This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–4254 Filed 3–23–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GT95-11-008]

Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc.; Notice of Refund Report

March 16, 2006.

Take notice that on March 10, 2006, Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc. (Southern Star), formerly Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc., tendered for filing a refund report regarding collection of Kansas ad valorem taxes in Southern Star's Docket No. GT95–11– 007.

Southern Star states that this filing is being made in compliance with a Commission order requiring Southern Star to continue to make refunds of ad valorem tax collections and to file refund reports.

Any person desiring to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). Protests to this filing will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Such protests must be filed on or before the date as indicated below. Anyone filing a protest must serve a copy of that document on all the parties to the proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible online at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Protest Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on March 23, 2006.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–4251 Filed 3–23–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project Nos. 2978-005, 2979-006 and 2980-007]

Traverse City Light and Power Board; Notice Denying Late Interventions

March 17, 2006.

On October 25, 2005, Traverse City Light and Power Board (Traverse City) filed applications to surrender its license for the Brown Bridge Project No. 2978 and its exemptions from licensing for the Sabin Project No. 2980 and Boardman Project No. 2979. The projects are located on the Boardman River, Traverse City, Grand Traverse County, Michigan.

On November 9, 2005, the Commission issued a notice of application for surrender of license and exemptions, and solicited comments, protests, and motions to intervene. The notice established December 9, 2005, as the deadline for filing comments, protests, and motions to intervene. 70 FR 69754 (2005).

On February 28, 2006, Boardman River Riparian Property Owners (Boardman) filed a motion for late intervention in all three projects. On March 9, 2006, Community Hydro Partners (Community) filed a motion for late intervention in all three projects. On March 13, 2006, Traverse City filed an answer in opposition to the motions.

Boardman states that it missed the intervention deadline of December 9,

2005, because it was not informed of or aware of its rights to intervene in the proceeding until the week before filing its motion. Community states that it missed the deadline because it was not aware that Traverse City had filed a motion to surrender until February 9, 2006.

Boardman's statement that it was not aware of its rights to intervene until a week before filing its motion and Community's explanation that it did not learn of the project until February 9, 2006, do not constitute good cause. See California Independent System Operator Corp., 91 FERC ¶61,243 at 61,876 (2000) (that movants did not learn of the intervention deadline in time to submit a timely motion to intervene does not amount to good cause under 18 CFR 385.214(d)).

The motions for late intervention in these proceedings filed by Boardman and Community are denied.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–4236 Filed 3–23–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP06-273-000]

Viking Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

March 17, 2006.

Take notice that on March 14, 2006, Viking Gas Transmission Company (Viking) tendered for filing to become part of Viking's FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 48, to become effective April 13, 2006.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed in accordance with the provisions of section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 154.210). Anyone filing an intervention or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. Anyone filing an intervention or protest on or before the intervention or protest date

¹ Traverse City has proposed to surrender the projects, which would include decommissioning of the generating facilities but would not include removal of any of the dams. No construction activities are proposed and existing conditions (*i.e.*, lake levels, etc.) would remain.