead Federal Agencies (Corps, BPA, and Reclamation) have released the draft PA for the management of historic properties affected by the multipurpose operations of fourteen dam/reservoir projects of the Federal Columbia River Power System (Libby, Albeni Falls, Chief Joseph, McNary, John Day, the Dalles, Bonneville, Dworshak, Lower Granite, Lower Monumental, Little Goose, Ice Harbor, Grand Coulee, and Hungry Horse) on the Columbia or Snake rivers in the states of Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and Montana pursuant to section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA).

**DATES:** Comments are due on Friday, January 19, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Tribal Affairs—DKT-7, Bonneville Power Administration; P.O. Box 14428; Portland, OR 97293-5884. They may also be faxed to (503) 230-5884 or e-mailed to [comment@bpa.gov](mailto:comment@bpa.gov). Please include with your comments the title "Draft FCRPS Systemwide PA".

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kimberly St. Hilaire, BPA Cultural Resource Manager, (503) 230-5361; Lynne MacDonald, Bureau of Reclamation Regional Archeologist, (208) 378-5316; or, Gail Celmer, Corps Regional Archeologist, (503) 808-4762.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposed agreement has been under development for over 10 years following commitments made by the agencies in Records of Decision in 1997 following the analysis of the System Operation Review Environmental Impact Statement. Agencies found that the Project operations have the potential to adversely affect and may continue to threaten historic properties eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. The Lead Federal Agencies developed this draft of the PA in cooperation and consultation with tribes, State and tribal historic preservation officers, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, Federal land managing agencies, and other interested parties. Comments are now being sought on the draft PA which is available at [http://efw.bpa.gov/environmental\\_services/culturalresources.aspx](http://efw.bpa.gov/environmental_services/culturalresources.aspx). The Lead Federal Agencies would appreciate any comments from interested members of the public.

Dated: December 5, 2006.

**Randall L. Fofi,**

*Deputy Division Commander.*

[FR Doc. 06-9728 Filed 12-14-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-AR-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education (DOE).

**SUMMARY:** The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before February 13, 2007.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this