

(c) The prohibition does not apply to Unshu oranges (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco var. *unshu*, Swingle [*Citrus unshiu* Marcovitch, Tanaka]), also known as Satsuma mandarin, grown in the Republic of Korea and imported under permit into the State of Alaska under the following conditions:

(1) The Unshu oranges must be prepared for shipping using packinghouse procedures that include culling damaged or diseased fruit and washing in a water bath.

(2) Each shipment of Unshu oranges must be accompanied by a phytosanitary certificate from the national plant protection organization of the Republic of Korea bearing the following additional declaration: "These oranges were inspected and are considered to be free from citrus canker (*Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *citri*) and arrowhead scale (*Unaspis yanonensis*).

(3) The individual boxes in which the oranges are shipped must be stamped or printed with the following: "These oranges may not be shipped to any State other than Alaska."

* * * * *

(f) Importations allowed in paragraphs (b), (c), (d), and (e) of this section shall be subject to the permit and other requirements under the regulations in Subpart—Fruits and Vegetables §§ 319.56 through 319.56–8).

* * * * *

Done in Washington, DC, this 28th day of November 2006.

Kevin Shea,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. E6–20422 Filed 12–1–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

25 CFR Part 292

RIN 1076–AE81

Gaming on Trust Lands Acquired After October 17, 1988

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period and correction.

SUMMARY: This document extends the comment period for the proposed rule published on Thursday, October 5, 2006 (71 FR 58769), which establishes procedures that an Indian tribe must follow in seeking to conduct gaming on lands acquired after October 17, 1988. This document also contains corrections

to the proposed rule. The regulation relates to gaming on trust lands acquired after October 17, 1988.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 19, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by the number 1076–AE–81, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal rulemaking portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov> Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* 202–273–3153.

- *Mail:* Mr. George Skibine, Director, Office of Indian Gaming Management, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary—Policy and Economic Development, 1849 C Street, NW., Mail Stop 3657–MIB, Washington, DC 20240.

- *Hand delivery:* Office of Indian Gaming, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary—Policy and Economic Development, 1849 C Street, NW., Room 3657–MIB, Washington, DC, from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Comments on the information collection in this rule are separate from comments on the rule. If you wish to comment on the information collection, you may send a facsimile to (202) 395–6566. You may also e-mail comments to: OIRA_DOCKET@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Skibine, Director, Office of Indian Gaming Management, (202) 219–4066.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Bureau of Indian Affairs proposes to establish procedures that an Indian tribe must follow in seeking to conduct gaming on lands acquired after October 17, 1988. The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act allows Indian tribes to conduct class II and class III gaming activities on land acquired after October 17, 1988, only if the land meets certain exceptions. This proposed rule establishes a process for submitting and considering applications from Indian tribes seeking to conduct class II or class III gaming activities on lands acquired in trust after October 17, 1988.

Correction

In the issue of October 5, 2006, on page 58773, in the second column, paragraphs (a)(2) and (b) introductory text of § 292.5 are corrected to read as follows:

§ 292.5 What must be demonstrated to meet the “settlement of a land claim” exception?

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(2) Is included on the Department’s list of potential pre-1966 claims published under the Indian Claims Limitation Act of 1982 (Pub. L. 97–394, 28 U.S.C. 2415).

(b) To be eligible under this section, land must be covered by a settlement that either:

* * * * *

Dated: November 29, 2006.

Michael D. Olsen,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. E6–20494 Filed 12–1–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–4N–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 301

[REG–103039–05]

RIN 1545–BE26

AJCA Modifications to the Section 6111 Regulations; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking by cross-reference to temporary regulations.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to notice of proposed rulemaking by cross-reference to temporary regulations that were published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, November 2, 2006 (71 FR 64496) relating to the disclosure of reportable transactions by material advisors.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tara P. Volungis or Charles Wien, 202–622–3070 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice of proposed rulemaking by cross-reference to temporary regulations (REG–103039–05) that is the subject of this correction is under sections 6111 and 6112 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, the notice of proposed rulemaking by cross-reference to temporary regulations (REG–103039–05) contains an error that may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking by cross-reference to temporary regulations (REG–103039–05) that was the subject of FR Doc. E6–18321 is corrected as follows:

§ 301.6111–3 [Corrected]

On page 64499, column 1, § 301.6111–3(b)(2)(ii)(B), first paragraph

of the column, lines 4 and 5, the language “disclosure of the tax structure or tax aspects of the transaction is limited in” is corrected to read “disclosure of the tax treatment or tax structure of the transaction is limited in”.

La Nita VanDyke,

Branch Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel, (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. E6-20382 Filed 12-1-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation

33 CFR Part 401

[Docket No. SLSDC 2006-26397]

RIN 2135-AA24

Seaway Regulations and Rules: Periodic Update, Various Categories

AGENCY: Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC) and the St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation (SLSMC) of Canada, under international agreement, jointly publish and presently administer the St. Lawrence Seaway Regulations and Rules (Practices and Procedures in Canada) in their respective jurisdictions. Under agreement with the SLSMC, the SLSDC is amending the joint regulations by updating the Seaway Regulations and Rules in various categories. The proposed changes will update the following sections of the Regulation and Rules: Condition of Vessels; Preclearance and Security for Tolls; Seaway Navigation; Dangerous Cargo; and, General. These proposed amendments are necessary to take account of updated procedures and will enhance the safety of transits through the Seaway. Several of the proposed amendments are merely editorial or for clarification of existing requirements.

DATES: Any party wishing to present views on the proposed amendment may file comments with the Corporation on or before January 3, 2007.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments [identified by DOT DMS Docket Number SLSDC 2006-26397] by any of the following methods:

- *Web site:* <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Follow the instructions for submitting

comments on the DOT electronic docket site.

- *Fax:* 1-202-493-2251.

• *Mail:* Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-001.

• *Hand Delivery:* Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

• *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions must include the agency name and docket number or Regulatory Identification Number (RIN) for this rulemaking. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading under *Regulatory Notices*.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://dms.dot.gov> at any time or to Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Craig H. Middlebrook, Acting Chief Counsel, Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-0091.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC) and the St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation (SLSMC) of Canada, under international agreement, jointly publish and presently administer the St. Lawrence Seaway Regulations and Rules (Practices and Procedures in Canada) in their respective jurisdictions. Under agreement with the SLSMC, the SLSDC is proposing to amend the joint regulations by updating the Regulations and Rules in various categories. The proposed changes would update the following sections of the Regulations and Rules: Condition of Vessels; Preclearance and Security for Tolls; Seaway Navigation; Dangerous Cargo; and, General. These updates are necessary to take account of updated procedures which will enhance the safety of transits through the Seaway. Many of these proposed changes are to clarify existing requirements in the

regulations. Where new requirements or regulations are being proposed, an explanation for such a change is provided below.

Regulatory Notices: Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

The SLSDC is proposing to make one clarification to the Interpretation section of the joint Seaway regulations. Under Section 401.2, “Interpretation”, after the definition of Seaway station, the SLSDC is proposing to add a reference to section 401.62, “Seaway stations” for a list and location of the specific Seaway stations. In terms of Notice and Arrival requirements for vessels transiting the Seaway pursuant to section 401.79, “Advance notice of arrival, vessels requiring inspection”, there has been some confusion regarding the location of the nearest Seaway station. Inserting a reference to the list of Seaway Stations in the definition would aid in clarifying the location to which a vessel must provide its 96 hours notice of arrival.

The SLSDC is proposing to make two amendments to the joint regulations pertaining to the Condition of Vessels. Under section 401.8, “Landing booms”, the SLSDC is proposing to require vessels that are equipped with landing booms, but not using them, to use the Seaway's tie-up service at approach walls. This proposed amendment will clarify which vessels are required to use the Seaway's tie-up service. Under section 401.12, “Minimum requirements—mooring lines and fairleads”, the SLSDC is proposing to provide flexibility to Seaway ship inspectors' ability to require an alternate mooring arrangement when a vessel cannot comply with the Seaway regulation due to design or other factors.

Two amendments to the joint regulations regarding Preclearance and Security for Tolls are proposed. The proposed amendment to section 401.22, “Preclearance of vessels”, would provide flexibility to an officer to preclear a vessel, such as a large private yacht or “Tall Ship” that would not be able to moor at the pleasure craft docks because of its unusual design and requirements for inspection. Section 401.24, “Application for Preclearance”, is being revised to eliminate the requirement that a representative of a