

independent review of import data compiled by U.S. Customs and Border Protection, indicate Ukraine did not exceed its annual export limits. Therefore, we continue to find that the GOU has been in compliance with the Agreement.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 30, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-21128 Filed 12-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-337-806

Individually Quick Frozen Red Raspberries from Chile: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on individually quick frozen red raspberries from Chile. This review covers sales of individually quick frozen red raspberries to the United States during the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. Based on the withdrawal of requests for review with respect to certain companies, we are rescinding, in part, the fourth administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 12, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yasmin Bordas, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3813.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 3, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 37890 (July 3, 2006), for the above-cited segment of this antidumping duty proceeding. We received a timely filed request for review of 60 companies from the Pacific Northwest Berry Association, Lynden, Washington, and each of its individual members, Curt Maberry Farm; Enfield Farms, Inc.; Maberry Packing; and Rader Farms, Inc. (collectively, "the petitioners"). We also received timely filed requests for review from Arlavan S.A. ("Arlavan"); Sociedad Agroindustrial Valle Frio Ltda. ("Valle Frio"); Fruticola Olmue S.A. ("Olmue"); Santiago Comercio Exterior Sociedad Anonima ("SANCO"); Valles Andinos S.A. ("Valles Andinos"); Vital Berry Marketing S.A. ("VBM"); and Alimentos Naturales Vitafoods S.A. ("Vitafoods").

On July 31, 2006, the Department received a request from SANCO to defer for one year, with respect to SANCO, the initiation of the July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on individually quick frozen red raspberries from Chile. The Department received no objections to this request from any party cited in 19 CFR 351.213(c)(1)(ii). On August 30, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 51573 (Aug. 30, 2006) ("Initiation Notice"), initiating this review for all 60 companies. In the Initiation Notice, the Department inadvertently included SANCO, despite SANCO's pending, unopposed request for deferral. Therefore, on November 21, 2006, the Department corrected the Initiation Notice and granted SANCO's deferral request. *See Certain Individually Quick Frozen Red Raspberries from Chile: Correction to Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 70363 (Dec. 4, 2006).

On November 28, 2006, we received a submission from the petitioners withdrawing their requests for review for all of the companies for which they had requested an administrative review, except for the following companies: Arlavan, Valle Frio, Olmue, Valles Andinos, VBM, SANCO, and Vitafoods.

Partial Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review

The petitioners filed their withdrawal request within the deadline established by the Department's regulations. Therefore, we are rescinding the above-cited administrative review with respect to the following companies in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1):

Agricola Nova, Ltda.
 Agrícola San Antonio
 Agrocomercial Las Tinajas Ltda.
 Agrofruta Chilena Ltda.
 Agrofruticola Pehuenche S.A.
 Agroindustria Framberry Ltda.
 Agroindustria Frisac Ltda.
 Agroindustria Frutos del Maipo Ltda.
 Agroindustria Merco Trading Ltda.
 Agroindustria Niquen Ltda.
 Agroindustria Sagrada Familia Ltda.
 Agroindustria San Francisco Ltda.
 Agroindustria y Frigorifico M y M Ltda.
 Agroindustrial del Maule
 Agross S.A.
 Alimentos Prometeo Ltda.
 Alimentos y Frutos S.A.
 Andesur S.A.
 Angloeuro Comercio Exterior S.A.
 Armijo Carrasco, Claudio del Carmen
 Bajo Cero S.A.
 C y C Group S.A.
 Certified Pure Ingredients (Chile) Inc. y Cia. Ltda.
 Chile Andes Foods S.A.
 Comercializadora Agricola Berries & Fruit Ltda.
 Comercializadora de Alimentos del Sur Ltda.
 Comercio y Servicios S.A.
 Copefruit S.A.
 Exportaciones Meyer S.A.
 Exportadora Fragaria Ltda.
 Exportadora Pentagro S.A.
 Exportadora South Berries Ltda.
 Francisco Nancuvilu Punsin
 Frigorifico Ditzler Ltda.
 Frutas de Guaico S.A.
 Fruticola Viconto S.A.
 Hassler Monckeberg S.A.
 Hortifrut S.A.
 Interagro Comercio y Ganado S.A.
 Kugar Export Ltda. (Kulenkampff & Gardeweg Ltda.)
 Maria Teresa Ubilla Alarcon
 Multifrigo Valparaiso S.A.
 Nevada Export S.A.
 Prima Agrotrading Ltda.
 Procesadora y Exportadora de Frutas y Vegetales Ltda.
 Rio Teno S.A.
 Sociedad Agricola Valle del Laja Ltda.
 Sociedad Comercial C y C, S.A.
 Sociedad Exportaciones Antiquina Ltda.
 Sociedad San Ernesto Ltda.
 Surfrut
 Terra Natur S.A.

Terrazas Export S.A.

The following companies remain subject to this administrative review: Olmue, VBM, Valles Andinos, Vitafoods, Arlavan and Valle Frio. As discussed in the *Background* section, above, we have deferred for one year an administrative review for 2005–2006 with respect to SANCO. We intend to issue our preliminary results in this administrative review for Olmue, VBM, Valles Andinos, Vitafoods, Arlavan, and Valle Frio by April 2, 2007.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For those companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of this notice.

Cash Deposit Rates

For the companies for which this review is rescinded, the cash deposit rate will continue to be 6.33 percent, the “all others” rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: IQF Red Raspberries from Chile*, 67 FR 40270 (June 12, 2002).

These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (“APOs”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary

information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 6, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–21129 Filed 12–11–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

Title: Patent Cooperation Treaty.

Form Number(s): PCT RO/101, PCT/RO/134, PTO–1382, PTO–1390, PCT/IPEA/401, PTO/SB/61/PCT, PTO/SB/64/PCT, PCT/Model of power of attorney, PCT/Model of general power of attorney.

Agency Approval Number: 0651–0021.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 347,891 hours annually.

Number of Respondents: 355,658 responses per year.

Avg. Hours Per Response: The USPTO estimates that it will take the public approximately 15 minutes (0.25 hours) to 8 hours to gather the necessary information; prepare the appropriate form, petition, or other request; and submit the information to the USPTO.

Needs and Uses: The general purpose of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) is to standardize the format and filing procedures so that applicants may file one international application in one location, in one language, and pay one initial set of fees to seek protection for an invention in more than 100 designated countries. This collection of information is necessary so that respondents can apply for an international patent and so that the USPTO can fulfill its duties to process,

search, and examine international patent applications under the provisions of the PCT. The USPTO is submitting this collection in support of a final rulemaking entitled “Changes to Facilitate Electronic Filing of Patent Correspondence” (RIN 0651–AB92), which will provide applicants with a new process for showing that national stage correspondence submitted electronically was actually received by the Office. A new petition to support this process is being added to this collection.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits, and not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent’s Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by any of the following methods:

- *E-mail:* Susan.Brown@uspto.gov. Include “0651–0021 copy request” in the subject line of the message.
- *Fax:* 571–273–0112, marked to the attention of Susan Brown.
- *Mail:* Susan K. Brown, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Architecture, Engineering and Technical Services, Data Architecture and Services Division, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before January 11, 2007 to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: December 5, 2006.

Susan K. Brown,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Architecture, Engineering and Technical Services, Data Architecture and Services Division.

[FR Doc. E6–21121 Filed 12–11–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Petition HP 07–1]

Petition for Labeling Amendment of Blasting Caps

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States Consumer Product Safety Commission