

environmental health or safety risk addressed by this action may have a disproportionate effect on children. Depletion of stratospheric ozone results in greater transmission of the sun's ultraviolet (UV) radiation to the earth's surface. The following studies describe the effects on children of excessive exposure to UV radiation: (1) Westerdahl J, Olsson H, Ingvar C. "At what age do sunburn episodes play a crucial role for the development of malignant melanoma," *Eur J Cancer* 1994; 30A:1647-54; (2) Elwood JM, Jopson J. "Melanoma and sun exposure: an overview of published studies," *Int J Cancer* 1997; 73:198-203; (3) Armstrong BK. "Melanoma: childhood or lifelong sun exposure," In: Grobb JJ, Stern RS, Mackie RM, Weinstock WA, eds. "Epidemiology, causes and prevention of skin diseases," 1st ed. London, England: Blackwell Science, 1997:63-6; (4) Whitman D., Green A. "Melanoma and Sunburn," *Cancer Causes Control*, 1994; 5:564-72; (5) Kricker A, Armstrong, BK, English, DR, Heenan, PJ. "Does intermittent sun exposure cause basal cell carcinoma? A case control study in Western Australia," *Int J Cancer* 1995; 60:489-94; (6) Gallagher, RP, Hill, GB, Bajdik, CD, et al. "Sunlight exposure, pigmentary factors, and risk of nonmelanocytic skin cancer I, Basal cell carcinoma," *Arch Dermatol* 1995; 131:157-63; (7) Armstrong, BK. "How sun exposure causes skin cancer: an epidemiological perspective," *Prevention of Skin Cancer*. 2004; 89-116.

Allowing continuing U.S. production to meet developing countries' basic domestic needs, including their need for pharmaceutical-grade CFCs, avoids the need for those countries to install new ODS manufacturing facilities. The amount of CFCs that will be released to the atmosphere should remain the same regardless of the manufacturing location. In addition, avoiding the installation of new capacity is one means of ensuring that production levels continue to decline. Thus, this proposed rule is not expected to increase the impacts on children's health from stratospheric ozone depletion.

H. Executive Order No. 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This proposed rule is not a "significant energy action" as defined in Executive Order No. 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)) because it is not a significant

regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

I. National Technology Transfer Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Public Law. 104-113, Section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This proposed rule does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 82

Environmental protection.

Dated: August 17, 2006.

Stephen L. Johnson,
Administrator.

40 CFR part 82 is amended as follows:

PART 82—PROTECTION OF STRATOSPHERIC OZONE

1. The authority citation for part 82 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7414, 7601, 7671-7671q.

2. Section 82.11 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(3) to read as follows:

§ 82.11 Exports of Class I controlled substances to Article 5 Parties.

(a) * * *

(3) Phased Reduction Schedule for Article 5 Allowances allocated in § 82.11. For each control period specified in the following table, each person is granted the specified percentage of the baseline Article 5 allowances apportioned under § 82.11.

Control period	Class I substances in group I (in percent)	Class I substances in group VI (In percent)
2006	50	80
2007	50	80
2008	50	80
2009	50	80
2010	0	80
2011	0	80
2012	0	80

Control period	Class I substances in group I (in percent)	Class I substances in group VI (In percent)
2013	0	80
2014	0	80
2015	0	0

* * * * *

[FR Doc. E6-13951 Filed 8-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

46 CFR Part 296

[Docket No. MARAD-2006-23804]

RIN 2133-AB68

Maintenance and Repair Reimbursement Pilot Program

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of opening of reply comment period.

SUMMARY: The Maritime Administration (MARAD) is amending its regulations governing its pilot program for the reimbursement of costs of qualified maintenance and repair (M&R) of Maritime Security Program (MSP) vessels performed in United States shipyards. Under Public Law 109-163, the Secretary of Transportation, acting through the Maritime Administrator, is directed to implement regulations that, among other things, replace MARAD's voluntary M&R reimbursement program with a mandatory system.

The notice of proposed rulemaking for this action was published in the **Federal Register** on February 8, 2006 (71 FR 6438). Several of the comments received argued that MARAD lacks authority to unilaterally add to existing MSP agreements the added obligation on the part of the MSP contractor to enter into an M&R Pilot Program agreement. In order to have a full airing of this fundamental issue, MARAD is hereby giving notice that we have decided to open a reply comment period for this rulemaking. Reply comments may address the issue highlighted above or any other issue raised in the original set of comments received in this docket.

DATES: Reply comments are due September 22, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may submit reply comments [identified by DOT DMS Docket Number MARAD 2006-23804] by any of the following methods:

- Web site: <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Follow the instructions for submitting

comments on the DOT electronic docket site.

- Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 7th St., SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-001.

- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 7th St., SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions must include the agency name and docket number or Regulatory Identification Number (RIN) for this rulemaking. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://dms.dot.gov> including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://dms.dot.gov> at any time or to Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 7th St., SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Privacy Act

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Dated: August 17, 2006.

By order of the Maritime Administrator.

Murray A. Bloom,

Acting Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-13971 Filed 8-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[CG Docket No. 06-152, EB Docket No. 06-153, IB Docket No. 06-154, ET Docket No. 06-155, WT Docket No. 06-156, WC Docket No. 06-157; FCC 06-115]

2006 Biennial Review of Telecommunications Regulations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of regulatory review.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission is conducting its comprehensive 2006 biennial review of telecommunications regulations pursuant to Section 11 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. Section 11 requires the Commission to review biennially its regulations "that apply to the operations or activities of any provider of telecommunications service," and to "determine whether any such regulation is no longer necessary in the public interest as the result of meaningful economic competition between the providers of such service." The Commission is directed to repeal or modify any such regulations that it finds are no longer in the public interest.

DATES: Submit comments by September 1, 2006 and reply comments by September 15, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System ("ECFS") or by filing paper copies. Comments filed through ECFS may be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>. For detailed instructions for submitting comments, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Jacobs, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, 202-418-2859, Michael Carowitz, Enforcement Bureau, 202-418-0026, Narda Jones, International Bureau, 202-418-2489, Bruce Romano, Office of Engineering and Technology, 202-418-2124, Peter Coreia, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 202-418-7931, Carrie-Lee Early, Wireline Competition Bureau, 202-418-2776.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Public Notice, CG Docket No. 06-152, EB Docket No. 06-153, IB Docket No. 06-154, ET Docket No. 06-155, WT Docket No. 06-156, WC Docket No. 06-157; FCC 06-115, adopted August 3, 2006 and released August 10, 2006. The full

text of this document, including the parts of the Commission's rules under review, is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room Y-A257, Washington, DC 20554. They may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-488-5300 or 800-378-3160, facsimile 202-488-5563, or via e-mail at fcc@bcpiweb.com. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice), 202-418-0432 (TTY).

The Commission is in the process of conducting its comprehensive 2006 biennial review of telecommunications regulations pursuant to Section 11 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. This section requires the Commission (1) To review biennially its regulations "that apply to the operations or activities of any provider of telecommunications service," and (2) to "determine whether any such regulation is no longer necessary in the public interest as the result of meaningful economic competition between the providers of such service." The Commission is directed to repeal or modify any such regulations that it finds are no longer in the public interest.

Pursuant to § 1.430 of the Commission's rules, we seek suggestions from the public as to what rules should be modified or repealed as part of the 2006 biennial review. Submissions should identify with as much specificity as possible the rule or rules that should be modified or repealed, and explain why and how the rule or rules should be modified or repealed. Parties should discuss how their suggested rule changes satisfy the standard of Section 11 as interpreted by the DC Circuit Court in *Cellco Partnership*. As with previous biennial reviews, we expect that FCC staff will prepare reports recommending which sections of the rules should be modified or repealed.

In order to facilitate review of all comments, the cover page should (1) Denote that the comments pertain to the Biennial Review 2006, (2) indicate the Bureau or Office with jurisdiction over the rules addressed in the comments, and (3) include the appropriate docket number for that Bureau or Office. Parties wishing to comment on rules within the jurisdiction of more than one Bureau or